

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

BUTTOUGH DARK THE PARTY OF THE

THUCYDIDES BOOK VI SMITH



1119 ' \\ 30 ' \(\delta \); ' \(\delta \); ' \(\delta \);



COLLEGE SERIES OF GREEK AUTHORS

EDITED UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF
JOHN WILLIAMS WHITE AND CHARLES BURTON GULICK

THUCYDIDES BOOK VI

EDITED

ON THE BASIS OF THE CLASSEN-STEUP EDITION

BY

CHARLES FORSTER SMITH

PROFESSOR OF GREEK AND CLASSICAL PHILOLOGY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

GINN AND COMPANY

BOSTON · NEW YORK · CHICAGO · LONDON

COPYRIGHT, 1913, BY JOHN WILLIAMS WHITE AND CHARLES BURTON GULICK

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

513.10

GINN AND COMPANY · PRO-PRIETORS · BOSTON · U.S.A.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

USED IN THE

COLLEGE SERIES OF GREEK AUTHORS.

abs. = absolute, absolutely. acc. = accusative.acc. to = according to. act. = active, actively. adj. = adjective, adjectively. adv. = adverb, adverbial, adverbially. Aeol. = Aeolic.antec. = antecedent. aor. = aorist. apod. = apodosis.App. = Appendix.appos. = apposition, appositive. art. = article. Att. = Attic.attrib. = attributive. aug. = augment. c., cc. = chapter, chapters (when numerals follow). cf. = compare.chap. = chapter.comp. = comparative.cond. = condition, conditional. conj. = conjunction.const. = construe, construction. contr. = contraction, contracted. co-ord. = co-ordinate.dat. = dative. decl. = declension. def. = definite. dem. = demonstrative. dep. = deponent.dim. = diminutive.

dir. = direct. disc. = discourse. Dor. = Doric.edit. = edition, editor. editt. = editions, editors. e.g. = for example.encl. = enclitic. Eng. = English. Ep. = Epic.epith. = epithet. equiv. = equivalent.esp. = especial, especially. etc. = and so forth. excl. = exclamation.f., ff. = following (after numerical statements). fem. = feminine. fin. = sub fine.freq. = frequently.fut. = future. G. = Goodwin's Greek Grammar. gen. = genitive. GMT. = Goodwin's Moods and Tenses. H. = Hadley's Greek Grammar, revised by F. D. Allen (1884). hist. pres. = historical present. ibid. = in the same place. id. = the same. i.e. = that is. impers. = impersonal, impersonally. impf. = imperfect. imv. = imperative.

pl. = plural.

plpf. = pluperfect.

in. = ad initium. indef. = indefinite. indic. = indicative. indir. = indirect. inf. = infinitive. interr. = interrogative, interrogatively. intr. = intransitive, intransitively. Introd. = Introduction. Ion. = Ionic. Kr. Spr. = Krüger's Sprachlehre, Erster Theil, fifth edition. Kr. Dial. = Krüger's Sprachlehre, Zweiter Theil, fifth edition. $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon} = \kappa \alpha i \ \tau \dot{\alpha} \ \dot{\epsilon} \dot{\epsilon} \hat{\eta} s.$ $\kappa \tau \lambda$. = $\kappa \alpha i \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda o i \pi \dot{\alpha}$. Kühn. = Kühner's Ausführliche Grammatik, second edition. Kühner-Blass = third edition of the first part of the Grammatik, revised by F. Blass. Kühner-Gerth = third edition of the second part of the Grammatik, revised by B. Gerth. Lat. = Latin.L. & S. = Liddell and Scott's Lexicon, seventh and eighth editions. l.c. = loco citato.lit. = literal, literally. masc. = masculine. mid. = middle.M. = Monro's Grammar of the Homeric Dialect. Ms., Mss. = manuscript, manuscripts. N. = note. neg. = negative.neut. = neuter. nom. = nominative. obj. = object. obs. = observe, observation. opp. to = opposed to. opt. = optative.p., pp. = page, pages.part. gen. = partitive genitive. partic. = participle. pass. = passive, passively.pers. = person, personal, personally. pf. = perfect.

L

pred. = predicate. prep. = preposition. pres. = present. priv. = privative.prob. = probable, probably. pron. = pronoun. prop. = proper, properly. prot. = protasis.quot. = quoted, quotation. q.v. = which see.refl. = reflexive, reflexively. rel. = relative, relatively. Rem. = remark.S. = Schmidt's Rhythmic and Metric.sc. = scilicet.SCG. = Gildersleeve's Syntax of Classical Greek, First Part. Schol. = scholiast. sent. = sentence. sing. = singular. subj. = subject.subjv. = subjunctive.subord. = subordinate.subst. = substantive, substantively. sup. = superlative.s.v. = sub voce.trans. = transitive, transitively. viz. = namely.v.l. = varia lectio. voc. = vocative.

§, §§ = section, sections.
Plurals are formed generally by adding s.

Generally small Roman numerals (lower-case letters) are used in referring to the books of an author; but A, B, Γ , etc. in referring to the books of the Iliad, and α , β , γ , etc. in referring to the books of the Odyssey.


In abbreviating the names of Greek authors and of their works, Liddell and Scott's practice is generally followed. Grabil Grab Ginn Ginn 50235-2

PREFACE

This edition of the Sixth Book of Thucydides is based upon Steup's revision of Classen's edition, Berlin, 1905. The variations from the text of the Classen-Steup edition — chiefly restorations of Ms. readings - are explained in the notes. The exegetical notes of the German edition have been followed for the most part, but with a more independent attitude than was maintained in Books III and VII. Next to the Steup-Classen notes, those of Stahl, Boehme-Widmann, and Krueger have been most often drawn upon, but Mueller, Bloomfield, and Arnold have also been regularly consulted, and Marchant's and Spratt's commentaries, which have been at hand in the last stages of the work, would have proved more helpful had they been always consulted from the outset. Valuable suggestions have come from Jowett's translation and notes as well as from other sources. Hude's text has been consulted at every step. Perhaps the tendency has been to regard more and more matter as common property, but the editor has been at least always ready to acknowledge especial indebtedness where it was due.

On a proof sheet last December, Christmas greetings were sent "to the best proof reader and typesetter I have ever worked with." More public acknowledgment is hereby made to the same efficient coworkers on this book, with congratulations to the publishers that employ such workmen. Especial thanks are due to Professors Gulick and Laird, who have read all the proofs with critical but kindly eyes.

C. F. S.



INTRODUCTION

In 427 B.C., the celebrated rhetorician, Gorgias of Leontini, headed a mission to Athens. His native city, then in conflict with Syracuse and getting the worst of it, sent him to persuade the Athenians to take their part. The result was the first expedition to Sicily, under Laches and Charoeades, to help Leontini against Syracuse, and to make a reconnoissance of the region with a view to a greater expedition later. The expedition (427-426) spent itself from the beginning in minor undertakings. Charoeades was killed in conflict with the Syracusans (426), and Laches was superseded by Pythodorus and recalled to Athens to face prosecution by Cleon. Pythodorus was only the forerunner of a larger expedition to be sent in response to renewed calls for help from the Leontines and their allies against Syracuse. This expedition of 60 ships was to sail in the spring of 425 under Sophocles and Eurymedon to Sicily. Pythodorus failed in an attack upon a fort in the territory of the Epizephyrian Locrians, and the other operations of this preliminary expedition amounted to little. The larger fleet under Sophocles and Eurymedon was detained under way, first at Sphacteria; then, after that was taken, at Corcyra to aid the popular against the aristocratic party, arriving in Sicily late in 425. Meanwhile the Athenian allies among the Sicilian cities had become lukewarm toward Athens; at a peace congress Hermocrates, appealing to the Sicilian patriotism of the opponents of Syracuse, induced the congress to look with disfavor upon calling in powerful outsiders to interfere in Sicilian affairs, and peace was concluded among the Sicilians, to which the Athenians had to consent.

On the return of the expedition to Athens, Pythodorus and Sophocles were banished and Eurymedon was fined. The general result of this first expedition—if we may call the several enterprises one—was only to unite the Siceliotes. It was largely the work of Hermocrates, and the Siceliotes now felt themselves representatives of all Sicily, where Sicels and Phoenicians counted for little and the Athenians were strangers.

The feud between the cities of Egesta and Selinus was the occasion of the second and great expedition to Sicily. A quarrel had started from disputes about marriage rights and boundaries. The Selinuntians, crossing the boundary river Mazaras, ravaged the fields of the Egestaeans; the latter drove them back, but in a later battle were defeated by the Selinuntians, reënforced by the Syracusans, and their city was invested. The Egestaeans appealed for help first to Agrigentum, then to Carthage; refused in both places, they resorted to Athens. Early in the spring of 416 B.C. an embassy from Egesta arrived at Athens. Reminding the Athenians of the alliance concluded with themselves during the former Leontine war, they begged now for a fleet to be sent to their aid, calling attention to the depopulation of Leontini by the Syracusans, and adding that if the Syracusans were allowed to secure complete domination in Sicily they would be likely some day, as Dorians and as colonists, to send aid to the Peloponnesians, and help pull down the Athenian Empire. The Athenians would do well, then, to unite with the allies still left them in Sicily and oppose the Syracusans, especially as the Egestaeans were prepared to furnish money sufficient for the war. The immediate result of the embassy was a vote on the part of the assembly at Athens to send envoys to Egesta to see if the money talked of was really on hand, and at the same time to ascertain the state of the war with Selinus.

When the envoys reached Egesta, they were the victims of a clever stratagem. The Egestaeans took them to the temple of

Aphrodite at Eryx and showed them the seemingly rich treasures deposited there, privately entertaining at the same time not only the envoys but the crews of their triremes at banquets, using therefor the gold and silver vessels that could be found in Egesta, and borrowing others from neighboring Hellenic and Phoenician cities, transferring this dazzling display of plate from house to house for the successive banquets. The trick was not discovered; both envoys and sailors were completely duped, and accordingly gave at Athens glowing reports of the wealth of Egesta. Besides, the Egestaean envoys that returned with them brought 60 talents of uncoined silver as a month's pay for 60 ships, which they asked the Athenians to send to Sicily. On the strength of this report, the Athenian assembly voted to send 60 ships, under the command of Alcibiades, Nicias, and Lamachus. These were to help the Egestaeans against the Selinuntians, restore Leontini, and order matters otherwise in Sicily as they should deem best for the interests of Athens.

Four days later a second assembly was held to determine about the equipment of the fleet. Nicias, who as head of the aristocratic party was opposed to the expedition, asked for a reconsideration and moved to abandon the whole scheme, reminding the Athenians that it was unwise before they had recovered their old power—referring especially to the Thracian possessions—to engage in new undertakings, and attacking openly the ambition and the motives of the prime mover in the whole enterprise, Alcibiades. The latter defended himself with spirit, and so appealed to the imagination of the Athenian Demos that it was more than ever inclined to plans of expansion. There is no doubt that Alcibiades was then planning—though he did not express his full views until he had gone over to the Spartans some time afterward—to extend the Athenian Empire by winning first all Sicily, then Italy, and even Carthage; and in the

popular assembly he found a ready audience for so much of his schemes as he thought it wise then to unfold.

Finding it impossible to dissuade the Athenians from the undertaking, Nicias next attempted to frighten them by the size and cost of such an expedition, and being questioned as to its magnitude he said at least 100 triremes and 5000 hoplites with proportionate light-armed troops were required. But to his surprise the Demos was only the more eager for the enterprise, voting the generals full powers as to numbers and equipment and whatever they judged best to do for the interests of Athens.

Preparations were immediately begun and all Athens was astir, when one morning the people awoke to find that all the Hermae, or stone pillars surmounted with the head of the god Hermes, had been defaced. The excitement was extraordinary. It was clearly an act of the grossest sacrilege, and the opinion spread rapidly that it meant a conspiracy against the democracy. The enemies of Alcibiades did not fail to implicate him, inasmuch as tales were told of mocking imitations of the Eleusinian mysteries of which he and other young men had been guilty in their carousals in private houses. If guilty of such profanation, why not of the mutilation of the Hermae? He indignantly demanded immediate trial, but his enemies, wanting time to work up the case, insisted that the expedition should not be delayed, and that the trial should be postponed.

So it was determined, and soon the day of departure came, about midsummer. The state furnished 100 triremes — 60 swift sailers and 40 transport ships — with whatever was most necessary for the equipment of the ships, and as pay a drachma a day for the sailors. The trierarchs completed the equipment in a spirit of rivalry, hiring the best oarsmen, adding bounty in addition to the state's pay, and spending money lavishly upon ornaments for the ships as well as upon completer equipments with a view to splendor as well as to efficiency. The land forces were

picked from the best muster-rolls. The hoplites had to equip themselves, but they, too, vied with each other in paying attention to arms and personal accounterments. The whole outfit was on so magnificent a scale that it made the impression on the rest of the Hellenes rather of a display of power and resources than of an armament against an enemy.

Most of the allies, with the provision transports and the smaller craft, and the rest of the expedition, had been ordered to muster at Corcyra, so as to cross from there over the Ionian Sea; and now the triremes with the home troops sailed from the Peiraeus. The historian gives a wonderfully vivid and pathetic description of the embarkation of this armament, which eclipsed in costliness and magnificence all others that ever sailed from a single Greek city. The scene attracted to the Peiraeus the whole population of the city, both citizens and foreigners. When all was ready, "the trumpet commanded silence, the prayers customary before putting out to sea were offered, not ship by ship, but all together, to the voice of a herald; bowls of wine were mixed throughout the armament, and libations made by the soldiers and their officers with gold and silver goblets, the crowds on shore - citizens and all others that wished them well - joining in the prayers. Then, the hymn sung and the libations finished, they put to sea, and first sailing out in column raced each other as far as Aegina." It was a great holiday spectacle. In the great expedition, beginning apparently so auspiciously, were involved all the wealth and glory of Athens, but the historian says not a word here with reference to the disastrous end of it all; for that he waits till the final summary in Chapter 87 of Book VII.

At Rhegium the Athenian generals learned for the first time that there was no money at Egesta, and how the deception had been effected; whereupon they took counsel what was to be done. Nicias proposed to sail to Selinus and get from the Egestaeans

what money and provisions they could furnish, to settle matters between them and the Selinuntians by force or agreement, then, coasting past the other cities and displaying the power of Athens, to sail home. Alcibiades urged that so great an expedition must not disgrace itself by returning without accomplishing anything, that heralds must be sent to all the cities except Syracuse and Selinus, efforts made to win over the Sicels so as to get provisions and troops, especially to gain Messene which lay right in the passage and entrance to Sicily; then, knowing what allies to depend on, they must attack Syracuse and Selinus, unless the latter came to terms with Egesta and the former allowed the restoration of Leontini. Lamachus was for sailing straight to Syracuse and fighting while the Syracusans were unprepared and the dismay was at its height; but as there had to be a choice among the three plans, he gave his adhesion to that of Alcibiades.

Before Alcibiades' plan could be fully tried, he was recalled; under Nicias' direction the whole expedition became a stupendous failure. Meantime, though reports had been reaching Syracuse of the proposed Athenian expedition, the Syracusans were much divided in opinion, many denouncing the reports as a hoax, others and especially Hermocrates insisting on the truth of the matter, and urging immediate preparation to forestall the danger. As the sequel showed, little was actually done in anticipation, and Syracuse would have been at the mercy of the Athenians if Lamachus' plan had been adopted.

Alcibiades, being recalled to Athens for trial, started ostensibly homeward in his own boat, accompanying the state galley Salaminia, but at Thurii he slipped away and went to Peloponnesus. Nicias and Lamachus made an expedition as far as Egesta, but without accomplishing anything worthy of note. The spirits of the Syracusans rose as they noted the Athenians' delay in attacking their city, and the futility of their undertakings generally.

When the Athenians finally by a successful ruse sailed into the Great Harbor and effected a landing unopposed, then defeated the Syracusans in the first engagement in open field, they threw away all their advantage by retiring again to Catana for the winter. An intrigue by which they expected to gain possession of Messene failed, and negotiations with Camarina were unsuccessful. In all this time Hermocrates was the brains of the Syracusan cause; but Alcibiades on reaching Sparta gave advice which proved more potent for harm to the Athenian cause than anything Hermocrates could do: namely, to send help at once to Syracuse under a Spartan commander, and to carry on the war at home with Athens more openly; especially to fortify Decelea, the result of which would be to put Attic territory at their mercy and cause the loss of the revenues from the silver mines at Laurium and of the tribute from the allies.

The chief cause of the fateful expedition was Alcibiades, and his advice to the Spartans was most hurtful; but the chief instrument of fate in the disaster was the unhappy Nicias. The narration and grouping of events show unmistakably the historian's condemnation of the unfortunate general, whom he never blames in word. The one excuse that could have been urged for Nicias was that he was suffering from an incurable disease. But as Thucydides does not accuse, so he does not excuse; he simply mentions the facts.

The cardinal mistakes of Nicias in the Sicilian expedition, as gathered from the historian's narration of facts, may be summarized as follows:

(1) Nicias rejects Lamachus' advice to sail direct to Syracuse and fight as soon as possible under the walls. Formidable at first, by wasting the winter at Catana he falls into contempt and allows time for succor to come from Peloponnesus. (2) Learning of Gylippus' approach and despising the small number of his ships, at first he sets no watch (6.104); then, when he does

send four ships to intercept him, he is too late (7.1). (3) He allows Gylippus to get into Syracuse by way of Euryelus (7.2), (4) and to surprise and take the fort Labdalum (7.3). (5) He sends twenty ships to waylay at the Porthmus the Corinthian reënforcements for Syracuse, but too late (7.4, 7). (6) He allows Gylippus to build at night the Syracusan cross-wall past the Athenian wall of circumvallation (7.6). (7) He permits Gylippus to surprise and capture Plemmyrium, with the result that the Syracusans are henceforth masters of the mouth of the harbor on both sides, so that not a single store ship can enter without a convoy and a battle (7. 22, 23). (8) He allows Gylippus and the Syracusans to send to southern Italy and cut off a supply fleet meant for the Athenians (7. 25). (9) He is deceived by a ruse and drawn into a sea-fight when the men are unprepared and hungry (7.39-41). (10) He rejects the proposition of Demosthenes and Eurymedon to leave Sicily immediately after the failure of the attack on Epipolae (7.48, 49). (11) Having finally consented, in view of matters getting worse and worse, to lead off the army, he is frightened by an eclipse of the moon, and gives orders, obeying the injunction of the soothsayers, to wait twenty-seven days (7.50). (12) Fooled by the messengers of Hermocrates on the night after the great sea-fight, he postpones immediate departure (7, 73, 74).

As Thucydides' history of the Peloponnesian war is the recital of a great tragedy which crippled the most gifted race of ancient times, so the historian shows a remarkably dramatic instinct in his grouping and contrasting of events. The story of the Melian episode, a shameless display of Athenian arrogance and unblushing assertion that might makes right, is immediately followed by the account of the Sicilian disaster. Again, when the defeated and disheartened Athenian army breaks camp at last, he points another striking contrast: "Moreover, their disgrace generally and the universality of their sufferings, although having some

alleviation in being shared with many, not even thus seemed a light matter in the present circumstances, especially considering with what brilliancy and boastfulness they had set out, and to what a humiliating end they had come."

On the retreat Nicias behaved heroically, but it availed nothing. The catastrophe, made inevitable by the night-battle and panic on Epipolae (7. 43, 44), and the sea-fight in the Great Harbor (7. 70, 71), came in the awful butchery at the River Assinarus (7. 84). "Nicias had hoped," says Thucydides, "to leave behind him to other ages the name of a man who in all his life had never brought disaster on the city." There is Sophoclean irony in those other words with which the same historian sums up the disaster of the last expedition which Nicias led, in which he was the chief factor, though Thucydides does not name him as such. "Of all the Hellenic actions in this war, or indeed of all Hellenic actions which are on record, this was the greatest,1— the most glorious to the victors, the most ruinous to the vanquished; for they were utterly and at all points defeated, and their sufferings were prodigious. Fleet and army perished from the face of the earth; nothing was saved, and of the many who went forth few returned home."

¹ Not less than 60,000 men had been sent, first and last, to Sicily.



THUCYDIDES VI

Ol. 91,1; 416-415 B.C.

- 1 Τοῦ δ' αὐτοῦ χειμῶνος 'Αθηναίοι ἐβούλοντο αὖθις μεί- 1 ζονι παρασκευἢ τῆς μετὰ Λάχητος καὶ Εὐρυμέδοντος ἐπὶ Σικελίαν πλεύσαντες καταστρέψασθαι, εἰ δύναιντο, ἄπειροι οἱ πολλοὶ ὅντες τοῦ μεγέθους τῆς νήσου καὶ τῶν ἐνοικούντων 5 τοῦ πλήθους καὶ Ἑλλήνων καὶ βαρβάρων, καὶ ὅτι οὐ πολλῷ τινι ὑποδεέστερον πόλεμον ἀνηροῦντο ἡ τὸν πρὸς Πελοποννησίους. Σικελίας γὰρ περίπλους μέν ἐστιν ὁλκάδι οὐ πολλῷ 2
 - 1. At Athens there is a reawakened desire to conquer Sicily, but a lack of exact knowledge of the size and population of the island. Remarks on the magnitude of Sicily. - 1. έβούλοντο αύθις . . . καταστρέψασθαι: the earlier unsuccessful expeditions from 427 B.C. (3. 86. 1), under Laches and Charoeades, until 424 B.C., under Pythodorus, Sophocles, and Eurymedon (3.86, 88, 90, 99, 103, 115; 4. 1, 2, 24, 25, 46, 48, 65), are here comprised in this meta Adxnτος και Εύρυμέδοντος (παρασκευής). That even before this the conquest of Sicily had been contemplated is clear not only from 3, 86, 18 πρόπειραν ποιούμενοι εί σφίσι δυνατά είη τά έν τη Σικελία πράγματα ύποχείρια γενέσθαι, but also from the charge against the last-named generals 4. 65. 13 ως έξον αύτοις τὰ έν Σικελία καταστρέψασθαι δώροις πεισθέντες $d\pi \circ \chi \omega \rho \eta \sigma \epsilon \iota a \nu$. — $\mu \epsilon \iota \zeta \circ \nu \iota \tau \eta \varsigma := \hat{\eta} + \hat{\eta}$,
- cf. 1. 85. 5; 3. 83. 7; see on 16. 1. 3. Exercise of moddel: as appose to $A\theta\eta$ vaîor restricting the whole to the designated part. - 5. τοῦ πλήθους: alien element inserted in the closely connected words των ένοικούντων . . . βαρβάρων, as freq. in Thuc. — και δτι ... avnocêvro: note change of const. from areipoi with gen. to ori clause. ού πολλώ τινι: as in 7 below; not elsewhere in Thuc.; in Hdt. 1. 181.2; 2.48. 8, 67. 8. Elsewhere in Thuc. οὐ πολλφ or οὐ πολύ serves to limit the comp. (5. 59. 5; 7. 19. 8; freq. in the formula ού πολύ υστερον, υστερον ού πολλώ, 800 on 1.45.3). The modifying Tis with of πολύς also 7.1.20.— 6. άνηροθντο: were about to take up. For the thought, cf. 7. 28. 23.
- Σικελίας γὰρ περίπλους μὰν κτέ.: amplification of the two first points designated above as unknown to most

τινι έλασσον ή όκτω ήμερων, καὶ τοσαύτη οὖσα ἐν εἴκοσι σταδίων μάλιστα μέτρω της θαλάσσης διείργεται τὸ μὴ 10 ἤπειρος εἶναι. ϣκίσθη δὲ ὧδε τὸ ἀρχαῖον καὶ τοσάδε ἔθνη 1 ἔσχε τὰ ξύμπαντα.

Παλαιότατοι μεν λέγονται εν μερει τινὶ τῆς χώρας Κύκλωπες καὶ Λαιστρυγόνες οἰκῆσαι, ὧν εγὼ οὖτε γενος ἔχω εἰπεῖν

Athenians of that time, the rest of this chapter discussing the size of Sicily, 2-5 the barbarian and Hellenic inhabitants of the island. Το περίπλους μέν corresponds ψκίσθη δέ 2. 1. - 8. οὐκ ελασ-Gov: in statements of time or space freq. adv. Cf. 25. 7, 67. 16, 95. 5. οκτώ ήμερων: acc. to Strabo, p. 266 c. the circumnavigation required five days and nights. To-day a steamer would require at most 60 hours to sail round. See Holm, Gesch. Siziliens I, 330f., where all the statements of ancient writers are found. - kal τοσαύτη ούσα . . . είναι: the narrowness of the strait geographically contrasted with the extent of the island. Thuc, can hardly have meant to intimate, as Stein thinks, that Sicily as almost belonging to the mainland was esp. difficult to conquer. - iv etkors σταδίων μάλιστα μέτρφ . . . διείργεται: in Greek the stretch of sea is conceived as the point wherein a hindrance consists. Cf. ἐν with κωλύεσθαι 2, 8, 17, 64. 10; 4. 14. 13. With διείργειν, an emphasized εξργειν, cf. διακωλύειν. -9. τὸ μὴ ἤπειρος είναι: so nearly all recent editors for οδσα of the Mss. The impossible ptc. seems to be due to dittography from ovoa in 8. For the const., cf. 3. 1. 7; GMT. 811; Kühner-Gerth 479, 1, and 514, N. 9, 1. The inf. without $\tau \delta$, 1. 62. 17; 3, 6, 7, See App.

On the settlements of barbarians and Hellenes in Sicily (2-5)

2. Non-Hellenic settlements.—1. ωκίσθη δὲ κτέ.: see on 1. 7. οἰκίζειν used here, contrary to Thuc.'s usual habit. universally and not simply of Hellenes. See on 7. For the sources used by Thuc, for the matter of 2-5, see App. - ώδε: for the reading, see App. -2. ioxe: acquired. Cl. thought that, acc. to the usage of Thuc. (see on ἔσχον 1. 12. 11), not Σικελία but τοσάδε έθνη must be subj. of $\xi \sigma \chi \epsilon$, and $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ the obj. understood. But, apart from the harshness of this const., there would be an analogy with 1.12.11 and similar passages only if the different ξθνη mentioned in what follows had all occupied the whole of Sicily, which was not the case. On the other hand Σικελία έσχε τοσάδε έθνη differs really only in tense from 2.68.10 ἡ πόλις αύτη . . . τούς δυνατωτάτους είχεν οικήτοραs, and St. very aptly compares Soph. Phil. 1147 ξθνη θηρών ους δδ' έχει χώρος. — τὰ ξύμπαντα: all together. Cf. 1. 4 των ένοικούντων και Έλλήνων και βαρβάρων, 6. 1 τοσαθτα ξθνη Ελλήνων καλ βαρβάρων Σικελίαν ψκει. For the expression, cf. 2. 78. 14; 3, 92, 3; 7. 87. 17.

3. παλαιότατοι: in 1. 4. 1, 13. 13, we have the shorter form παλαίτατος; see St., Qu. Gr.² p. 56. — λέγονται: of mythical or poetical tradition, as 2. 102. 27, 34; 3. 96. 2; 4. 24. 18. —

5 οὖτε ὁπόθεν ἐσῆλθον ἢ ὅποι ἀπεχώρησαν · ἀρκείτω δὲ ὡς ποιηταῖς τε εἴρηται καὶ ὡς ἔκαστός πῃ γιγνώσκει περὶ αὐτῶν. Σικανοὶ δὲ μετ' αὐτοὺς πρῶτοι φαίνονται ἐνοικισά- 2 μενοι, ὡς μὲν αὐτοί φασι, καὶ πρότεροι διὰ τὸ αὐτόχθονες εἶναι, ὡς δὲ ἡ ἀλήθεια εὐρίσκεται, Ἦρρες ὅντες καὶ ἀπὸ τοῦ 10 Σικανοῦ ποταμοῦ τοῦ ἐν Ἰβηρία ὑπὸ Λιγύων ἀναστάντες. καὶ ἀπ' αὐτῶν Σικανία τότε ἡ νῆσος ἐκαλεῖτο, πρότερον Τρινακρία καλουμένη· οἰκοῦσι δὲ ἔτι καὶ νῦν τὰ πρὸς ἑσπέραν τὴν Σικελίαν. Ἰλίου δὲ άλισκομένου τῶν Τρώων τινὲς 3

4. οἰκῆσαι: settled. Cf. ψκήσαμεν 2.64. 20.—5. ἀρκείτω κτέ.: as authentic information is not to be had, one must be content either with the account of the poets (here esp. Homer, as also 1.10.4, 11.19, 21.3), or with one's own judgment about these peoples (ώς ἔκαστος γιγνώσκει, cf. 2.48.10).—6. ποιηταίς: dat. of agent with pass. See on 1.125. 6; 3.64.15; and Steup, Thuk. Stud. II, 55 f.; C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 71.—περὶ αὐτῶν: Cl. renders "about these matters," referring to 1.1.10; but the following μετ' αὐτούς points to a personal sense.

7. Σικανοί: see Holm I, 58 ff., 350 ff., and Busolt, Gr. Gesch. I, 378 f. — φαίνονται: presumably of written testimony rather than, as λέγονται, of mythical or poetical tradition. Cf. 1.9. 22, 13.9. Still more definitely the following ως ἡ ἀλήθεια εὐρίσκεται (this word of historical inquiry; see on 1.1.11) points to a credible source. — ἐνοικισάμενοι: which Dion. H. 1. 22 seems also to have used of the same occurrence; to be preferred to ἐνοικησάμενοι of most Mss., whose authority in such cases is questionable. Only from οἰκίζειν, not from οἰκεῖν, are found mid. aor. forms in compounds:

άνοικίσασθαι 1. 58. 13, κατοικίσασθαι 2. 102. 31. See App. — 8. καλ πρότεροι: even before, sc. των Κυκλώπων και Λαιστρυγόνων. - 9. "Ιβηρες όντες: before these words Kr. missed, and Stein has inserted, υστεροι, which is clearly what Thuc. meant; but υστεροι would only repeat what is already contained in μετ' αὐτοὺς πρῶτοι. As to the credibility of Thuc.'s view of the origin of the Sicanians, see esp. Holm I, 58f., 356f., and Freeman, Hist. of Sicily I, 474 ff. - τοῦ Σικανοῦ ποταμοῦ τοῦ ἐν Ἰβηρία: the river generally called later Sucro (now Xucar) seems to be meant, not the tributary of the Iberus, Sicoris (now Segre), nor the Seine (Σηκοάvas, Sequana). See K. Müllenhoff, Deutsche Altertumskunde I, 164 f. — 11. Tolvakola: Hom, Opivakly, of uncertain derivation. See Holm I, 329 .-12. τὰ πρὸς ἐσπέραν: adv. Cf. τὸ πρὸς βορέαν, 99.1. For the matter, cf. l. 27 πρός τὰ μεσημβρινά και έσπέρια and see Holm I, 59 ff. and 357 ff.—13. την Σικε-Mav: see Weidner, Parerga Dinarch, et Thuc. p. 20 (in Gießener Gymn. Progr. 1875), who considers this, as well as πρός την Σικελίαν (14), interpolated.

άλισκομένου: with force of pf., as

διαφυγόντες 'Αχαιούς πλοίοις ἀφικνοῦνται πρὸς τὴν Σικελίαν, 15 καὶ ὅμοροι τοῖς Σικανοῖς οἰκήσαντες ξύμπαντες μὲν Ελυμοι ἐκλήθησαν, πόλεις δ' αὐτῶν Ερυξ τε καὶ Έγεστα. προσξυνώκησαν δὲ αὐτοῖς καὶ Φωκέων τινὲς τῶν ἀπὸ Τροίας τότε χειμῶνι ἐς Λιβύην πρῶτον, ἔπειτα ἐς Σικελίαν ἀπ' αὐτῆς κατενεχθέντες. Σικελοὶ δ' ἐξ Ἰταλίας (ἐνταῦθα γὰρ ὤκουν) 4

in 1. 23, 8. GMT, 27.—14. πρὸς τὴν Σικελίαν: cf. similar consts. 5. 2. 11, 65. 12; 7. 80. 19. — 15. δμοροι τοῖς Σικανοίς οἰκήσαντες: on account of the statement below (16) προσξυνώκησαν κτέ., Steup thinks some words have fallen out here, perhaps καὶ αὐτῶν τισι ξυνοικήσαντες. He holds that with the traditional text ξύμπαντες can mean only the whole of the Trojans who came to Sicily. Better St., who says that more probably the Trojans and Sicani united are meant. - "Elupoi: for their origin, see Holm I, 86 ff. and 374 f.; Freeman I, 195 ff. and 542 ff.; Busolt ² I, 375 ff. — 16. ἐκλή-Onoav: (aor.) received the name. Cf. 4. 29; 1.3.20.—"Εγεστα: the form used everywhere by Thuc.; also the people Έγεσταĵοι, as in Hdt. 5. 46. 5, 47. 8; 7. 158. 8. In later writers the form Aίγεστα also occurs. Inscriptions of the oldest coins of the city have $\Sigma \epsilon \gamma$ -(or $\Sigma \alpha \gamma$ -), the form later adopted by the Romans. See Holm I, 90, 375; III, 598f. - προσξυνώκησαν: the compound only here. — 17. Φωκέων τινές: Pausanias (5. 25. 6) also mentions Hellenes τοῦ Φωκικοῦ γένους in Sicily. Holm (I, 87) and others have suggested that the Hellenic immigrants here mentioned were really Phocaeans, and that the mention of Phocians is due to the Phocaeans calling themselves

descendants of the Phocians (Paus. 7.3. 10; cf. Hdt. 1. 146). Scholars have even tried to find in the inscriptions of the coins of Egesta the dialect of Phocaea (but cf. Holm III, 599 f.). The immigration of Phocians seems to have been introduced here in a chapter treating otherwise exclusively of barbarian immigrations (cf. the concluding words, 1.39) and not where Hellenic settlements are mentioned, because the Phocians were not able to Hellenize the barbarians with whom they coalesced as second or third component. The fact that the mention of the Phocians occurs after the name of the whole people and the two chief places indicates that this is a side remark. To the otherwise improbable conjecture of W. Ridgeway (Class. Rev. II, 180 (1888)), Φρυγών for Φωκέων, is opposed the fact that from the whole context it is clear that only Hellenes returning from Troy are in mind. τότε: of a time assumed as known, as 1.101.8. For the matter, cf. 4.120. § 1. — 19. κατενεχθέντες: cf. 1. 137. 8; 3, 69, 5; 4, 120, 5.

Σικελοί: see Holm I, 62 ff. and 360 ff.; Busolt ² I, 380 ff.; Freeman I, 124 ff. and 472 ff. — ἐξ Ἰταλίας: the term is used by Thuc. only of the peninsula south of the river Laus and Metapontum. Cf. 1. 12. 14; 7. 33. 21.

λο διέβησαν ἐς Σικελίαν φεύγοντες "Οπικας, ὡς μὲν εἰκὸς καὶ λέγεται, ἐπὶ σχεδιῶν τηρήσαντες τὸν πορθμὸν κατιόντος τοῦ ἀνέμου, τάχα ἄν δὲ καὶ ἄλλως πως ἐσπλεύσαντες. εἰσὶ δὲ καὶ νῦν ἔτι ἐν τῆ Ἰταλία Σικελοί, καὶ ἡ χώρα ἀπὸ Ἰταλοῦ, βασιλέως τινὸς Σικελῶν τοὖνομα τοῦτο ἔχοντος, οὖτως ταλία ἐπωνομάσθη. ἐλθόντες δὲ ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν στρατὸς τολὺς τούς τε Σικανοὺς κρατοῦντες μάχη ἀνέστειλαν πρὸς τὰ μεσημβρινὰ καὶ ἐσπέρια αὐτῆς καὶ ἀντὶ Σικανίας Σικελίαν τὴν νῆσον ἐποίησαν καλεῖσθαι, καὶ τὰ κράτιστα τῆς γῆς ῷκησαν ἔχοντες, ἐπεὶ διέβησαν, ἔτη ἐγγὺς τριακόσια τορὶν Ἑλληνας ἐς Σικελίαν ἐλθεῖν. ἔτι δὲ καὶ νῦν τὰ μέσα

- 20. φεύγοντες "Οπικας: cf. Dion. H. 1, 22 βιασθέντες ὑπὸ Οἰνώτρων καὶ 'Οπικών. The reading of Vat. and other good Mss. "Onikas is hardly due to a slip of copyists, even though acc. to all later writers the form should be 'Οπικούς. - ώς είκὸς και λέγεται: referring to διέβησαν έπὶ σχεδιῶν. This formula ως λέγεται always stands within or after the words it qualifies. Cf. 1. 24. 10, 118. 21; 3. 79. 10; 7. 86. 17; 8. 50. 16. — 21. τηρήσαντες: after waiting for. — τὸν πορθμόν: i.e. the favorable time for the passage. Cf. Soph. Trach. 571. — κατιόντος τοῦ ἀνέμου: i.e. when the wind blew seaward (different from 2. 25. 19). Cf. Dion. H. 1. 22 Kataσκευασάμενοι σχεδίας έπλ τῷ πορθμῷ καλ φυλάξαντες κατιόντα τον ρούν. - 22. τάχα αν δέ: sc. διέβησαν. Order as in 10.13. For pot. indic. with $d\nu$ expressing past possibility, see GMT. 244; Kühner-Gerth 392, 4. — είσι δε . . . ἐπωνομάσθη: two circumstances supplementarily mentioned in confirmation of the Italic origin of the Sicels. - 23, άπὸ Ἰταλοῦ, βασιλέως τινός Σικελών: cf. Dion. H. 1.

35 'Ιταλία ώνομάσθη ἐπ' ἀνδρὸς δυνάστου δνομα 'Ιταλοῦ, who acc. to Antiochus had made himself master of Southern Italy.—24. οῦτως: emphasizes summarily the reason just given in ἀπὸ 'Ιταλοῦ... ἔχοντος. See on 1.22. 7.—25. ἐπωνομάσθη: cf. 1.13.21; 2.29.15.

στρατός πολύς: appos. in explanation of the general subject. Cf. 2. 47. 5; 4. 58. 4. — 26. ἀνέστειλαν: forced back, Bk.'s emendation (for ἀπέστειλαν of the Mss.), now generally adopted (cf. 70.14; 3. 98. 3), except by Steup, who compares άποστέλλειν 3. 89. 20. — πρός τὰ μεσημβρινά και έσπέρια αὐτής: only the west of Sicily is mentioned above (12) as still occupied by the Sicanians. --28. ἐποίησαν: caused, with acc. and inf., as 2. 5. 6. - 29. erel: after, like έπειδή. Cf. 2.73.10 άφ' οδ ξύμμαχοι έγενόμεθα. — ἔτη ἐγγὺς τριακόσια: belongs to the complexive aor. Φκησαν. For έγγύς and έγγύτατα (cf. 4. 19; 5. 8. 10), see App. on 2-5. — 30. πριν Έλληvas ές Σικελίαν έλθειν: i.e., acc. to 3. 2, before the founding of Naxos, which

καὶ τὰ πρὸς βορέαν τῆς νήσου ἔχουσιν. ῷκουν δὲ καὶ 6 Φοίνικες περὶ πᾶσαν μὲν τὴν Σικελίαν ἄκρας τε ἐπὶ τῆ θαλάσση ἀπολαβόντες καὶ τὰ ἐπικείμενα νησίδια ἐμπορίας ἔνεκα τῆς πρὸς τοὺς Σικελούς. ἐπειδὴ δὲ οἱ Ἦλληνες πολλοὶ 35 κατὰ θάλασσαν ἐπεσέπλεον, ἐκλιπόντες τὰ πλείω Μοτύην καὶ Σολόεντα καὶ Πάνορμον ἐγγὺς τῶν Ἐλύμων ξυνοικήσαντες ἐνέμοντο, ξυμμαχία τε πίσυνοι τῆ τῶν Ἐλύμων καὶ

occurred a year before that of Syracuse. —31. $\beta o \rho \epsilon a v$: for the Mss. reading $\beta o \rho \rho \hat{a} v$, see App. on 2-5.

32. **Polyuges:** their settlements in Sicily, as on all coasts of the Mediterranean (see Curtius, Peloponnesos II, 10), are well characterized here περί πασαν . . . νησίδια. Of these ἄκραι Pachynos (from pachun, lookout) and Lilybaeum (opposite Libya) kept their Phoenician names throughout all antiquity. For detailed investigations concerning individual settlements, see Movers, Die Phönizier II, 309-362. Cf. Holm I, 79 ff. and 370 ff.; III, 747 f. The correctness of the statement that the Phoenicians came to Sicily before the Hellenes is doubted by Beloch, Rh. Mus. XLIX, 117 ff. - mepl maran μέν την Σικελίαν: in consideration of the antithesis below (34) ἐπειδη δὲ ... ἐπεσέπλεον, Steup suggests the loss of some temporal modifier, like $\pi \rho l \nu$, πάλαι, ποτέ, οτ έπὶ πολύ, after πασαν $\mu \epsilon \nu$. — 33. $\dot{a}\pi o \lambda a \beta \dot{o} \nu \tau \epsilon s$: i.e. from the rest of Sicily. Cf. 1. 7. 4; 4. 45. 7; 7. 60. 9. - 34. Eveka: for the Mss. Eveκεν, see App. on 2-5. — 35. ἐπεσέπλεον: sailed in afterwards, i.e. after the Phoenicians. Cf. exikatáyetai 3. 49. 18. As κατά θάλασσαν adds nothing to the force of the verb, Steup suspects that a ptc. like μετανιστάμενοι has dropped

out after wolld. St., who explains êmi- as insuper, ad veteres incolas, thinks the addition of κατά θάλασσαν was due to contrast with the crossing of the strait by the Sicels, described in § 4. - Μοτύην και Σολόεντα και Πάνορμον: Motye (prob. spinnery) on the little island S. Pantaleo near the promontory of Lilybaeum. Soloeis (Phoen. name Kafara, village) east of Palermo, now Solanto; for us a "little Pompeii" on account of the important remains from the time of the Roman Empire unearthed there. See Holm III, 250 ff. Σολόεντα uncontracted, like Maddes 3. 3. 13, 25. Panormus, now Palermo (Phoen. name unknown). The city names include also the territory belonging thereto. — 36. ξυνοικήσαντες: i.e. after they had restricted themselves to narrower bounds (no longer περί πᾶσαν τὴν Σικε- $\lambda(a\nu)$. The compound, used in 63.14; 2. 68. 15 of the joining of new settlers with older, designates here the union of scattered communities. ξυνοικίσαντες, the reading of some good Mss., is inadmissible, since here it is not a matter of the new settlement of the three places (see on 5.3).—37. ἐνέμοντο: for the idiom νέμεσθαι πόλιν, cf. 1.84. 4; 2. 30. 4; 4. 52. 11, 56. 13. — πίσυνοι: poetical word, found in Attic prose

ότι ἐντεῦθεν ἐλάχιστον πλοῦν Καρχηδων Σικελίας ἀπέχει. Βάρβαροι μὲν οὖν τοσοίδε Σικελίαν καὶ οὖτως ῷκησαν. 3 Ἑλλήνων δὲ πρῶτοι Χαλκιδῆς ἐξ Εὐβοίας πλεύσαντες μετὰ 1 Θουκλέους οἰκιστοῦ Νάξον ῷκισαν καὶ ᾿Απόλλωνος ᾿Αρχηγέτου βωμόν, ὅστις νῦν ἔξω τῆς πόλεως ἐστιν, ἱδρύσαντο, ἐφ' ῷ, ὅταν ἐκ Σικελίας θεωροὶ πλέωσι, πρῶτον θύουσι. 5 Συρακούσας δὲ τοῦ ἐχομένου ἔτους ᾿Αρχίας τῶν Ἡρακλειδῶν ²2

only in Thuc. (2. 89. 21; 5. 14. 19), though freq. in Hdt. It is common in the poets. See C. F. Smith, Traces of Epic Usage in Thuc. (Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXXI, 80). — 38. ἐλάχιστον πλοῦν ἀπέχει: cf. 49. 20, 97. 4. — 39. βάρβαροι τοσοίδε: partial resumption of τοσάδε ἔθνη τὰ ξύμπαντα above (1). Cf. 6. 2; 7. 57. 63, 58. 25, where also τοσόσδε looks backward. For like use of τάδε, cf. 1. 41. 1, 43. 9, and τοιάδε 7. 78. 1. — καὶ οῦτως ῷκησαν: and thus they fixed their settlements in Sicily.

3-5. Hellenic settlements in Sicily.

— The summary here given from 3-5 of the Hellenic colonies in Sicily, in the order of the date of settlement, follows Holm (I, 118 ff., 381 ff., 390 f., 393); see per contra Busolt, Rh. Mus. XL, 466 ff. Naxos (3. § 1) Ol. 11, 2; 735 B.C. Syracuse (3. § 2) Ol. 11, 3; 734 B.C. Zancle-Messene (4. §§ 5,6) Ol. 12,3; 730 B.C.

Leontini and Catana (3. § 3) Ol. 12, 4; 729 B.C.

Megara (4. § 1) Ol. 13, 1; 728 B.C. Gela (4. § 3) Ol. 22, 4; 689 B.C. Acrae (5. § 2) Ol. 29, 1; 664 B.C. Himera (5. § 1) Ol. 33, 1; 648 B.C. Casmenae (5. § 2) Ol. 34, 1; 644 B.C. Selinus (4. § 2) Ol. 38, 1; 628 B.C. Camarina (5. § 3) Ol. 45, 2; 599 B.C. Acragas (4. § 4) Ol. 49, 4; 581 B.C.

1. πρώτοι: after the part. gen. to be preferred to the adv. πρώτον of most good Mss. See App. on 3. 101. 6. - Χαλκιδής: on the relation of Euboean Chalcis to Hellenic colonization, see Curtius, Gr. Gesch. I, 417 ff., 425 ff. -2. Θουκλέους: from Athens, acc. to Ephorus (apud Strab. 6.2.2). — Nágov: at the best point for first landing from Greece, near Tauromenium (Taormina).— 'Αρχηγέτου: epithet of Apollo (esp. the Delphian Apollo), as protector of new settlements, occurring also in Pindar (P. 5.66). His statue in Sicilian Naxos is mentioned by Appian (Bell. Civ. 5. 109). — 3. ботів: referring to a concrete noun only here in Thuc. See App. on 2-5. - νῦν ἔξω τῆς πόλεως: which had, then, earlier a wider compass. The destruction of the city in 403 B.c. (see Holm II, 105) was evidently not yet known to Thuc. - 4. @copol: delegates to festivals or to oracles. Cf. 5.16.24.

5. Συράκουσαι: form adopted by most editors. The Mss. vary, Vat. having generally Συρακοῦσαι, and Συρακοῦσαιοι for the people. See Buttmann, Ausf. Sprachl. § 21, Ν. 9. — ἐχομένου: = ἐπιγιγνομένου, in Thuc. only here; elsewhere local (2. 96. 22; 5. 67. 15; 8. 90. 31), or in the sense of keeping (1. 22. 7).— Αρχίας τῶν Ἡρακλειδῶν ἐκ Κορίνθου: cf. 1. 24. 4 Φαλίος, Κορίνθου

ἐκ Κορίνθου ῷκισε, Σικελοὺς ἐξελάσας πρῶτον ἐκ τῆς νήσου ἐν ἡ νῦν οὐκέτι περικλυζομένη ἡ πόλις ἡ ἐντός ἐστιν· ὕστερον δὲ χρόνῳ καὶ ἡ ἔξω προστειχισθεῖσα πολυάνθρωπος ἐγένετο. Θουκλῆς δὲ καὶ οἱ Χαλκιδῆς ἐκ Νάξου ὁρμηθέντες 8
10 ἔτει πέμπτῳ μετὰ Συρακούσας οἰκισθείσας Λεοντίνους τε πολέμῳ τοὺς Σικελοὺς ἐξελάσαντες οἰκίζουσι καὶ μετ' αὐτοὺς Κατάνην· οἰκιστὴν δὲ αὐτοὶ Καταναῖοι ἐποιήσαντο Εὖαρχον.
4 κατὰ δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον καὶ Λάμις ἐκ Μεγάρων ἀποικίαν 1

γένος, τῶν ἀφ' Ἡρακλέους. Both, designated by Thuc. merely as Heraclidae, prob. belonged to the ruling clan of Bacchiads. Plutarch, Narrat. Amat. 2, relating the occasion for the founding of Syracuse, says it was ordered by the oracle in atonement for crime. The mythical character of the story is evident in that acc. to it Ortygia and Syracusa were daughters of Archias. -6. ἐκ τῆς νήσου: named Ortygia, and later connected with the mainland, first by a mole (οὐκέτι περικλυζομένη, with Bk. for περικλυζομένη), later by a bridge. See Strabo 1.3.18.-8. Kal ή έξω . . . εγένετο: also the outer city, being joined on by a wall, became populous. There is no need, with Kr. and Bm., to make the whole city subj. of έγένετο, and καὶ ἡ ἔξω προστειχισθείσα a sort of part. appos. — ή ξω: the special name for the mainland part of Syracuse was Achradina or "place of the wild pear-tree" (from \$\delta\rho\ds). See Holm I, 126. Modern Syracuse is again limited to the island. - TOOTELχισθείσα: elsewhere only in late writers; cf. Dion. H. 3. 1 προσετείγισε τη πόλει τον καλούμενον Καίλιον λόφον.

10. μετά Συρακούσας οἰκισθείσας: = μετά Συρακουσῶν οἰκισιν 4. 16, οτ μετά Συρακουσῶν κτίσιν 5. 10. For const. of

the ptc., see GMT. 829 b. The year of settlement of the chief city of Sicily Thuc. could assume to be known. See App. on 2-5. — Acoutivous: Leontines, though like Άλιης, Δελφοί, Οἰνιάδαι, the name designates the place as well as the people. But in Thuc, only once (4.4) do we have certainly the name of place (cf. ή πόλις ή Λεοντίνων 5. 4. 12), whereas in numerous other passages Λεοντίνοι is unquestionably an ethnic term (6. 12, 15; 50. 8; 63. 15; 77. 6; 79. 7; 3. 86. 4, 9, 11, 12; 4. 25. 34, 42, 49; 5. 4. 3, 21). Hence it is better to regard it as ethnic here, esp. in view of μετ' αὐτούς. Cf. Μεγαρέας Φκισαν 4. 7; "Ιωνας Αθηναίοι φκισαν 1. 12. 13. The city lay in a fruitful region south of Aetna, some three or four miles from the sea. See Polyb. 7. 6 and Holm I, 130.—12. Κατάvnv: on its favored site, which, in spite of the constant danger from the neighboring Mt. Aetna, makes it to the present day the only great city on that coast, see Holm I, 130 f. On the various explanations of the name, see Holm I, 389. — οἰκιστὴν δὲ αὐτοὶ . . . Εὔαρχον: i.e. from themselves they chose the oecist (with a name of good omen, Eυαρχος), thus avoiding subordination to Naxos.

4 1. κατά δὲ τὸν αὐτὸν χρόνον: i.e. at about the time when Leontini and

άγων ἐς Σικελίαν ἀφίκετο, καὶ ὑπὲρ Παντακύου τε ποταμοῦ Τρώτιλόν τι ὄνομα χωρίον οἰκίσας καὶ ὕστερον αὐτόθεν τοῖς Χαλκιδεῦσιν ἐς Λεοντίνους ὀλίγον χρόνον ξυμπολιτεύσας καὶ 5 ὑπὸ αὐτῶν ἐκπεσῶν καὶ Θάψον οἰκίσας αὐτὸς μὲν ἀποθνήσκει, οἱ δ᾽ ἄλλοι ἐκ τῆς Θάψου ἀναστάντες, Ὑβλωνος βασιλέως Σικελοῦ παραδόντος τὴν χώραν καὶ καθηγησαμένου, Μεγαρέας ῷκισαν τοὺς Ὑβλαίους κληθέντας. καὶ ἔτη οἰκήσαντες 2 πέντε καὶ τεσσαράκοντα καὶ διακόσια ὑπὸ Γέλωνος τυράννου 10 Συρακοσίων ἀνέστησαν ἐκ τῆς πόλεως καὶ χώρας. πρὶν δὲ ἀναστῆναι ἔτεσιν ὖστερον ἑκατὸν ἡ αὐτοὺς οἰκῆσαι, Πάμμιλον

Catana were founded. — 2. ὑπὲρ Παντακύου ποταμού: cf. 1. 46. 10 ἔστι δὲ λιμήν, και πόλις ύπερ αὐτοῦ κεῖται ἀπὸ θαλάσσης. For omission of the art., see Gild.; Syn. II, 559. — 3. Τρώτιλόν τι δνομα χωρίον: the site is uncertain; see Holm I, 131, 390. The unnatural order is as in 1.106.3. For δνομα, by name, cf. 4. 133. 11; 8. 85. 7. -4. is Acoutivous: see on 3.10. For particulars of the treachery by which Thucles expelled from Leontini first · the Sicels by means of the Megarians, then the latter also, see Polyaen. 5. 5. — ολίγον χρόνον: six months, acc. to Polyaen. 5. 5. 2. — ξυμπολιτεύσας: i.e. μετοικήσας ές Λεοντίνους και έκει ξυμπολιτεύσας τοις Χαλκιδεύσι. — 5. Θάψον: peninsula just north of Syracuse (now called Isola di Magnisi). Cf. 97. 6. -6. avactávtes: with pass, force, as in l. 11 and freq. Possibly they were ousted by hostile Sicels .- Basiléus Ziκελοῦ: for adj. use of Σικελός, see on Ελλην 3. 103. 2.— 7. παραδόντος . . . καl καθήγησαμένου: i.e. he gave them the land and himself led them in. Cl.'s παραδόντος, for προδόντος of the Mss.,

seems necessary, since from the context there could be no idea of treachery.

— Μεγαρίας φκισαν τοὺς Ύβλαίους: the personal acc. with οἰκίζειν, as in 1.12.14; rare in prose. Megara Hyblaea (sometimes simply Megara, 49.19, 75.5, 97.26; 7.25.15) was the name of the new Hellenic city, beside which the Sicel Hybla ("Υβλα ἡ Γελεᾶτις 62.21) still existed. The name Hyblaean is still given to the neighboring heights. See Holm I, 132.—8. κληθέντας: aor., because when Thuc. wrote the Hyblaean Megarians had long ceased to exist. See App. on 2-5.

έτη οἰκήσαντες κτέ.: Ol. 74. 2; 483 B.c.—9. ὑπὸ Γέλωνος: ruler in Syracuse from 485 B.c.—10. ἀνέστησαν: sc. Μεγαρῆς οἰ Ἡβλαῖοι κληθέντες.—11. ὕστερον ἢ αὐτοὺς οἰκῆσαι: the inf. as with πρότερον ἢ 1. 69. 21 and freq. The antithesis to αὐτούς is Σελινοῦντα: "a hundred years after they themselves had settled, they founded Selinus." For οἰκῆσαι, see App.—
Πάμμιλον: for the form (not Πάμιλλον with most of the Mss.), see Herodian 1. 162; 2. 446; and Lobeck,

πέμψαντες Σελινοῦντα κτίζουσι, καὶ ἐκ Μεγάρων τῆς μητροπόλεως οὖσης αὐτοῖς ἐπελθων ξυγκατώκισε. Γέλαν δὲ 3 ᾿Αντίφημος ἐκ Ἡρόδου καὶ Ἦτιμος ἐκ Κρήτης ἐποίκους 15 ἀγαγόντες κοινῆ ἔκτισαν ἔτει πέμπτω καὶ τεσσαρακοστῷ μετὰ Συρακουσῶν οἴκισιν. καὶ τῆ μὲν πόλει ἀπὸ τοῦ Γέλα ποταμοῦ τοὖνομα ἐγένετο, τὸ δὲ χωρίον οὖ νῦν ἡ πόλις ἐστὶ καὶ ὁ πρῶτον ἐτειχίσθη Λίνδιοι καλεῖται· νόμιμα δὲ Δωρικὰ ἐτέθη αὐτοῖς. ἔτεσι δὲ ἐγγύτατα ὀκτῶ καὶ ἑκατὸν 4 20 μετὰ τὴν σφετέραν οἴκισιν Γελῷοι ᾿Ακράγαντα ῷκισαν, τὴν μὲν πόλιν ἀπὸ τοῦ ᾿Ακράγαντος ποταμοῦ ὀνομάσαντες,

Pathol. s. Gr. Proleg. 117. - 12. Zelivouvra: in the Mss. es precedes, which Duker rejected as originally due to an unconscious repetition of the final letters of πέμψαντες. On the uncertain derivation of this name, -acc. to Steph. Byz. s.v. Άκράγαντες from a river, acc. to others from σέλινον, parsley, — see Holm I, 394. — καλ έκ Μεγάρων . . . ξυγκατώκισε: co-ord. for rel. connection, and he had come from Megara, the mother-state, to take part in the colony. For the custom, see 1. 24. § 2 ταύτην άπψκισαν μέν Κερκυραίοι, οίκιστης δ' έγένετο Φαλίος . . . Κορίνθιος . . . κατά δή τον παλαιον νόμον έκ της μητροπόλεως κατακληθείς.

14. 'Αντίφημος . . . και Έντιμος: for the oracle which they obeyed, see Diod. 8. 23. 1. On the uncertain site of Gela, near the present Terranova, see Holm I, 392.—16. μετὰ Συρακουσῶν οἴκισιν: as 5. 10 μετὰ Συρακουσῶν κτίσιν. The preceding gen. takes the place of the article. See on 1.1.11.— ἀπὸ τοῦ Γέλα ποταμοῦ: cf. l. 21. Duris apud Steph. Byz. s.v. 'Ακράγαντε: al πλεῖσται τῶν Σικελῶν πόλεων ἐκ τῶν ποταμῶν ὀνομάζονται. The Doric gen. as

in 1. 103. 6; 5. 25. 3; 8. 58. 2.— 17. ἡ πόλις: = ἡ ἀκρόπολις. Cf. 2. 15. § 6. But Steup remarks that Thuc. has nowhere himself used this general designation for the Acropolis (5.18.55, 23. 23, 47. 65 being documentary), and that he could not in such a passage contrast ή πόλις (in the sense of the whole city) and τὸ χωρίον οὖ νῦν ἡ πόλις έστι without any explanation. He suggests therefore ή πόλις ή ἐντός (cf. 3.8). Van Herw., Mnem. N.S. VIII (1880), 146, would write ή ἀκρόπολις. — 18. kal o: the rel. pron. expressed here • in the second clause because of its importance; besides, to supply it from the adv. would be a harsh const. Cf. 89.23, 101. 3. - Λίνδιοι: evidently from Lindus in Rhodes. Cf. Hdt. 7. 153 κτιζομένης Γέλης ὑπὸ Λινδίων τε τῶν ἐκ Ἡόδου καὶ 'Αντιφήμου. — καλείται: van Herw. and St. write καλοῦνται with Cod. B, though that has -ειται in rasura.

19. ἐγγύτατα: see on 2. 29. — 20. 'Ακράγαντα: Agrigentum (Girgenti); on the situation and history of this important city, see Schubring, Hist. Topographie von Akragas, Leipz. 1870. — 21. ὀνομάσαντες, ποιήσαντες, δόντες: οἰκιστὰς δὲ ποιήσαντες ᾿Αριστόνουν καὶ Πυστίλοκ, νόμιμα δὲ τὰ Γελώων δόντες. Ζάγκλη δὲ τὴν μὲν ἀρχὴν ἀπὸ Κύ- 5 μης τῆς ἐν ᾿Οπικία Χαλκιδικῆς πόλεως ληστῶν ἀφικομέ-25 νων ϣκίσθη, ὕστερον δὲ καὶ ἀπὸ Χαλκίδος καὶ τῆς ἄλλης Εὐβοίας πλῆθος ἐλθὸν ξυγκατενείμαντο τὴν γῆν καὶ οἰκισταὶ Περιήρης καὶ Κραταιμένης ἐγένοντο αὐτῆς, ὁ μὲν ἀπὸ Κύμης, ὁ δὲ ἀπὸ Χαλκίδος. ὄνομα δὲ τὸ μὲν πρῶτον Ζάγκλη ἢν ὑπὸ τῶν Σικελῶν κληθεῖσα, ὅτι δρεπανοειδὲς τὴν ἰδέαν τὸ χωρίον ἐστί, τὸ δὲ δρέπανον οἱ Σικελοὶ ζάγκλον καλοῦσιν ὑστερον δὲ αὐτοὶ μὲν ὑπὸ Σαμίων καὶ ἄλλων Ἰώνων ἐκπίπουσιν, οῦ Μήδους φεύγοντες προσέβαλον Σικελία, τοὺς δὲ 6

aor. ptcs. synchronous with ψκισαν. Cf. γενόμενος 5. 15; also 1. 86. 2; 2. 68. 10; 3. 20. 21. — 23. τὰ Γελψων: where τὰ ἐαυτῶν would be expected. Cf. 5. 36. 11 μετὰ Βοιωτῶν for μεθ' ἐαυτῶν, and 5. 18. 29 αὐτοὺς Ἀθηναίοις for ἐαυτοῖς.

Ζάγκλη: on old coins Δάγκλη. For divergent, but little credible, traditions about the origin and fortunes of this city, see Strabo 6, p. 268 c and Paus. 4. 23. 6 ff. — ἀπὸ Κύμης: "acc. to uniform tradition the oldest Greek city on Italian soil." See Curtius, Gr. Gesch.6 I, 426. Acc. to more recent researches, however, Cumae is hardly much older than the earliest Greek cities of Sicily. See Busolt, Gr. Gesch.² I, 391 f., and Freeman, Hist. of Sicily I, 311f.— 24. εν 'Οπικία: the later Latium and Campania are meant. See Niebuhr, Röm. Gesch. 8 I, 71 ff. - 26. Euykaτενείμαντο την γην: shared the land with them. The pl. with collective noun as in 1.125.4. The word seems to occur elsewhere only in late writers. Cf. κατανέμεσθαι 2. 17. 18. - 28. **δνομα**: explan. acc. with pass. verb of naming, as 1. 122. 25. Kr. Spr. 50, 7, 5. - Záyκλη ήν . . . κληθείσα: was called Zancle by the Sicels. See App. on 2-5. For the periphrasis $\hbar \nu \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha = \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \theta \eta$, cf. ησαν γενόμενοι 4. 54, 12, - 29. δρεπανοειδές την ίδέαν: somewhat pleonastic, like δνομα κληθείσα. In the sense of form, ibéa is used only here by Thuc., who is fond of the word. See on 1.109.2. "The harbor of Zancle is one of the finest and safest in the world; the sickle-shaped tongue of land which forms it extends northward." See Holm I, 133. — 30. οί Σικελοί: B. Heisterbergk, Fragen d. ältest. Gesch. Siziliens, p. 98 ff. (Berl. Stud. IX, H. 3), attempts to prove that not the Sicels, but the Siceliotes, called the sickle ζάγκλον. Acc. to Diod. 4. 85 Ζάγκλη was named after a King Zanklos, -31., airoi: i.e. the former Chalcidian inhabitants. — ὑπὸ Σαμίων . . . ἐκπίπτουσιν κτέ.: for particulars, see Hdt. 6. 22 ff. — και ἄλλων Ἰώνων: besides the Samians Hdt. 6.22 mentions Μιλησίων οι έκπεφευγότες. - 32. Μήδους φεύyovres: after the sea fight at Lade.

Σαμίους Αναξίλας 'Ρηγίνων τύραννος οὐ πολλῷ ὖστερον ἐκβαλὼν καὶ τὴν πόλιν αὐτὸς ξυμμείκτων ἀνθρώπων οἰκίσας 35 Μεσσήνην ἀπὸ τῆς ἐαυτοῦ τὸ ἀρχαῖον πατρίδος ἀντωνό- 5 μασεν. καὶ 'Ιμέρα ἀπὸ Ζάγκλης ῷκίσθη ὑπὸ Εὐκλείδου καὶ 1 Σίμου καὶ Σάκωνος, καὶ Χαλκιδῆς μὲν οἱ πλεῖστοι ἦλθον ἐς τὴν ἀποικίαν, ξυνῷκησαν δὲ αὐτοῖς καὶ ἐκ Συρακουσῶν φυγάδες στάσει νικηθέντες, οἱ Μυλητίδαι καλούμενοι καὶ 5 φωνὴ μὲν μεταξὺ τῆς τε Χαλκιδέων καὶ Δωρίδος ἐκράθη, νόμιμα δὲ τὰ Χαλκιδικὰ ἐκράτησεν. *Ακραι δὲ καὶ Κασμέναι 2

33. 'Αναξίλας 'Ρηγίνων τύραννος: acc. to Hdt. 6. 23 it was this very Anaxilas who had first caused the Samians to take Zancle. — 34. autos: correctly restored by Dobree for across. Cf. 5. 15. - ξυμμείκτων άνθρώπων οίκίcas: having colonized it with men of mixed nationality. Gen. of material as with πληρώσας. G. 1113; H. 743.— 35. άπὸ της έαυτοῦ τὸ άρχαῖον πατρίδος: cf. Paus. 4. 23. 6 τέταρτος απόγονος ήν ' Αλκιδαμίδου · μετώκησε δὲ ' Αλκιδαμίδας ἐκ Μεσσήνης ès 'Ρήγιον μετά την Αριστοδήμου τελευτήν. The adv. τὸ ἀρχαῖον is unusual for doxalas. See on 4.3.18. άντωνόμασεν: with Bk. for αὐτὸ ώνόμασεν of most of the better Mss.; for αὐτό would be superfluous, and so near την πόλιν would seem strange in spite of τὸ χωρίον in 1. 30. St. thinks this would require μετωνόμασεν. But cf. Dio C. 55. 6 τον μηνα τον Σεξτίλιον έπικαλούμενον Αυγουστον άντωνόμασεν. The statement of Hdt. 7. 164 rapa Σαμίων έσχε τε και κατοίκησε πόλιν Ζάγκλην την ές Μεσσήνην μεταβαλούσαν τό δνομα does not make it necessary to refer the change of name to the Samians. See Unger, Rh. Mus. XXXVII, 184 n. - The complete destruction by

earthquake and tidal wave of Messina and Reggio on December 28, 1908, has recently drawn the attention of the whole world to this region.

5 1. Ίμέρα: see Holm I, 136, 393.— 2. οἱ πλεῖστοι: i.e. the most that came were Chalcidians. — 3. Ευνώκησαν δέ autois: and there settled with them, i.e. with the Chalcidians. So Steup reads with Bk. and Kr. and the majority of the Mss. against ξυνώκισαν of most editors. Cf. προσξυνώκησαν 2. 16, and see on ξυνοικήσαντες 2. 36. He would restore ξυνώκησαν also in 1. 24. 6. — 4. στάσει νικηθέντες: overcome by an opposing faction. Cf. Hdt. 1. 150. 2 στάσι ἐσσωθέντας. For const. of the dat., see on 2. 6 and 14. 125. 6. - oi Μυλητίδαι καλούμενοι: the definite statement that these were φυγάδες έκ Συρακουσών shows that Strabo's notice (6. p. 272 c) την Ίμέραν οἱ ἐν Μυλαῖς ξκτισαν Ζαγκλαΐοι rests on a misunderstanding. The name Μυλητίδαι has not been explained.—5. μεταξύ . . . ἐκράθη: peculiar const. for ἐκ . . . ἐκράθη. — τῆς Χαλκιδέων: i.e. της 'Ιάδος. - και Δωρίδος: cf. Δωρίς γλώσσα 3. 112. 14.

6. "Akpa: not far from the sources of the Anapus. See Holm I, 141f., 396.

ύπο Συρακοσίων ῷκίσθησαν, *Ακραι μὲν ἑβδομήκοντα ἔτεσι μετὰ Συρακούσας, Κασμέναι δὲ ἐγγὺς εἴκοσι μετὰ *Ακρας. καὶ Καμάρινα τὸ πρῶτον ὑπὸ Συρακοσίων ῷκίσθη, ἔτεσιν \$ 10 ἐγγύτατα πέντε καὶ τριάκοντα καὶ ἑκατὸν μετὰ Συρακουσῶν κτίσιν οἰκισταὶ δὲ ἐγένοντο αὐτῆς Δάσκων καὶ Μενέκωλος. ἀναστάτων δὲ Καμαριναίων γενομένων πολέμῳ ὑπὸ Συρακοσίων δι' ἀπόστασιν, χρόνῳ 'Ιπποκράτης ὖστερον, Γέλας τύρανος, λύτρα ἀνδρῶν Συρακοσίων αἰχμαλώτων λαβών τὴν τὴν τὴν Καμαριναίων, αὐτὸς οἰκιστὴς γενόμενος κατώκισε Καμάριναν καὶ αὖθις ὑπὸ Γέλωνος ἀνάστατος γενομένη τὸ τρίτον κατωκίσθη ὑπὸ Γελώων.

Τοσαῦτα ἔθνη Ἑλλήνων καὶ βαρβάρων Σικελίαν ἄκει, καὶ 1 ἐπὶ τοσήνδε οὖσαν αὐτὴν οἱ Ἀθηναῖοι στρατεύειν ἄρμηντο, ἐφιέμενοι μὲν τῆ ἀληθεστάτη προφάσει τῆς πάσης ἄρξειν,

The situation of Casmenae is less certain. See Holm I, 143, 396.—8. μετὰ Συρακούσας: possibly κτισθείσας has fallen out.

9. Καμάρινα: between the outlets of the rivers Oanis (Frascolaro) and Hipparis (Camarana). See Holm I, 143. — 10. μετὰ Συρακουσῶν κτίσιν: see on 4. 16. — 13. Ἱπποκράτης: tyrant of Gela 498-491, followed by Gelon, who in 485 brought Syracuse also under his rule. — 14. λύτρα . . . Καμαριναίων: the cession of Camarina to Hippocrates is mentioned also by Hdt. 7. 154. — 15. γενόμενος: for force of aor. ptc., see on 4. 21. — 17. ὑπὸ Γελώων: for Γελωνος of the Mss., correctly restored by Dodwell, acc. to Diod. 11. 76. This last settlement was made about 461.

From the foregoing general statement we gather the following facts, which are of importance for the history of the great war to follow, namely, that of the Hellenic settlements in Sicily Naxos, Leontini, and Catana belonged to the *Ionian* race; to the *Dorian* belonged Syracuse, Gela, Acrae, Casmenae, Selinus, Camarina, and Acragas; of mixed race were Zancle-Messene and Himera. Since 483 Megara Hyblaea had been deserted, and its territory was in the hands of the Syracusans.

6. A plea for help from the Egestaeans causes the Athenians to make investigations as to the state of affairs in Sicily.—1. τοσαῦτα ἔθνη: refers to τοσάδε ἔθνη 2. 1 (with division into βάρβαροι c. 2 and Ελληνες cc. 3-5).—2. ἐπὶ τοσήνδε οὖσαν αὐτήν: referring, per chiasmum, to 1. § 2. See on 2. 39.— ἄρμηντο: were eager. See on 3. 92. 18.—3. ἐφιέμενοι . . . ἄρξειν: eagerly desiring in simple truth to attain to the empire of the whole island. The dat, is causal, the sense being that desire for

βοηθείν δε άμα εὐπρεπῶς βουλόμενοι τοῖς ε΄αυτῶν ξυγγενέσι τοῖς προγεγενημένοις ξυμμάχοις. μάλιστα δ' αὐτοὺς 2 ἐξώρμησαν Ἐγεσταίων τε πρέσβεις παρόντες καὶ προθυμότερον ἐπικαλούμενοι ὅμοροι γὰρ ὅντες τοῖς Σελινουντίοις ἐς πόλεμον καθέστασαν περί τε γαμικῶν τινων καὶ περὶ γῆς ἀμφισβητήτου, καὶ οἱ Σελινούντιοι Συρακοσίους ἐπαγαγό-10 μενοι ξυμμάχους κατεῖργον αὐτοὺς τῷ πολέμῳ καὶ κατὰ γῆν καὶ κατὰ θάλασσαν ὧστε τὴν γενομένην ἐπὶ Λάχητος καὶ τοῦ προτέρου πολέμου Λεοντίνων οἱ Ἐγεσταῖοι ξυμμαχίαν ἀναμιμνήσκοντες τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους ἐδέοντο σφίσι ναῦς

the sway of the whole island was the truest ground of their eagerness. For πρόφασις meaning real ground or cause, see on 1.23.23. St. writes ἄρξαι for άρξειν of the Mss., considering (see Qu. Gr.² p. 18 ff.) the fut. inf. inadmissible after έφιεσθαι, as after δείσθαι 1. 27. 9, βούλεσθαι 6. 57. 11, πείθειν 2. 29. 26, δυνατόν είναι 3. 28. 1. But Cl. considers the fut. appropriate, even after verbs that do not usually take it, where the result is postponed to a more distant (as here) or a conditional future time, and refers to St.'s defense, on like grounds, against Cobet, of the fut, inf. after διανοείσθαι in five passages of Thuc. and one of Hdt. The force of the fut. here is attain to rule, for apξειν is fut. of apξaι as well as of άρχειν. In 1. § 1 ξβουλοντο . . . καταστρέψασθαι comprehends the conquest in a single act. See also on 4, 27, 20 and 8. 2. 10. — 4. εὐπρεπῶς: in fair-seeming wise, as in 4. 60. 8. Cf. 8. 19 προφάσει εὐπρεπεῖ. — τοῖς ἐαυτῶν ξυγγενέσι: i.e. the Hellenes of Ionic stock. - 5. TPOyeyevnuévois: with Mss. E and M and Valla for προσγεγενημένοις, as St., Cl., and others have recognized to be

necessary; for not new, but only old, allies can be meant.

6. Έγεσταίων τε: Cl. assumes with Bm. an anacoluthon, the regular const., interrupted by a long parenthetical statement which ends with lkard in 23, being resumed with ων ἀκούοντες κτέ., where we have των τε Έγεσταίων . . . και των ξυναγορευόντων. Perhaps the simplest remedy would be to omit $\tau \epsilon$, with three inferior Mss. — $\pi \rho o \theta v$ μότερον: not essentially different from the positive προθύμως (eagerly, earnestly) here and in 77.7; 80.2. See on 54.2. -8. περί γαμικών τινων: particulars not known. Proximity easily gave occasions for such difficulties. — 9. ἐπαγαγόμενοι: to be preferred to $\epsilon \pi \alpha \gamma \delta$ μενοι here and in 3.34.8. See App. on the latter passage. — 10. κατείργον: pressed. Cf. 91.7; 1.76.12; 4.98.18; 7. 57. 34. — 11. την γενομένην . . . Δεοντίνων . . . ξυμμαχίαν: i.e. the alliance concluded by the Egestaeans with the Leontines at the time of Laches and the former war of the Athenians in Sicily. See App. - 12. of 'Eyectator: subj. incorporated in the obj. clause. - 13. avahihvyokovtes: with double

πέμψαντας έπαμθναι, λέγοντες άλλα τε πολλά καὶ κεφάλαιον, 15 εί Συρακόσιοι Λεοντίνους τε άναστήσαντες άτιμώρητοι γενήσονται καὶ τοὺς λοιποὺς ἔτι ξυμμάχους αὐτῶν διαφθείροντες αὐτοὶ τὴν ἄπασαν δύναμιν τῆς Σικελίας σχήσουσι, κίνδυνον είναι μή ποτε μεγάλη παρασκευή Δωριής τε Δωριεύσι κατά τὸ ξυγγενες καὶ ἄμα ἄποικοι τοῖς ἐκπέμψασι Πελοποννησίοις 20 βοηθήσαντες καὶ τὴν ἐκείνων δύναμιν ξυγκαθέλωσι · σῶφρον δ' είναι μετά των ύπολοίπων έτι ξυμμάχων άντέχειν τοις Συρακοσίοις, άλλως τε καὶ χρήματα σφῶν παρεξόντων ές τὸν πόλεμον ίκανά. ὧν ἀκούοντες οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐν ταῖς ἐκκλη- 8 σίαις, τῶν τε Ἐγεσταίων πολλάκις λεγόντων καὶ τῶν ξυνα-25 γορευόντων αὐτοίς, έψηφίσαντο πρέσβεις πέμψαι πρῶτον ἐς την Έγεσταν περί τε των χρημάτων σκεψομένους εί ὑπάρχει, ωσπερ φασίν, εν τῷ κοινῷ καὶ εν τοῖς ἱεροῖς, καὶ τὰ τοῦ πολέμου αμα πρός τους Σελινουντίους έν ότω έστιν είσομένους. Καὶ οἱ μὲν πρέσβεις τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἀπεστάλησαν ἐς 1 την Σικελίαν. Λακεδαιμόνιοι δε τοῦ αὐτοῦ χειμώνος καὶ οί

acc., whereas in 2.54.4; 3.59.15 we have the gen. of the thing. Both consts. are in use elsewhere. - 14. ἄλλα τε πολλά και κεφάλαιον κτέ.: cf. 4.50.8 πολλων άλλων γεγραμμένων κεφάλαιον $\hbar \nu = 15$. Acoustivous avanting aves: as related in 5. 4. § 3. — 16. αὐτῶν: sc. τῶν Λεοντίνων. - διαφθείροντες: pres. ptc. indicating the means by which the Syracusans can, acc. to the speaker, gain την άπασαν δύναμιν της Σικελίας,for σχήσουσι is ingressive (Kr.), — and hence there is no need to change to διαφθείραντες, as some editors do. -18. κατά τὸ ξυγγενές: = κατά τὴν ξυγγένειαν. See on 1. 6. 12. - 19. τοίς έκπέμψασι Πελοποννησίοις: referring esp. to Corinth, the mother-city of Syracuse. — 20. The excluse: i.e. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

'Αθηναίων. — σῶφρον είναι: was prudent. Cf. 1. 42. 10. — 22. σφῶν: sc. τῶν Ἐγεσταίων.

23. ὧν ἀκούοντες: i.e. τούτων τῶν λόγων; for const., cf. τοῦ κηρύγματος ἀκούσαντες 4. 37. 5, τῆς ἀγγελίας 5. 44. 1, τῆς ἐπιστολῆς 7. 16. 2. The pres. ptc. here with reference to the repeated ἐκκλησίαι and the πολλάκις λέγειν.—25. πέμψαι: for the unintelligible πέμψαντες of the Mss.—πρῶτον: i.e. before making a definite decision.—27. ὧσπερ φασίν: sc. ol Ἐγεσταῖοι.—τὰ τοῦ πολέμου: proleptic obj. acc. of εἰσομένους. For nonrepetition of τοῦ before πρὸς τοὺς Σελινουντίους, cf. 76. 17.; 1. 55. 11; 7. 43. 12; 8. 6. 9.

7. Invasion of the territory of the Argives by the Lacedaemonians. The

ξύμμαχοι πλην Κορινθίων στρατεύσαντες ές την 'Αργείαν της τε γης έτεμον οὐ πολλην καὶ σῖτον ἀνεκομίσαντό τινα δ ζεύγη κομίσαντες, καὶ ἐς 'Ορνεὰς κατοικίσαντες τοὺς 'Αργείων φυγάδας καὶ της ἄλλης στρατιᾶς παρακαταλιπόντες αὐτοῖς δλίγους καὶ σπεισάμενοί τινα χρόνον, ὥστε μὴ ἀδικεῖν 'Ορνεάτας καὶ 'Αργείους την ἀλληλων, ἀπεχώρησαν τῷ στρατῷ ἐπ' οἴκου. ἐλθόντων δὲ 'Αθηναίων οὐ πολλῷ ὖστερον ναυσὶ 2 τριάκοντα καὶ ἑξακοσίοις ὁπλίταις οἱ 'Αργεῖοι μετὰ τῶν

Argive refugees are settled in Orneae, but must soon again leave this place in consequence of an attack of the Argives and Athenians. The Athenians, with their base at Methone, devastate the land of Perdiccas, whom the Lacedaemonians vainly seek to help through the Thracian Chalcidians. - 3. πλην Κορινθίων: these had, since the alliance of Argos, Mantinea, and Elis (420 B.C.), held rather with Sparta (5.48. § 2f.); though their relations with Sparta were by no means so close as before the fifty years' peace of 421 B.C. In the summer of 418 B.C. 2000 Corinthians had taken part in the first expedition of King Agis against the Argives (5.57. § 2), and later the Corinthians had bestirred themselves to aid the Spartans after Mantinea (5. 64. § 4, 75. § 2). But in the account of the winter of 417-416 (5.83. §1) we read Λακεδαιμόνιοι έστράτευσαν ές τὸ "Αργος αὐτοί τε καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι πλην Κορινθίων, and in 5.115. §3 separate dealings of the Corinthians with the Athenians are reported. - στρατεύσαντες ές την Apyelav: continuing the hostilities (5. 83, 116) caused by the democratic revolution in Argos in the summer of 417 B.C. - 4. της γης ού πολλήν: for

assimilation of gender of articular adj. to that of part. gen., see on 1.2.13. σίτον . . . κομίσαντες: carried off some corn, having brought along wagons. As dva- can signify off, away (cf. άναιρείν, ἀναλαμβάνειν, ἀνασκευάζειν), Hude's conjecture ἀπεκομίσαντο is unnecessary. Cl. would take τινά with ζεύγη, but thus it would be meaningless, whereas $\sigma \hat{i} \tau o \nu$ requires some modifier to offset ού πολλήν in the first clause. It is not likely that rivá is dittography for rivá with χρόνον in 7, as Cl. doubtingly suggested .- 5. Opveas: whose inhabitants were on the Argive side at Mantinea (5.67. § 2, 72. § 4, 74. § 3), prob. first came through the expedition here reported into the power of the Spartans. - τοὺς ᾿Αργείων φυγάδας: of the oligarchic party, who had first found refuge in Phlius and hitherto had remained there. Cf. 5.83. § 3, 115. § 1.— 6. παρακαταλιπόντες: elsewhere only in late authors, as also προσκαταλείπειν 2.36.8; 4.62.16.—7. σπεισάμενοί τινα χρόνον: sc. τοιs 'Αργείοιs. The acc. of the duration of the time for which the truce was to last, as 4.63.7; 5.41.12, 60.6. Cf. 3.114.13. — боте: on condition that. See on 1.28.18. GMT. 587, 2.

9. ¿λθόντων: i.e. to Argos and its

'Αθηναίων πανστρατιᾶ ἐξελθόντες τοὺς ἐν 'Ορνεαῖς μίαν ἡμέραν ἐπολιόρκουν ὑπὸ δὲ νύκτα, αὐλισαμένου τοῦ στρατεύματος ἄπωθεν, ἐκδιδράσκουσιν οἱ ἐκ τῶν 'Ορνεῶν. καὶ τῆ ὑστεραία οἱ 'Αργεῖοι, ὡς ἦσθοντο, κατασκάψαντες τὰς 'Ορνεὰς 15 ἀνεχώρησαν, καὶ οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι ὕστερον ταῖς ναυσὶν ἐπ' οἴκου.

Καὶ ἐς Μεθώνην τὴν ὅμορον Μακεδονίᾳ ἱππέας κατὰ θά- 8 λασσαν κομίσαντες ᾿Αθηναῖοι σφῶν τε αὐτῶν καὶ Μακεδόνων τοὺς παρὰ σφίσι φυγάδας ἐκακούργουν τὴν Περδίκκου. Λα- 4 κεδαιμόνιοι δὲ πέμψαντες παρὰ Χαλκιδέας τοὺς ἐπὶ Θράκης, 20 ἄγοντας πρὸς ᾿Αθηναίους δεχημέρους σπονδάς, ξυμπολεμεῖν ἐκέλευον Περδίκκα · οἱ δ' οὐκ ἤθελον. καὶ ὁ χειμῶν ἐτελεύτα, καὶ ἔκτον καὶ δέκατον ἔτος ἐτελεύτα τῷ πολέμῳ τῷδε ὃν Θουκυδίδης ξυνέγραψεν.

*Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου θέρους ἄμα ἢρι οἱ τῶν 'Αθηναίων 1 πρέσβεις ἦκον ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας καὶ οἱ Ἐγεσταῖοι μετ' αὐτῶν ἄγοντες ἐξήκοντα τάλαντα ἀσήμου ἀργυρίου ὡς ἐς ἑξήκοντα

harbor Nauplia.—11. ἐξελθόντες: restored by Haacke, following Valla, for ἐξελθόντων of all the Mss.—τοὺς ἐν 'Ορνεαῖς: Cod. Laur. has τοὺς μὲν ἐν 'Ορνεαῖς, but as here neither persons nor actions are contrasted, μέν is inadmissible.—12. ὑπὸ νύκτα: by night. See on 64.3.—13. οἱ ἐκ τῶν 'Ορνεῶν: the prep. phrase attached proleptically to the subj., as 1.8.9.—14. ὡς ἤσθοντο: without expressed obj.; see on 1.95.21.

16. ἐς Μεθώνην τὴν ὅμορον Μακεδονία: to distinguish from Μεθώνη τῆς
Λακωνικῆς 2.25.4 and Μεθώνη ἡ μεταξὸ
Ἐπιδαύρου καὶ Τροιζῆνος 4.45.6. The
place belonged to the Athenian alliance. See on 4.129.18.—17. σφῶν
αὐτῶν: dependent on ἰππέας, as Μακεδόνων is on τοὺς . . . φυγάδας, the two
part. gens., as corresponding chief
factors, being correlated by τε . . . καὶ.

— 18. τοὺς... φυγάδας: the followers of the princes hostile to Perdiccas. Cf. 1. 57. 6, 59. 8, 61. 17; 2. 95. 8, 18, 100. 10.

29. ἄγοντας... δεχημέρους σπονδάς: i.e. a truce to be renewed every ten days. Cf. 5. 26. 14.

Seventeenth Year of the War (8-93)

8. In view of the favorable report of the ambassadors sent to Sicily the Athenians vote to send thither sixty triremes under Alcibiades, Nicias, and Lamachus. In an ecclesia called five days later to discuss the equipment Nicias tries to effect the abrogation of the vote.—2. ηκον: as freq. with the force of came back. Cf. 5. 34. 1; 7. 21. 2; 8. 92. 6.—3. ἄγοντες: of transport by ship, as 7. 16. 12, 31. 12.— ὑς: with μισθόν, as pay. The estimate is for

ναῦς μηνὸς μισθόν, ἃς ἔμελλον δεήσεσθαι πέμπειν. καὶ οἱ 2

δ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐκκλησίαν ποιήσαντες καὶ ἀκούσαντες τῶν τε Ἐγεσταίων καὶ τῶν σφετέρων πρέσβεων τά τε ἄλλα ἐπαγωγὰ καὶ οὐκ ἀληθῆ καὶ περὶ τῶν χρημάτων, ὡς εἴη ἑτοῖμα ἔν τε τοῖς ἱεροῖς πολλὰ καὶ ἐν τῷ κοινῷ, ἐψηφίσαντο ναῦς ἑξήκοντα πέμπειν ἐς Σικελίαν καὶ στρατηγοὺς αὐτοκράτορας

10 ᾿Αλκιβιάδην τε τὸν Κλεινίου καὶ Νικίαν τὸν Νικηράτου καὶ Λάμαχον τὸν Ξενοφάνους, βοηθοὺς μὲν Ἐγεσταίοις πρὸς Σελινουντίους, ξυγκατοικίσαι δὲ καὶ Λεοντίνους, ἤν τι περιγένηται αὐτοῖς τοῦ πολέμου, καὶ τἆλλα τὰ ἐν τῆ Σικελία

a drachma per day for each man—reckoning 200 men for each trireme (see Boeckh-Fränkel, Staatshaush.⁸ I, 344 ff.), i.e. twice as much as usual $(60 \times 200 \times 30 = 360,000 \text{ drachmas}, \text{ or } 60 \text{ talents})$. See on 31.19.

6. ἐπαγωγά: enticements, as 4.88. 3; 5. 111. 14. — 8. ἐν τῷ κοινῷ: for the traditional ev tois kouvois, correctly restored by van Herw. (Stud. Thuc. p. 46), following early editions, and Haacke, as seems to be required by $\epsilon \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa o \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$ 6. 27. Cf. also 1. 80. 19, 141. 11; €. 1. 15. It is the sort of miscopying that has been corrected in 3, 65, 2; 5, 27. 2, 48. 1. W. E. Heitland (Journal of Phil. XXIV, 3) calls attention to the fact that, acc. to 46. § 3, the Athenian ambassadors were led also into the sanctuary of Aphrodite in Ervx, but that proves nothing for Tois KOLPOIS, since our passage has direct reference to 6. § 3.—9. αὐτοκράτορας: within the limits of the instructions implied in βοηθούς μέν . . . 'Αθηναίοις the generals were to have free hand in Sicilian matters. Later (26. § 1) they were given unlimited authority also to determine the strength and equipment of the forces to be sent to Sicily.—11. Aduaxov: cf. 4. 75. 5. - 12. Euykatoikigai, (14) πράξαι: infs. of purpose after πέμ- $\pi \epsilon i \nu$, as in 4.132.15. Cf. 50.14. For the correlation of these infs. with βοηθούς $\mu \epsilon \nu$, cf. 1. 72. 6, 128. 14. — ξυγκατοικίσαι δὲ καὶ . . . πολέμου: but also to join in restoring Leontini in case they should have any success in the war. So Bm. and Pp.-St. explain. St. renders si quid ex bello commodi nacti essent. τοῦ πολέμου depends on τι or rather on the subst. idea derived from its connection with περιγένηται. On the fortunes of the Leontines, cf. 5. 4. § 4 ff.; 6. 6. § 2. For Steup's view, see App. — 13. και τάλλα . . . πράξαι: cf. 1. 95. 7 τάλλα καταστησόμενοι ή φαίνοιτο άριστα αὐτοῖς. Here we have the more general πράσσειν, since there could be no question of a complete establishment ($\kappa \alpha \theta l \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$). Cf. also 26. 2 $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ στρατιάς πλήθους και περί τοῦ παντός πλοῦ τούς στρατηγούς πράσσειν ή αν αὐτοῖς δοκή άριστα είναι 'Αθηναίοις, 1. 126. 26 τὸ παν διαθείναι ή αν άριστα διαγιγνώσκωσι. Cf. also 9. 12, 10. 5. — τάλλα τὰ ἐν τἢ **Sikelia:** art. repeated, as in 8.64.6, 84.18; but only one art. in 1.44.15,

πράξαι όπη αν γιγνώσκωσιν αριστα 'Αθηναίοις. μετά δέ 8 15 τοῦτο ἡμέρα πέμπτη ἐκκλησία αὖθις ἐγίγνετο, καθ' ὅ τι χρὴ τὴν παρασκευὴν ταῖς ναυσὶ τάχιστα γίγνεσθαι, καὶ τοῖς στρατηγοίς, εί του προσδέοιντο, ψηφισθήναι ές τὸν ἔκπλουν. καὶ ὁ Νικίας ἀκούσιος μὲν ἡρημένος ἄρχειν, νομίζων δὲ τὴν 4 πόλιν οὐκ ὀρθώς βεβουλεῦσθαι, ἀλλὰ προφάσει βραχεία 20 καὶ εὐπρεπεῖ τῆς Σικελίας ἀπάσης, μεγάλου ἔργου, ἐφίεσθαι παρελθών ἀποτρέψαι έβούλετο καὶ παρήνει τοῖς Αθηναίοις τοιάδε.

" Ἡ μὲν ἐκκλησία περὶ παρασκευῆς τῆς ἡμετέρας ἦδε 1 ξυνελέγη, καθ' ο τι χρή ές Σικελίαν έκπλειν έμοι μέντοι δοκεί καὶ περὶ αὐτοῦ τούτου ἔτι χρηναι σκέψασθαι, εἰ ἄμεινόν έστιν έκπέμπειν τὰς ναῦς, καὶ μὴ οὖτω βραχεία βουλῆ 5 περί μεγάλων πραγμάτων ανδράσιν αλλοφύλοις πειθομένους

σκωσιν: judge. Cf. 9. 12; 8. 67. 18, and διαγιγνώσκειν 1. 126. 26.

15. καθ ο τι χρη κτέ.: to be taken directly with ἐκκλησία, something like ώστε βουλεύεσθαι being understood. For καθ' δ τι, in what way, cf. 9.2; 8.67.5, and see on 1. 35. 16; 4. 34. 25. — 17. et του προσδέοιντο: in case they should need anything further (besides the equipment voted), containing the subj. of ψηφισθήναι, inf. of purpose after ἐκκλησία ἐγίγνετο (cf. 38. 25, 80. 10; 4. 114. 9). Hude unnecessarily inserts τοῦ before τοῖς στρατηγοῖς, but is right in denying that ψηφισθήναι depends on καθ' δ τι χρή, as Cl. and others explain.

19. προφάσει βραχεία και εύπρεπει: on a slight and (only) fair-seeming pretext. The first adj. has reference to the insignificance of Egesta, the second to 6. 4 βοηθείν δὲ ἄμα εὐπρεπώς βουλόμενοι τοις έαυτων ξυγγενέσι κτέ. Cf. 79. 9

56. 11, 91. 3; 3. 101. 7. — 14. γιγνώ-. εὐλόγ φ προφάσει. — 20. μεγάλου ἔργου: a great thing, matter, as in 3.3.3. μεγάλου is esp. antithetic to βραχεία.

9. My advice is to reconsider the whole question of the expedition to Sicily, and I do this although a position of honor is accorded me in the enterprise and fear of personal danger influences me less than others. Following solely my conviction of the public interest, I will show that the undertaking is untimely and the object in view not easy to attain. — 1. ἡ μὲν έκκλησία . . . έκπλειν · έμοι μέντοι δοκεί : cf. the similar introduction to the ἐπιτάφιος λόγος 2.35.—2. καθ' ο τι . . . έκπλείν: explanatory of περί παρασκευής της ημετέρας. On the matter, cf. 8. § 3. - 3. el amero fortiv: formula used esp. in questions put to the oracle. See on 1. 118. 21. — 4. και μή . . . άρασθαι: dependent on χρηναι. — 5. άνδράσιν άλλοφύλοις: cf. 11. 27 των Εγεσταίων,

πόλεμον οὐ προσήκοντα ἄρασθαι. καίτοι ἔγωγε καὶ τιμῶμαι \$
ἐκ τοῦ τοιούτου καὶ ἦσσον 'ἑτέρων περὶ τῷ ἐμαυτοῦ σώματι
ὀρρωδῶ, νομίζων ὁμοίως ἀγαθὸν πολίτην εἶναι ος ἄν καὶ τοῦ
σώματός τι καὶ τῆς οὐσίας προνοῆται· μάλιστα γὰρ ἄν ὁ
10 τοιοῦτος καὶ τὰ τῆς πόλεως δι ἐαυτὸν βούλοιτο ὀρθοῦσθαι.
ὄμως δὲ οὖτε ἐν τῷ πρότερον χρόνῳ διὰ τὸ προτιμᾶσθαι
εἶπον παρὰ γνώμην οὖτε νῦν, ἀλλὰ ἢ ἄν γιγνώσκω βέλτιστα
ἐρῶ. καὶ πρὸς μὲν τοὺς τρόπους τοὺς ὑμετέρους ἀσθενὴς ἄν \$
μου ὁ λόγος εἶη, εἰ τά τε ὑπάρχοντα σῷζειν παραινοίην καὶ
15 μὴ τοῖς ἑτοίμοις περὶ τῶν ἀφανῶν καὶ μελλόντων κινδυνεύειν

άνδρων βαρβάρων. — 6. οὐ προσήκοντα: that does not concern us.

kalto: anticipating the objection (see on 4. 18. 5) that he speaks from personal motives: these would rather lead to the opposite advice; the orator will nevertheless (δμως δέ 11), as heretofore, speak solely acc. to conviction. — τιμώμαι έκ τοῦ τοιούτου: cf. 5. 16. 4 τιμασθαι έκ τοῦ πολεμεῖν. The reference is to Nicias's election as one of the three generals (8. 10). ἐκ τοῦ τοιούτου, as 8. 51. 10, referring to something definite or already mentioned. -7. $\eta \sigma \sigma \sigma v i \tau i \rho \omega v := \eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau a$. See on 1. 84.7.— περί τῷ ἐμαυτοῦ σώματι ὀρρωδῶ: the dat. with verbs meaning to fear, be concerned about (timere de); otherwise rare and only in local sense. $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ of personal security, as 1.17.2. -8. voullwy: although convinced. In this and the following clause we have merely a side remark, in self-defense against the possible reproach of timidity (cf. 13. § 1). $\delta \mu \omega s \delta \epsilon$ (11), opp. to έγωγε και τιμώμαι κτέ., resumes the main thought. - όμοίως άγαθὸν πολί-Thy: just as good a citizen. - 9. ualiστα γάρ . . . ὁρθοθσθαι: for similar

thought, cf. 2. 60. § 3. For δρθοῦσθαι (subj. τὰ τῆς πόλεως) = εὐτυχεῖν, see on 3. 37. 26.—11. σύτε ἐν τῷ πρότερον χρόνφ... σύτε νῦν: parataxis, though the first clause is really subord. to the second. For similar cases of parataxis, cf. 10. 17, 16. 10, 40. 10.—12. εἶπον παρὰ γνώμην: cf. 3. 42. 29.— σὕτε νῦν: sc. λέγω, as contrarily in 1. 86. 7 and 3. 40. 5 with και τότε a pret. is supplied from the following και νῦν and pres.— ἀλλὰ ἢ ἄν γιγνώσκω βίλτιστα: as 8.14 ὅπη ἀν γιγνώσκωσιν ἄριστα. See App.

13. πρὸς: against, See on 3. 43. 15.

— τοὺς τρόπους: ways. Cf. 2. 36. 16,
41. 7. On the matter, cf. 1. 70. § 2 ff.,
where the Athenians are denominated
παρὰ δύναμιν τολμηταί καὶ παρὰ γνώμην
κινδυνευταί, while the characteristics of
the Spartans are given as οἴεσθαι τῷ
ἐπελθεῖν καὶ τὰ ἐτοῖμα ἄν βλάψαι.

15. μὴ τοῖς ἐτοίμοις κινδυνεύειν: not to
hazard present possessions. The dat. as
10. 17, 47. 12; 2. 65. 24; 4. 117. 14;
8. 45. 27. τὰ ἐτοῦμα, a strengthened
ὑπάρχοντα, as 1. 70. 16; 4. 61. 2, to which
are opposed τὰ ἀφανῆκαὶ μέλλοντα of the
distant objects of passionate desire.

ώς δε οὖτε εν καιρῷ σπεύδετε οὖτε ράδιά εστι κατασχεῖν εφ' α ὦρμησθε, ταῦτα διδάξω.

10 "Φημὶ γὰρ ὑμᾶς πολεμίους πολλοὺς ἐνθάδε ὑπολιπόντας 1 καὶ ἐτέρους ἐπιθυμεῖν ἐκεῖσε πλεύσαντας δεῦρο ἐπαγαγέσθαι. καὶ οἴεσθε ἴσως τὰς γενομένας ὑμῖν σπονδὰς ἔχειν τι βέβαιον, 2 αι ἡσυχαζόντων μὲν ὑμῶν ὀνόματι σπονδαὶ ἔσονται (οὖτω 5 γὰρ ἐνθένδε τε ἄνδρες ἔπραξαν αὐτὰ καὶ ἐκ τῶν ἐναντίων), σφαλέντων δέ που ἀξιόχρεω δυνάμει ταχεῖαν τὴν ἐπιχείρησιν ἡμῖν οἱ ἐχθροὶ ποιήσονται, οις πρῶτον μὲν διὰ ξυμφορῶν ἡ ξύμβασις καὶ ἐκ τοῦ αἰσχίονος ἡ ἡμῖν κατ ἀνάγκην

Cf. 5. 113. 4, 5.— 16. ράδια κατασχείν: cf. ράους άρχειν 42. 8; ράστοι άμύνεσθαι 4.10.15. κατασχείν=κτήσασθαι (Schol.). See on 11.1, 39. 12.—17. ἐφ' ά ἄρμησθε: what you are striving for. Somewhat different is ἐπὶ ταῦτα ὥρμησθε 78. 26.

10. For if you meet with any considerable reverse in Sicily, your enemies here will surely renew the war; indeed it is likely that they will unite with the Siceliotes if they find your forces divided. It is bad policy to seek new dominion in Sicily before we have firmly established our sway at home.—1. φημί: emphatically put first in an explanation, as 39. 1, 87. 4; Dem. 2. 11.—γάρ: see on 33. 7.— ὑμᾶς, δεῦρο: universally accepted corrections of the Mss. readings (ἡμᾶς, δεῦτερον).—2. ἐπαγαγάσθαι: bring upon you, as 5. 98. 10.

3. τὰς... σπονδάς: cf. 5. 18, 19.—
4. αἴ: rel. with adversative force. See on 1. 35. 15; 4. 17. 18.— ονόματι: merely in name, μέχρις δνόματος (Schol.).
5. ἄνδρες: for τινες, with intentional omission of name. Cf. 38. 3, 50. 11; 1. 107. 19; 4. 132. 16. Under ἐνθένδε are meant esp. Alcibiades, under ἐκ τῶν

έναντίων e.g. Cleobulus and Xenares (5. 36. § 1). — ἔπραξαν αὐτά: negotiated them, i.e. the relations between Athens and the Peloponnesians (avtá with all good Mss., not αὐτάς). See on τἆλλα πράξαι 8.13. For αὐτά of matters under discussion, see on 1.1.10. — 6. σφαλέντων δέ που άξιόχρεφ δυνάμει: but should you fail perchance with a considerable force. For same const. of dat. with σφαλήναι, cf. 2.65.51. Note gen. abs., for greater emphasis, notwithstanding ημîν in leading clause. See on 1.114. 2. GMT. 850; Kr. Spr. 47, 4, 2. έπιχείρησιν ποιήσονται: periphrasis for simple verb. — 7. διά ξυμφορών: in consequence of misfortunes. — 8. \u00e4\u00fcu_**βασις** . . . ἐγένετο: = pass. of ξύμβασιν ποιείσθαι (see on 6). — ἐκ τοῦ αἰσχίονος η ήμεν: with less credit than to us. Cf. 11. 23 διά τὸ αἰσχρόν. Steup follows Naber (Mnem. N.S. XIV, 324) in bracketing η ημίν, on the ground that Nicias could not have said in the Ecclesia that the Athenians at the conclusion of the fifty years' peace were in a discreditable condition, and sees in these words a mistaken explanation of έκ τοῦ alσχίονος. - κατ' ἀνάγκην: under

έγένετο, ἔπειτα ἐν αὐτἢ ταύτῃ πολλὰ τὰ ἀμφισβητούμενα
10 ἔχομεν. εἰσὶ δ' οι οὐδὲ ταύτην πω τὴν ὁμολογίαν ἐδέξαντο, \$
καὶ οὐχ οι ἀσθενέστατοι ἀλλ' οι μὲν ἄντικρυς πολεμοῦσιν, οι
δὲ καὶ διὰ τὸ Λακεδαιμονίους ἔτι ἡσυχάζειν δεχημέροις σπονδαῖς καὶ αὐτοὶ κατέχονται. τάχα ἄν δ' ἴσως, εἰ δίχα ἡμῶν 4
τὴν δύναμιν λάβοιεν, ὅπερ νῦν σπεύδομεν, καὶ πάνυ ἄν ξυνε15 πιθοιντο μετὰ Σικελιωτῶν, οῦς πρὸ πολλῶν ἄν ἐτιμήσαντο
ξυμμάχους γενέσθαι ἐν τῷ πρὶν χρόνῳ. ὧστε χρὴ σκοπείν 5
τινα αὐτὰ καὶ μὴ μετεώρω τῆ πόλει ἀξιοῦν κινδυνεύειν καὶ

compulsion, defined by διὰ ξυμφορῶν and ἐκ τοῦ alσχίονος. Weidner (Parerga Dinarch. et Thuc. p. 20) would reject the words.—9. ἔπειτα ἐν αὐτῆ κτέ.: independent sent. tacked on to rel. Cf. 2. 41. 15. Kr. Spr. 59, 2, 6.—τὰ ἀμφισβητούμενα: disputed points, for which see 5. 35, 42.

11. ol μὲν ἄντικρυς πολεμοῦσιν: the Corinthians (cf. 5. 30. § 2), who, after having an ἀνοκωχἡ ἄσπονδος with the Athenians (5. 32. 30), had again, at least in the summer of 416, been openly at war with them (5. 115. § 3; see on 7. 3).—oi δὲ καὶ...κατέχονται: esp. the Boeotians (5. 26. 14) and the Thracian Chalcidians (7. § 4).—13. καὶ αὐτοὶ κατέχονται: as the Lacedaemonians ἡσυχάζουσιν, so these too refrain from hostilities within the conditions of the δεχήμεροι σπονδαί (5. 26. 14).

τάχα ἄν δ': so, not τάχα δ' ἄν, all Mss. except Laur. Cf. 2. 22. τάχα with τόως to express what is quite possible, as 34. 9, 78. 15. See on 1. 77. 21.— δίχα: διηρημένην εἰς δύο μέρη Schol. Cf. 100. 4; 1. 64. 6.—14. λάβοιεν: in the sense of deprehendere, as 33. 13.— ὅπερ νῦν σπεύδομεν: just what we are now striving for. σπεύδειν with acc.,

as 79. 13; 1. 141. 27; 5. 16. 7.— και πάνυ ᾶν... Σικελιωτῶν: they would even eagerly join with the Siceliotes in attacking us. For και, see Kr. Spr. 69, 32, 18. For δν repeated, see GMT. 223; H. 862. In view of the fact that we have here a stronger assertion than above (6), σφαλέντων δέ που ... ποιήσονται, Steup, feeling the need of a word of intensification, suggests that παραχρήμα has fallen out before πάνυ.— 15. οῦς ... χρόνφ: an alliance with whom on former occasions they would have prized beyond many other things. Cf. 1. 33. 8; 3. 40, 33.

16. χρη σκοπείν τινα αὐτά: one must consider these matters. For τινά thus used in admonitions, cf. 4.62.10. For αὐτά, cf. 5 above; here referring to the Sicilian expedition.—17. και μη μετεφρφ τη πόλει... ὀρέγεσθαι: and not demand that we run hazards for the ship of state in mid-ocean and reach out after another empire. Kr.'s conjecture τŷ, for τε of the Mss., approved by Cl., has been almost universally adopted, partly because the Schol. (see below) seems to support the art., partly because the second member does not add something new or different, as would

ἀρχῆς ἄλλης ὀρέγεσθαι, πρὶν ἡν ἔχομεν βεβαιωσώμεθα, εἰ Χαλκιδῆς γε οἱ ἐπὶ Θράκης, ἔτη τοσαῦτα ἀφεστῶτες ἀφ' ἡμῶν, 20 ἔτι ἀχείρωτοί εἰσι καὶ ἄλλοι τινὲς κατὰ τὰς ἡπείρους ἐνδοιαστῶς ἀκροῶνται. ἡμεῖς δὲ Ἐγεσταίοις δὴ οὖσι ξυμμάχοις ὡς ἀδικουμένοις ὀξέως βοηθοῦμεν, ὑφ' ὧν δ' αὐτοὶ πάλαι ἀφεστώτων ἀδικούμεθα ἔτι μέλλομεν ἀμύνεσθαι.

be expected with $\tau \epsilon$. . . $\kappa \alpha l$. But Steup retains $\tau \epsilon$, urging that a general statement (πόλει, a state) is quite allowable here (see on 14. 10. 11), though the reference is plainly to Athens, and for $\tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha l$, where the second member merely amplifies the first, citing such passages as 103.20; 1.132.8.—μετεώρφ: figure of a ship on the high seas, as 2.8. 6. Schol. της πόλεως ημών οὐκ έν τῷ άσφαλει δρμούσης. μετενήνεκται δέ τδ δνομα άπὸ τῶν πλοίων τῶν μήπω ώρμισμένων. See Smyth, Melic Poets, p. 215. - κινδυνεύειν: with dat., as in 9. 15. - 18. βεβαιωσώμεθα: subjv. (with C; Mss. mostly $\beta \epsilon \beta a \iota \omega \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \theta a$) after $\pi \rho l \nu$, as 29. 7, 38. 8; 8. 9. 3, 14. Cf. πρότερον ή 7.63.3. See St., Qu. Gr.2 p. 26.—el Χαλκιδής γε κτέ.: Cl. suggests that after the preceding admonition, "not to run hazards for the state" etc., we must supply in thought before el, "as we shall be doing." He makes all that follows one sent. dependent on el, as the warning against ambitious foreign expeditions before the sway of Athens has been fully reëstablished at home is based upon the el clauses, which are apparently hypothetical (see on 1.33. 8), but really set forth the situation not only of the unruly allies (Χαλκιδής ... ἀκροῶνται) but also of the Athenians themselves (ἡμεῖς δὲ . . . ἀμύνεσθαι). Most editors make an independent sent. of

ημείς δε . . . αμύνεσθαι, contrasting what is being done with what ought to be done.—εί... γε: si quidem.—19. ἔτη τοσαῦτα: the Chalcidians had revolted from Athens along with Potidaea in the winter of 433-432 (1.58).—20. κατά τας ήπείρους: on the coasts of Thrace, Asia, and western Greece, whither acc. to 2.9. §4 the Athenian doxn extended. What places Nicias had in mind is hard to determine (cf., however, 2.69; 3. 19). — ἐνδοιαστῶς: dubia fide. Cf. 8.87.26; Hdt. 7.174.5. For the verb ένδοιάζειν, see on 91. 19. - 21. Έγεσταίois δη ούσι ξυμμάχοις: ironical δή (cf. 54.17, 80.13, and see on 3.10.17) placed before the words emphasized, as $\delta \hat{\eta} \theta \epsilon \nu$ 1.127.2. Strictly speaking, the Egestaeans were only allies of the Leontines. See App. on 6.12. — 22. ὑφ' ὧν πάλαι άφεστώτων: for the pred. ptc. with force of a verbal subst., cf. ὑπὸ των Θηβαίων έφεπομένων 4.96.25; ὑπδ της Δεκελείας πολλά βλαπτούσης 7.28.25; διά την Δεκέλειαν τειχιζομένην 7. 42. 10. See App. on 4.63.2. - aitol: correctly restored by Reiske for αὐτῶν of the Mss. The important thing is the antithesis in αὐτοὶ ἀδικούμεθα to ώς ἀδικουμένοις. Such contrasted phrases (cf. οδσι ξυμμάχοις and πάλαι άφεστώτων, βοηθοῦμεν and ἀμύνεσθαι) are good examples of antithesis in the enthymeme, which is common in the speeches.

11 "Καίτοι τοὺς μὲν κατεργασάμενοι κᾶν κατάσχοιμεν τῶν 1 δ' εἰ καὶ κρατήσαιμεν, διὰ πολλοῦ γε καὶ πολλῶν ὅντων χαλεπῶς ᾶν ἄρχειν δυναίμεθα. ἀνόητον δ' ἐπὶ τοιούτους ἰέναι ὧν κρατήσας τε μὴ κατασχήσει τις καὶ μὴ κατορθώσας μὴ 5 ἐν τῷ ὁμοίῳ καὶ πρὶν ἐπιχειρῆσαι ἔσται. Σικελιῶται δ' ἄν 2 μοι δοκοῦσιν, ὧς γε νῦν ἔχουσι, καὶ ἔτι ᾶν ἡσσον δεινοὶ ἡμῖν γενέσθαι, εἰ ἄρξειαν αὐτῶν Συρακόσιοι, ὅπερ οἱ Ἐγεσταῖοι μάλιστα ἡμᾶς ἐκφοβοῦσι. νῦν μὲν γὰρ κᾶν ἔλθοιεν 2 ἴσως Λακεδαιμονίων ἔκαστοι χάριτι, ἐκείνως δ' οὐκ εἰκὸς ἀρην χὴν ἐπὶ ἀρχὴν στρατεῦσαι · ὧ γὰρ ᾶν τρόπω τὴν ἡμετέραν

11. Even after a victory we could not hold what we had won. There would be no danger for us, however, if the Syracusans should obtain dominion over the rest of the Siceliotes. It would be best if we merely showed our power for a little while to the Siceliotes or did not go thither at all. But we must be on the watch against the Lacedaemonians, who unquestionably are minded to wipe out their humiliation by defeating us. - 1. τοὺς μέν: i.e. τους πάλαι αφεστώτας των ξυμμάχων. - κατεργασάμενοι: cf. 33. 20, 86. 8. κατάσχοιμεν: sometimes even in aor. = hold fast. Cf. 39.12, 86.10; 1.6.13, 91. 9.— τῶν δέ: i.e. τῶν Σικελιωτῶν. For the thought, cf. 86. § 3. - 2. δια πολλοῦ: at a distance. Cf. l. 15; 2. 97. 10; 4. 115. 16. — 3. ἐπὶ τοιούτους léva: of hostile advance, as 63.3, 82.17, 92.12, and freq. - 4. μη έν τῷ ὁμοίφ καί: not in the same condition as, but far worse off. For $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ oholy kal, see on 3.14.2. II. 1042 a; Kühn. 423, n. 18.

5. ZikeAlūrai 8'... Zupakorioi: the sense intended here seems to be that of Valla's translation: Sicilienses, ut nunc saltem se habent, mihi

videntur parum formidabiles, multoque minus formidabiles fore, si Syracusani ipsis imperitent. See App.—6. Το γε νῦν ἔχουσι: except Leontini all Hellenic cities of Sicily were at that time autonomous.—7. ὅπερ...ἡμᾶς ἐκφοβοῦσι: neut. cogn. acc. besides pers. obj., the very thing with which they are trying to frighten us.

8. νθν: i.e. ως γε νθν έχουσι, while έκείνως (9) stands for εί ἄρξειαν αὐτῶν Συρακόσιοι. Cf. 3. 46.5, 8. — καν έλθοιεν: se. δεῦρο ἐφ' ἡμᾶς. Cf. 40. 9. $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta o i \epsilon \nu$ is unnecessary.—9. $\epsilon \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau o i$: only separate action of individual cities not united by a common bond was, acc. to the orator, to be anticipated. - χάριτι: out of regard for, with gen., as 3. 95, 1. - ούκ είκὸς άρχην έπι άρχην στρατεύσαι: it is not likely that an imperial city would proceed against an imperial city. Schol. οὐκ εἰκὸς ἐπὶ τὴν των 'Αθηναίων άρχην στρατεύσαι τούς Συρακοσίους Σικελιωτών άρξαντας. For elkos with inf. without av, see GMT. 415, 416, and see on 1.81.13.—10. & αν τρόπφ . . . αφέλωνται: supply as subj. οι Συρακόσιοι Σικελιωτών άρξαντες.

μετὰ Πελοποννησίων ἀφέλωνται, εἰκὸς ὑπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν καὶ τὴν σφετέραν διὰ τοῦ αὐτοῦ καθαιρεθῆναι. ἡμῶς δ'ἄν οἱ ἐκεῦ 4 Ελληνες μάλιστα μὲν ἐκπεπληγμένοι εἶεν, εἰ μὴ ἀφικοίμεθα, ἔπειτα δὲ καί, εἰ δείξαντες τὴν δύναμιν δι' ὀλίγου ἀπέλθοιμεν ·

15 τὰ γὰρ διὰ πλείστου πάντες ἴσμεν θαυμαζόμενα καὶ τὰ πεῖραν ῆκιστα τῆς δόξης δόντα. εἰ δὲ σφαλεῖμέν τι, τάχιστ' ἄν ὑπεριδόντες μετὰ τῶν ἐνθάδε ἐπιθοῖντο. ὅπερ νῦν ὑμεῖς, ὧ δ 'Αθηναῖοι, ἐς Λακεδαιμονίους καὶ τοὺς ξυμμάχους πεπόνθατε ·
διὰ τὸ παρὰ γνώμην αὐτῶν πρὸς ἃ ἐφοβεῖσθε τὸ πρῶτον

Αοτ. subjv. here = Lat. fut. pf. For dφελέσθαι, take away, despoil, cf. dφελομένης νυκτὸς τὸ ἔργον 4. 134. 8; τὸ ᾿Αθηναίων κράτος τῆς θαλάσσης <math>dφελόσθαι 8. 76. 16.—11. ὑπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν: i.e. τῶν Πελοποννησίων.—12. τὴν σφετέραν: referring to subj. of preceding clause. Cf. σφίσι 32. 9.—διὰ τοῦ αὐτοῦ: through the same means. Cf. δι αὐτοῦ 15. 7. It seems most natural to supply, with the Schol., τρόπου from τρόπω just above, though διὰ τρόπου seems not to occur.—καθαιρεθήναι: cf. 5. 14. 14 καθαιρήσειν τὴν τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων δύναμιν, and see on 1. 77. 20.

ήμῶς δέ: put first to sharpen the contrast: "The Egestaeans want to frighten us with the Syracusans; these will rather have respect for us."—
14. ἔπειτα δὲ καί: sc. ἀν ἐκπεπληγμένοι εἶεν. Nicias' real wish is expressed in the first alternative, but the second would at least insure the respect of the Siceliotes.—δείξαντες τὴν δύναμιν: cf. 47. 8.—δι' ὀλίγου: after a little. Cf. 5. 14. 5; 7. 39. 13. See on 47. 10.—15. τὰ γὰρ διὰ πλείστου . . . δόντα: Steup, St., Hude, and the Oxford text follow Rauchenstein (Philol. XXXVI, 242) in transposing this clause, which stands

in the Mss. after el δè σφαλειμεν . . . έπιθοῖντο, on the ground that it serves in no way to explain this latter clause and must be regarded as parenthetical if left as in the Mss., since $\delta\pi\epsilon\rho$ must refer to ὑπεριδόντες ἐπιθοῖντο. For the sentiment, cf. Tac. Ann. 1.47 maior e longinquo reverentia; Agr. 30 omneignotum pro magnifico est; Agr. 25 maiore fama, uti mos est de ignotis; Liv. 28. 44. 3 maior ignotarum rerum est terror. διά πλείστου: see on 2 above. πειραν δόντα: giving a test or proof, as 1. 138. 9. — 16. el δè σφαλειμέν τι: (on form of opt., see on 1.38.4, and St., Qu. Gr.² p. 62) presupposes εἰ δ' ές φανερδν πόλεμον κατασταίμεν, and therefore is opp. to εί δι' δλίγου ἀπέλθοιμεν, as to el μη άφικοίμεθα. The possibility of the victory of the Athenians Nicias does not consider, and thus leaves a break in his argument. — 17. ὑπεριδόντες: καταφρονήσαντες ήμων Schol. Cf. 5.6.15, 43.10.

18. πεπόνθατε... ἐφίεσθε: (restored for ἐφίεσθαι of Mss.) for the asyndeton, cf. 2. 60. 13 δράτε... ἀφίεσθε.—19. πρὸς ἃ ἰφοβεῖσθε τὸ πρῶτον: in comparison with what you feared at first.

20 περιγεγενήσθαι καταφρονήσαντες ήδη καὶ Σικελίας ἐφίεσθε. χρὴ δὲ μὴ πρὸς τὰς τύχας τῶν ἐναντίων ἐπαίρεσθαι, ἀλλὰ 6 τὰς διανοίας κρατήσαντας θαρσεῖν, μηδὲ Λακεδαιμονίους ἄλλο τι ἡγήσασθαι ἢ διὰ τὸ αἰσχρὸν σκοπεῖν ὅτῳ τρόπῳ ἔτι καὶ νῦν, ἢν δύνωνται, σφήλαντες ἡμᾶς τὸ σφέτερον ἀπρεπὲς 25 εὖ θήσονται, ὄσῳ καὶ περὶ πλείστου καὶ διὰ πλείστου δόξαν ἀρετῆς μελετῶσιν. ὥστε οὐ περὶ τῶν ἐν Σικελίᾳ Ἐγεσταίων τ ἡμῖν, ἀνδρῶν βαρβάρων, ὁ ἀγών, εἰ σωφρονοῦμεν, ἀλλ' ὅπως πόλιν δι' ὀλιγαρχίας ἐπιβουλεύουσαν ὀξέως φυλαξώμεθα.

21. χρη δέ μη πρός τάς τύχας . . . Capativ: cf. the similar admonition of King Archidamus 1.84. § 3, where τύχη is characterized as the always uncertain element and chief stress is laid upon διάνοιαι. And as there διάνοιαι of opponents are represented as like one's own, that one may not count upon enemies' mistakes, so here confidence (θαρσείν) is based only upon τὰς διανοίας κρατήσαι, i.e. on being superior to the enemy in well-considered plans. With this view rds diarolas is not object (see on 1. 108. 19) but limiting acc. The sense of the passage is: "You must not be elated at the mishaps of your opponents, but only when you have got the better of them (sc. av- $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$) in your calculations and plans, should you be confident." διάνοιαι in Nicias' sense are then set forth in μηδέ Λακεδαιμονίους . . . μελετώσιν. — 23. άλλο าเ ที่: for this elliptical const., see on 2.16.10; 3.39.10. H. 612; Kr. Spr. 62, 3, 7; Kühn. 587, 18.— Sià tò aloχρόν: on account of their humiliation. Cf. 10. 8 έκ τοῦ αίσχίονος. On Thuc.'s fondness for the neut. adj. or ptc. = abstract noun, see Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 75. — 24. τὸ σφέτερον άπρεπες εὖ θήσονται: retrieve their own discredit. Cf. 5. 46. 7 ἐν τῷ ἐκείνων ἀπρεπεῖ. For εὖ θήσονται, cf. 1. 75. 16.—25. ὄσφ: by.as much as. Cf. 78. 5, and see on 1. 68. 11.—περί πλείστου καὶ διὰ πλείστου: in the highest degree and for the longest time. Cf. 1. 124. 12 διὰ πλείσνος, in a longer period.

26. ώστε οὐ . . . εί σωφρονοῦμεν : for a perfect parallel, cf. 3. 44. 2. On such brachylogy, see Steup, Thuk. Stud. II, 18. - έν Σικελία, άνδρων βαρβάρων: in disdainful rejection of an occasion at once far off and unworthy. Cf. ανδράσιν αλλοφύλοις 9. 5.-28. πόλιν δι' όλιγαρχίας ἐπιβουλεύουσαν: a state (i.e. Sparta) under an oligarchy intriguing against us. The emphasis is on the antithesis in the political constitution. did with gen. to indicate the conditions under which a thing happens, as 4. 8. 39; 7. 40. 10, and freq. - όξέως φυλαξώμεθα: keep a sharp watch upon. The aor, subjy, with the majority of the Mss., for φυλαξόμεθα. Where the form of the verb does not remove all doubt (as αποστήσονται 1. 57. 21; ἀντίσχη 1. 65. 6; εύρωσι 3. 49. 6; $\partial \phi \theta \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota 3.81.4$), in most cases only Mss. authority can decide between 12 "Καὶ μεμνησθαι χρη ήμᾶς ὅτι νεωστὶ ἀπὸ νόσου μεγά- 1 λης καὶ πολέμου βραχύ τι λελωφήκαμεν, ὥστε καὶ χρή-μασι καὶ τοῖς σώμασιν ηὐξησθαι· καὶ ταῦτα ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν δίκαιον ἐνθάδε εἶναι ἀναλοῦν, καὶ μὴ ὑπὲρ ἀνδρῶν φυγάδων 5 τῶνδε ἐπικουρίας δεομένων, οἶς τό τε ψεύσασθαι καλῶς χρήσιμον, καὶ τῷ τοῦ πέλας κινδύνῳ, αὑτῶν λόγους μόνον παρασχομένους, ἡ κατορθώσαντας χάριν μὴ ἀξίαν εἰδέναι ἡ πταίσαντάς που τοὺς φίλους ξυναπολέσαι. εἴ τέ τις 2

fut. indic. and aor. subjv. See St., Qu. Gr.² p. 25 f.

12. It would be foolish if we risked our strength, just recovered, for strangers whom we cannot trust, and from whom even under the most favorable conditions we should receive no proper thanks; or sacrificed it to the selfish plans of an ambitious young man not yet old enough to command an army. -1. νεωστί . . . λελωφήκαμεν : cf. 26. 6. λωφâν, recover, rather rare, and used by Thuc, with reference to sicknesses and grave misfortunes. Cf. 2. 49. 16; 7. 77. 13. — 2. βραχύ τι: a little, somewhat. Cf. 1.63.11. — και χρήμασι και τοίς σώμασιν: in such combinations of χρήματα and σώματα Thuc, usually puts the art. with both (1. 121. 12; 2.53.7; 8.45.27, 65.16), whereas in 1. 141. 18 the art. is omitted with both. Cl. suggests that the art. emphasizes σώμασι here as the more important word. For art. similarly placed with σώματα, cf. 1. 143. 29 τήν τε δλόφυρσιν μή οίκιων και γής ποιείσθαι, άλλα των $\sigma\omega\mu\delta\tau\omega\nu$, and Dem. 22. 55. — 3. Kal ταῦτα . . . ἀναλοῦν: and these things we must consider it right to expend for ourselves here. ταῦτα, i.e. these recovered resources in money and men, put first for emphasis. δίκαιον είναι still

dependent on μεμνησθαι, or rather on a word like voulgeiv that was before the mind instead of μεμνησθαι, with transition from δτι const. to inf. ένθάδε put for esp. emphasis between δίκαιον and είναι. Cl. and Steup construe the clause as independent (olkaior sc. eoti) and take ένθάδε είναι as an adv. phrase, " within our own sphere of action." See App. -4. φυγάδων: in his aversion to the Egestaeans (cf. 10.21, 11.27, 21.6) Nicias transfers the situation of the Leontines to the former (cf. 8. § 2; 19. § 1). On the word, see App. — φυγάδων τῶνδε: without art. before rel. sent., as 2. 74. 11, and freq. — 5. τὸ ψεύσασθαι καλώς: καλώς, dexterously, as in καλώς τοῦτο δράν 1. 5. 11. — 6. τῷ τοῦ πέλας κινδύνω: at their neighbor's risk. Cf. 1. 12. The sing. τοῦ πέλας as 1. 32. 16; 2.37.12. For dat, expressing attendant circumstances, cf. 85. 8. — αὑτῶν: put forward with pregnant emphasis, for themselves, on their own part. αὐτῶν, Bk.'s emendation for αὐτῶν of nearly all the Mss., has been generally adopted. For the matter, cf. 22, 13 f. - 8. Euvaπολέσαι: correctly restored by Reiske for ξυναπολέσθαι of the Mss.

εἴ τέ τις κτέ.: new point emphatically introduced by τε. In what follows Alcibiades is designated clearly

ἄρχειν ἄσμενος αίρεθεὶς παραινεῖ ὑμῖν ἐκπλεῖν, τὸ ἑαυτοῦ 10 μόνον σκοπῶν, ἄλλως τε καὶ νεώτερος ἔτι ὢν ἐς τὸ ἄρχειν, ὅπως θαυμασθῆ μὲν ἀπὸ τῆς ἱπποτροφίας, διὰ δὲ πολυτέλειαν καὶ ἀφεληθῆ τι ἐκ τῆς ἀρχῆς, μηδὲ τούτῳ ἐμπαράσχητε τῷ τῆς πόλεως κινδύνῳ ἰδίᾳ ἐλλαμπρύνεσθαι, νομίσατε δὲ τοὺς τοιούτους τὰ μὲν δημόσια ἀδικεῖν, τὰ δὲ ἴδια ἀναλοῦν, καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα μέγα εἶναι καὶ μὴ οἶον νεωτέρους βουλεύσασθαί τε καὶ ὀξέως μεταχειρίσαι.

enough without his name. — 9. άρχειν as mevos aipebels: in contrast with Nicias 8, 18. Aor, ptc. here on acc. of the reference to the conduct of Alcibiades immediately described.—10. νεώ-TEPOS: too young. He was born about 450 B.C. See on 5.43.4.—11. ὅπως θαυμασθη μέν κτέ.: explains τὸ ἐαυτοῦ μόνον $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$, the first $\delta \pi \omega s$ clause expressing the ultimate object of his striving (to be admired for the raising of fine race horses; cf. 15.11) without immediate reference to the Sicilian expedition; the second indicating, and that too even by its well-considered order of words (διά πολυτέλειαν put forward), the connection with that expedition (but because that is very expensive, [hoping] that he may get some advantage too from his command). "Generalships were usually the road to wealth." Boeckh, Staatshaushaltung 8 I, 569. — 12. μηδέ τούτφ έμπαράσχητε . . . έλλαμπρύνεσθαι: and do not even to this man afford opportunity, at the risk of the state, to make a personal display. μηδέ adds the warning against Alcibiades to that against the Egestaeans. èv- in both verbs refers to the matter in hand and not to $\tau \hat{\varphi} \kappa \iota \nu \delta \dot{\nu} \nu \varphi$. The question is not of display through military glory, but of the satisfaction of the lust for lπποτροφία which Nicias ascribes to him. For similar compounds, cf. evolaτρίβειν 2.18.8; ενστρατοπεδεύσαι 2.20. 10; ένευδαιμονήσαι καὶ έντελευτήσαι 2. 44.5; ἐναγωνίσασθαι 2.74.13. ἐμπαρασχείν occurs also 7.56.21, elsewhere only in late writers; λαμπρύνομαι in 16. 12.-13. той тогой того : people of thissort, after τις, as 2.63, 10. - 15. τὸ πράγμα μέγα είναι: cf. περί μεγάλων πραγμάτων 9. 5. - και μη οίον νεωτέpous . . . metaxeiploai : and not such as younger people may determine and hastily (rashly) execute. Cl., St., Bm., and Hude read, with Pluygers (Mnem. XI, 92), νεωτέρους for νεωτέρω of the Mss. The sing, between the plurals τούς τοιούτους and ous would be strange, and the const. of the dat. νεωτέρω with olor has no satisfactory explanation (7. 14. 4 olóv $\tau \epsilon \dots \epsilon \mu ol$ is quite a different const.). The pl. νεωτέρους is supported by the reply of Alcibiades 18. 27 ή Νικίου . . . διάστασις τοις νέοις ές τους πρεσβυτέρους. Steup writes, with H. Stein, νεωτέρων, as nearer the Mss. reading. For βουλεύεσθαι with acc. of neut. pron. or adj., cf. 23.8; 1.43.10, 85. 11. μεταχειρίσαι used only in act. by Thuc. See on 1.13.7.

13 "Ους έγω όρων νυν ένθάδε τω αυτώ ανδρί παρακελευστους 1 καθημένους φοβουμαι καὶ τοῖς πρεσβυτέροις ἀντιπαρακελεύομαι μὴ καταισχυνθήναι, εἴ τώ τις παρακάθηται τωνδε, ὅπως μὴ δόξῃ, ἀν μὴ ψηφίζηται πολεμεῖν, μαλακὸς εἶναι, τρηδ', ὅπερ ἀν αὐτοὶ πάθοιεν, δυσέρωτας εἶναι των ἀπόντων, γνόντας ὅτι ἐπιθυμία μὲν ἐλάχιστα κατορθοῦται, προνοία δὲ πλεῖστα, ἀλλ' ὑπὲρ τῆς πατρίδος, ώς μέγιστον δὴ των πρὶν κίνδυνον ἀναρριπτούσης, ἀντιχειροτονεῖν καὶ ψηφίζεσθαι τοὺς μὲν Σικελιώτας οἶσπερ νῦν ὅροις χρωμένους πρὸς ἡμᾶς, οὐ

13. In opposition to this man and his youthful following, I beg you earnestly for the good of the fatherland to vote against this dangerous enterprise, and let the Siceliotes settle their affairs for themselves; especially to declare to the Egestaeans that as they began the feud with the Selinuntians without us, so they may fight it out without us; and in future to avoid all alliances in which only sacrifice and no advantage can be foreseen. - 1. ous: referring to νεωτέρους above. It would be hard to justify the plural here with νεωτέρφ there. - τῷ αὐτῷ ἀνδρὶ παρακελευστούς: urged on by the same man (i.e. Alcibiades) to the support of his plans. $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \tau o \nu s = \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon$ rous (Schol.), as shown by the antithesis τοις πρεσβυτέροις άντιπαρακελεύομαι μή κτέ. -3. μη καταισχυνθηναι: not to be shamed into fear. — 4. ὅπως μη δόξη μαλακός είναι: lest he may seem to be weak. Sing. on account of tis in el clause. For obj. clause after verb of fear or caution, see GMT. 370. Of the better Mss. only Laur. reads δόξει. - $\delta v : = \epsilon dv$, as 18. 34; 4. 46. 13, and in some Mss. 8. 75. 19. St. writes everywhere $\dot{\epsilon}\dot{\alpha}\nu$. — 5. airol: i.e. the $\nu\epsilon\dot{\omega}\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\iota$ just designated by τωνδε (3). - δυσί-. ρωτας είναι τῶν ἀπόντων: to be sick in love with; as second member depending on αντιπαρακελεύομαι, and with resumption of the plural. δύσερως (so accented acc. to Herodian 1. p. 244) in Attic prose elsewhere prob. only in Xen. Oec. 12. 13 and Lys. 4. 8. Cf. Eur. Hipp. 193; Theorr. 1. 85. For the thought, cf. Pind. P. 3. 20 ff. -6. κατορθοῦται: so for κατορθοῦνται of the Mss. (1) because neither in Thuc. nor elsewhere have we a certain example of the middle of this compound, and (2) because in a proverbial sent. of this kind the omission of ol ανθρωποι with the plural verb is strange. For the pass., cf. 1.120.25; 2.65.28; 4.76. 21.-7. μέγιστον δη τῶν πρίν: the gen. prob. felt as part., though the limited word does not belong to the sphere of the gen. See on άξιολογώτατον τῶν προγεγενημένων 1.1.4, Kr. Spr. 47, 28, 10; Kühn. 349 b, 4. — 8. κίνδυνον άναρpurrovors: running the risk; as 4, 85. 13, 95.5. Cf. 5.103.3. The figure is from the game of dice. - 9. olomep νῦν ὅροις χρωμένους: = χρωμένους τοῖς δροις οίσπερ νθν χρώνται. — ού μεμπτοίς: not to be found fault with (ironical). -

- 10 μεμπτοῖς, τῷ τε Ἰονίῳ κόλπῳ παρὰ γῆν ἤν τις πλέη, καὶ τῷ Σικελικῷ διὰ πελάγους, τὰ αὐτῶν νεμομένους καθ' αὐτοὺς καὶ ξυμφέρεσθαι τοῖς δ' Ἐγεσταίοις ἰδίᾳ εἰπεῖν, ἐπειδὴ 2 ἄνευ ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ ξυνῆψαν πρὸς Σελινουντίους τὸ πρῶτον πόλεμον, μετὰ σφῶν αὐτῶν καὶ καταλύεσθαι καὶ τὸ 15 λοιπὸν ξυμμάχους μὴ ποιεῖσθαι ὧσπερ εἰώθαμεν, οῖς κακῶς μὲν πράξασιν ἀμυνοῦμεν, ἀφελίας δ' αὐτοὶ δεηθέντες οὐ τευξόμεθα.
- 14 "Καὶ σύ, ὧ πρύτανι, ταῦτα, εἶπερ ἡγεῖ σοὶ προσήκειν κήδεσθαί τε τῆς πόλεως καὶ βούλει γενέσθαι πολίτης ἀγαθός,

10. παρά γην: for emphasis before the verb. With this order the nonrepetition of ην τις πλέη with διά πελάγους is more natural.—11. τῷ Σικελικῷ: sc. κόλ $\pi \varphi$ from l. 10, though this sea is called $\pi \in \lambda \alpha \gamma os$ in 4. 24. 20, 53. 15. So we have Τυρσηνικόν πέλαγος 4. 24. 19; Τυρσηνικός πόντος 7. 58. 6, as well as Τυρσηνικός κόλπος 62. 7. — διά πελάyous: i.e. through the open sea without touching at intervening islands. See on 3. 4. 20. — τὰ αὐτῶν νεμομένους: possessing their own territory, as 1.2.6; 2.15.13, 72.10. - καθ' αύτοὺς καὶ ξυμφέperba: to settle also their differences among themselves. Cf. 4.65.2 aitol κατά σφας αὐτούς ξυνηνέχθησαν γνώμη.

12. τοις δ' Έγεσταίοις ίδια είπειν: still dependent, as is also μὴ ποιεῖσθαι (15), on ἀντιπαρακελεύομαι. So editors generally construe, but Steup governs εἰπεῖν by ψηφίζεσθαι, though he agrees that μὴ ποιεῖσθαι must depend on ἀντιπαρακελεύομαι.—13. καὶ ξυνήψαν . . . καὶ καταλύεσθαι: correlation of the dependent and leading clauses. Cf. 1.83. 7.—14. μετὰ σφῶν αὐτῶν: sarcastic repetition of ἄνευ ᾿Αθηναίων.—καταλύεσθαι: to settle differences. See on 1.81.9.—

15. ols: supply as antecedent τ oύτουs or τ οιούτουs. — κακῶς πράξασιν: faring ill. Cf. 75. 17; 2. 64. 8; 5. 9. 36. — 16. ἀφελίας δ΄... τ ευξόμεθα: supply τ αρ' ών with τ ευξόμεθα, or possibly ών, dependent along with $\dot{\omega}$ φελίας on δ εηθέντες (cf. 1. 32. 23).

14. Therefore I call upon the prytanis, as his civic duty demands, even though the law forbids, again to put the matter to a vote.—1. πρύτανι: who as ἐπιστάτης presided on this day. The full title was έπιστάτης των πρυτάνεων. See Hermann, Gr. Staatsalt.⁶ p. 491, N. 4. — ταῦτα: with $\epsilon \pi \iota \psi \eta \phi \iota \zeta \epsilon$, bring these matters to a vote. Cf. 1, 87.1; 2, 24.6; 8.15.7. — hyeî: this form of the second sing. mid. is rightly preferred by St. (Qu. Gr.² p. 62) to $\dot{\eta}\gamma\hat{\eta}$. Cf. 1. 129. 16 ὑπισχνεῖ, the only other instance in Thuc. of this person. - ool: emphatic, hence orthotone. - 2. κήδεσθαι: always of especially thoughtful sympathy. Cf. 76.9, 84.1.— βούλει: added in second clause, although the preceding $\tau \epsilon$ looked to a dependence of both inf. clauses on ήγει σοι προσήκειν. - πολίτης ayalos: pregnant, a good citizen, i.e. a true patriot. Cf. 9, 8; 3, 42, 22, -

ἐπιψήφιζε καὶ γνώμας προτίθει αὖθις ᾿Αθηναίοις, νομίσας, εἰ ὀρρωδεῖς τὸ ἀναψηφίσαι, τὸ μὲν λύειν τοὺς νόμους μὴ μετὰ το- 5 σῶνδ' ἄν μαρτύρων αἰτίαν σχεῖν, τῆς δὲ πόλεως βουλευσαμένης ἰατρὸς ἄν γενέσθαι, καὶ τὸ καλῶς ἄρξαι τοῦτ' εἶναι, ὅς ἄν τὴν πατρίδα ώφελήση ὡς πλεῖστα ἡ ἐκὼν εἶναι μηδὲν βλάψη."
15 Ὁ μὲν Νικίας τοιαῦτα εἶπε τῶν δὲ ᾿Αθηναίων παριόντες 1 οἱ μὲν πλεῖστοι στρατεύειν παρήνουν καὶ τὰ ἐψηφισμένα μὴ λύειν, οἱ δὲ τινες καὶ ἀντέλεγον ἐνῆγε δὲ προθυμότατα τὴν 2

3. γνώμας προτίθει αύθις 'Αθηναίοις: lay the question once more before the Athenians. Cf. 3. 36. 21. For the dat., cf. 1. 139, 18. - 4. avaynologu: to put again to vote. Our passage indicates that this was illegal, although the same course in the Mytilenaean affair (3. 36. 21, 49. 1) seems to meet with no objection. Perhaps it had been made illegal in the meanwhile. - τδ μέν λύειν τους νόμους: considered by Cl. and Steup a sort of abs. acc. (cf. 1. 141. 8, 142. 6; 4. 62. 1, 63. 3), as to breaking the laws, and not the subj. of alτίαν σχείν, since Thuc, uses this only of persons (46, 26; 1, 39, 11, 83, 8; 3.13.34; 4.114.26). With this const. σύ must be understood from νομίσας as subj. of alτlaν σχείν. But it seems most natural, with St., F. Müller, and Widmann, to construe το λύειν τους νόμους as subj. of altlav σχείν (cf. Plato Phileb. 23 Α ήδονή αν τινα και άτιμίαν $\sigma \chi o(\eta)$, notwithstanding the force of Kr.'s objection that with a change of subj. we should expect in the second clause α ύτ às δè της πόλεως. - μή: for μή with inf. instead of ov after a verb of thinking, see GMT. 685; Gildersleeve, A. J. of Phil. I, 49. — 5. της δὲ πόλεως βουλευσαμένης ίατρὸς αν γενέσθαι: and

of the state that has made a decision you could become a physician, i.e. the decree of the Athenians with reference to Sicily is, acc. to Nicias, a disease which requires healing from the ἐπιστάτης as physician (cf. 5.65.7 κακὸν κακφ laσθαι). Steup considers της πόλεως βουλευσαμένης dependent on larpos, a further example of the const. of noun with pred. ptc, discussed in App, to 4.63.2. That the decree was bad need not be emphatically reasserted, hence κακωs, found in some inferior Mss. after πόλεως, may be regarded as a gloss, though the Schol. (και της πόλεως laτρός γενήση κακώς βουλευσαμένης) seems to support that reading. — 6. τοῦτ' εἰναι, ος αν . . . ωφελήση: free connection of pers. rel. pron. with neut. dem. as 2.44.4; 7.68.3. Kr. Spr. 51, 13, 11; Kühn. 563, 3 d. Cf. 16. 15; 2. 62. 30. — 7. kww elva: willingly. For the abs., seemingly pleonastic, inf., see GMT. 780; Kr. Spr. 55, 1, 1. Cf. 2.89.29; 4.98.14; 7.81.14.

15. Nicias is opposed especially by Alcibiades. Reflections follow on the latter's motives in urging the Sicilian expedition and on hislawless life, which later became so dangerous to the state.

— 3. evays: with obj. of the thing, as

στρατείαν 'Αλκιβιάδης ὁ Κλεινίου, βουλόμενος τῷ τε Νικίᾳ δ ἐναντιοῦσθαι, ὧν καὶ ἐς τὰ ἄλλα διάφορος τὰ πολιτικὰ καὶ ὅτι αὐτοῦ διαβόλως ἐμνήσθη, καὶ μάλιστα στρατηγῆσαί τε ἐπιθυμῶν καὶ ἐλπίζων Σικελίαν τε δι' αὐτοῦ καὶ Καρχηδόνα λήψεσθαι καὶ τὰ ἴδια ἄμα εὐτυχήσας χρήμασί τε καὶ δόξη ώφελήσειν. ὧν γὰρ ἐν ἀξιώματι ὑπὸ τῶν ἀστῶν, ταῖς ἐπιθυ- 8 10 μίαις μείζοσιν ἢ κατὰ τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν οὐσίαν ἐχρῆτο ἔς τε τὰς ἱπποτροφίας καὶ τὰς ἄλλας δαπάνας. ὅπερ καὶ καθείλεν ὕστερον τὴν τῶν 'Αθηναίων πόλιν οὐχ ἤκιστα. φοβηθέντες 4 γὰρ αὐτοῦ οἱ πολλοὶ τὸ μέγεθος τῆς τε κατὰ τὸ ἑαυτοῦ σῶμα παρανομίας ἐς τὴν δίαιταν καὶ τῆς διανοίας ὧν καθ' ἐν ἔκα- 15 στον ἐν ὅτω γίγνοιτο ἔπρασσεν, ὡς τυραννίδος ἐπιθυμοῦντι

1.67.8; 2.21.20. See on 4.21.8.— 4. βουλόμενος τῷ τε Νικία κτέ.: correl. to $\tau \epsilon$ is $\kappa a l \mu d \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a$ (6). From the arrangement in the first member ἐπιθυμῶν καὶ ἐλπίζων was not to be expected after βουλόμενος. See on 14.2. - 5. ων και ές τὰ ἄλλα διάφορος τὰ πολιτικά: being also in general his political opponent, which is emphasized by the addition of και ότι . . . έμνήσθη. τὰ πολιτικά belongs to διάφορος (cf. 8.96.24 διάφοροι τον τρόπον), hence is not to be bracketed with Weidner (Parerga Dinarch. et Thuc. p. 21) as unnecessary with és rà άλλα. - 6. διαβόλως: invidiously; with reference to 12, § 2, - στρατηγήσαι: ingressive. — 7. έλπίζων ... λήψεσθαι: cf. 90. §2. - Σικελίαν τε . . . καλ τὰ ίδια aua: for the correlation freq. in Thuc., see on 3. 102. 24. — δι' αὐτοῦ: i.e. τοῦ στρατηγείν. See on 11:12.-8. τὰ ίδια... ώφελήσειν: will help his private fortunes. - εύτυχήσας: cond., in case of success. 9. ων γάρ... ὑπὸ των ἀστων: being held in high consideration by the citizens. For the const., see on 1.130.3. For

the matter, cf. 5. 43. § 2.—10. η κατά ...ονσίαν: than was appropriate to his means. Cf. 1. 76. 17; 2. 50. 2; 5. 102. 2. His property was considerable, but not more than 100 talents, acc. to Lys. 19. 52. See Boeckh, Stsh. I, 568 f.— is τὰs ἰπποτροφίας: i.e. for chariotracing. Cf. 12. 11.—11. ὅπερ: and that very thing, i.e. his uncontrolled disposition and extravagant ways.

13. της . . . παρανομίας ές την δίαι-Tav: his personal lawlessness (selfindulgence) in his manner of living. Cf. 28. 12 την αὐτοῦ ἐς τὰ ἐπιτηδεύματα ... παρανομίαν. Note the omission of the art. with the second modifier (¿s την δίαιταν) of της παρανομίας, as 55, 5, — 14. καὶ τῆς διανοίας . . . ἔπρασσεν: and the greatness of purpose in all that he set before himself in every matter wherein he was active. της διανοίας is still dependent upon τὸ μέγεθος, and $\vec{\omega}v = \tau o \acute{\nu} \tau \omega v$ d. $\gamma l \gamma v o \iota \tau o$ is iterative apt. — 15. ώς τυραννίδος . . . άχθεσθέν-Tes: on the ground that he was aiming at a tyranny, and because, though publicly πολέμιοι καθέστασαν καὶ δημοσία κράτιστα διαθέντι τὰ τοῦ πολέμου ἰδία ἔκαστοι τοῖς ἐπιτηδεύμασιν αὐτοῦ ἀχθεσθέντες, καὶ ἄλλοις ἐπιτρέψαντες οὐ διὰ μακροῦ ἔσφηλαν τὴν πόλιν. τότε δ' οὖν παρελθών τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις παρήνει τοιάδε

16 "Καὶ προσήκει ἐμοὶ μᾶλλον ἐτέρων, ω ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ἄρχειν 1 (ἀνάγκη γὰρ ἐντεῦθεν ἄρξασθαι, ἐπειδή μου Νικίας καθή-ψατο) καὶ ἄξιος ἄμα νομίζω εἶναι. ων γὰρ πέρι ἐπιβόητός

he had managed military matters excellently, privately they had as individuals been offended at his practices, they had become hostile. πολέμιοι καθέστασαν is inserted between the two causal clauses, correlated by kai (ws . . . έπιθυμοῦντι and δημοσία . . . άχθεσθέν- $\tau \epsilon s$), which depend on it. Cf. 17. 9, 68.18; 1.121.1; 4.15.6. St. takes rai (before $\delta \eta \mu o \sigma l q$) = $\kappa a l \pi \epsilon \rho$ and separates the clause $l\delta la$. . $d\chi\theta\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon\nu\tau\epsilon s$ by commas. But there is no reason why καί may not be and, the ptc. διαθέντι being still construed as concessive. -16. διαθέντι: the correct reading from a single inferior Ms. (H.), for διαθέντα of the rest. See App. - 17. EKAGTOL: the plural arouses suspicion here where neither states nor groups can be thought of; van H. writes ξκαστος. See on 46.20. - τοις έπιτηδεύμασιν αύτου: on account of his manner of life (cf. 28, 12). For causal dat. in addition to obj. dat., see on 4. 87. 13. — 18. αλλοις ἐπιτρέψαντες: την πόλιν is common obj. of the ptc. and of ξσφηλαν. άλλοις refers above all to the successors of Alcibiades in the position of foremost citizen (τοῦ δήμου προστασία 2.65.49). — οὐ διὰ μακροῦ: as 91. 12, = $\delta i'$ $\delta \lambda l \gamma o \nu$ (see on 11. 14), speedily. The position of ov is due to reluctance to separate the prep. from its case. Cf. ώς οὐ περί βραχέων 1. 78. 1. 19. δ' οδν: common in resumption after a digression. See on 1.3. 19. — παρελθών τοις 'Αθηναίοις: for dat. with παρελθών, cf. 19. 7, 32. 19, 35. 7, 72. 3.

Speech of Alcibiades (16-18)

16. It is my right above that of others to be at the head of an army; for the magnificence of my public appearance has often been a benefit to the state. Nor is it unjust if I have not esteemed every one my equal. People of my stamp may be burdensome while they live, but to posterity they become a boast. Furthermore, I manage public affairs as well as any other man. By the alliances in Peloponnesus and even by the lost battle of Mantinea I won respect for you from the Lacedaemonians. - 1. και προσήκει έμοι: (the emphatic form of the pron. seems necessary as opp. to ἐτέρων) referring to family prestige, και άξως άμα νομίζω to personal merit. As only the second claim is dwelt upon in what follows. καί . . . καί . . . άμα is like our "as . . . so also." See on 72.22, 79.1, and 4. 64. 18. — $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \omega \nu$: = $\hat{\eta} \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma s$, as 1. 85. 6; 2.15.1, 60.24. H.643b; Kühn. 543, 2c. - 2. Evteudev: from that point, i.e. with a personal matter. — ἐπειδὴ . . . καθήψατο : 12. § 2. καθάψασθαι, attack, also 82. 2. - 3. ἐπιβόητός είμι: Ι εἰμι, τοῖς μὲν προγόνοις μου καὶ ἐμοὶ δόξαν φέρει ταῦτα, τῆ δὲ πατρίδι καὶ ἀφελίαν. οἱ γὰρ Ἑλληνες καὶ ὑπὲρ δύναμιν ² μείζω ἡμῶν τὴν πόλιν ἐνόμισαν τῷ ἐμῷ διαπρεπεῖ τῆς Ὀλυμπίαζε θεωρίας, πρότερον ἐλπίζοντες αὐτὴν καταπεπολεμῆσαι, διότι ἄρματα μὲν ἑπτὰ καθῆκα, ὅσα οὐδείς πω ἰδιώτης πρότερον, ἐνίκησα δὲ καὶ δεύτερος καὶ τέταρτος ἐγενόμην 10 καὶ τάλλα ἀξίως τῆς νίκης παρεσκευασάμην. νόμῳ μὲν γὰρ τιμὴ τὰ τοιαῦτα, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ δρωμένου καὶ δύναμις ἄμα ὑπονοεῖται. καὶ ὅσα αὖ ἐν τῆ πόλει χορηγίαις ἡ ἄλλῳ τῳ 3

am railed at. Cf. ἐπιβοώμενος below (28). -4. Tauta: at the end for emphasis. 5. και ύπερ δύναμιν . . . ενόμισαν: they formed an idea of our state even greater than its actual power. The aor. is ingressive. Note the pleonasm in ύπερ δύναμιν μείζω. - 6. τῷ ἐμῷ διαπρεπεί της . . . θεωρίας: neut. adj. for abstr. subst. = $\tau \hat{\eta}$ diam $\rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon \hat{i} \theta \epsilon \omega \rho l \alpha \mu o v$. Cf. 2. 61. 8 τῷ ὑμετέρφ ἀσθενεῖ τῆς γνώμης. - της 'Ολυμπίαζε θεωρίας: 'Ολυμπίαζε due to the usual const. of $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$. Cf. θεωρείν ές τὰ Ἐφέσια 3. 104. 17; θεωρείν ės τὰ "Ισθμια 8. 10. 2. The date of the festival here mentioned must be assumed to be Ol. 91 = 416 B.C. (see G. II. Förster, Die Ol. Sieger bis z. Ende des 4. Jhdts., Progr. v. Zwickau, 1891, p. 20 f.). πρότερον . . . καταπεπολεμήσθαι is decidedly against Ol. 89 = 424, since the Athenians at that time had the upper hand of their enemies (cf. 4.65. § 4, 79, § 2). In Ol. 90 = 420, the date suggested by Cl. and Grote, Lichas, the Lacedaemonian, was victor (5.50. § 4). As Alcibiades speaks first of his private life, the battle of Mantinea (fought in 418) could not well be mentioned before the Olympic victory. -7, έλπίζοντες αὐτὴν καταπεπολεμήσθαι: hoping that it had been exhausted by war (cf. 90.16). This hope was, even if thought of as lasting till 416, quite intelligible in the light of 12, § 1 and 26. §2.—8. καθήκα: misi in certamen. Cf. Isocr. 16. 34.— ιδιώτης: as opp. to a βασιλεύς or πόλις. - 9. δεύτερος καλ τέταρτος έγενόμην: in the Euripidean έπινίκιον (apud Plut. Alcib. 11) we read άρματι πρώτα δραμείν και δεύτερα και τρίτα, and in Isocr. l.c. ωστε καὶ πρώτος και δεύτερος γενέσθαι και τρίτος. Possibly the deviation in our passage from these statements may be due to corruption in the text, - though, if so, the corruption antedated Plutarch's time, - to be remedied by changing τέταρτος to τρίτος or by inserting και τρίτος before και τέταρτος.-10. τάλλα... παρεσκευασάμην: for particulars, see Isocr. l.c., Plut. Alcib. 12, and Athen. 1. 3 E. - vóuw: according to custom .- 11. τιμή: sc. έστι. In the thought the clause νόμω . . . τοιαῦτα is subord. to the second member, which alone contains proof for of Ελληνες και ὑπερ δύναμιν κτέ. (5 f.). See on 9.11. — ἐκ δὲ τοῦ δρωμένου . . . ὑποvocîtai: and from that which is done an impression of power also is gained.

12. δσα . . . λαμπρύνομαι : in whatever

λαμπρύνομαι, τοῖς μὲν ἀστοῖς φθονεῖται φύσει, πρὸς δὲ τοὺς ξένους καὶ αὖτη ἰσχὺς φαίνεται. καὶ οὐκ ἄχρηστος ηδ' ἡ 15 ἄνοια, ος ἄν τοῖς ἰδίοις τέλεσι μὴ ἐαυτὸν μόνον, ἀλλὰ. καὶ τὴν. πόλιν ώφελῃ, οὐδέ γε ἄδικον ἐφ' ἑαυτῷ μέγα φρονοῦντα 4 μὴ ἴσον εἶναι, ἐπεὶ καὶ ὁ κακῶς πράσσων πρὸς οὐδένα τῆς ξυμφορᾶς ἰσομοιρεῖ· ἀλλ' ὥσπερ δυστυχοῦντες οὐ προσαγορευόμεθα, ἐν τῷ ὁμοίῳ τις ἀνεχέσθω καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν εὐπραγούντων 20 ὑπερφρονούμενος, ἢ τὰ ἴσα νέμων τὰ ὁμοῖα ἀνταξιούτω. οἶδα δὲ τοὺς τοιούτους, καὶ ὄσοι ἔν τινος λαμπρότητι προέσχον,

respects I distinguish myself (cf. $\delta\lambda$ λαμπρύνεσθαι used by Nicias 12. 13). This furnishes the subj. of φθονείται. -13. Tois do tois: for dat. of agent with pass., see on 3.64.15, and Steup, Thuk. Stud. II, 55 f., C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 71 f. - 14. και αύτη: this too, i.e. as well as the Olympic $\theta \epsilon \omega \rho la$. The pron. is assimilated in gen. and number to the pred. — ηδ' ή ἄνοια: so with M alone of the better Mss. for h διάνοια. That ἄνοια is correct is shown not only by the context but also by the Schol. elpwreúεται λέγων ότι, εί καὶ άνδητος φαίνομαί τισιν, άλλ' οὖν τῆ πόλει οὐκ ἄχρηστός ἐστί μου ή ἄνοια, and by the repetition in 17. 1 ή έμη νεότης και άνοια. Even though Nicias did not use the word in 12. § 2, it was clearly implied there. ή ἄνοια, ος ἄν: for the loose connection of the rel., see on 14.6 and cf. 7. 68.3.-15. τέλεσι: = δαπάναις (Schol.). Cf. 4. 60. 12.

16. οὐδέ γε ἄδικον κτέ.: blunt expression of aristocratic self-assertion, and it is not unfair that one who has a great opinion of himself is not on an equality, etc.—17. μη ἴσον είναι: as lσομοιρεῖ (18) rather of view or feeling

than of actual condition: the one does not consider himself on an equality, the other does not claim to share his misfortune with others. — 18. ώσπερ δυστυχοθντές οὐ προσαγορευόμεθα: α8 when pursued by fate we are not spoken to, i.e. our society is avoided. δυστυχούντες is stronger than κακώς πράσσοντες, the latter of a transitory stage, the former of persistent pursuit by $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta$. The sense here assumed for $\pi \rho o \sigma$ αγορευόμεθα is so natural that neither Kr.'s προσηγορεύμεθα nor Madvig's προσαρκούμεθα would be an improvement. - 20. ὑπερφρονούμενος: for the suppl. ptc. with ἀνεχέσθω, cf. 1. 77. 18; 2. 74. 3; 5. 69. 8. GMT. 879; H. 983. η . . . άνταξιούτω: the stress is on τά ίσα νέμων: otherwise $(\ddot{\eta} = \epsilon l \ \delta \dot{\epsilon} \ \mu \dot{\eta})$ let him give equality and then claim the like in turn. ἀνταξιοῦν elsewhere only in post-classical writers. See App. on 4.80.4.

21. τοὺς τοιούτους: i.e. men of my spirit; perhaps not without parodying reference to 12.14, since it is the same persons that are represented, there in the worst, here in the best light.—και δσοι: and all others that. Cf. 71.16, 76.14; 1.95.3.—τινος: sc. πράγματος

ἐν μὲν τῷ κατ' αὐτοὺς βίῳ λυπηροὺς ὄντας, τοῖς ὁμοίοις μὲν μάλιστα, ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις ξυνόντας, τῶν δὲ ἔπειτα ἀνθρώπων προσποίησίν τε ξυγγενείας τισὶ καὶ μὴ οὖ-25 σαν καταλιπόντας, καὶ ἦς ἀν ὧσι πατρίδος, ταὐτη αὖχησιν, ώς οὐ περὶ ἀλλοτρίων οὐδ' ἀμαρτόντων, ἀλλ' ὡς περὶ σφετέρων τε καὶ καλὰ πραξάντων. ὧν ἐγὼ ὀρεγόμενος καὶ διὰ β ταῦτα τὰ ἴδια ἐπιβοώμενος τὰ δημόσια σκοπεῖτε εἴ του χεῖρον μεταχειρίζω. Πελοποννήσου γὰρ τὰ δυνατώτατα ξυστήσας 30 ἄνευ μεγάλου ὑμῖν κινδύνου καὶ δαπάνης Λακεδαιμονίους ἐς μίαν ἡμέραν κατέστησα ἐν Μαντινείᾳ περὶ τῶν ἀπάντων ἀγωνίσασθαι ἐξ οῦ καὶ περιγενόμενοι τῆ μάχη οὐδέπω καὶ νῦν βεβαίως θαρσοῦσιν.

17 Καὶ ταῦτα ἡ ἐμὴ νεότης καὶ ἄνοια παρὰ φύσιν δοκοῦσα 1

(Schol.). - 22. ἐν τῶ κατ' αὐτοὺς βίω: in their own lifetime. — λυπηρούς: give offense, excite envy. Cf. 1. 76. 5, 99. 4. For the thought, cf. 2. 45. § 1.— 23. Eurórtas: so long as they are with them, cum versentur cum eis (Pp.). The word seems unnecessary and is suspected by Steup to be a gloss .τῶν . . . ἀνθρώπων: part. gen. dependent on τισι. - 24. προσποίησιν ξυγγεvelas: claim of kinship. — και μη ούσαν: even when not existing, the ptc. agreeing formally with $\pi \rho o \sigma \pi o l \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, but in sense with Eugyevelas. St. and Steup write ouσης. - 25. αύχησιν: exulting pride, i.e. occasion for boasting. The word only here in Thuc.; elsewhere only in postclassical writers. Cf. aux nua 2.62.28; 7. 66. 15, 75, 37; αὐγεῖν 2. 39, 18.— 26. ώς οὐ περὶ άλλοτρίων: for ώς περὶ οὐ from reluctance to separate the prep. from its case. Cf. 1.78.1.

27. ὧν: neut. (as διὰ ταῦτα shows), summing up what precedes, i.e. a position like this, which in life excites

envy, but after death admiration .-28. τὰ τδια ἐπιβοώμενος: assailed in my private life. Cf. 3 above. - 29. metaxaplw: doubtless intentional repetition of Nicias' word in 12. 16. - Heloποννήσου τὰ δυνατώτατα: i.e. Argos, Mantinea, and Elis. — 30. ὑμίν: note the emphatic position, as 1.47.5; 3. 61. 7; 7. 78. 26. — ἐς μίαν ἡμέραν . . . άγωνίσασθαι: and upon a single day I forced the Lacedaemonians to stake all at Mantinea. ές μίαν ἡμέραν, under the influence of κατέστησα for έν μια ημέρα, which v. H. would write. Cf. 4.89.6; 8. 67. 5. For κατέστησα with inf., cf. 2. 84. 24. - 31. ev Martirela: 5. 66 ff. -32. και περιγενόμενοι τη μάχη: though victorious on the field (418 B.C.). - oùδέπω και νῦν: i.e. after three years.

17. Use both my fresh young vigor and the tried luck of Nicias, and do not abandon the Sicilian expedition out of baseless fear. We shall not have there to contend with a great power; besides, against the Syracusans we

είναι ές την Πελοποννησίων δύναμιν λόγοις τε πρέπουσιν ώμίλησε καὶ ὀργῆ πίστιν παρασχομένη ἔπεισε καὶ νῦν μὴ πεφόβησθε αὐτήν, ἀλλ' ἔως ἐγώ τε ἔτι ἀκμάζω μετ' αὐτης καὶ ὁ Νικίας εὐτυχης δοκεῖ εἶναι, ἀποχρήσασθε τῆ ἐκατέρου ἡμῶν ἀφελία. καὶ τὸν ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν πλοῦν μὴ μεταγι- 2 γνώσκετε ὡς ἐπὶ μεγάλην δύναμιν ἐσόμενον. ὅχλοις τε γὰρ ξυμμείκτοις πολυανδροῦσιν αἱ πόλεις καὶ ῥαδίας ἔχουσι τῶν πολιτῶν τὰς μεταβολὰς καὶ ἐπιδοχάς. καὶ οὐδεὶς δὶ ἀὐτὸ ὡς 3

shall find allies in the Sicels. And for the enemies whom we leave behind here, we shall be more than a match by reason of our fleet. - 1. και ταῦτα ... Exerce: thus did my youthful folly, which is thought to be abnormal, deal with (consort with) the power of the Peloponnesians in fitting words and with an impetuosity that inspired faith win assent. This is substantially St.'s rendering; for Cl.'s and Steup's views, see App. - TavTa: cognate acc. with ωμίλησε (= ταύτην την όμιλιαν ωμίλησε, cf. 2, 37, 14 τὰ ίδια προσομιλοῦντες), as with ἔπεισε. — παρά φύσιν δοκοῦσα elva: i.e. transcending the bounds of even youthful folly. - 2. ές την Πελοποννησίων δύναμιν: either (1) the power of the Peloponnesians in general, i.e. the Lacedaemonian power as well as the confederacy, or (2) the states referred to in 16.29 Πελοποννήσου τὰ δυνατώτατα. For δύναμις, cf. 2. 7. 7 δσοι ησαν έκτδς της έαυτων δυνάμεως. - λόγοις πρέπουσιν: i.e. suitable to the occasion. -3. όργη πίστιν παρασχομένη: Kr.'s correction, with three good Mss., adopted by most editors for the Vulg. παρασχομένη.-4. πεφόβησθε: necessary correction of Reiske for πεφοβησθαι of the Mss. - αὐτήν: i.e. την έμην νεότητα και άνοιαν. - 5. ὁ Niklas εὐτυχής: cf.

- 5.16.4; 7.77.7.— ἀποχρήσασθε: make the most of. Cf. 1.68.20; 7.42.27.
- 6. μη μεταγιγνώσκετε: as 3. 40. 5. 7. δχλοις . . . Ευμμείκτοις: with motley crowds, i.e. of various race and so without community of feeling or interests. — 8. πολυανδρούσιν: swarm with inhabitants. The word found elsewhere only in late writers. — των πολιτών τὰς μεταβολάς και έπιδοχάς: πολιτών of E with Stahl, Steup, Hude, and the Oxford text, for πολιτειών of the rest of the Mss., which fits neither with ἐπιδοrás (which can only mean the reception of something additional) nor with the foll, sent., which clearly looks only to inhabitants here. Cf. 1.2.12 μάλιστα της γης η άριστη αιεί τας μεταβολάς των οικητόρων είχεν. έπιδοχάς only here in Thuc.
- 9. Si airs: on this account, i.e. (the idea of the preceding sent.) because of mixed population and easy changes of condition.— is $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ olketas $\pi \alpha \tau \rho l$ Sos: as for his own fatherland, such conditions not tending to rouse a true fatherland-feeling. The order—for one would expect these words after our = is prob. due to the fact that the correlating of τa $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ $\tau \delta$ σa μa and τa $\delta \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $\chi \omega \rho a$ was an afterthought. Some editors take obdels zeugmatically with the second our clause = no state,

10 περὶ οἰκείας πατρίδος οὖτε τὰ περὶ τὸ σῶμα ὅπλοις ἐξήρτυται οὖτε τὰ ἐν τῆ χώρα νομίμοις κατασκευαῖς · ὅ τι δὲ ἔκαστος ἢ ἐκ τοῦ λέγων πείθειν οἴεται ἢ στασιάζων ἀπὸ τοῦ κοινοῦ λαβὼν ἄλλην γῆν, μὴ κατορθώσας, οἰκήσειν, ταῦτα ἑτοιμάζεται. καὶ οὐκ εἰκὸς τὸν τοιοῦτον ὅμιλον οὖτε λόγου μιὰ γνώμη 4 15 ἀκροᾶσθαι οὖτε ἐς τὰ ἔργα κοινῶς τρέπεσθαι · ταχὺ δ' ἄν ὡς ἔκαστοι, εἴ τι καθ' ἡδονὴν λέγοιτο, προσχωροῖεν, ἄλλως τε καὶ εἰ στασιάζουσιν, ὤσπερ πυνθανόμεθα. καὶ μὴν οὐδ' ὁπλῖ- 5 ται οὖτ' ἐκείνοις ὅσοιπερ κομποῦνται, οὖτε οἱ ἄλλοι Ἑλληνες

But ovocis must unquestionably mean the same for both clauses, and that individual persons are meant is proved not only by the expression τὰ περί τὸ σωμα, but also by ξκαστος (of the foll. sent.), which is the positive antithesis to the negative here. — 10. τὰ περὶ τὸ σῶμα: in matters pertaining to personal protection.— if protection.— if protection. its two dependent clauses. Cf. 15. 16. For the sense of the passage, cf. 1.80. 10 και τοις άλλοις άπασι άριστα έξήρτυνται, πλούτω τε ίδιω και δημοσίω κτέ. - 11. νομίμοις κατασκευαίς: with regular (or proper) equipments, i.e. in buildings etc. The Schol. explains voutuous by od rais νομιζομέναις, άλλα ταις Ικαναίς · ούτω και νόμιμον φήτορα τον ίκανον καί νόμιμον άθλητήν φαμεν. St. and Cl. adopt the emendation of Dukas - μονίμοις, permanent. -ő τι δè . . . ταῦτα ἐτοιμάζεται: butwhatever each man thinks that he can obtain from the common stock by persuasive speech or by sedition, and settle with it elsewhere, in case of failure, that he provides for himself. "The obscurity of the latter part of the sentence arises from the principal idea being expressed by the participle and the subordinate idea by the verb "(Jowett). ἐκ τοῦ λέγων

πείθειν (lit. 'from persuading by speech') is parallel to στασιάζων. The reference is to colonization in consequence of popular decree or of sedition. For the epanaleptic ταῦτα in plural after the collective δτι, cf. Xen. Cyrop. 1.6. 11 δτι δ' ἄν πρὸς τοῖς εἰρημένοις λαμβάνη τις, ταῦτα τιμὴν νομιοῦσι. Kr. Spr. 58, 4, 5. See App.

14. τον τοιούτον όμιλον: a rabble of this kind. For Thuc.'s use — 16 times in all — of the Ionic and poetic όμιλος, see C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXXI, 80.—15. ώς έκαστοι: severally. See on 1. 3. 19.—16. εί τι καθ' ήδονὴν λέγοιτο: i.e. by Athenian ambassadors.—προσχωροϊεν: sc. ἡμῦν.

17. καὶ μὴν ώπλίσθη: the whole section, suspected by Cl. on the ground that it has nothing to do with the matter in hand, is bracketed by Steup and Müller. See App. It is generally agreed that it is at least an observation of Thuc. rather than of Alcibiades, who could hardly use ἐντῷδο τῷ πολέμω when there had been at least a nominal peace for six years.—18. οὕτ' ἐκείνους όσοιπερ κομποῦνται, οὕτε οἱ ἄλλοι Ἑλληνες κτέ.: parataxis, though the second is really subord. to the first.— κομποῦνται: only here in Thuc., and rare

διεφάνησαν τοσούτοι ὄντες ὅσους ἔκαστοι σφᾶς αὐτοὺς ἠρίθ20 μουν, ἀλλὰ μέγιστον δὴ αὐτοὺς ἐψευσμένη ἡ Ἑλλὰς μόλις ἐν τῷδε τῷ πολέμῳ ἰκανῶς ὡπλίσθη. τά τε οὖν ἐκεῖ ἐξ ὧν β ἐγὼ ἀκοἢ αἰσθάνομαι τοιαῦτα καὶ ἔτι εὐπορώτερα ἔσται (βαρβάρους [τε] γὰρ πολλοὺς ἔξομεν οῦ Συρακοσίων μίσει ξυνεπιθήσονται αὐτοῖς), καὶ τὰ ἐνθάδε οὐκ ἐπικωλύσει, ἢν ὑμεῖς
25 ὀρθῶς βουλεύησθε. οἱ γὰρ πατέρες ἡμῶν τοὺς αὐτοὺς τού τους οὖσπερ νῦν φασι πολεμίους ὑπολιπόντας ἄν ἡμᾶς πλεῖν καὶ προσέτι τὸν Μῆδον ἐχθρὸν ἔχοντες τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐκτήσαντο, οὐκ ἄλλῳ τινὶ ἢ τῆ περιουσία τοῦ ναυτικοῦ ἰσχύοντες καὶ νῦν οὖτε ἀνέλπιστοί πω μᾶλλον Πελοποννήσιοι 8
30 ἐς ἡμᾶς ἐγένοντο, εἴ τε καὶ πάνυ ἔρρωνται, τὸ μὲν ἐς τὴν γῆν

in prose. Cf. κόμπος 2.40.3, 41.5; κομπώδης 2.62.7; 5.68.6.—20. μέγιστον: cogn. acc. with έψευσμένη.

22. ἔτι εὐπορώτερα: explained by the parenthetical sent. (βαρβάρους... αὐτοῖς). — βαρβάρους [τε] γὰρ πολλούς: i.e. many Sicels. Cf. 88. § 3 ff.; 3. 103.§1. Haacke was the first to bracket the inexplicable τε.—24. ἐπικωλύσει: rare word. Cf. Xen. Oec. 8.4; Soph. Phil. 1242.

25. οἱ γὰρ πατέρες . . . τὴν ἀρχὴν ἐκτήσαντο: the stress is on καὶ προσέτι τὸν Μῆδον ἐχθρὸν ἔχοντες, the argument being that the Athenians had at the time when they acquired their supremacy a foreign as well as a domestic foe.—26. φασι: i.e. Nicias and his followers.— ὑπολιπόντας: the Mss. ABE give ὑπολείποντας, which Bk. and Cl. adopt, but most of the rest rightly adopt the aor. Cf. 36. 13; 8. 82. 8; and esp. 10. 1, to which our passage refers.

29. και νῦν: paratactically correlated with of πατέρες ἡμῶν. Cf. και νῦν above (3). The argument is: 'as our

fathers established their sway even against a double enemy, so our enemies havenothing serious to hope (we nothing material to fear); irruptions into Attica they may still make without great harm to us.' — ούτε άνέλπιστοι . . . έγένοντο: never were the Peloponnesians more hopeless against us. ἀνέλπιστοι act. as 3, 30. 6; 8. 1. 15. Cf. τὸ ἀνέλπιστον 2. 51. 13. Elsewhere in Thuc. pass. 33. 22; 4. 55. 8. Kr. suggests that the passive force would suit the sense better, - " and so even now the Peloponnesians were never less to be expected against us." - but that contradicts the foll. remark that nothing stands in the way of their irruptions by land. — 30. et 76: correl. to οὖτε. The argument is: 'on the one hand, they never had less hope against us; on the other, even if they are ever so confident, they can do no considerable harm.' In the paratactic arrangement (τὸ μὲν . . . ἐσβάλλειν . . . ἰκανοί είσι, $\tau\hat{\omega}$ δὲ ναυτικ $\hat{\varphi}$. . . β λά π τειν) the stress is on the second clause. - Eppwyta: in moral sense. See on 2. 8. 1. — τὸ μὲν

ήμων εσβάλλειν, καν μη εκπλεύσωμεν, ίκανοί είσι, τώ δε ναυτικώ ουκ αν δύναιντο βλάπτειν υπόλοιπον γαρ ήμιν εστιν αντίπαλον ναυτικόν.

18 "Ωστε τί αν λέγοντες εἰκὸς ἢ αὐτοὶ ἀποκνοῖμεν ἢ πρὸς 1 τοὺς ἐκεῖ ξυμμάχους σκηπτόμενοι μὴ βοηθοῖμεν; οἷς χρεών, ἐπειδή γε καὶ ξυνωμόσαμεν, ἐπαμύνειν, καὶ μὴ ἀντιτιθέναι ὅτι οὐδὲ ἐκεῖνοι ἡμῖν. οὐ γὰρ ἴνα δεῦρο ἀντιβοηθῶσι προσε5 θέμεθα αὐτούς, ἀλλ' ἵνα τοῖς ἐκεῖ ἐχθροῖς ἡμῶν λυπηροὶ ὄντες

... ἐσβάλλειν: to be taken as accus. of specification with Ικανοί, as τὸ μὲν προσταλαιπωρεῖν with πρόθυμος 2. 53. 9.

18. There is, therefore, no reason for not fulfilling our treaty obligations to our Sicilian allies. Nor can we, if we would remain an imperial state, refrain from the expedition. Let us go, then, not only that we may abase the arrogance of the Peloponnesians, but also that we may either by the subjugation of Sicily win empire over all Hellenes or at least injure the Syracusans. Do not let yourselves be deterred by Nicias' advice, but in the spirit of your fathers allow scope to every period of life, and by stirring activity exercise and strengthen your powers. For an energetic state inactivity is ruin, and holding firmly to customs and laws is under all circumstances the greatest safety. — 1. ώστε τί αν λέγοντες . . . μη βοηθοιμεν: what reasonable thing then can we say why we ourselves should shirk (the enterprise), or to our allies there by way of excuse why we should refuse to aid them. There is something incongruous in the arrangement of the sent. As it stands, τί λέγοντες είκός belongs to both members. We should have expected in the second member σκηπτοίμεθα μη βοηθείν. but as the main idea of the second clause is expressed in a finite verb (βοηθοίμεν) to balance the main idea of the first $(\dot{a}\pi o \kappa \nu o \hat{i}\mu \epsilon \nu)$, so $\sigma \kappa \eta \pi \tau \dot{o}\mu \epsilon \nu o i$ is felt as the antithesis to héyorres, as if the first n stood before that ptc. - aitol: antithetic to προς τους έκει ξυμμάχους σκηπτόμενοι. - 2. μη βοηθοίμεν: μη because a neg. answer is implied. Kr. compares Dem. 23. 75 πως αν δίκαια ταὐτά καὶ μή γένοιτο; Χen. Mem. 3. 1. 10 τί οὐ σκοποθμεν πώς αν αθτών μη διαμαρτάνοιμεν; - χρεών: without έστι as in 9; 1.77. 13; 2.61.17; 7.73.5.—3. ἐπειδή γε καί ξυνωμόσαμεν: especially as we have actually sworn to do so. For this intensive force of kal, see Kr. Spr. 69, 32, 17. At least with the Leontines the Athenians had a sworn treaty. Cf. 19. § 1, and see App. on 6.12. — autitibévai : to hold against, retort, in 2.85.8 and 3.56. 16, with gen., to set against, contrast. — 4. ότι οὐδὲ ἐκεῖνοι: sc. ἐπήμυναν. - προσεθέμεθα αὐτούς: attached them, nobis eos adiunximus, with accus. only here in Thuc., with dat. (se alicui adiungere) freq. Cf. 80.12; 3.11. 20; 8.48.29, 87.25. - 5. Toîs êkcî êx θροῖς ἡμῶν: i.e. τοῖς Συρακοσίοις, who were έχθροί, even if not in open war πολέμιοι.

δεῦρο κωλύωσιν αὐτοὺς ἐπιέναι. τήν τε ἀρχὴν οὕτως ἐκτη- 2 σάμεθα καὶ ἡμεῖς καὶ ὅσοι δὴ ἄλλοι ἦρξαν, παραγιγνόμενοι προθύμως τοῖς αἰεὶ ἡ βαρβάροις ἡ Ἑλλησιν ἐπικαλουμένοις, ἐπεὶ εἴ γε ἡσυχάζοιεν πάντες ἡ φυλοκρινοῖεν οῖς χρεῶν βοη10 θεῖν, βραχὰ ἄν τι προσκτώμενοι αὐτῆ περὶ αὐτῆς ᾶν ταύτης μᾶλλον κινδυνεύοιμεν. τὸν γὰρ προύχοντα οὐ μόνον ἐπιόντα τις ἀμύνεται, ἀλλὰ καὶ μὴ [ὅπως] ἔπεισι προκαταλαμβάνει, καὶ οὐκ ἔστιν ἡμῖν ταμιεύεσθαι ἐς ὅσον βουλόμεθα 3 ἄρχειν, ἀλλὶ ἀνάγκη, ἐπειδήπερ ἐν τῷδε καθέσταμεν, τοῖς μὲν

6. ovrws: looks forward here, being explained by the ptc. clause παραγιγνόμενοι κτέ.—7. ἦρξαν: ingressive= $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}\nu$ έκτήσαντο. - 8. τοίς . . . ἐπικαλουμένοις: the attrib, ptc. placed after noun attended by other modifiers. See on 1. 11. 19. G. 969; Kühn. 464, 8. — η βαρβάροις: referring to Nicias' reproach 11. 27 Έγεσταίων, άνδρων βαρβάρων. ---9. emel: whereas. Cf. 2. 51. 21; 7. 30. 8. - φυλοκρινοίεν οίς χρεών βοηθείν: distinguish by race whom we should aid. Cf. Bk.'s Anecd. I, p. 71 (referring prob. to our passage) κυρίως τὸ τὰς φυλάς (perhaps τὰ φῦλα) τὰς ἐν ταῖς πόλεσι διακρίνειν. - 10. βραχύ αν τι προσκτώμενοι . . . κινδυνεύοιμεν: some editors consider that dv is here not merely repeated (see on 4.18.17), but that the first av belongs to the ptc., the second only to the verb. But for the thought one dv would have sufficed, since only the verb requires it. See on 5.9.16. — περί αὐτής ταύτης: i.e. της ὑπαρχούσης άρχης. — 11. κινδυνεύοιμεν: from third to first person in transition from general remark to special condition. Steup adopts Hude's attractive conjecture in 1. 9 ήσυχάζοιμεν πάντως and φυλοκρινοίμεν, thus avoiding transition

here. — 12. μη [όπως] έπεισι προκαταλαμβάνει: takes precaution lest he shall come on. GMT. 370. For προκαταλαμβάνειν, see on 1. 57. 15; 3. 2. 15. Pp. was first to bracket δπως. Cl. thought μη δπως might be understood as = δπως $\mu\eta$, but free as Thuc, is in placing strongly emphatic words before the conjunction (see on 14. 10. 5) there is no parallel in Thuc. or elsewhere for such an inversion as $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \pi \omega s$. Besides μη δπως elsewhere (Xen. Cyrop. 1.3. 10), like οὐχ ὅπως, means not only not. Some editors (e.g. Kr., Hude) transpose (ὅπως μή), others (e.g. St., Bm.) adopt Haacke's conjecture μή πως. But δπως was prob. a marginal explanation added to un which crept into the text.

13. ταμιεύεσθαι ἐς ὅσον βουλόμεθα ἀρχειν: to calculate, reckon accurately, to what extent we will rule. ταμιεύεσθαι, a term from the counter, only here in Thuc., but cf. ταμίαν γενέσθαι 78. 14. Cf. Xen. Cyrop. 3. 3. 47 παραδόντες ἐαυτοὺς ἡμῖν ταμιεύεσθαι, ὥσθ' ὁπόσοις ἀν βουλώμεθα μάχεσθαι. Strabo, 4. 196 c, prettily applies the action to the cautious warfare of the Iberians, ἐταμίευον και κατεκερμάτιζον τοὺς ἀγῶνας.— 14. ἐν τῷδε: Cl. remarks not merely = ἐν τω

15 ἐπιβουλεύειν, τοὺς δὲ μὴ ἀνιέναι, διὰ τὸ ἀρχθῆναι ᾶν ὑφ' ἑτέρων [αὐτοῖς κίνδυνον εἶναι], εἰ μὴ αὐτοὶ ἄλλων ἄρχοιμεν. καὶ οὐκ ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ ἐπισκεπτέον ὑμῖν τοῖς ἄλλοις τὸ ἦσυχον, εἰ μὴ καὶ τὰ ἐπιτηδεύματα ἐς τὸ ὁμοῖον μεταλήψεσθε.

"Λογισάμενοι οὖν τάδε μᾶλλον αὐξήσειν, ἐπ' ἐκεῖνα ἢν \$
20 ἴωμεν, ποιώμεθα τὸν πλοῦν, ἵνα Πελοποννησίων τε στορέσωμεν τὸ φρόνημα, εἰ δόξομεν ὑπεριδόντες τὴν ἐν τῷ παρόντι
ἡσυχίαν καὶ ἐπὶ Σικελίαν πλεῦσαι, καὶ ἄμα ἢ τῆς Ἑλλάδος,

άρχειν (Schol.), but more pregnant έν $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon \ \tau \hat{\eta} s \ d\rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$, "at the point of sway that we have reached," as expressed also by the pf. καθέσταμεν. But that is implied in the Schol. ἐν τῷ ἄρχειν.— 15. aviévai: let go, turn loose. Though Thuc, does not use the verb elsewhere with accus, of pers., the const. is common enough in other Attic writers. δια το αρχθήναι αν υφ' έτέρων: because we might come under the domination of others. Cf. 7.62. 8 διά τὸ βλάπτειν αν τὸ τῆς ἐπιστήμης τῆ βαρύτητι τῶν νεῶν. For the bracketed words [αὐτοῖς κίνδυνον είναι], see App. -- 16. καὶ οὐκ . . . τὸ ที่ธบของ: and you must not regard inaction in the same light as others .-17. ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ: cf. ἐκ τοῦ ὁμοίου 78. 24, 87. 23; ἐκ τοῦ ἴσου 2. 3. 19. -- ἐπισκεπτέον: cf. Schol. τὸ ἐπισκεπτέον ἀντὶ τοῦ ἐπιτηδευτέον τέθεικεν. The verb only here in Thuc. — τοις άλλοις: dat, with έκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ. G. 1175; H. 773 a; S. 1500.—τὸ ήσυχον: neut. adj. stronger than the abstract την ήσυχίαν. See C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 75. — εἰ μὴ . . . μεταλήψεσθε: unless you will change your conduct also to the like. Cf. ès τὸ ἴσον καθιστάναι 1. 121. 15. See on μεταλάβετε 87. 28, and cf. μεταλαμβάνειν, take in exchange, 1. 120. 16. τὰ ἐπιτηδεύματα, lit. practices,

the sum or consistent carrying out of which individual acts is ἐπιτήδευσις. See on 1, 32, 10; 2, 37, 11.

19. τάδε: τὰ ἐνταῦθα πράγματα Schol. — ἐπ' ἐκεῖνα: for emphasis before conj. See on 14. 10. 5.—20. στορέσωμεν τὸ Φρόνημα: the Schol, remarks των παρά θουκυδίδη τροπικών δνομάτων τὸ σκληρότατον τοῦτό ἐστι, ἀλλὰ κατὰ ᾿Αλκιβιάδην $\phi\eta\sigma l\nu$. The figure is taken from the stilling of stormy waves. ἐστόρεσεν δὲ θεδς μεγακήτεα πόντον Hom. γ 158 (cf. Hdt. 7. 193. 2). Cf. στορέσαι την δργήν Aesch. Prom. 190; 'Αθηναΐοι Μαραθώνι χρυσοφόρων Μήδων έστόρεσαν δύναμιν (inscription and Lycurg. c. Leocr. 109; sternet Poenos Gallumque rebellem Verg. Aen. 6. 858; stratis. iam militum odiis Tac. Hist. 1.58. Imitations in Plut. Lucull. 5; Caes. 25. See C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXXI, 75.—21. el 86\(\xi\)ouev: if we shall seem, i.e. if it shall be said of us. — ὑπεριδόντες: see on 11. 17. την έν τῷ παρόντι ήσυχίαν: our present quiet; directed esp. against the reproach of Nicias 11. 20 καταφρονήσαντες ήδη και Σικελίας έφιεσθε. What Nicias condemns, Alcibiades commends. Note και (even) έπι Σικελίαν here as και Σικελίαs there. — 22. καὶ ἄμα ἢ τῆς Ἑλλάδος . . . ἄρξωμεν, η κακώσωμέν γε κτέ.:

τῶν ἐκεῖ προσγενομένων, πάσης τῷ εἰκότι ἄρξωμεν, ἡ κακώσωμέν γε Συρακοσίους, ἐν ῷ καὶ αὐτοὶ καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι ώφε
25 λησόμεθα. τὸ δὲ ἀσφαλές, καὶ μένειν, ἦν τι προχωρῆ, καὶ δ ἀπελθεῖν, αἱ νῆες παρέξουσι ναυκράτορες γὰρ ἐσόμεθα καὶ ξυμπάντων Σικελιωτῶν. καὶ μὴ ὑμᾶς ἡ Νικίου τῶν λόγων 6 ἀπραγμοσύνη καὶ διάστασις τοῖς νέοις ἐς τοὺς πρεσβυτέρους ἀποτρέψη, τῷ δὲ εἰωθότι κόσμω, ὦσπερ καὶ οἱ πατέρες ἡμῶν

still dependent on Iva (20). For the correlation $\tau \epsilon \dots \kappa a \ell d\mu a$, see App. on 4. 27. 4. Cl.'s restoration of the subjv. for the fut. (ἄρξομεν and κακώσομεν) is approved by Steup and Hude, Most editors accept the future and explain it as anacoluthic transition to independent const. But it seems more natural to continue the sentence as it began and express the chief goal of Alcibiades' ambition as something aimed at .-23. τῶ εἰκότι: in all probability. Found only here; elsewhere Thuc, uses εἰκότως, έκ τοῦ εἰκότος, κατά τὸ εἰκός. - 24. ἐν ὧ: i.e. τῶ κακῶσαι Συρακοσίους (Schol.). οί ξύμμαχοι: i.e. the allies in Sicily. - ώφελησόμεθα: fut. mid. as pass., as 7. 67. 16.

25. τὸ δὲ ἀσφαλές: obj. of παρέξουσι and explained by και μένειν, και ἀπελθεῖν. Kr. renders (on the analogy of τὸ δὲ μέγωτον) what however is (or assures) security. Steup, satisfied with neither explanation, commends Gertz's conjecture τὸ δὲ ἀσφαλῶς. — ἥν τι προχωρῆ: Reiske's correction, with three inferior Mss., for προσχωρῆ. The question can only be of success in general here, not of the adhesion of new allies. For the expression, cf. 74. 11; 2. 5. 2; and Sall. Jug. 35. 4 sin id parum procedat.— 26. παρέξουσιν ναυκράτορες: Valckenaer's correction for παρέξουσιν

αὐτοκράτορες of all the Mss. Cf. 5.97. 5, 109.7. For gen. dependent on ναυκράτορες St. compares Hdt. 5.36.11 ναυκρατέες της θαλάσσης.

27. ἡ Νικίου κτέ.: the art. covers both απραγμοσύνη and διάστασις. - Neκίου τῶν λόγων: i.e. implied in Nicias' speech. — 28. διάστασις . . . πρεσβυτέρους: putting the young at variance with the older men. The unusual dat. (for τῶν νέων) Kr. explains as due to the desire to avoid another gen., along with Νικίου τῶν λόγων, dependent on διάστασις. - 29. άποτρέψη: so nearly all recent editors for ἀποστρέψη, which, "in fig. sense is prob. nowhere permissible" (Kr.). Cf. 8. 21, 19. 6, and see on 4, 80, 2. — οἱ πατέρες ἡμῶν . . . ές τάδε ήραν αὐτά: it is doubtless intentional on the part of Thuc, to put into the mouth of Alcibiades, who would play the role of successor in Pericles' policy, almost the very words with which the latter just before the Peloponnesian War encourages the Athenians (1. 144. § 4): of your marenes ημών ὑποστάντες Μήδους . . . ές τάδε προήγαγοναύτά · ών ού χρη λείπεσθαι. Alcibiades, however, puts the merit of the fathers (i.e. of Pericles and his contemporaries) esp. in the fact that they, as the then young men, took counsel along with the older men (αμα νέοι γεραιτέροις

30 ἄμα νέοι γεραιτέροις βουλεύοντες ές τάδε ήραν αὐτά, καὶ νῦν τῷ αὐτῷ τρόπῷ πειρᾶσθε προαγαγεῖν τὴν πόλιν, καὶ νομίσατε νεότητα μὲν καὶ γῆρας ἄνευ ἀλλήλων μηδὲν δύνασθαι, ὁμοῦ δὲ τό τε φαῦλον καὶ τὸ μέσον καὶ τὸ πάνυ ἀκριβὲς ἄν ξυγκραθὲν μάλιστ' ἄν ἰσχύειν, καὶ τὴν πόλιν, ἄν μὲν ἡσυχάζη, τρίψε85 σθαί τε αὐτὴν περὶ αὐτήν, ὥσπερ καὶ ἄλλο τι, καὶ πάντων τὴν ἐπιστήμην ἐγγηράσεσθαι, ἀγωνιζομένην δὲ αἰεὶ προσλήψεσθαί τε τὴν ἐμπειρίαν καὶ τὸ ἀμύνεσθαι οὐ λόγῷ, ἀλλ' ἔργῷ μᾶλλον ξύνηθες ἔξειν. παράπαν τε γιγνώσκω πόλιν μὴ τ

βουλεύοντες), and uses this against the assertion of Nicias, 12, 15 τὸ πρᾶγμα μέγα είναι και μή οίον νεωτέρους βουλεύσασθαί τε και δξέως μεταχειρίσαι. Cf. also the thoughts of Pericles 2.36 with those of Alcibiades here. — 30. ana véou γεραιτέροις βουλεύοντες: as young men counseling with older men, the véoi as part of the marépes taking same case. See on 31.16. Note the separation, as often, of the gram. connected words. - ès τάδε ήραν αὐτά: raised our power to this point. Cf. 1. 144. 25 es τάδε προήγαγον αὐτά. - 33. τό τε φαῦλον . . . καὶ τὸ πάνυ άκριβές: Alcibiades ironically takes the standpoint of Nicias, as if youth in its thoughtlessness were of little use, while age has the advantage of most careful reflection. - av ξυγκραθεν μάλτστ' αν ίσχύειν: αν repeated as in 1. 10 above.—34. αν μέν ήσυχ άζη: for $d\nu = \dot{\epsilon} d\nu$, see on 13.4.— $\tau \rho i \psi \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$ a dτην περί αυτήν: will wear out of itself. i.e. without influence from outside. The verb as in 7, 42, 35. Cf. 8, 46, 13 αύτοὺς περί ἐαυτοὺς τοὺς Ελληνας κατατρίψαι. For the thought of the sent.. cf. Liv. 30. 44 nulla magna civitas quiescere potest. si foris hostem non habet, domi inve-

nit. - 35. ώσπερ και άλλο τι: just as των την έπιστήμην έγγηράσεσθαι: SC. την πόλιν, will grow old in knowledge of everything. So with St. and others. Cf. Liv. 1. 22. 2 senescere civitatem otio ratus undique materiam excitandi belli quaerebat. έγγηράσεσθαι, i.e. will grow old έν τώ τρίβεσθαι. See on έλλαμπρύνεσθαι 12. 13. Steup takes ἐπιστήμην as subj., explaining έγγηράσεσθαι i.e. έν αὐτη (τη πόλει), and ἀγωνιζομένην he then makes agree with την πόλιν as if no new subj. had intervened. — 37. τὸ ἀμύνεσθαι . . . μάλλον ξύνηθες έξειν: will have self-defense more as a habit, opp, to the caution only theoretically recommended by Nicias (10. § 5, 11. § 7, 13. § 2). Cf. Sall. Jug. 85.7 ita ad hoc aetatis a pueritia fui, ut omnes labores et pericula consueta habeam.

38. παράπαν τε γιγνώσκω: cf. the similar conclusion 37.17 το τε ξύμπαν . . . ήγοῦμαι. — γιγνώσκω . . . μοι δοκείν: I declare that in my opinion. γιγνώσκω being thus understood (= censeo), the pleonasm is relieved. — μη άπράγμονα: not inactive in foreign politics.—

- ἀπράγμονα τάχιστ' ἄν μοι δοκεῖν ἀπραγμοσύνης μεταβολῆ 40 διαφθαρῆναι, καὶ τῶν ἀνθρώπων ἀσφαλέστατα τούτους οἰκεῖν οῦ ᾶν τοῖς παροῦσιν ἤθεσι καὶ νόμοις, ἦν καὶ χείρω ἢ, ἦκιστα διαφόρως πολιτεύωσιν."
- 19 Τοιαῦτα μὲν ὁ ᾿Αλκιβιάδης εἶπεν. οἱ δ' ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἀκούσαν- 1 τες ἐκείνου τε καὶ τῶν Ἐγεσταίων καὶ Λεοντίνων φυγάδων, οῦ παρελθόντες ἐδέοντό τε καὶ τῶν ὁρκίων ὑπομιμνήσκοντες ἱκέτευον βοηθησαι σφίσι, πολλῷ μᾶλλον ἡ πρότερον ὤρμηντο στρατεύειν. καὶ ὁ Νικίας γνοὺς ὅτι ἀπὸ μὲν τῶν αὐτῶν Ἦλογων οὐκ ἄν ἔτι ἀποτρέψειε, παρασκευῆς δὲ πλήθει, εἰ πολλὴν ἐπιτάξειε, τάχ᾽ ἄν μεταστήσειεν αὐτούς, παρελθών αὐτοῦς αὖθις ἔλεγε τοιάδε
 - 39. άπραγμοσύνης μεταβολη: by a change to political inactivity, recommended, acc. to Alcibiades (cf. 27 above) by Nicias for Athens. Cf. ή ἐναντία μετα- $\beta o \lambda \eta$, a change to the opposite, 2. 43. 26. In 76. 21 μεταβολή is exchange. Alcibiades is consciously using Pericles' terms with regard to the danger of an imperial state in keeping clear of foreign complications, though the situation is quite different. Cf. 2.62. § 2, 3.-41. of an tois mapodoin holes. . . ήκιστα διαφόρως πολιτεύωσιν: who in their politics are as little as possible at variance with established customs and laws, even when these are inferior. This view agrees in form and content with that of Cleon 3.37.§3. The dat. with 'the adv. διαφόρως as with the adj. Cf. διαφέρεσθαί τινι.
 - 19. As the Athenians after Alcibiades' speech are more than ever in favor of the expedition, Nicias tries to frighten them by a strong picture of the efforts and the sacrifices that will be required.—1. τοιαθτα μέν: Bk.

expects τοιαῦτα δέ, doubtless after the analogy of 88.1; 1.44.1, 79.1; 3.49. 1, 68, 1. But here and in 41, 1 exceptions are made to the rule that after a speech in reply narration is resumed with $\delta \epsilon$, because in both these cases a further speech follows. — 2. Tŵv 'Eyeσταίων: it is quite natural that the ambassadors of the Egestaeans (8. § 1, 2) were present also in the ecclesia which was to determine about the equipment (8. § 3) of the fleet to be sent to Sicily. - Acortivov: dependent on φυγάδων, and only to Λεοντίνων φυγάδων belongs of. For the relations of the Leontines to Athens, cf. 3, 86. 12, and see App. on 6.12; on the fortunes of the Leontines, cf. 5. 4. § 4 ff.; 6. 6. § 2. See App. — 4. πολλφ μάλλον ... στρατεύειν: cf. 15. § 1.

5. ἀπὸ τῶν αὐτῶν λόγων: for ἀπό, by means of, cf. 1.91.28; 3.48.3; 7.29. 6. Cf. ἐκ τῶν λόγων 1.72.11.—
7. ἐπιτάξειε: cf. 8. § 3.— παρελθών αὐτοῖς αὐθις ἔλεγε τοιάδε: αὐτοῖς, as well as αὐθις, belongs to παρελθών, see App.

20 " Επειδή πάντως όρω ύμας, ω ' Αθηναίοι, ωρμημένους 1 στρατεύειν, ξυνενέγκοι μεν ταῦτα ως βουλόμεθα, ἐπὶ δὲ τῷ παρόντι α γιγνωσκω σημανω. ἐπὶ γὰρ πόλεις, ως ἐγω ἀκοῆ 2 αἰσθάνομαι, μέλλομεν ἰέναι μεγάλας καὶ οὖθ' ὑπηκόους ἀλλή- 5 λων οὐδὲ δεομένας μεταβολῆς, ἡ αν ἐκ βιαίου τις δουλείας ἀσμενος ἐς ράω μετάστασιν χωροίη, οὖτ' αν τὴν ἀρχὴν τὴν ἡμετέραν εἰκότως ἀντ' ἐλευθερίας προσδεξαμένας, τό τε πλήθος, ως ἐν μιὰ νήσῳ, πολλὰς τὰς Ἑλληνίδας. πλὴν γὰρ 3 Νάξου καὶ Κατάνης, ας ἐλπίζω ἡμιν κατὰ τὸ Λεοντίνων ξυγ- 10 γενὲς προσέσεσθαι, ἄλλαι εἰσὶν ἑπτά, καὶ παρεσκευασμέναι

Second Speech of Nicias (20-23)

20. May your undertaking be successful: but make up your minds to this, that you will find in Sicily itself only weak support, your enemies on the contrary numerous and wellequipped, especially in cavalry superior to you, and not dependent on imports of supplies from abroad. -1. πάντως: under all circumstances. Cf. 5. 41. 19. - 2. Euvevéykoi: act., as freq. in Hdt., in the sense of ξυμφέρεσθαι (1. 23. 4; 7. 44. 3; 8. 83. 4, 84. 1). - ἐπὶ τῷ παρόντι: in view of present circumstances, as 2, 36, 18. See on $\pi \rho \delta s$ τὸ παρόν 2. 22. 1, and ἐπὶ τοῦ παρόντος 2. 54. 8. - 3. Thavê: as 2. 45. 8 and freq. in Thuc.; not common elsewhere in Attic prose.

ἐπὶ γὰρ πόλεις κτέ.: for introductory γάρ, see on 33. 7. The exposition from here to the end of the chap. is directed against the argument of Alcibiades (17. § 2 ff.) that in Sicily they will not have to deal with a μεγάλη δύταμις. Cf. 21. 1.— ώς ἐγὼ ἀκοῦ αἰσθάνομαι: cf. Alcibiades' words 17. 22.— 4. μεγάλας: cf. 7. 58. 20 οἱ Σικελιῶται αὐτοὶ... ἄτε μεγάλας πόλεις οἰκοῦντες.— οῦθ' ὑπηκόους

άλλήλων οὐδὲ δεομένας: the first member of the neg. sent., as $o\ddot{v}\tau' \dot{a}\nu \dots \pi\rho o\sigma$ δεξαμένας (6) is the second, the former of the internal conditions of the Sicilian cities, the latter of their foreign relations. This becomes clearer with Bk.'s correction—οὐδέ for οὕτε in 5 and ουτ' for οὐδ' in 6. See L. Herbst, Philol. XVI, 329 ff.—6. ες ράω μετάστασιν: into an easier change of lot, i.e. into another condition more tolerable. Cf. 4.74.18. — οὖτ' αν . . . προσδεξαμένας : i.e. οὖθ αtάν προσδέξαιντο. Cf. 5. 15. 10; 7. 67. 26. In all three passages the Mss. vary between aor, and fut, ptc. The latter is preferred by L. Herbst (on dv with fut. ptc. in Thuc. p. 35 ff.); but cf. Stahl, Qu. Gr.2 p. 22 ff. — 8. ώς ἐν μιῷ vήσω: for a single island. For ω's cf. 1. 10. 34; 2. 65, 44; 3. 113, 25; 4, 34, 10; 7. 30. 20. — τὰς Ἑλληνίδας: opp. to the δχλοις ξυμμείκτοις of Alcibiades in 17.7, and emphatically put at the end to lead over to what follows. See App.

9. κατά το Λεοντίνων ξυγγενές: cf. 3. § 3.—10. προσέσεσθαι: will be with us, answering to προσγίγνεσθαι, attach oneself to.— ἄλλαι ἐπτά: Συράκουσαι, Σελινοῦς, Γέλα, 'Ακράγας, Μεσσήνη, 'Ιμέρα,

τοις πάσιν όμοιοτρόπως μάλιστα τη ήμετέρα δυνάμει, καὶ οὐχ ηκιστα ἐπὶ ἃς μάλλον πλέομεν, Σελινοῦς καὶ Συράκουσαι. πολλοὶ μὲν γὰρ ὁπλίται ἔνεισι καὶ τοξόται καὶ ἀκοντισταί, 4 πολλαὶ δὲ τριήρεις καὶ ὄχλος ὁ πληρώσων αὐτάς · χρήματά 15 τ' ἔχουσι, τὰ μὲν ίδια, τὰ δὲ καὶ ἐν τοις ἱεροις ἔστι [Σελινουντίοις] · Συρακοσίοις δὲ καὶ ἀπὸ βαρβάρων τινῶν ἀπ' ἀρχης φέρεται. ῷ δὲ μάλιστα ἡμῶν προέχουσιν, ἴππους τε πολλοὺς κέκτηνται καὶ σίτῳ οἰκείω καὶ οὐκ ἐπακτῷ χρῶνται.

Kαμάρινα (Schol.). Acrae and Casmenae, which might be thought of (acc. to 5. § 2), did not attain to independent importance.—και παρεσκευασμέναι κτέ: and indeed equipped with everything.—11. δμοιοτρόπως: only here in Thuc., the adj. 1. 6. 24; 3. 10. 5; 7.55. 6; 8. 96. 27; both very rare elsewhere in Attic writers. Cf. δμότροπα Hdt. 8. 144. 16.—12. μάλλον: sc. η έπι τὰς άλλας, i.e. especially.

13. πολλοί μέν . . . αὐτάς * χρήματά τ' έχουσι κτέ.: after the words above (10) καὶ παρεσκευασμέναι . . . δυνάμει, reference is here doubtless made again to all seven Sicilian cities thought of by Nicias as opponents of the Athenians, not alone to Selinus and Syracuse. Cf. also 7.58.21, where it is said of the contingents of the Siceliotes against Athens, όπλιται πολλοί και νήες και ίπποι και ὁ άλλος δμιλος άφθονος ξυνελέγη.-14. ὁ πληρώσων αὐτάς: to man them = Lat. qui with subjv., as 2.51.18; 4. 78. 29, 93. 13; 7. 85. 12.—15. ev τοις icpois: surprising as opp. to τὰ μὲν tota, the usual antithesis in money matters being kourds (1.80.19, 141.11) or δημόσιος (1. 80. 11). It is strange, too, that there is no express mention here, along with temple treasures, of the public moneys freq. kept in temples,

as Kr. noted suggesting the loss of rà δέ κοινά before τὰ δέ καί. - [Σελινουν-Tious]: bracketed as an interpolation. with Weidner (Parerg. Dinarch. et Thuc. p. 12f.). It rests on the erroneous assumption that from πολλοί μέν γάρ όπλιται (13) on only Selinus and Syracuse were under consideration and that the fact was to be emphasized that the Selinuntians, as opp. to the Syracusans, possessed only the resources treated under χρήματα . . . ξστι. That actually only the temples of Selinus served for the deposit of moneys is incredible. — 16. Συρακοσίοις δέ . . . ферета: and to the Syracusans from some barbarians also money has been paid from the beginning (or from of old). For ἀπὸ βαρβάρων . . . φέρεται, cf. 4. 87. 14 τοις άπο δμών χρήμασι φερομένοις. Βy βαρβάρων τινών Sicels are meant. Cf. 45. 4, 88. 18. On this much discussed passage, see App. —17. & St... προέχουσιν: and wherein they have the advantage over us. For sing. rel. referring to members correlated by $\tau \epsilon \dots$ καί, cf. μέγιστον 1.35.19; δεινότατον 2. 51. 11; ἀπορώτατον 7. 14. 4. Cobet (Mnem. N.S. XIV, 13) proposed δύο δὲ κτέ. - 18. σίτφ . . . ἐπακτῷ : = ἐπείσακτος στος Dem. 18. 87; 20. 31. Το require no imported food is emphasized 21 "Πρὸς οὖν τοιαύτην δύναμιν οὐ ναυτικῆς καὶ φαύλου στρα-1
τιᾶς μόνον δεῖ, ἀλλὰ καὶ πεζὸν πολὺν ξυμπλεῖν, εἴπερ βουλόμεθα ἄξιον τῆς διανοίας δρᾶν καὶ μὴ ὑπὸ ἱππέων πολλῶν
εἴργεσθαι τῆς γῆς, ἄλλως τε καὶ εἰ ξυστῶσιν αἱ πόλεις φοβηδ θεῖσαι καὶ μὴ ἀντιπαράσχωσιν ἡμῖν φιλοι τινὲς γενόμενοι,
ἄλλοι ἡ Ἐγεσταῖοι, ῷ ἀμυνούμεθα ἱππικόν (αἰσχρὸν δὲ βια-2
σθέντας ἀπελθεῖν ἡ ὖστερον ἐπιμεταπέμπεσθαι τὸ πρῶτον
ἀσκέπτως βουλευσαμένους), αὐτόθεν δὲ παρασκευῆ ἀξιόχρεῳ
ἐπιέναι, γνόντας ὅτι πολύ τε ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμετέρας αὐτῶν μέλλομεν

for Athenians, who acc. to Demosthenes depend of all men most upon foreign grain.

21. Therefore we must from the start take the field with unusual equipment, especially as the theater of war is so far away. - 1. φαύλου: elsewhere in Thuc. (31. 14; 4.9.6) of three terminations; in its real sense, ordinary, common, from which comes the prevailing meaning, unsatisfactory, worthless. Cf. English mean. — 2. ξυμπλείν: note the change to inf. dependent on δεî, as also ἐπιέναι (9), the words αἰσχρὸν (sc. 7) . . . βουλευσαμένους (6-8) being an independent parenthesis.—3. after: without τι. See on σαφές 4.50.11.— Stavolas: here, as freq., the plan conceived; see Introd.4 p. xlvii. — 4. elpyeσθαι της γης: as 3.86.15; 5.82.22; more freq. είργειν της θαλάσσης (1. 141. 16; 2. 85. 4; 3. 115. 10). — el guotôgiv: the only undoubted case in Thuc. of el with subjv., after the older poetic usage See St., Qu. Gr.² p. 26 f. — 5. dvtiπαράσχωσιν: for this verb, see on 4. 80. 4 App. — 6. άλλοι τ Έγεσταίοι: injected contemptuously. From the Egestaeans Nicias expected (12. § 1: 22.14) no actual support. We read, however, of Egestaean cavalry with the Athenians 62. 13, 98. 2. — φ άμννούμεθα: answering to δ πληρώσων 20. 14. The rel. with fut. indic. to express purpose, as 3. 16. 15; 4. 22. 8; 7. 49. 11; 8. 1. 28. GMT. 565; Kr. Spr. 53, 7, 8; Kühn. 387, 4.

βιασθέντας: i.e. forced by superior power. See on 4.15.6.—8. αὐτόθεν: from right here. A large levy of troops having been already designated as necessary, here it is emphasized that the requisite force must be got together beforehand, not after reaching the theater of conflict. - 9. vvóvtas őti ... προσέδει: recognizing that we are about to sail far from our own land and shall not wage war in like manner as when, in the capacity of allies to subject states here, you went against an enemy whence it was easy to get whatever you further needed out of friendly territory. See App. Stahl's view, that we have in καὶ ούκ . . . στρατευσόμενοι an anacoluthon, i.e. suppl. ptc. dependent, like ὅτι . . . μέλλομεν πλείν, on γνόντας, seems most natural, though the position of $\tau\epsilon$ favors the St.-Cl. view that πολύ and στρατευσόμενοι are co-ord. and both dependent on μέλλομεν πλείν. 10 πλείν καὶ οὐκ ἐν τῷ ὁμοίῳ στρατευσόμενοι καὶ ὅτε τοῖς τῆδε ὑπηκόοις ξύμμαχοι ἤλθετε ἐπί τινα, ὅθεν ῥάδιαι αἱ κομιδαὶ ἐκ τῆς φιλίας ὧν προσέδει, ἀλλὰ ἐς ἀλλοτρίαν πᾶσαν ἀπαρτήσαντες, ἐξ ῆς μηνῶν οὐδὲ τεσσάρων τῶν χειμερινῶν ἄγγελον ῥάδιον ἐλθεῖν.

22 " Ὁπλίτας τε οὖν πολλούς μοι δοκεῖ χρῆναι ἡμᾶς ἄγειν καὶ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων, τῶν τε ὑπηκόων καὶ

-10. ἐν τῷ ὁμοίφ . . . καί: see App. στρατευσόμενοι: the reading of Laur. (for στρατευσάμενοι) adopted by most recent editors. - τοις τήδε ὑπηκόοις. ξύμμαχοι: for the dat. with ξύμμαχοι, cf. 1. 102, 20; 2, 99, 5; 3, 86, 5; and esp. 8. 65. 3. -11. $\delta\theta\epsilon\nu$: referring to a person, as 59. 19. Cf. ξνθα 45. 4. Kr. Spr. 66, 3, 2. — κομιδαί: importation of supplies. Cf. 4.27.5. For the omission of \$\overline{\sigma}\sigma\nu\$, see on 14. 14. 12 and 1. 16. 4.— 12. άλλὰ ἐς άλλοτρίαν πᾶσαν ἀπαρτή-**GAVTES**: but removed into an utterly alien land. ἀπαρτήσαντες (for which the Mss. CEM read ἀπαρτήσοντες) is not parallel to $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon v \sigma \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma i$, but opp. to our $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ όμοιω και ότε ήλθετε. The meaning assumed above for amaprav (intr.) does not occur, it seems, elsewhere in earlier Greek, but cf. Dio C. 40. 15. 6 és άλλοτριωτάτην σφίσι και της γης και τοῦ ούρανοῦ κατάστασιν άπαρτωντες and 51. 4.2 θορυβησάντων αὐτῶν ἐν τούτω φανερῶς άτε και πολύ άπο σφων άπαρτωντος αὐτοῦ. The Schol., too, recognizes the act. as intr.: ἀπαρτήσαντες · ἀντὶ τοῦ ἀπαρτη- $\theta \epsilon \nu \tau es$, $\delta \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \delta \nu \tau \epsilon s$ καὶ πολύ $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ olkelas χωρισθέντες. Pp.'s conjecture άπάρανres would emphasize esp. the time of departure, and against Meineke's ἀπαντήσαντες is the fact that ἀπαντᾶν everywhere (also in Lys. 2.52) implies "going to meet." ές άλλοτρίαν πασαν

is manifestly an overstatement (cf. 37. 14 έν πάση πολεμία Σικελία).—13. έξ ής ... ἐλθεῖν: from which not even in four winter months could a messenger easily come, i.e. to ask for help or supplies; much less then could these be sent thither in that time. - Tŵy Yelleplywy: cf. Hdt. 2.68. 1 τους χειμεριωτάτους μηνας τέσσερας. These months are Μαιμακτηριών, Ποσειδεών, Γαμηλιών, Άνθεστηριών. For the position of $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$, cf. τάς Ελληνίδας 20.8. Nearly all editors take οὐδέ as belonging to άγγελον, from which it is separated for emphasis; but it belongs naturally, where it stands, with μηνών τεσσάρων, as Steup takes it, with Kr. and Marchant. For Steup's view that των χειμερινών is part. gen., see App.

22. Provide, then, a large army well equipped with hoplites, as well as with bowmen and slingers, also a superior fleet, abundant provisions, and especially plenty of money; for as to that promised by the Egestaeans, you may consider that to be ready mainly in talk.—1. δπλίτας τε: which is continued by καὶ τοξότας (4), is defined by καὶ ἡμῶν αὐτῶν and καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων, the latter again explained by τῶν τε ὑπηκόων (see on 69. 23) and καὶ ἦν τινα ἐκ Πελοποννήσου δυνώμεθα, with the twofold mode of gaining these— ἡ πεῦσαι

ην τινα ἐκ Πελοποννήσου δυνώμεθα ἡ πεῖσαι ἡ μισθῷ προσαγαγέσθαι, καὶ τοξότας πολλοὺς καὶ σφενδονήτας, ὅπως πρὸς τὸ ἐκείνων ἱππικὸν ἀντέχωσι, ναυσί τε καὶ πολὺ περιεῖναι, ἴνα καὶ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ῥᾳον ἐσκομιζώμεθα, τὸν δὲ καὶ αὐτόθεν σῖτον ἐν ὁλκάσι, πυροὺς καὶ πεφρυγμένας κριθάς, ἄγειν καὶ σιτοποιούς, ἐκ τῶν μυλώνων πρὸς μέρος ἡναγκασμένους, ἐμμίσθους, ἴνα, ἦν που ὑπὸ ἀπλοίας ἀπολαμβανώμεθα, ἔχη 10 ἡ στρατιὰ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια (πολλὴ γὰρ οὖσα οὐ πάσης ἔσται

(by persuasion) η μισθώ προσαγαγέσθαι (by pay). The results of these counsels are found in c. 43 and 7. 57. § 9, and we must therefore refer πείσαι esp. to the Argives, μισθώ προσαγαγέσθαι to Arcadian mercenaries. — 3. nv Tiva: for sing., when pl. is in mind, cf. 21.11.-5. vaugí te: third member as so freq. in Thuc. The νη̂ες are warships (τριtipeis), which besides their other duties were to keep the sea open for bringing in supplies. — 6. $\tau \partial \nu$ Sè kal aŭ $\tau \delta \theta \epsilon \nu$ σίτον . . . ἄγειν: Jowett's explanation of this much discussed passage seems reasonable, as well as simple. "The supplies taken out from Athens are contrasted by & with the supplies which would have to be procured by plunder or otherwise in Sicily itself. aυτόθεν is to be explained as a confusion of two notions, αὐτόθεν ἄγειν and ἄγειν τον αὐτόθι σῖτον." Kr., followed by Bm. and Mueller, explains the de as preparatory to the foll, epexegetical σιτον, somewhat like Eur. apud Lycurg. c. Leocr. 100. 42 αρξουσιν άλλων, την δ' έγω σώσω πόλιν. Cf. Stahl's rendering: aliud autem etiam hinc frumentum in navibus onerariis advehere. Steup objects to taking αὐτόθεν with τὸν σῖτον, because Nicias is not speaking of all the grain in

Athens; also to καὶ αὐτόθεν with ἄγειν (1) because such an interposition between τον and σετον is not Thucydidean, (2) because thus τον σίτον would be in contradiction with και αὐτόθεν, which would clearly imply importations from other regions besides Attica. For Stahl's τον δέ σιτον, aliud autem frumentum, Steup knows no Thucydidean parallel. He thinks, therefore, the passage corrupt, and that perhaps πολύν δὲ καὶ κτέ, was to be expected. — 7. πεφρυγμένας κριθάς: parched barley, which kept better. The verb φρύγω or φρύσσω is rare. — 8. σιτοποιούς . . . έμμίσθους: to be closely connected, slaves to make bread for pay. — ек тых μυλώνων πρός μέρος ήναγκασμένους: designates how these slaves were procured, drafted from the mills in proportion to their size, i.e. acc. to the number of slaves employed in each. -9. ήν που . . . άπολαμβανώμεθα: if perchance we be kept from sailing by unfavorable weather. Cf. ὑπὸ ἀνέμων άπολαμφθέντες Hdt. 2. 115. 14; 9. 114. 3. For ἀπλοία, impossibility of sailing, which in case of triremes (with oars) would be only in case of storms or contrary winds, see 2.85.24; 4.4.23; 8, 99, 20, -10, πολλή γάρ . . . ὑποδέξασθαι: a peculiar form of pers.

πόλεως ὑποδέξασθαι), τά τε ἄλλα ὅσον δυνατὸν ἐτοιμάσασθαι καὶ μὴ ἐπὶ ἐτέροις γίγνεσθαι, μάλιστα δὲ χρήματα αὐτόθεν ώς πλεῖστα ἔχειν· τὰ δὲ παρ' Ἐγεσταίων, ἃ λέγεται ἐκεῖ ἑτοῦμα, νομίσατε καὶ λόγω ἂν μάλιστα ἑτοῦμα εἶναι.

23 " ΤΗν γὰρ αὐτοὶ ἔλθωμεν ἐνθένδε μὴ ἀντίπαλον μόνον 1 παρασκευασάμενοι, πλήν γε πρὸς τὸ μάχιμον αὐτῶν, τὸ ὁπλιτικόν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ὑπερβάλλοντες τοῖς πᾶσι, μόλις οὖτως οἷοί τε ἐσόμεθα τῶν μὲν κρατεῖν, τὰ δὲ καὶ διασῶσαι.

const. for neut. = $o\dot{v}\kappa \, \tilde{\epsilon} \sigma \tau a \iota \, \pi \dot{a} \sigma \eta s \, \pi \dot{o} \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ αύτην πολλην οδσαν ύποδέξασθαι. For similar cases, cf. 1. 40. 13, 93. 2; 3. 11. 1; 4, 17. 17; 8. 91. 4. For act. inf., cf. 9. 16 βάδιά έστι κατασχείν. — 11. τά τε ἄλλα κτέ.: conclusion of whole foregoing admonition, Cf. 71.15. - 12. un έπὶ ἐτέροις γίγνεσθαι: not to be at the mercy of others. Cf. 2.84.11; 3.12.14; 4.29.18. $\gamma l \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ as in 1.37.13; 3.53. 4.—13. Lévetai : cf. 6. § 2, 3; 8. § 2.— 14. λόγφ: ironical repetition of λέγεται. The sense of the passage is: and as to the money from the Egestaeans which is said to be ready there, you may assume that it is mostly ready only in talk. For the thought, cf. 12.5 ff. That Nicias was right is seen in 46. § 1.

23. In view of the great difficulty of the expedition, which must be regarded as an attempt to found a new city in hostile territory, I am willing to assume leadership only if furnished with quite sufficient means, and will gladly give place to another who thinks differently.—1. ἢν γὰρ αὐτοὶ ἄλθωμεν ἐνθένδε κτέ.: the substantiation with γάρ follows upon the urgent admonition of the whole preceding chapter, that by Athens herself most is to be provided, in provisions and money:

"for even if we set out with means in every way superior, victory will still be not easy." Everything is calculated to excite doubt. — ην αὐτοί: if we ourselves, i.e. not depending on allies like the Egestaeans. — ἀντίπαλον . . . παρασκευασάμενοι: (cogn. acc., like ναυμαχήσαντες άντίπαλα 7. 34. 23) limited immediately by the parenthetical selfevident admission, πλήν γε πρός τὸ μάχιμον αὐτῶν, τὸ ὁπλιτικόν, except indeed as regards their available force of hoplites, i.e. there can be no thought of our opposing them with an equally numerous hoplite force. See App. -2. τὸ μάχιμον: as collective only here in Thuc., but freq. in Hdt. (2.165.6: 7. 186. 1). Cf. Xen. Cyrop. 5. 4. 46. τὸ ὁπλιτικόν: epexegetical to τὸ μάχιμον, i.e. "in so far as it consists in hoplites."—4. των μέν κρατείν: neut.. i.e. supremacy in Sicily. Cf. 30, 12, τά δὲ καὶ διασώσαι: the reference is not to their rule at home (Schol. 7à olkeia), for their activity in Sicily was not to preserve this; nor yet, as most editors assume, to their Siceliote allies, for Nicias had the fate of these little at heart; but to the Athenian army in Sicily. Cf. 24. § 3. kal not at least, as 90, 7; 1, 1, 7, but also, emphasizing

5 πόλιν τε νομίσαι χρὴ ἐν ἀλλοφύλοις καὶ πολεμίοις οἰκιοῦντας 2 ιέναι, οῦς πρέπει τῆ πρώτη ἡμέρα ἡ ἄν κατάσχωσιν εὐθὺς κρατεῖν τῆς γῆς ἡ εἰδέναι ὅτι ἡν σφάλλωνται πάντα πολέμια εξουσιν. ὅπερ ἐγὼ φοβούμενος καὶ εἰδὼς πολλὰ μὲν ἡμᾶς 8 δέον εὖ βουλεύσασθαι, ἔτι δὲ πλείω εὐτυχῆσαι (χαλεπὸν δὲ 10 ἀνθρώπους ὄντας) ὅτι ἐλάχιστα τῆ τύχη παραδοὺς ἐμαυτὸν βούλομαι ἐκπλεῖν, παρασκευῆ δὲ ἀπὸ τῶν εἰκότων ἀσφαλής [ἐκπλεῦσαι]. ταῦτα γὰρ τῆ τε ξυμπάση πόλει βεβαιότατα 4 ἡγοῦμαι καὶ ἡμῖν τοῖς στρατευσομένοις σωτήρια. εἰ δὲ τῷ ἄλλως δοκεῖ, παρίημι αὐτῷ τὴν ἀρχήν."

5. πόλιν τε νομίσαι χρή . . . οἰκιοῦντας lévaι: with lévaι goes the general subj. implied in οἰκιοῦντας, as St. explains: and you must consider that men will set out to found a city amid alien and hostile peoples. — 6. κατάσχωσιν: land, as 4.42,13, 20; 57.10; 8.23.12.—7. краτείν τής γής: to dominate the open country. See on 3.85.13.— ην σφάλλωνται: Steup would bracket these words as an interpolated explanation of $\tilde{\eta}$, on the ground (1) that as placed the cond. belongs only to Eξουσιν, against the thought of the passage, and (2) that transposition would not help, since η είδέναι ὅτι κτέ. alone is much stronger. But these reasons are far from convincing. πολέμια έξουσιν: for this favorite idiom of Thuc. (= πάντα ἔσται πολέμια), see on 1.120.9.

9. εὖ βουλεύσασθαι: εὖ, though omitted by good Mss., indispensable as antithesis to εὐτυχῆσαι. — πλείω: cogn. acc. with εὐτυχῆσαι as πολλά with βουλεύσασθαι (see on 12. 15). — χαλεπὸν . . . ὄντας: sc. πολλά εὐτυχῆσαι ἡμᾶς.

Better taken, with Pp., as independent sent., than construed with εἰδώς, as Cl. does.—10. ὅτι ἐλάχιστα... ἐμαντόν: guiding motive of Nicias also in 5. 16. 16.—11. ἀπὸ τῶν εἰκότων: in accordance with human calculations, as Plato, Legg. 941 E; 950 D.—12. [ἐκπλεῦσαι]: bracketed as a gloss with Kr., as after the preceding ἐκπλεῦν neither the repetition nor the change of tense is explicable. Cl., retaining ἐκπλεῦνσαι, emended ἀσφαλής to ἀσφαλεῖ, and rendered: "but only with an equipment which in all human probability is assured of success to sail out."

ταῦτα: i.e. the precautions recommended in all the foregoing.—
14. παρίημι αὐτῷ τὴν ἀρχήν: cf. the similar offer of Nicias to Cleon with reference to Sphacteria 4.28.

24. The effect of the speech was only to increase in the majority the eagerness for the enterprise; the few who felt otherwise suppressed their views.—1. δ μὲν Νικίας: without regard to what has gone before (see on 19. 1), opp. only to ol δέ (3).—τοσαῦτα: only so much (see on 2.12.

πλήθει τῶν πραγμάτων ἢ ἀποτρέψειν ἢ, εἰ ἀναγκάζοιτο στρατεύεσθαι, μάλιστα οὖτως ἀσφαλῶς ἐκπλεῦσαι οἱ δὲ τὸ μὲν με ἐπιθυμοῦν τοῦ πλοῦ οὐκ ἐξηρέθησαν ὑπὸ τοῦ ὀχλώδους τῆς παρασκευῆς, πολὺ δὲ μᾶλλον ὥρμηντο, καὶ τοὐναντίον περιέστη αὐτῷ εὖ τε γὰρ παραινέσαι ἔδοξε καὶ ἀσφάλεια νῦν δὴ καὶ πολλὴ ἔσεσθαι. καὶ ἔρως ἐνέπεσε τοῖς πᾶσιν ὁμοίως μεκπλεῦσαι, τοῖς μὲν γὰρ πρεσβυτέροις ὡς ἢ καταστρεψομένοις

1: 3, 31, 1), for he had spoken much more briefly than in his first speech. - τῷ πλήθει τῶν πραγμάτων: by the multitude of difficulties (lit. things to be done) which he brought forward. Cf. 8.48.29,39.—2. $\ddot{\eta}$ another: the $\ddot{\eta}$ is misplaced, for clearly the words τούς 'Αθηναίους . . . πραγμάτων do not belong also to the second clause; but it is hardly to be bracketed with Cobet and St., since misplacements of this kind are freq. in Thuc. See on 18.1. Because part of the Mss. omit (as so often in similar cases) el after n. Weidner (Parerg. p. 10) would bracket el ἀναγκάζοιτο στρατεύεσθαι as an interpolation. ἀναγκάζεσθαι is clearly used, not of physical force, but of moral compulsion (after his wishes should have been complied with). Certainly μάλιστα ούτως ἀσφαλῶς (i.e. with the equipment which he demanded, 'at least securely'; cf. παρασκευη άπο των είκοτων ἀσφαλής 23.11) ἐκπλεῦσαι can refer only to Nicias. Weidner finds no difficulty, after bracketing the words indicated, in taking 'Adnualous with both clauses; but the const. as obj. with αποτρέψειν and subj. with ἐκπλεῦσαι would be too harsh. - 3. ἐκπλεῦσαι: aor. inf., dependent on roul(w), of the fut., because of the definite limitation by the intervening clause εἰ ἀναγκάζοιτο στρατεύεσθαι, whereas without such a limitation the fut. ἀποτρέψειν is correct; just as in 12 after the general ἀργύριον οἴσειν the aor. προσκτήσασθαι follows, because it is limited by δύναμιν δθεν... ὑπάρξειν to a definite object. St. writes μάλιστ' ἀν... ἐκπλεῦσαι and προσκτήσεσθαι.

τὸ ἐπιθυμοῦν: for neut. ptc. as abstract noun, see on 1.36.3; 3.30.4.

— 4. οὐκ ἐξηρέθησαν: were not freed from, const. as ἀφαιρεῖσθαι 1.120.21; 2.41.19; 5.69.6.—ὑπὸ τοῦ ὁχλώδους τῆς παρασκευῆς: by reason of the burdensomeness of the equipment (on account of its magnitude, cf. 19.§ 2). With τὸ ὁχλῶδες, cf. δι' δχλου εἶναι 1.73.13.— 5. ὥρμηντο: sc. πλεῖν οτ ἐς τὸν πλοῦν. For the thought, cf. 19.§ 1.— τοὐναντίον περιέστη αὐτῷ: turned out the opposite for him. See on 1.76.21.— 6. νῦν δή: now certainly, i.e. with such an outfit.

7. τοις πάσιν όμοιως: to all alike. See on 1.93.8.—8. τοις μὰν γὰρ πρεσβυτέροις κτέ.: before this epexegetical explanation, with which ἔρως ἐνέπεσε is to be supplied, as in 68.14 with οἱ μὲν γάρ and ἐγὼ δέ the corresponding verbs, only a comma is to be placed. See Vahlen on Arist. Poet.³ p. 100 and K. Hude, Hermes XXXVI, 313 ff.— ὡς: followed first by the regular dat. (καταστρεψομένοις), then by the acc. abs. (ἀν

έφ' ἃ ἔπλεον ἢ οὐδὲν ᾶν σφαλεῖσαν μεγάλην δύναμιν, τοῖς 10 δ' ἐν τῷ ἡλικίᾳ τῆς τε ἀπούσης πόθῳ ὄψεως καὶ θεωρίας, καὶ εὐέλπιδες ὄντες σωθήσεσθαι, ὁ δὲ πολὺς ὅμιλος καὶ στρατιώτης ἔν τε τῷ παρόντι ἀργύριον οἴσειν καὶ προσκτήσασθαι δύναμιν ὅθεν ἀίδιον μισθοφορὰν ὑπάρξειν. ὥστε διὰ 4 τὴν ἄγαν τῶν πλειόνων ἐπιθυμίαν, εἴ τῷ ἄρα καὶ μὴ ἤρεσκε, 15 δεδιῶς μὴ ἀντιχειροτονῶν κακόνους δόξειεν εἶναι τῷ πόλει 25 ἡσυχίαν ἦγε. καὶ τέλος παρελθών τις τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ 1 παρακαλέσας τὸν Νικίαν οὐκ ἔφη χρῆναι προφασίζεσθαι οὐδὲ διαμέλλειν, ἀλλ' ἐναντίον ἀπάντων ἤδη λέγειν ἤντινα αὐτῷ παρασκευὴν ᾿Αθηναῖοι ψηφίσωνται. ὁ δὲ ἄκων μὲν εἶτ 2 πεν ὅτι καὶ μετὰ τῶν ξυναρχόντων καθ' ἡσυχίαν μᾶλλον βουλεύσοιτο, ὅσα μέντοι ἦδη δοκεῖν αὐτῷ, τριήρεσι μὲν

σφαλείσαν . . . δύναμιν). The same const. in reverse order 4.5.3. -9. if a έπλεον: i.e. the states against which they were sailing. Cf. 20. 12. — οὐδὲν αν σφαλείσαν: could suffer no failure. -10, της άπούσης . . . θεωρίας : through desire for a far-off sight and spectacle, i.e. to see and gaze upon something far away. - 11. εὐέλπιδες ὅντες: anacoluthon; const. as if ἐπεθύμησαν . . . έκπλεῦσαι had gone before. For similar anacolutha, see on 2.53.13.—δ δὲ πολὺς **ὅμιλος καὶ στρατιώτης** κτέ.: Sc. εὖελπις ฉัง, and the great multitude being in good hope also as soldiers (i.e. besides the inducements esp. in the minds of old and young, namely, trade) at the present time to earn money. στρατιώτης is thus taken as pred. to άργύριον οἴσειν. For the force = $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \delta \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, cf. 1. 60. 9, 95. 15. Kr. says: "The Schol. correctly explains with ήγουν το στρατιωτικόν πλήθος, accordingly δ στρατιώτης δμιλος is the military mass." But this leaves καί unexplained. — 12. προσκτήσασθαι

δύναμιν κτέ.: to acquire power besides (i.e. to increase the supremacy of Athens), with the result that there would always be wage-earning (in military service). προσκτήσασθαι opp. to ἐν τῷ παρόντι. For aor. inf., see on 3.—13. ὅθεν . . . ὑπάρξειν: for inf. in rel. sent. in indir. disc., see on 1.91.24.

15. κακόνους τη πόλει: i.e. unpatrictic. Cf. $\tau \hat{y}$ πόλει οὐκ εἴνους 36.3; $\tau \hat{y}$ πόλει δύσνους 2.60.21.

25. Nicias, in response to a demand for it, states more specifically the land and naval armaments which he deems necessary.—1. παρελθών τις: Demostratus by name, acc. to Plut. Nic. 12.—2. παρακαλέσας: calling on, appealing to. Cf. Lys. 22. 8 παρακαλέσαντες τους άρχοντας ήρωτωμεν.—3. διαμέλλειν: freq. in Thuc., but elsewhere only in late writers.— ἤδη: right now, as 29. 6, 9.—4. ψηφίσωνται: delib. subjv., as 1. 107. 25. Kr. Spr. 54, 7, 1.

ἄκων μὲν είπεν: brachylogy for ἄκων μὲν είπεν, είπε δέ. — 6. ὅσα... δοκείν:

οὐκ ἔλασσον ἢ ἑκατὸν πλευστέα εἶναι (αὐτῶν δ' Αθηναίων ἔσεσθαι † ὁπλιταγωγοὺς ὅσαι ἄν δοκῶσι, καὶ ἄλλας ἐκ τῶν ξυμμάχων μεταπεμπτέας εἶναι), ὁπλίταις δὲ τοῖς ξύμπασιν 10 ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων πεντακισχιλίων μὲν οὐκ ἐλάσσοσιν, ἢν δέ τι δύνωνται, καὶ πλείοσι τὴν δὲ ἄλλην παρασκευὴν ὡς κατὰ λόγον καὶ τοξοτῶν τῶν αὐτόθεν καὶ ἐκ Κρήτης καὶ σφενδονητῶν καὶ ἤν τι ἄλλο πρέπον δοκἢ εἶναι 26 ἐτοιμασάμενοι ἄξειν. ἀκούσαντες δ' οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐψηφίσαντο 1 εὐθὺς αὐτοκράτορας εἶναι καὶ περὶ στρατιᾶς πλήθους καὶ περὶ τοῦ παντὸς πλοῦ τοὺς στρατηγοὺς πράσσειν ἢ ᾶν αὐτοῖς δοκὴ ἄριστα εἶναι ᾿Αθηναίοις. καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα ἡ πα- 2 ρασκευὴ ἐγίγνετο, καὶ ἔς τε τοὺς ξυμμάχους ἔπεμπον καὶ αὐτόθεν καταλόγους ἐποιοῦντο. ἄρτι δ' ἀνειλήφει ἡ πόλις ἑαυτὴν ἀπὸ τῆς νόσου καὶ τοῦ ξυνεχοῦς πολέμου ἔς τε ἡλικίας

so far, however, as it now seemed to him. For inf., cf. 1.2.8; 3.49.16. GMT. 759; Kr. Spr. 55, 3, 5. — 7. οὐκ ἔλασσον: see on 1. 8. — πλευστέα: for Thuc.'s use of neut. pl., see on 1.7.2, and C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 73f. - autor &'Abyralor κτέ.: the sense is clearly that of 100 Athenian triremes as many as may seem necessary shall be used for transports -40 acc. to 31. §3; 43. §1 - and that other triremes besides the 100 shall be called for from the allies. Kr. suggests αὐτῶν [δ'] 'Αθηναίων, ζῶν) ἔσεσθαι κτέ., which Hude adopts. Steup thinks there is a lacuna after ξσεσθαι to be filled in with ταχείας έξήκοντα καί. αὐτῶν δ' 'Αθηναίων: for proper name without art. when joined with a0+6s, see on 1. 27. 15. — 11. ήν τι δύνωνται: if in any way they can, as 7.29.5.— 12. ώς κατά λόγον: in proportion (i.e. with the hoplites). ωs as with καθ' ἔκαστα. — τῶν αὐτόθεν καὶ ἐκ Κρήτης: cf. c. 43, and see on 2, 13. 54. — 13. σφενδονητῶν: 700 Rhodian slingers were taken, acc. to 43. 15. — πρέπον είναι: see App. on 14. 1. 5.—14. ἐτοιμασάμενοι ἄξειν: grammatically belonging to είπεν, but really with the three strategi in mind.

26. Nicias and his colleagues are voted full power to do as may seem best. The equipping of the expedition is pushed vigorously.—1. ἐψηφίσαντο: again on motion of Demostratus, acc. to Plut. Nic. 12; Alcib. 18.—2. αὐτοκράτορας είναι: acc. to Plutarch, Alcib. 18, with the addition καὶ τῆς παρασκευῆς καὶ τοῦ πολέμου παντός.—4. ἄριστα: adj. with implied general subj., as in 8.14.

6. καταλόγους έποιοθντο: made levies. Cf. 31.26.—7. τοθ ξυνεχοθς πολέμου: i.e. the ten years' war. See App. on 2.1.2.—4s... έπιγεγενημένης: as to the youth that had grown up. For ές, cf.

πλήθος ἐπιγεγενημένης καὶ ἐς χρημάτων ἄθροισιν διὰ τὴν ἐκεχειρίαν, ὧστε ῥφον πάντα ἐπορίζετο.

27 Καὶ οἱ μὲν ἐν παρασκευἢ ἦσαν ἐν δὲ τούτῳ, ὅσοι Ἑρμαῖ 1 ἢσαν λίθινοι ἐν τἢ πόλει τἢ ᾿Αθηναίων (εἰσὶ δὲ κατὰ τὸ ἐπιχώριον, ἡ τετράγωνος ἐργασία, πολλοὶ καὶ ἐν ἰδίοις προθύροις καὶ ἐν ἱεροῖς) μιᾳ νυκτὶ οἱ πλεῖστοι περιεκόπησαν τὰ πρόσωπα. καὶ τοὺς δράσαντας ἤδει οὐδείς, ἀλλὰ μεγάλοις μηνύτροις δημοσία οὖτοί τε ἐζητοῦντο καὶ προσέτι ἐψηφίσαντο, καὶ εἴ τις ἄλλο τι οἶδεν ἀσέβημα γεγενημένον, μηνύειν ἀδεῶς τὸν βουλόμενον καὶ ἀστῶν καὶ ξένων καὶ δούλων. καὶ τὸ πρᾶγμα μειζόνως ἐλάμβανον τοῦ τε γὰρ ἔκπλου οἰωνὸς 10 ἐδόκει εἶναι, καὶ ἐπὶ ξυνωμοσία ἄμα νεωτέρων πραγμάτων

1. 41. 8; 3. 14. 1.—8. άθροισιν: only here in Attic prose.—διὰ τὴν ἐκεχειρίαν: gives reason for ἀνειλήφει ἐαντήν.

27. Suddenly there was great com-. motion in the city over the mutilation of the Hermae, done in one night, and fear arose of secret designs for the overthrow of the democracy. — 1. ἐν παρασκευή ήσαν: periphrasis as in 2.80.17, 101. 10. — έν τούτω: it is clear from 29. 3 ήδη τὰ τῆς παρασκευῆς ἐπεπόριστο that preparations were far advanced. For the abundant literature on the mutilation of the Hermae and the consequent legal processes, see K. F. Hermann, Gr. Antiq.6 I, 716 f. - oool Έρμαι ήσαν λίθινοι: " the marble Hermae which enclosed a part of the agora and were placed before residences and sanctuaries." Curtius, Gr. Gesch.⁶ II, 633. On the shape, see O. Mueller, Arch. § 67, and Chr. Scherer in Roscher's Lex. d. Mythologie I, 2392 ff. -2. κατά τὸ ἐπιχώριον: δηλονότι ἔθος, Schol.—3. ή τετράγωνος έργασία: appositive, the art. indicating the figure

familiar to the Athenians. Note the unusual abstract for concrete. — 4. οι πλείστοι: cf. πάντων ἀκρωτηριασθέντων πλην ένδι Plut. Nic. 13; τῶν πλείστων ἀκρωτηριασθέντων τὰ πρόσωπα Plut. Alcib. 3; accidit ut una nocte omnes Hermae deicerentur praeter unum Nep. Alcib. 3.

5. μεγάλοις μηνύτροις: cf. Andoc. 1.27 ήσαν κατὰ τὸ Κλεωνύμου ψήμισμα χίλιαι δραχμαί, κατὰ δὲ τὸ Πεισάνδρου μύριαι. Cf. also Andoc. 1.40.—7. ετ τις . . . οίδεν: in the words of the ψήφισμα.

9. μειζόνως ἐλάμβανον: took very seriously. μειζόνως as 1.130.10; 4.19. 16. Cf. ὑπόπτως λαμβάνειν 53.18; χαλεπῶς λαμβάνειν 61.2; λαμβάνειν μη πολεμίως 4.17.9. See on 3.59.6. Cf. Tac. Hist. 2.7 res... in maius accipitur.— οἰωνός: a bad sign (ominous).—10. ἐπὶ ξυνωμοσία: based on, in consequence of, a conspiracy, the prepas in 60.4. The foll. gens. depend on ξυνωμοσία, just as in 3.82.45 ξυναλλαγής on δρκοι (conspiracy for).

28 καὶ δήμου καταλύσεως γεγενῆσθαι. μηνύεται οὖν ἀπὸ μετοί- 1 κων τέ τινων καὶ ἀκολούθων περὶ μὲν τῶν Ἑρμῶν οὐδέν, ἄλλων δὲ ἀγαλμάτων περικοπαί τινες πρότερον ὑπὸ νεωτέρων μετὰ παιδιᾶς καὶ οἴνου γεγενημέναι, καὶ τὰ μυστήρια ἄμα δώς ποιεῖται ἐν οἰκίαις, ἐφ' ὕβρει · ὧν καὶ τὸν ᾿Αλκιβιάδην ἐπητιῶντο. καὶ αὐτὰ ὑπολαμβάνοντες οἱ μάλιστα τῷ ᾿Αλκι- 2 βιάδη ἀχθόμενοι ἐμποδων ὄντι σφίσι μὴ αὐτοῖς τοῦ δήμου βεβαίως προεστάναι, καὶ νομίσαντες, εἰ αὐτὸν ἐξελάσειαν, πρῶτοι ἄν εἶναι, ἐμεγάλυνον καὶ ἐβόων ὡς ἐπὶ δήμου κατα-10 λύσει τά τε μυστικὰ καὶ ἡ τῶν Ἑρμῶν περικοπὴ γένοιτο καὶ οὐδὲν εἴη αὐτῶν ὅ τι οὐ μετ' ἐκείνου ἐπράχθη, ἐπιλέγοντες τεκμήρια τὴν ἄλλην αὐτοῦ ἐς τὰ ἐπιτηδεύματα οὐ δημοτικὴν 29 παρανομίαν. ὁ δ' ἔν τε τῷ παρόντι πρὸς τὰ μηνύματα ἀπε- 1 λογεῖτο καὶ ἑτοῖμος ἦν πρὶν ἐκπλεῖν κρίνεσθαι, εἴ τι τούτων

28. On receipt of further denunciations of profanation of the mysteries in private houses, in which Alcibiades is named as participator, his adversaries proceed against him, and, accusing him likewise of the mutilation of the Hermae, charge that the object of both crimes is the overthrow of the democracy. - 1. and: on the part of. See on 3. 36. 24.—2. ἀκολούθων: prob. servants enjoying closer relations to their masters and cognizant of their secret doings. - 4. μετά παιδιάς καλ otvou: in drunken sport, the effect stated first in Greek. — τὰ μυστήρια ώς: so placed for emphasis, as 29. 9 and freq. -5. ἐφ' ΰβρει: in mockery. Cf. Eur. Or. 1581.

6. αὐτά: i.e. the charges against Alcibiades of profanation of the mysteries. It is obj. both of ὑπολαμβάνοντες (Schol. προσδεχόμενοι ὧστε πιστεύειν) and ἐμεγάλυνον. — 7. ἐμποδὼν ὅντι σφίσι μὴ

. , . προεστάναι: for const. with verb of hindrance, see GMT. 807 c; 815, 1.
— 11. μετ' ἐκείνου: in collusion with him. See on 3. 30. 10.— ἐπιλέγοντες τεκμήρια: citing as further proofs (i.e. besides the testimony of the μέτοικοί τέ τινες καὶ ἀκόλουθοι (1). Cf. ἐπεῖπον 1. 67. 18.— 12. τὴν ἄλλην αὐτοῦ . . . παρανομίαν: cf. 15. 13. The pl. τεκμήρια prob. points to various manifestations of παρανομία.— οὐ δημοτικήν: Schol. τὴν ἔξω τοῦ ἔθους τῆς δημοκρατίας.

29. Alcibiades' urgent demand for an immediate investigation is rejected and his speedy departure voted.—
2. **kplveoga:** to be tried.— et $\tau \iota ... \tilde{\eta} v$: as to whether he had done any of these things. This, as well as $\epsilon l \mu \dot{\epsilon} v ... \epsilon l \rho \gamma a \sigma \tau o$ (4), said from standpoint of the historian, as the plpf. shows, i.e. not in indir. disc., but $\epsilon l \delta' d \pi o \lambda v \theta \epsilon l \eta$ (4) from that of Alcibiades. There is no good reason for changing to opt.,

εἰργασμένος ἢν (ἤδη γὰρ καὶ τὰ τῆς παρασκευῆς ἐπεπόριστο), καὶ εἰ μὲν τούτων τι εἴργαστο, δίκην δοῦναι, εἰ δ' ἀποδιαθείη, ἄρχειν. καὶ ἐπεμαρτύρετο μὴ ἀπόντος περὶ αὐτοῦ μοιαβολὰς ἀποδέχεσθαι, ἀλλ' ἤδη ἀποκτείνειν, εἰ ἀδικεῖ, καὶ ὅτι σωφρονέστερον εἴη μὴ μετὰ τοιαύτης αἰτίας, πρὶν διαγνῶσι, πέμπειν αὐτὸν ἐπὶ τοσούτω στρατεύματι. οἱ δ' ἐχθροὶ δεδιότες, τό τε στράτευμα μὴ εὖνουν ἔχη, ἢν ἤδη ἀγωνίζηται, 10 ὅ τε δῆμος μὴ μαλακίζηται, θεραπεύων ὅτι δι' ἐκεῖνον οἴ τ' ᾿Αργεῖοι ξυνεστράτευον καὶ τῶν Μαντινέων τινές, ἀπέτρεπον καὶ ἀπέσπευδον, ἄλλους ῥήτορας ἐνιέντες οἱ ἔλεγον νῦν μὲν πλεῖν αὐτὸν καὶ μὴ κατασχεῖν τὴν ἀναγωγήν, ἐλθόντα

with Cobet, or bracketing the clause, with v. H., St., and others.—3. ἤδη γάρ·... ἐπεπόριστο: explanation referring to πρὶν ἐκπλεῖν.— τὰ τῆς παρασκευῆς: as 65. 8.—5. ἄρχειν: as 12. 9; 8. 64. 8. Sc. ἡξίου from ἐτοῖμος ἦν.

ἐπεμαρτύρετο: with the infs. in the sense appealed to, with the $\delta\tau\iota$ clause (cf. 80. 17) admonished. — ἀπόντος περλ αὐτοῦ: the prep. belongs to αὐτοῦ and hence does not suffer anastrophe. Cf. Schol. A on Hom. B 839 'Apiσταρχος τοις κυριωτέροις συνέταττε τὰς προθέσεις. For position of anortos, cf. 1.3.18 durlπαλον ές εν δνομα. - 6. ήδη: as 25. 3. -7. πρίν διαγνώσι: Cobet would bracket because implied in μετά τοιαύτης altlas. But altla is perhaps not so much the legal charge, as blame which might not cease with legal acquittal. The const. too, unusual in prose, is against the assumption of an interpolation. - 8. ini τοσούτω στρατεύματι: at the head of so great an army. Cf. Dinarch. 1. 74 επί τοις ξένοις έγένετο. See Kühner-Gerth II, 1, 500 f.

9. τό τε στράτευμα . . . ὅ τε δήμος: the two nouns brought by emphatic

position (cf. 28.4) and by $\tau \epsilon \dots \tau \epsilon$ (see on 1. 8. 14) into closest relation. -10. μαλακίζηται: cf. 3. 37. 8, 40. 34. θεραπεύων ότι: favoring him because. Severity toward him might result in the Argives and Mantineans abandoning the campaign. Cf. 61. § 5, where fear is expressed of the effect of his arrest upon these allies. - & i exclusive: because of him. Cf. 61.24 di' exelvou $\pi \epsilon \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$. — oi 'Apyeloi : cf. 43, 11, 61. 24; for the relations of Alcibiades with the Argives, 61. § 3. — 11. τῶν Μαντινέων τινές: these were mercenaries; cf. 7.57.48, and see on 43.12. Mantinea belonged from the winter of 418-417 (5.81. § 1) again to the Spartan symmachy, but Alcibiades must from an earlier time (cf. 16. § 6) have had great influence there. - ἀπέτρεπον και ἀπέσπευδον: Schol. ἀπερρίπτουν μετά σπουδη̂s. For the conative impf., see GMT. 36.—12. eviévres: subornantes, not elsewhere in Attic in this sense. - Deγον: = ἐκέλευον, as 1.78.10; 2.5.21.-13. την άναγωγήν: for άγωγήν of most of the better Mss. Cf. 30.1, and see App. on 4. 29. 3. — $\dot{\epsilon}\lambda\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau a$: = $\dot{\epsilon}\pi a\nu\epsilon\lambda\theta\dot{\epsilon}\nu\tau a$. δὲ κρίνεσθαι ἐν ἡμέραις ἡηταῖς, βουλόμενοι ἐκ μείζονος δια-15 βολῆς, ἡν ἔμελλον ῥῷον αὐτοῦ ἀπόντος ποριεῖν, μετάπεμπτον κομισθέντα αὐτὸν ἀγωνίσασθαι. καὶ ἔδοξε πλεῖν τὸν ᾿Αλκιβιάδην.

30 Μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα θέρους μεσοῦντος ἤδη ἡ ἀναγωγὴ ἐγίγνετο 1 ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν. τῶν μὲν οὖν ξυμμάχων τοῖς πλείστοις καὶ ταῖς σιταγωγοῖς ὁλκάσι καὶ τοῖς πλοίοις καὶ ὄση ἄλλη παρασκευὴ ξυνείπετο πρότερον εἴρητο ἐς Κέρκυραν ξυλλέ- 5 γεσθαι, ὡς ἐκεῖθεν ἁθρόοις ἐπὶ ἄκραν Ἰαπυγίαν τὸν Ἰόνιον διαβαλοῦσιν αὐτοὶ δ' ᾿Αθηναῖοι καὶ εἴ τινες τῶν ξυμμάχων παρῆσαν ἐς τὸν Πειραιᾶ καταβάντες ἐν ἡμέρα ῥητῆ ἄμα ἔψ ἐπλήρουν τὰς ναῦς ὡς ἀναξόμενοι. ξυγκατέβη δὲ καὶ ὁ ² ἄλλος ὅμιλος ἄπας ὡς εἰπεῖν ὁ ἐν τῆ πόλει καὶ ἀστῶν καὶ

See on 1.117.6.—14. ἐν ἡμέραις ῥηταῖς: cf. 30.7, 64.20; 4.76.19.—ἐκ μείζονος διαβολῆς: with μετάπεμπτον, summoned as the result of more serious defamation. Schol. ἔμελλον αὐξήσειν τὴν διαβολὴν ἀπόντος αὐτοῦ. The relation of μετάπεμπτον to κομισθέντα as to ἀπήει in 74.3. Van H. and St. bracket κομισθέντα unnecessarily. The narrative here interrupted is resumed in 53 ff.

30-32, § 2. Departure for the campaign in Sicily. The whole population of Athens goes down to the Peiraeus, partly to take leave of friends, partly to view the magnificent spectacle; for never had a military expedition been sent off in such splendor from a single state. Fleet and land force were alike well equipped. The length of the journey, too, and the greatness of the object aimed at excited wonder. Before sailing the usual prayers and sacrifices were offered.—1. θέρους μεσοῦντος ἥδη: when it was already midsummer. The

art, omitted as with αμα πρι and πρὸς ξαρ ήδη. Steup prefers to take ήδη with έγίγνετο, comparing 7. 75. 1 μετά δὲ τοῦτο . . . καὶ ἡ ἀνάστασις ἥδη τοῦ στρατεύματος . . . έγίγνετο. — ή άναγωγή έγίyvero: introductory impf. of the whole, the details following; so 3. 92. 2 καθίσταντο. - 3. τοίς πλοίοις: Schol. τοίς μικροίς, α δή και λεπτά άλλοθι είπεν.όση . . . ξυνείπετο : i.e. ἀπάση τῆ ἄλλη παρασκευ $\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\eta}$ ξυνείπετο. — 4. πρότερον είρητο: the ὁλκάδες and πλοία needed more time to get to Corcyra, and for most of the allies it was easier to sail directly thither. Cf. also 32.13. — dρητο: = $\epsilon \nu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \tau o$, as in 42. 10, 61. 20, 67, 7, 102, 16, and freq. - 5. int ἄκραν Ἰαπυγίαν: promontory in Calabria. - τὸν Ἰόνιον: sc. κόλπον. See on 3. 107. 4. - 6. των ξυμμάχων: for not all of the allies were ordered to Corcyra; cf. των ξυμμάχων τοις πλείστοις above (2).

8. ξυγκατέβη: anaphora, emphatically put first. — 9. is είπεῖν: with

10 ξένων, οι μεν επιχώριοι τοὺς σφετέρους αὐτῶν ἔκαστοι προπέμποντες οι μεν εταίρους, οι δε ξυγγενεις, οι δε υιεις, καὶ
μετ' ἐλπίδος τε ἄμα ἰόντες καὶ ὀλοφυρμῶν, τὰ μεν ὡς κτήσοιντο, τοὺς δ' εί ποτε ὅψοιντο, ἐνθυμούμενοι ὅσον πλοῦν ἐκ

31 τῆς σφετέρας ἀπεστέλλοντο. καὶ ἐν τῷ παρόντι καιρῷ, ὡς 1
ἤδη ἔμελλον μετὰ κινδύνων ἀλλήλους ἀπολιπειν, μᾶλλον
αὐτοὺς ἐσῆει τὰ δεινὰ ἢ ὅτε ἐψηφίζοντο πλειν· ὅμως δὲ τῆ
παρούση ῥώμη διὰ τὸ πλῆθος ἑκάστων ὧν ἑώρων τῆ ὅψει ἀνε5 θάρσουν. οι δὲ ξένοι καὶ ὁ ἄλλος ὅχλος κατὰ θέαν ῆκεν ὡς
ἐπὶ ἀξιόχρεων καὶ ἄπιστον διάνοιαν. παρασκευὴ γὰρ αὖτη

äπas, to modify a seemingly extravagant statement, as often. See on 1.1. 9.—10. οἱ μὲν ἐπιχώριοι: the antithesis is οἱ δὲ ξένοι in 31.5. The subj. here still has reference to ξυγκατέβη with the explanatory ptcs. προπέμποντες, lortes, ενθυμούμενοι, but in the further description of dominant feelings (ἐσήει τά δεινά, δμως δὲ ἀνεθάρσουν) not only the attendant έπιχώριοι but the whole body of the Athenians are in mind, and so οἱ δὲ ξένοι takes independently a new finite verb. — προπέμποντες: deducentes, prosequentes. - 11. viers: on this form offered here in all Mss., see Kühner-Blass I, 1, 507 f.; Meisterhans⁸ 144; and on 1.13.26. - kal: correlating ίδντες with προπέμποντες, the two nouns being united closely by τε ἄμα and the following και. - 12. τὰ μὲν ὡς κτήσοιντο: explanatory of έλπίδος (cf. 3. 40. 1; 8. 94. 11). τὰ μέν = τὰ ἐν Σικελία. - 13. τοὺς δ'εί ποτε όψοιντο: explanatory of $\delta\lambda o\phi \nu\rho\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$, and $\tau o\dot{\nu}s$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ refers to their friends. Cf. 23. 3 μόλις οὖτως οἶοί τε έσόμεθα τῶν μὲν κρατεῖν, τὰ δὲ καί διασωσαι. For position of the conjunctions (ώs, εl), see on 28.4. For ὁρâν, see again, cf. Soph. O.R. 824; Phil. 1392; Xen.

Anab. 3. 2. 39. Cf. ἐφορᾶν 7. 61. 5, 77. 37. — ὅσον πλοῦν: acc. of measure.

31 1. καὶ ἐν τῷ παρόντι καιρῷ . . . (4) τη όψει άνεθάρσουν: conclusion of the statement beginning with (30.10) ol μèν ἐπιχώριοι. From this point the subject is not of προπέμποντες, but the whole body of Athenians. - 2. uerd κινδύνων: under (impending) dangers. - μαλλον αὐτοὺς ἐσηίει τὰ δεινά: the dangers came closer home to them, i.e. made them more anxious. Cf. 4. 30. 2 ούχ ηκιστα αύτον ταθτα έσήει. - 3. όμως δè . . . ἀνεθάρσουν: but still by reason of their present strength on account of the multitude of all whom they saw before them they were encouraged by the sight. The words διὰ τὸ πληθος ἐκάστων ὧν ἐώρων, explanatory of τη παρούση βώμη, are omitted by St. as unnecessary. For τη δψει άνεθάρσουν, cf. 4.34.5 τη δψει του θαρσείν τὸ πλείστον είληφότες. For the views of Cl. and Steup, see App .-5. Kard Ofav: to look on. See on 5.7. 11. - ώς ἐπὶ . . . διάνοιαν: in the feeling that the undertaking was stupendous and scarcely credible. - 6. mapackevin γάρ αὕτη . . . ἐγένετο: for this armament sailing out as the first from a single

πρώτη ἐκπλεύσασα μιᾶς πόλεως δυνάμει Ἑλληνικῆ πολυτελεστάτη δὴ καὶ εὐπρεπεστάτη τῶν ἐς ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον ἐγένετο. ἀριθμῷ δὲ νεῶν καὶ ὁπλιτῶν καὶ ἡ ἐς Ἐπίδαυρον 2 10 μετὰ Περικλέους καὶ ἡ αὐτὴ ἐς Ποτείδαιαν μετὰ Ἦγωνος οὐκ ἐλάσσων ἦν τετράκις γὰρ χίλιοι ὁπλῖται αὐτῶν ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ τριακόσιοι ἱππῆς καὶ τριήρεις ἑκατὸν καὶ Λεσβίων καὶ Χίων πεντήκοντα καὶ ξύμμαχοι ἔτι πολλοὶ ξυνέπλευσαν. ἀλλὰ ἐπί τε βραχεῖ πλῷ ώρμήθησαν καὶ παρασκευῆ φαύλη, 3 15 οὖτος δὲ ὁ στόλος ὡς χρόνιός τε ἐσόμενος καὶ κατ' ἀμφότερα, οὖ ἄν δέη, καὶ ναυσὶ καὶ πεζῷ ἄμα ἐξαρτυθείς, τὸ μὲν ναυτικὸν μεγάλαις δαπάναις τῶν τε τριηράρχων καὶ τῆς πόλεως ἐκπονηθέν, τοῦ μὲν δημοσίου δραχμὴν τῆς ἡμέρας τῷ ναύτη ἑκάστῳ διδόντος καὶ ναῦς παρασχόντος κενὰς ἑξήκοντα

city with a Hellenic force was the costliest and finest of those up to that time. For the const., see on 1.1.8. Here the pred. noun placed first has besides the sup. a further modifier (ἐκπλεύσασα . . . Ἑλληνικῆ) with the effect of a gen. pl. πρώτη ἐκπλεύσασα κτἐ. means "such as had sailed out from no single city before." ἡ πρώτη was to be expected, as Dobree says. See App.

9. ἡ ἐς Ἐπίδαυρον... μετὰ "Αγνωνος: cf. 2.56. § 1 ff., 58.—13. καὶ ξύμμαχοι ἔτι πολλοί: these additional allies, which seem to have been with the Attic forces on the 100 Athenian triremes, are not mentioned in 2.56. § 2.

14. ἐπί: for, with dat. of object. See on 4.86.2.— φαύλη: see on 21.1:
—15. σὖτος δὲ ὁ στόλος: sc. ώρμήθη. Cl. unnecessarily follows Kr. in thinking the sent. anacoluthic. He objects to supplying ώρμήθη as "not answering to the moment of the narrative." But between ἐγένετο in 9 and 42 the aor. ώρμήθη is quite in place.—16. οῦ

av Sén: of whichever there might be need. i.e. whether they had to proceed by water or by land. — τὸ μὲν ναυτικὸν . . . έκπονηθέν . . . τὸ δὲ πεζὸν . . . ἐκκριθέν: part. appos. as freq. in Thuc. — 17. exmovn0\(\epsilon\): built up with effort. Cf. 3.38. 13. — 18. τοῦ μὲν δημοσίου κτέ.: on the contributions of the state on the one side and of the trierarchs on the other, see Boeckh, Staatshaushaltung⁸ I. 639 ff. The pay of the sailors, usually three obols per day, was this time doubled. See on 8.3. - 19. kevás: acc. to Ar. Eq. 912 ff., the state furnished at other times in the Peloponnesian War besides the body or hull of the ship also at least a part of the equipment. See Boeckh, ibid., with note 858 of M. Fränkel. That ἀνδρῶν is not to be supplied here with vies keval, as in 1.27.15 and freq. (cf. 7. 77. 40), is clear from the context, and esp. from ral imneedlas ταύταις τὰς κρατίστας. Naber (Mnem. N.S. XIV, 326 f.) would write kairds. But if the Athenians had built at that 20 μὲν ταχείας, τεσσαράκοντα δὲ ὁπλιταγωγοὺς καὶ ὑπηρεσίας ταύταις τὰς κρατίστας, τῶν ⟨δὲ⟩ τριηράρχων ἐπιφοράς τε πρὸς τῷ ἐκ δημοσίου μισθῷ διδόντων τοῖς θρανίταις τῶν ναυτῶν καὶ ταῖς ὑπηρεσίαις καὶ τάλλα σημείοις καὶ κατασκευαῖς πολυτελέσι χρησαμένων, καὶ ἐς τὰ μακρότατα προ-25 θυμηθέντος ἐνὸς ἐκάστου ὅπως αὐτῷ τινι εὐπρεπείᾳ τε ἡ ναῦς μάλιστα προέξει καὶ τῷ ταχυναυτεῖν, τὸ δὲ πεζὸν καταλόγοις τε χρηστοῖς ἐκκριθὲν καὶ ὅπλων καὶ τῶν περὶ τὸ σῶμα σκευῶν μεγάλη σπουδῆ πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἀμιλληθέν.

time such an unusual number of new triremes (cf. Diod. 11. 43), Thuc. must surely have mentioned the fact in his reference to the Athenian preparations 26. § 2.—20. ὑπηρεσίας: here, l. 23, and 8.1.15, and the sing. υπηρεσία 1.143. 7, the technically trained seamen, who were on the triremes along with the marines (ἐπιβάται) and oarsmen (ναῦται in a narrower sense), and were regarded as assistants of the trierarchs. Acc. to 1. 143. 6, the κυβερνήτης belonged to the ὑπηρεσία, and in (Xen.) De Rep. Athen, 1. 2 οι κυβερνήται και οι κελευσται και οι πεντηκόνταρχοι και οι πρωράται και ol vaumnyol the whole or nearly the whole of the ὑπηρεσία seem to be included. See A. Kirchhoff, Abhdl. d. Berl. Akad. 1865, 81 f.; U. Köhler, Mitt. d. dtsch. arch. Instituts in Athen VIII, 177 f.; G. Gilbert, Handb. d. gr. Staatsalt.² I, 365 f. — 21. των (δέ) τριηράρχων: the δέ, due to the Schol. of Patmos, was first adopted by Heilmann. — ἐπιφοράς: bounties in addition to the pay of the state. - 23. kal rais ύπηρεσίαις: these words, bracketed by Bl., Cl., St., and most other recent editors, offer no difficulty if the $\dot{\nu}\pi\eta$ ρεσίαι (see on 20) are not included in the ναῦται, as 1.143. § 1 requires they should not be. The correct understanding of the term ὑπηρεσίαι makes unnecessary the assumption that the Schol. (οι θρανίται . . . πλείονα κόπον ἔχουσι τῶν ἄλλων∙ διὰ τοῦτο τούτοις μόνοις επιδόσεις εποιούντο οι τριήραρχοι, οὐχὶ δὲ πᾶσι τοῖς ἐρέταις) did not have before him the words καὶ ταῖς ὑπηρεσίαις. — τάλλα: adv. as 3. 3. 26; 4. 55. 6; 8. 86. 32. — Typelois: figures or other outer ornaments by which the ships were distinguished and named. Schol. σημεία λέγει τὰς ἔξωθεν καταγραφάς τῶν τριήρων. Cf. Ar. Ranae 933 (of the iππαλέκτωρ) σημείον έν ταίς ναυσίν ένεγέγραπτο. - kataokevals: inner equipments of the ships, as elsewhere of houses (2. 14. 3, 38. 3, 65. 9). — 24, ἐς τὰ μακρότατα: Schol. ἐπὶ τὸ πλεῖστον. Unusual for ès τὰ μέγιστα, which also occurs only once in Thuc. (4. 86. 24), but freq. in Hdt. - 25, ένδς έκάστου: sc. των τριηράρχων. - αὐτῷ τινι: for each one himself. Cf. 77. 17; 1. 37. 12, 40. 21, 43. 2. - 26. καταλόγοις χρηστοίς: i.e. καταλόγοις τῶν χρηστῶν, levies of the best. — 27. των . . . σκευών: accouterments for the body. σκεύη of equipment outside of the regular arms, as in 7. ξυνέβη δὲ πρός τε σφᾶς αὐτοὺς ἄμα ἔριν γενέσθαι, ῷ τις 4 30 ἔκαστος προσετάχθη, καὶ ἐς τοὺς ἄλλους ελληνας ἐπίδειξιν μᾶλλον εἰκασθῆναι τῆς δυνάμεως καὶ ἐξουσίας ἢ ἐπὶ πολεμίους παρασκευήν. εἰ γάρ τις ἐλογίσατο τήν τε τῆς πόλεως ἀνάλωσιν δημοσίαν καὶ τῶν στρατευομένων τὴν ἰδίαν, τῆς 6 μὲν πόλεως ὅσα τε ἤδη προετετελέκει καὶ ἀ ἔχοντας τοὺς 85 στρατηγοὺς ἀπέστελλε, τῶν δὲ ἰδιωτῶν ἄ τε περὶ τὸ σῶμά τις καὶ τριήραρχος ἐς τὴν ναῦν ἀνηλώκει καὶ ὅσα ἔτι ἔμελλεν ἀναλώσειν, χωρὶς δ' ὰ εἰκὸς ἢν καὶ ἄνευ τοῦ ἐκ τοῦ δημοσίου μισθοῦ πάντα τινὰ παρασκευάσασθαι ἐφόδιον ὡς ἐπὶ

84. 14.—28. ἀμιλληθέν: active in force as everywhere else in Attic, Plato, Legg. 968 B; Eur. Suppl. 195; Hel. 165, 387; Cycl. 628. Cf. ἐξαμιλλήσασθαι Eur. Hel. 1471. (Kr.)

29. ξυνέβη δέ: and it came to pass. See on 5.10.33. - πρός τε σφας αὐτοὺς άμα . . . προσετάχθη: that both among themselves there was rivalry in that whereto each was assigned. Here \(\xi_{\rho is} \) = dγaθη ξρις. Stein rightly understands τούτου before φ. Cf. Hom. σ 366 εl γάρ νῶιν ἔρις ἔργοιο γένοιτο. - τις ἔκαστος: order as 7.75.29; but ξκαστός τις 3. 45. 18; 4. 4. 7, 63. 4. — 30. προσετάχθη: of military assignment; see on 2. 87.32. - καὶ ἐς τοὺς ἄλλους "Ελληνας кте.: and it seemed rather a display of power and wealth before the rest of the Greeks than an undertaking against enemies. The subj. implied with elkaσθήναι is τοῦτο or the preparations just described. For the meaning of exovola, cf. 3. 45. 17 and Schol. περιουσίαν έξουσίαν φησί. Cl. understood δυνάμεως of Athens' strength at home, ecovolas of her outward supremacy. But the distinction seems arbitrary, and acc. to 30. § 1 the allies were only slightly

represented in the παρασκευή in question here, most having sailed direct to Corcyra. On the other hand, in §5 is without doubt described the enormous cost of that παρασκευή to the state of Athens and the individual Athenians.

33. Symportar: Cl. and most recent editors follow Kr. in bracketing the word, because it is omitted by Valla, is unnecessary after της πόλεως, and requires the art. But Steup, referring to 1.51.11 and 4.43.15 for like omissions of the art., argues that if δημοσίαν is superfluous, then in the second clause και την των στρατευομένων, or merely και τῶν στρατευομένων, would have sufficed without lδlav. - 34. προετετελέket: correctly restored by Reiske for προσετετελέκει of the Mss. δσα ήδη προετετελέκει is opp. to å έχοντας τούς στρατηγούς ἀπέστελλε. — 35. τις: every one, collective opp. to τριήραρχος. -37. χωρίς 86: and besides, as 1.61.15; 2.97.16. See on 3.17.6. - 38. mánta tivá: every one. See on 2. 41. 21; 3. 13. 33. παρασκευάσασθαι: aor. inf. after είκδς $\hbar \nu$ referring to the past. For const. see on 3. 10. 20. — ἐφόδιον: pred., as money for the journey. Cf. 2. 70. 16. —

χρόνιον στρατείαν, καὶ ὅσα ἐπὶ μεταβολῆ τις ἢ στρατιώτης 40 ἢ ἔμπορος ἔχων ἔπλει, πολλὰ ἄν τάλαντα ηὑρέθη ἐκ τῆς πόλεως τὰ πάντα ἐξαγόμενα. καὶ ὁ στόλος οὐχ ἣσσον τόλμης 6 τε θάμβει καὶ ὄψεως λαμπρότητι περιβόητος ἐγένετο ἢ στρατιᾶς πρὸς οὖς ἐπῆσαν ὑπερβολῆ, καὶ ὅτι μέγιστος ἤδη διάπλους ἀπὸ τῆς οἰκείας καὶ ἐπὶ μεγίστη ἐλπίδι τῶν μελλόντων πλους ἀπὸ τῆς οἰκείας καὶ ἐπὶ μεγίστη ἐλπίδι τῶν μελλόντων ἤσαν καὶ ἐσέκειτο πάντα ἤδη ὅσα ἔχοντες ἔμελλον ἀνάξεσθαι, τῆ μὲν σάλπιγγι σιωπὴ ὑπεσημάνθη, εὐχὰς δὲ τὰς νομιζομένας πρὸ τῆς ἀναγωγῆς οὐ κατὰ ναῦν ἑκάστην, ξύμπαντες δὲ ὑπὸ κήρυκος ἐποιοῦντο, κρατῆράς τε κεράσαντες παρ' ἄπαν τὸ στράτευμα καὶ ἐκπώμασι χρυσοῖς τε καὶ ἀργυροῖς οἴ τε ἐπιβάται καὶ οἱ ἄρχοντες σπένδοντες. ξυνεπηύ 2 χοντο δὲ καὶ ὁ ἄλλος ὅμιλος ὁ ἐκ τῆς γῆς τῶν τε πολιτῶν

39. ἐπὶ μεταβολῆ: for barter and sale. See on 14.— ἡ στρατιώτης ἡ ἔμπορος: cf. 7.13.16.

41. τόλμης θάμβει: on account of astonishment at the daring. $\theta \acute{a} \mu \beta o s$ only here in Thuc., rare in Plato. Cf. Plut. Caes. 32 θάμβει τόλμης. — 43. πρὸς ούς ... ὑπερβολη: sc. τούτων dependent on \dot{v} περβολ \hat{v} , by superiority of force over those whom they went against. Cf. Lys. 14.38 ὑπερβολὴν ποιησάμενος τῆς προτέρας πονηρίας. In agreement with what is here said is 86. 6 δυνάμει μείζονι πρός την τωνδε Ισχύν πάρεσμεν, and 2, 65, 11 ff. - μέγιστος ήδη . . . οἰκείας: a verylong journey then from home. On ήδη Bk. remarks "usitatius $\delta \eta$," but the particle does not belong to the superlative. The relatively unimportant first Sicilian expedition (see Steup, Rh. Mus. LVI, 453 ff.) is disregarded here; the Egyptian expeditions of 1.104. § 2, 110. § 4, 112. § 3, were undertakings of the Delian Alliance, not of the Athenians alone.—44. ἐπὶ μεγίστη ἐλπίδι... ἐπεχειρήθη: and was undertaken in (i.e. on the basis of) very great hope for the future as compared with the present, i.e. what they now possessed. On the expectations entertained at the time, cf. 90. § 2f.

32 1. πλήροις ήσαν: were manned. Cf. ἐπλήροιν 30. 8.—2. ἐσέκειτο: plpf. pass. of ἐστίθημι. Cobet (Mnem. N.S. XIV, 14) would restore ἐνέκειτο.—3. ὑπεσημάνθη: by a conventional signal easy to be understood. Cf. 1. 82. 15.—τὰς νομιζομένας πρὸ τῆς ἀναγωγῆς: those customary before departure.—5. ὑπὸ κήρυκος: i.e. following the loud tones of the herald. Cf. Hdt. 9. 98.—κεράσαντες: goes with the general subj., whereas with σπένδοντες we have of ἐπιβάται και οἱ ἄρχοντες as limiting appositives; the ἐρέται were already in place.

7. ξυνεπηύχοντο: after εύχὰς έποιοῦντο, as ξυγκατέβη 30.8 after καταβάντες. καὶ εἴ τις ἄλλος εὖνους παρῆν σφίσι. παιανίσαντες δὲ καὶ 10 τελεώσαντες τὰς σπονδὰς ἀνήγοντο, καὶ ἐπὶ κέρως τὸ πρῶτον ἐκπλεύσαντες ἄμιλλαν ἦδη μέχρι Αἰγίνης ἐποιοῦντο.

Καὶ οἱ μὲν ἐς τὴν Κέρκυραν, ἔνθαπερ καὶ τὸ ἄλλο στράτευμα τῶν ξυμμάχων ξυνελέγετο, ἢπείγοντο ἀφικέσθαι. ἐς 3 δὲ τὰς Συρακούσας ἢγγέλλετο μὲν πολλαχόθεν τὰ περὶ τοῦ 15 ἐπίπλου, οὐ μέντοι ἐπιστεύετο ἐπὶ πολὺν χρόνον οὐδέν. ἀλλὰ καὶ γενομένης ἐκκλησίας ἐλέχθησαν τοιοίδε λόγοι ἀπό τε ἄλλων τῶν μὲν πιστευόντων τὰ περὶ τῆς στρατείας τῆς τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, τῶν δὲ τὰ ἐναντία λεγόντων καὶ Ἑρμοκράτης ὁ Ἔρμωνος παρελθών αὐτοῖς, ὡς σαφῶς οἰόμενος εἰδέναι τὰ 20 περὶ αὐτῶν, ἔλεγε καὶ παρήνει τοιάδε

"'Απιστα μὲν ἴσως, ὦσπερ καὶ ἄλλοι τινές, δόξω ὑμῖν 1

For pl. verb with collective noun, cf. 1. 89. 15; 8. 80. 2, 110. 6.—9. et τις äλλος: = δσοι τῶν άλλων. — σφίσι: i.e. τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις, referring to the subj. of the preceding sent. See on 11. 12.— παιανίσαντες: for παιωνίσαντες of the Mss., see on 1. 50. 20.—10. ἐπὶ κέρως: in column. Cf. 2. 90. 16 κατὰ μίαν ἐπὶ κέρως.—11. ἄμιλλαν ἐποιοῦντο: periphrasis for ἡμιλλῶντο, sc. ἐρέσσοντες.

33

12. ἐς τὴν Κέρκυραν: cf. 30.4. — τὸ ἄλλο στράτευμα τῶν ξυμμάχων: as opp. to the few allies who acc. to 30.6 sailed from Peiraeus. — 13. ἡπείγοντο ἀφικέσθαι: impf. in view of the goal to be reached 42.§ 1.

§ 3. In Syracuse the reports that came in of the approach of the Athenians are for a long time discredited. Hermocrates seeks in an assembly to win over the people to his contrary views.—16. τοιοίδε λόγοι: i.e. as those of Hermocrates and Anaxagoras. St. brackets τοιοίδε as an interpolation.—
άπὸ ἄλλων: for the prep., cf. 28. 1.—

17. τῶν μὲν . . . λεγόντων: bracketed by Steup; see App. — 18. Έρμοκράτης: cf. 4.58 ff. — 19. παρελθῶν αὐτοῖς: see on 15.19. — ὡς οἰόμενος: in the belief, as 4.114.19; 7.40.7. Cf. 33.6. — σαφῶς: belongs to εἰδέναι. Cf. 3.12.11; 7.14.17. — τὰ περὶ αὐτῶν: i.e. τὰ περὶ τοῦ ἐπίπλου (14). See on 1.1.10.

Speech of Hermocrates (33, 34)

33. Incredible as it sounds, I know from a sure source that the Athenians are advancing against us with a large fleet and land force. Prepare therefore to ward them off. Their audacity and power need not frighten you; rather have you every prospect of winning victory and fame.

As to the decision and energy displayed in the speeches of Hermocrates, see App. on 4.58 ff.—1. ώσπερ και άλλοι τινές: as also some others, referring to those who besides Hermocrates believed the reports of the coming of the Athenians. Cf. 32.17. Cl.

περὶ τοῦ ἐπίπλου τῆς ἀληθείας λέγειν, καὶ γιγνώσκω ὅτι οἱ τὰ μὴ πιστὰ δοκοῦντα εἶναι ἢ λέγοντες ἢ ἀπαγγέλλοντες οὐ μόνον οὐ πείθουσιν, ἀλλὰ καὶ ἄφρονες δοκοῦσιν εἶναι ὅμως δὲ οὐ καταφοβηθεὶς ἐπισχήσω κινδυνευούσης τῆς πόλεως, πείθων γε ἐμαυτὸν σαφέστερόν τι ἐτέρου εἰδὼς λέγειν.

" 'Αθηναίοι γὰρ ἐφ' ἡμᾶς, ὁ πάνυ θαυμάζετε, πολλῆ στρα- 2 τιᾳ ὤρμηνται καὶ ναυτικῆ καὶ πεζῆ, πρόφασιν μὲν 'Εγεσταίων ξυμμαχίᾳ καὶ Λεοντίνων κατοικίσει, τὸ δὲ ἀληθὲς Σικελίας

rendered, as much as any others, citing 18.35 and 1.142.24; but in that case the singular allos Tis was to be expected. See R. Oehler, Animadv. in Hermocr. Or. (Homburg Progr., 1885, p. 5). -2. τοῦ ἐπίπλου τῆς ἀληθείας: obj. gen. placed first as often. See on 1. 32. 8; 7. 42. 30. — 3. λέγοντες ή άπαγγέλλοντες: the first of statements made on their own judgment, the latter of reports based on what others say. -5. of: negatives both ptc. and verb. Cf. 4. 126. 24. — ἐπισχήσω: abs., hold back, as 1.90.20, 131.8; 4.78.29. 6. πείθων έμαυτόν: $= \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon i \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu \sigma s$, in Thuc, only here, freq. in Plato and the orators. — σαφέστερόν τι έτέρου: έτέρων might have been used, as 9.7, 16.1. Hermocrates compares himself with all who thought they knew something of the expedition of the Athenians, whether accepting it as actual or not.

7. γάρ: introducing the promised exposition. Cf. 10. 1, 20. 3, 34. 18, 54. 5, 76. 4, 87. 4.— ἐφ' ἡμᾶς: so with almost all recent editors for ἐφ' ὑμᾶς of the better Mss. It would be strange if Hermocrates did not include himself among those threatened by the danger; besides, the Mss. all read ἡμετέρας in 10 and ἡμᾶς in 16.— 8. ὥρμηνται: have

set out. Cf. 1. 32, 20 and see on 2. 9. 1. — πεζη: all good Mss. have here π εζικ $\hat{\eta}$, and all except Vat. π εζικ $\hat{\eta}$ ν in 7. 7. 7, 16. 7. But as in Thuc. only $\pi \epsilon \zeta \delta s$, $\pi \epsilon \zeta \delta \nu$, $\pi \epsilon \zeta \delta l$, $\pi \epsilon \zeta \delta l$, occur as substantives, and even $\pi \epsilon \cos$ as adj. is the reading of all or nearly all Mss. in a larger number of passages (1. 141. 14; 2.84.6; 4.8.10; 5.2.10; 6.103.5; 7. 12. 4, 15. 8, 22. 2, 43. 3, 79. 3), it seems certain that Bk. and others correctly restore everywhere the shorter form. Cl. accepted $\pi \epsilon \zeta \iota \kappa \hat{\eta}$ here because it is so cited for this passage by Thomas Mag. p. 309 R., but this testimony of the late grammarian is not conclusive. - πρόφασιν: on the pretext, as 3.111.2; 5.80.17. Cf. dat. 76.5; 5. 53.2. On the matter, cf. 8. § 2.—9. Eumμαχία, κατοικίσει: dats. of purpose. See on κακώσει 3. 82. 8. For ξυμμαχία meaning "help of allies," cf. 73.5; 1.32.2, 42. 12; 3. 82. 7. If we took ξυμμαχία as dat. of cause, as many do, we should have next a transition to dat. of purpose in κατοικίσει, then back to dat. of cause in ἐπιθυμία. Van H. unnecessarily inserts ent before Eyestalwy. On the relations of the Athenians to Egesta, see App. on 6. 11. — 70 62 άληθές: but in truth, antithesis to πρόφασιν.

- 10 ἐπιθυμίᾳ, μάλιστα δὲ τῆς ἡμετέρας πόλεως, ἡγούμενοι, εἰ ταύτην σχοῖεν, ἡᾳδίως καὶ τάλλα ἔξειν. ὡς οὖν ἐν τάχει παρε- 8 σομένων ὁρᾶτε, ἀπὸ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων ὅτῷ τρόπῷ κάλλιστα ἀμυνεῖσθε αὐτοὺς καὶ μήτε καταφρονήσαντες ἄφρακτοι ληφήσεσθε μήτε ἀπιστήσαντες τοῦ ξύμπαντος ἀμελήσετε.
- 15 "Εἰ δέ τῷ καὶ πιστά, τὴν τόλμαν αὐτῶν καὶ δύναμιν μὴ 4 ἐκπλαγῆ. οὖτε γὰρ βλάπτειν ἡμᾶς πλείω οἶοί τε ἔσονται ἢ πάσχειν, οὖθ' ὅτι μεγάλῷ στόλῷ ἐπέρχονται, ἀνωφελές, ἀλλὰ πρός τε τοὺς ἄλλους Σικελιώτας πολὺ ἄμεινον (μᾶλλον γὰρ ἐθελήσουσιν ἐκπλαγέντες ἡμῖν ξυμμαχεῖν), καὶ ἡν ἄρα ἡ 20 κατεργασώμεθα αὐτοὺς ἡ ἀπράκτους ὧν ἐφίενται ἀπώσωμεν (οὐ γὰρ δὴ μὴ τύχωσί γε ὧν προσδέχονται φοβοῦμαι), κάλλιστον δὴ ἔργων ἡμῖν ξυμβήσεται. καὶ οὐκ ἀνέλπιστον
 - 11. ως . . . παρεσομένων: on the assurance that they will soon be here. - iv Taxes: soon, with all speed, freq. in Thuc., e.g. 91. 13, 92. 1; 1. 79. 7, 86. 13, 90. 31; 2. 86. 22, 101. 21; 3. 29. 2; 4. 106. 8, 123. 19; 5. 57. 5, 64. 2; 8. 95. 7.—12. ἀπὸ τῶν ὑπαρχόντων: placed first for emphasis. — ὅτψ τρόπψ: for more usual $\delta\pi\omega$ s with fut. indic., as 11. 23, 44. 21. See on 1. 107. 18.— 13. катафрогфтагтея: because you have despised them. Cf. 11. 20, 34. 54. άφρακτοι ληφθήσεσθε: be caught unguarded, i.e. without sufficient means of defense. Cf. 3. 39. 8. λαμβάνειν as 10. 14. — 14. τοῦ ξύμπαντος: as comprehensive as possible, everything threatening the state's existence.
 - 15. el δέ τφ και πιστά: sc. δόξω λέγειν from l. 1. So Cl., but most editors, on account of the distance from the beginning, supply with the Schol. τὰ τῆς ἐφόδου τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, or something similar (ταῦτα, αὐτά). Steup refers πιστά to the following τὴν τόλμαν αὐτῶν.

καὶ δύναμιν, "if, however, to any one they are even credible, still he need not be in terror at their audacity and power."-16. πλείω: cogn. acc. with βλάπτειν, as 64.9. So with ώφελειν 2. 60. 5. See on 1. 81. 8. — η πάσχειν: SC. εlκός έστι (from olol τε ξσονται). -17. ἀνωφελές: correction of Faber and Dobree for avwoeders of the Mss., which some editors retain and explain as due to Thuc.'s partiality for the pers. const. (cf. δίκαιοί έστε 1.40.13; βεβαιότεροι άν ήσαν 3. 11. 1). But αμεινον in the adversative clause makes the neut. here highly probable.—20. κατεργασώμεθα: see on 11. 1. — ἀπράκτους: act., as always in Thuc. — 21. οὐ γὰρ δή: confidently rejecting, as 1. 122. 23; 5. 111. 11. — κάλλιστον δη έργων: δη emphasizing the sup., as 31.8. The pl. is rarer but more emphatic than $\xi \rho \gamma \rho \nu$. Cf. κινδύνων 2. 42. 17; 7. 68. 17. — 22. και ούκ άνέλπιστον έμοιγε: SC. αύτό, i.e. κάλλιστον ξργων, our success; and to me at least it is not improbable.

ξμοιγε. ὀλίγοι γὰρ δὴ στόλοι μεγάλοι ἢ Ἑλλήνων ἢ βαρ- το βάρων πολὺ ἀπὸ τῆς ἐαυτῶν ἀπάραντες κατώρθωσαν. οὖτε
25 γὰρ πλείους τῶν ἐνοικούντων καὶ ἀστυγειτόνων ἔρχονται (πάντα γὰρ ὑπὸ δέους ξυνίσταται), ἢν τε δι' ἀπορίαν τῶν ἐπιτηδείων ἐν ἀλλοτρία γἢ σφαλῶσι, τοῖς ἐπιβουλευθεῖσιν ὄνομα, κᾶν περὶ σφίσιν αὐτοῖς τὰ πλείω πταίσωσιν, ὅμως καταλείπουσιν. ὅπερ καὶ 'Αθηναῖοι αὐτοὶ οὖτοι, τοῦ Μήδου το παρὰ λόγον πολλὰ σφαλέντος, ἐπὶ τῷ ὀνόματι ὡς ἐπ' 'Αθήνας ἢει ηὐξήθησαν, καὶ ἡμῖν οὐκ ἀνέλπιστον τὸ τοιοῦτο ξυμβῆναι.

34 "Θαρσοῦντες οὖν τά τε αὐτοῦ παρασκευαζώμεθα καὶ ἐς 1 τοὺς Σικελοὺς πέμποντες τοὺς μὲν μᾶλλον βεβαιωσώμεθα, τοῖς δὲ φιλίαν καὶ ξυμμαχίαν πειρώμεθα ποιεῖσθαι, ἔς τε τὴν ἄλλην Σικελίαν πέμπωμεν πρέσβεις, δηλοῦντες ὡς κοινὸς

24. πολύ άπὸ τῆς ἐαυτών: sc. γῆς, cf. 21.9; 1.15.9. — κατώρθωσαν: empiric (gnomic) aor. GMT. 154; Gild., Syn. 255; Kühn. 386, 7.—26. жа́хта yap . . . Euvlotatai: in support of ou πλείους. For ξυνίσταται, cf. 21.4, 37.15, 79.13; 7.15.4. — ήν τε: correl. to ούτε above (24): on the one hand, there is every prospect that we shall win by superior force; but on the other, if they fail through lack of supplies, we shall get the glory of it. - 27. Tols έπιβουλευθείσιν: sc. $\dot{\eta}\mu \hat{\iota}\nu$, the objects of the attack. -28. Svoma: $= \delta \delta \xi a$. Cf. 2.64.12; 4.87.25; 5.16.14; 7.64. 14. — περί σφίσιν αὐτοίς: by their own fault. Cf. περί αὐτῷ τὰ πλείω σφαλέντα 1. 69. 27; μη περί Μαρδονίφ πταίση ή Έλλάς Hdt. 9. 101. 13. — τὰ πλείω: cf. 16, and see on 1, 81, 8. — πταίσωσιν: the Mss. ABFM all read mralwoir, but after σφαλῶσι above the aor. (C's reading) is clearly preferable here.

29. δπερ: as if έπαθον were to follow, but construed with ηὐξήθησαν = ἥνπερ αὕξησιν ηὐξήθησαν. But it is practically adv. here (= ὥσπερ).—30. ἐπὶ τῷ ὀνόματι ὡς: on the repute that. Cf. 5. 16. 14 καταλιπεῖν δνομα ὡς.—31. καὶ ἡμῖν... ξυμβήναι: and that to us something of the sort will happen is not beyond hope.

34. Above all seek outside alliances, in Italy, in Carthage, in Greece. But the threatened attack you will most surely ward off if you go to meet the enemy's fleet at the gulf of Tarentum. Possibly they would then turn back at Corcyra; at any rate you will have the favor of circumstances and the advantage of timely resistance.—2. τοὺς μέν: i.e. the ὑπήκοοι of 88.19, and described 3.103.3 δσοι Σικελῶν κατά κράτος ἀρχόμενοι ὑπὸ Συρακοσίων καὶ ξύμμαχοι δντες.—3. τοὺς δέ: i.e. τοῦς αὐτονόμοις (88.20).—ἐς τὴν ἄλλην Σικελίαν: sc. πρὸς τοὺς Σικελιώντας. Schol. οὐχὶ

5 ὁ κίνδυνος, καὶ ἐς τὴν Ἰταλίαν, ὅπως ἢ ξυμμαχίαν ποιώμεθα [ἡμῖν] ἢ μὴ δέχωνται ᾿Αθηναίους. δοκεῖ δέ μοι καὶ ἐς Καρχηδόνα ἄμεινον εἶναι πέμψαι. οὐ γὰρ ἀνέλπιστον αὐτοῖς, ² ἀλλ᾽ αἰεὶ διὰ φόβου εἰσὶ μή ποτε ᾿Αθηναῖοι αὐτοῖς ἐπὶ τὴν πόλιν ἔλθωσιν, ὤστε τάχ᾽ ἄν ἴσως νομίσαντες, εἰ τάδε προή-10 σονται, κᾶν σφεῖς ἐν πόνῳ εἶναι, ἐθελήσειαν ἡμῖν, ἤτοι κρύφα γε ἢ φανερῶς, [ἢ] ἐξ ἑνός γέ του τρόπου ἀμῦναι. δυνατοὶ δ᾽ εἰσὶ μάλιστα τῶν νῦν βουληθέντες χρυσὸν γὰρ καὶ ἄργυρον πλεῖστον κέκτηνται, ὅθεν ὅ τε πόλεμος καὶ τἆλλα εὐπορεῖ. πέμπωμεν δὲ καὶ ἐς τὴν Λακεδαίμονα καὶ ἐς Κόρινθον, δεό- ² μενοι δεῦρο κατὰ τάχος βοηθεῖν καὶ τὸν ἐκεῖ πόλεμον κινεῖν. "Ο δὲ μάλιστα ἐγώ τε νομίζω ἐπίκαιρον, ὑμεῖς τε διὰ τὸ ²

πᾶσαν, άλλὰ τὴν ὑπὸ Ἑλλήνων οἰκουμένην. προεῖπε γὰρ περὶ τῶν βαρβάρων.—5. ὅπως ἢ ξυμμαχίαν ποιώμεθα [ἡμῖν] ἢ μὴ δέχωνται 'Αθηναίους: note change of subject. See App.—6. δέχωνται: sc. ol 'Ιταλιῶται. Cf. αὐτοῖς below (7) after Καρχηδύα, and see on 1.24, 9.

7. ανέλπιστον: sc. τὸ Αθηναίους ἐπελ- . $\theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ to be supplied from the context (μή ποτε Αθηναίοι . . . ἔλθωσιν). - 8. διὰφόβου «loi: they are in constant fear, as 59. 5. Cf. di' dopalelas 1. 17. 4; δι' δχλου είναι 1. 73. 13; δι' ήσυχίας 2. 22. 6; δι δργής 2. 37. 12, 64. 2; 5. 29. 13; δι' alτlas 2.60.16. - 9. τάχ' αν ίσως: see on 10.13. αν belongs to έθελήσειαν.τάδε: Schol. τὰ καθ' ἡμᾶs, i.e. Sicily.— 10. kal opers: with inf., taking the place of και αὐτοί in dir. disc. - ήτοι κρύφα γε ή φανερώς: either secretly at least or openly, i.e. secretly at least, if not openly. The more probable supposition is put first. Cf. 38. 4, 40. 3; 2. 40. 8. - 11. [ή] ἐξ ἐνός γέ του τρόπου: in some way certainly, as ένι γέ τφ τρόπφ Plat. Phaedr. 242 B; Meno 96 D. Cf.

 $\epsilon \kappa \pi \alpha \nu \tau \delta s \tau \rho \delta \pi \sigma v 92.15$. [$\tilde{\eta}$] is rightly bracketed, after Baehr, as already the Schol. had recognized that the disjunctive expression ήτοι κρύφα γε ή φανερώς admits no third. The reference is merely to the manner of rendering assistance (troops, ships, money). -12. βουληθέντες: the emphasis is enhanced by the position at the end (" as soon as they will to do so," aor.). -13. δθεν . . . εύπορει: πόλεμος is personified, as in 1.122.4. For the thought cf. 1.83.3 καὶ ἔστιν ὁ πόλεμος οὐχ ὅπλων τὸ πλέον, άλλὰ δαπάνης, δι' ην τὰ ὅπλα ώφελεῖ, and 2. 13. 21 τὰ δὲ πολλά τοῦ πολέμου γνώμη και χρημάτων περιουσία κρατεῖσθαι.

15. τον ἐκεῖ πόλεμον κινεῖν: set in motion the war there; for it had not completely quieted down (36.14). Cf. 1.82.3 ὅπλα μήπω κινεῖν.

16. δια τὸ ξύνηθες ήσυχον: on account of your characteristic love of ease. Cf. τὸ πρότερον ξύνηθες... φοβερόν 55.14; τὸ εὐπρεπὲς ἄσπονδον 1.37.16; τὸ ἀνθρώπειον κομπώδες 5.68.6. The substantivized

ξύνηθες ἦσυχον ἦκιστ' αν ὀξέως πείθοισθε, ὅμως εἰρήσεται. Σικελιῶται γὰρ εἰ θέλοιμεν ξύμπαντες, εἰ δὲ μή, ὅτι πλεῖστοι μεθ' ἡμῶν, καθελκύσαντες ἄπαν τὸ ὑπάρχον ναυτικὸν μετὰ 20 δυοῖν μηνοῖν τροφῆς ἀπαντῆσαι ᾿Αθηναίοις ἐς Τάραντα καὶ ἄκραν Ἰαπυγίαν, καὶ δῆλον ποιῆσαι αὐτοῖς ὅτι οὐ περὶ τῆς Σικελίας πρότερον ἔσται ὁ ἀγὼν ἢ τοῦ ἐκείνους περαιωθῆναι τὸν Ἰόνιον, μάλιστ' αν αὐτοὺς ἐκπλήξαιμεν καὶ ἐς λογισμὸν καταστήσαιμεν ὅτι ὁρμώμεθα μὲν ἐκ φιλίας χώρας φύλακες

adj. is a favorite usage with Thuc., expressing as it were the abstract idea in concrete form and so more effectively. See C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 76-79.—17. пкита: opp. to μάλιστα, not without irony, and the effect is enhanced by the paratactically opposed έγώ τε... ὑμεῖς τε and the contrasting pred, modifiers έπίκαιρον and δξέως. - 18. Σικελιώται: put first with emphasis, "we Greeks in Sicily." See on 14. 10. 5.— yáp: as 33. 7. θέλοιμεν: the form θέλειν for έθέλειν seems to occur in Thuc, only after long vowels, esp. η , unless 7. 18. 15 be an exception. See on 2. 51. 17. -19. μετά δυοίν μηνοίν τροφής: dependent gen. before the governing gen., as in 33. 2. Cf. Caes. B. G. 7. 74 dierum triginta pabulum frumentumque habere. — 21. ἄκραν Ίαπυγίαν: see on 30.5. - δήλον ποιήσαι: cf. δείξαι 77. 7. — περί της Σικελίας: so Cl., with Dobree and Stahl, for περί τη Σικελία of the Mss., as required by the articular inf. (περί) τοῦ ἐκείνους περαιωθήναι. Thuc. might have written περί Σικελία following Homeric and other precedent, but not the single preposition with different cases in the same sent. See App. --23. τον Ίονιον: see on 30.5. — ἐκπλήξαιμεν: cf. καταπλήξαντες 38.5, and es έκπληξιν καθιστάναι 36. 6. — ἐς λογισμὸν καταστήσαιμεν: force them to a consideration. Cf. ές ξκπληξιν καθιστάναι 36.6; ές ὑποψίαν καθίστην 5, 29, 18; ές έλπίδας καθίστη 8. 81. 11. — 24. ότι όρμώμεθα μέν κτέ.: explanation of λογισμός which extends to άθυμοῖεν (35). The thing to be considered is twofold: (1) ὅτι ὁρμώμεθα μέν έκ φιλίας χώρας φύλακες 'awaiting the Athenians at the Iapygian promontory, we have behind us a friendly land, which we must protect, and so need not venture far out into the open sea'; (2) τὸ δὲ πέλαγος αὐτοῖς πολύ περαιούσθαι μετά πάσης της παρασκευης 'for them on the contrary the sea is a large place to cross with their whole fleet.' Each of these clauses is then explained by a parenthetical addition: φιλίας χώρας by ὑποδέχεται γὰρ ἡμᾶς Τάρας, and τὸ πέλαγος πολύ περαιοῦσθαι by χαλεπόν δέ . . . μείναι. From these conditions results an alternative: either the Athenians must advance with undivided fleet or come on with only the part ready for battle leaving behind their transports. The consequence of the first possibility is expressed in και ήμιν αν εὐεπίθετος είη βραδειά τε και κατ' όλίγον προσπίπτουσα, and it (i.e. the fleet undivided so as not to break its order) coming up slowly and few at 25 (ὑποδέχεται γὰρ ἡμᾶς Τάρας), τὸ δὲ πέλαγος αὐτοῖς πολὺ περαιοῦσθαι μετὰ πάσης τῆς παρασκευῆς (χαλεπὸν δὲ διὰ πλοῦ μῆκος ἐν τάξει μεῖναι) καὶ ἡμῖν ἄν εὐεπίθετος εἴη βραδεῖά τε καὶ κατ ὀλίγον προσπίπτουσα εἰ δ' αὖ τῷ ταχυ- δ ναυτοῦντι άθροωτέρῳ κουφίσαντες προσβάλοιεν, εἰ μὲν κώ- 30 παις χρήσαιντο, ἐπιθοίμεθ' ἄν κεκμηκόσιν, εἰ δὲ μὴ δοκοίη, ἔστι καὶ ὑποχωρῆσαι ἡμῖν ἐς Τάραντα, οἱ δὲ μετ ὀλίγων ἐφοδίων ὡς ἐπὶ ναυμαχία περαιωθέντες ἀποροῖεν ἄν κατὰ χωρία ἐρῆμα, καὶ ἡ μένοντες πολιορκοῖντο ᾶν ἡ πειρώμενοι παραπλεῖν τήν τε ἄλλην παρασκευὴν ἀπολίποιεν ᾶν καὶ τὰ 35 τῶν πόλεων οὐκ ᾶν βέβαια ἔχοντες, εἰ ὑποδέξοιντο, ἀθυμοῖεν. ὥστ' ἔγωγε τούτω τῷ λογισμῷ ἡγοῦμαι ἀποκληομένους αὐτοὺς 6

a time would be at our mercy. The second part of the alternative (el 8' ab) admits again of two possibilities: either we could attack them tired out with rowing (and so with prospect of victory) or we could draw back before their superior force into the harbor of Tarentum; and they would then, in lack of supplies on a coast which offered no support, either while awaiting (μένοντες) their transports be surrounded and shut in by us (πολιορκοῖντο — possibly Thuc. wrote ταλαιπωροίντο reduced to straits) or, attempting to sail along the coast, in constant uncertainty whether the coast cities would receive them (εί ὑποδέξοιντο), would be disheartened. - 25. ὑποδέxeras: vivid pres. for fut. Cf. 80. 18; 1. 121. 13; 4. 61. 27.—26. περαιούσθαι: limiting inf. after πολύ. GMT. 763. See on 42. 8. - χαλεπον δέ . . . μείναι: rightly taken parenthetically by Cl., partly for the sake of parallel sentencestructure, partly that ή παρασκευή may more readily be supplied as subj. of εὐεπίθετος είη. - 28. κατ' όλίγον: necesτῷ ταχυναυτοῦντι: sc. μέρει. — 29. αθροωτέρω: i.e. αθροωτέρω δντι or γενο- $\mu \ell \nu \varphi$. — κουφίσαντες: i.e. after loading all heavy baggage upon the transport vessels, thus lightening the others. Cf. Dio C. 33, 4 δπως κουφίσαντες διαφύγωσι, - 30. εί δὲ μὴ δοκοίη: sc. ἡμῖν ἐπιθέσθαι. -31. For: supposed case stated as a present possibility. Weidner suggested έσται. - μετ' όλίγων έφοδίων: in consequence of the κουφίσαντες. - 33. πολιоркої v то av: would be blockaded by us. and so cut off from supplies. - 34. Thy τε άλλην παρασκευήν άπολίποιεν άν: would abandon the part of the fleet left behind. -- και τὰ τῶν πόλεων . . . εἰ ὑποδέξοιντο: Schol. καὶ ούκ είδότες βεβαίως εί αι πόλεις ύποδέξονται αύτούς άθυμοιεν $d\nu = 35$. doupolev: the $d\nu$ of the ptc. clause belongs to the verb, as Arn. and Pp. explain.

36. άποκληομένους: exclusos, held

οὐδ' ἄν ἀπᾶραι ἀπὸ Κερκύρας, ἀλλ' ἢ διαβουλευσαμένους καὶ κατασκοπαῖς χρωμένους ὁπόσοι τ' ἐσμὲν καὶ ἐν ῷ χωρίῳ, ἐξωσθῆναι ἄν τἢ ὤρᾳ ἐς χειμῶνα, ἢ καταπλαγέντας τῷ 40 ἀδοκήτῳ καταλῦσαι ᾶν τὸν πλοῦν, ἄλλως τε καὶ τοῦ ἐμπειροτάτου τῶν στρατηγῶν, ὡς ἐγὼ ἀκούω, ἄκοντος ἡγουμένου καὶ ἀσμένου ᾶν πρόφασιν λαβόντος, εἴ τι ἀξιόχρεων ἀφ' ἡμῶν ὀφθείη. ἀγγελλοίμεθα δ' ἄν εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι ἐπὶ τὸ πλεῖον · τῶν Ἰ δ' ἀνθρώπων πρὸς τὰ λεγόμενα καὶ αἱ γνῶμαι ἴστανται, καὶ τοὺς προεπιχειροῦντας ἢ τοῖς γε ἐπιχειροῦσι προδηλοῦντας ὅτι ἀμυνοῦνται μᾶλλον πεφόβηνται, ἰσοκινδύνους ἡγούμενοι. ὅπερ ἄν νῦν ᾿Αθηναῖοι πάθοιεν. ἐπέρχονται γὰρ ἡμῖν ὡς 8 οὐκ ἀμυνουμένοις, δικαίως κατεγνωκότες ὅτι αὐτοὺς οὐ μετὰ

back, as 2.76.4; 4.34.22.—37. SiaBovλευσαμένους: (aor.) after long consideration this way and that .- 38. xpwmévous: pres. of frequency. — 39. έξωσθηναι ... is yelhava: would through the lateness of the season be overtaken by winter. Cf. Hdt. 1.31.11 ἐκκληόμενοι τη ωρη; Caes. B. G. 7. 11 diei tempore exclusus in posterum oppugnationem differt. - τω άδοκήτφ: as below 50, 47. 11; 4. 36. 11; 5. 10. 33; 7. 29. 30, 43. 39. Cf. άδοκήτως 3. 45. 25; 4.17.16. Not found elsewhere in Attic prose, but freq. in tragedy and late writers. Cf. ἀπροσδόκητον 2. 61. 13; απροσδοκήτως 4. 29. 17; 7. 21. 19. — 42. πρόφασιν: sc. τοῦ τὸν πλοῦν καταλῦσαι. - εἴ τι ἀξιόχρεων ... ὀφθείη: if any considerable demonstration on our part were seen. For $\dot{a}\phi' \dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu$, cf. 4. 126. 32.

43. άγγελλοίμεθα δ' αν . . . έπι τὸ πλείον: pers. const., as 37. 1. — εδ οδδ' ὅτι; adv., like δῆλον ὅτι, as below 58, 38. 2, 68. 14. — ἐπι τὸ πλείον: with

exaggeration, as έπι τὸ μείζον 1. 10. 20. Cf. Dio C. 41. 21. 4 έπι το μειζον άγγελλεσθαι and 47. 24. 1 ἐπὶ τὸ φοβερώτερον άγγέλλεσθαι. — τῶν δ' άνθρώπων... Готанта: opinions of men veer according to what they are told. The figure is nautical, taken from the wind and sails. Cf. πρός την έκείνων γνώμην αίει έστασαν 4. 56. 17; πρός τὰς ξυμφοράς καὶ τὰς γνώμας τρεπομένους 1. 140. 4.—45. τούς προεπιχειρούντας . . . πεφόβηνται : fear more those who commence an attack, or who at any rate show betimes to aggressors that they will defend themselves. For the thought, cf. 4. 92. § 5. προεπιχειρείν elsewhere only in late writers. $\gamma \epsilon$, put forward for emphasis, affects the whole clause. - 46. lookivous: equal to the risk, i.e. able to defend themselves. Elsewhere only in late Greek. Cf. Dio C. 41. 55 Ισόρροποι άλλήλοις και Ισοκίνδυνοι εγίγνοντο. - 47. δπερ ᾶν νῦν . . . πάθοιεν:i.e. εί πεισθέντες έμοι άπαντήσαιτε αὐτοῖς. The idea is more fully expressed below (49) εἰ δ' ίδοιεν κτέ.

Λακεδαιμονίων εφθείρομεν εἰ δ' ίδοιεν παρὰ γνώμην τολμή-50 σαντας, τῷ ἀδοκήτῳ μᾶλλον ἄν καταπλαγεῖεν ἢ τῆ ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀληθοῦς δυνάμει.

"Πείθεσθε οὖν, μάλιστα μεν ταῦτα τολμήσαντες, εἰ δε μή, ε ὅτι τάχιστα τάλλα ἐς τὸν πόλεμον ἐτοιμάζειν, καὶ παραστῆναι παντὶ τὸ μεν καταφρονεῖν τοὺς ἐπιόντας ἐν τῶν ἔργων τῆ ἀλκῆ 55 δείκνυσθαι, τὸ δ' ἦδη τὰς μετὰ φόβου παρασκευὰς ἀσφαλεστάτας νομίσαντας ὡς ἐπὶ κινδύνου πράσσειν χρησιμώτατον ἄν ξυμβῆναι. οἱ δὲ ἄνδρες καὶ ἐπέρχονται καὶ ἐν πλῷ εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι ἦδη εἰσὶ καὶ ὄσον οὖπω πάρεισιν."

35 Καὶ ὁ μὲν Ἑρμοκράτης τοσαῦτα εἶπε, τῶν δὲ Συρακοσίων 1

48. δικαίως κατεγνωκότες: justly judging meanly (κατα-) of us. — 49. έφθειρομεν: conative. — παρὰ γνώμην: with reference to κατεγνωκότες. Schol. παρὰ τὴν δόξαν αὐτῶν. — 50. τῷ άδοκήτῳ . . . δυνάμει: for the thought, cf. 2. 89. 24 τῷ οὐκ εἰκότι πλέον πεφόβηνται ἡμᾶς ἢ τŷ κατὰ λόγον παρασκευŷ. — τῷ ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀληθοῦς δυνάμει: = τῷ ἀληθεῦ δυνάμει.

52. μάλιστα μέν . . . el δè μή: as 1. 32. 4, 35. 23, 40. 13; 2. 72. 8; 3. 38. 24; 4. 104. 20; 5. 21. 15; 8. 91. 15. μάλιστα μέν ταῦτα τολμήσαντες: (with $\pi \epsilon l\theta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon$) if possible by the execution of this bold plan, i.e. to go to meet the Athenians at the Iapygian promontory and fight them from there. The following infs. are grammatically dependent on πείθεσθε, though this gives way perhaps in thought to some more general word like δεî. Hence it is unnecessary to explain even $\pi a \rho a \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota = \text{imv.}$ (see 5. 9. 26), as some do. — 53. παραστήva. . . δείκνυσθαι: it must be brought home to every one that contempt of invaders is shown in active defense. For παραστηναι in this sense, cf. 68. 11, 78.1; 4.61.8, 95.3. It has dependent on it the infs. δείκνυσθαι and αν ξυμβήvai, and of these infs. in turn the subjs. are the articular infs. τὸ καταφρονείν and τὸ πράσσειν. - 54. τὸ καταφρονείν: (cf. 33.13) with pers. acc., as 8.82.6. - τῶν ἔργων τῆ ἀλκῆ: i.e. defense manifested by deeds. For position of gen., cf. 1. 9. 25; 5. 47. 65; 7. 24. 5. dλκή in this old poetical sense, see on 3. 30. 7, and C. F. Smith, Proc. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXII, xviii.—55. ἤδη: at once (cf. 25.6, 29.6), belongs with πράσσειν. - τας μετα φόβου παρασκευάς: i.e. preparations made in full sense of the danger. Cf. δεδιότας παρεσκευάσθαι 2. 11. 21. - 56. ως ἐπὶ κινδύνου: as in the face of danger, with πράσσειν. χρησιμώτατον αν ξυμβήναι: cf. 5.92. 1.—58. δσον ούπω πάρεισιν: are all but present, as 4, 125, 9; 8, 92, 45. Cf. δσον οὐ παρείναι 1. 36. 8 and freq.

35. The speech of Hermocrates has little effect. Athenagoras replies.—
1. TOGATA: esp. after short speeches,

ό δημος ἐν πολλη πρὸς ἀλλήλους ἔριδι ἢσαν, οἱ μὲν ὡς οὐδενὶ ἄν τρόπῳ ἔλθοιεν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι οὐδ᾽ ἀληθη ἐστιν ἃ λέγει, οἱ δέ, εἰ καὶ ἔλθοιεν, τί ἀν δράσειαν αὐτοὺς ὅ τι οὐκ ἀν 5 μεῖζον ἀντιπάθοιεν; ἄλλοι δὲ καὶ πάνυ καταφρονοῦντες ἐς γέλωτα ἔτρεπον τὸ πρᾶγμα· ὀλίγον δ᾽ ἢν τὸ πιστεῦον τῷ Ἑρμοκράτει καὶ φοβούμενον τὸ μέλλον. παρελθών δ᾽ αὐτοῖς ² ᾿Αθηναγόρας, ος δήμου τε προστάτης ἢν καὶ ἐν τῷ παρόντι πιθανώτατος τοῖς πολλοῖς, ἔλεγε τοιάδε·

"so much and no more." Cf. 24.1 and see on 2. 12. 1; 3. 31. 1. — 2. ὁ δημος έν πολλ $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$. . . ἔριδι ἤσαν, οἱ μὲν . . . οἱ δέ: \mathbf{cf} . κατά ξυστάσεις γιγνόμενοι έν πολλή ξριδι ήσαν, οι μέν κελεύοντες έξιέναι, οι δέ τινες οὐκ ἐῶντες 2. 21.15; also ἐγένετο οὖν ἔρις τοις άνθρώποις μη λοιμόν ώνομάσθαι 2.54.6. For collective noun with pl. verb, see on 32. 7. — οἱ μέν : sc. λέγοντες. — 3. đ. λέγει: sc. ὁ Έρμοκράτης, referring to the assertions made by him in 33. § 2 with reference to the undertaking of the Athenians, to which passage refers also τὸ πιστεῦον τῷ Ἑρμοκράτει (6). Cl., St., and Hude adopt the conjecture of Madvig (Advv. I, 326) λέγεται, as reported. — 4. oi &: adopted by nearly all recent editors, following Portus, for rois de of the Mss.; for the latter, with which must be supplied ην ξρις (= λόγος έριστικός, έρώτησις έριστική), is too hard an anacoluthon between οἱ μέν and άλλοι δέ. Such transitions do occur, in longer periods, from an oblique case to nom., as 24.11, but not from nom. to oblique case. Steup retains τοιs δέ, "however harsh the anacoluthon, until a satisfactory emendation is found." — εί και έλθοιεν: καί expressing skeptical doubt, as in 33.15. See on 1. 15. 7. — τί . . . δ τι οὖκ : = οὐδὲν δ τι ούκ. Cf. 3. 81. 24; 7. 87. 14, 25. See on 3. 39. 38. Kr. Spr. 51, 10, 11; Kühn. 555, 5.—5. καλ πάνυ καταφρονοθντες: just what Hermocrates had urged them not to do, 33. 13.—ἐς γέλωτα ἔτρεπον: cf. Hdt. 7. 105. 1; Ar. Vesp. 1260.—6. τὸ πιστεθον: Thuc. freq. uses the neut. ptc. or adj. in personal collective sense. Cf. τὸ ἀντίπαλον 2. 45. 5; τὸ θεραπεθον, τὸ μὴ ὑπεῖκον 3. 39. 29; τὸ εἶκον, τὸ ἐπιόν 4. 61. 20; τὸ μαχόμενον 4. 96. 17; τὸ τε φαθλον καὶ τὸ μέσον καὶ τὸ πάνυ ἀκριβές 6. 18. 35; τὸ ὑπήκοον 6. 69. 25. See C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 76-79.

7. παρελθών αὐτοῖς: see on 15.19. -8. δήμου προστάτης: as 3, 75, 9, 82. 4; 4. 46. 15, 66. 10; 8. 89. 31. Cf. προστασία τοῦ δήμου 2.65.49; προστασία τοῦ πλήθους 6. 89. 17; προεστάναι τοῦ δήμου 3. 70. 12; 6. 28. 8; 8. 65. 6; $\pi \rho \sigma$ στήναι τής πόλεως 2.65.17. See on 4. 21.9. It was not a state office, but a position of influence and confidence. See Arist. Ath. Pol. 28 and Holm, Gesch. Siziliens II, 420. — 9. πιθανώτατος τοις πολλοις: cf. the remark about the demagogue Cleon ων και ές τὰ άλλα βιαιότατος των πολιτών τῷ τε δήμφ παρά πολύ ἐν τῷ τότε πιθανώτατος 3.36.26; also 4, 21, 9,

36 "Τοὺς μὲν 'Αθηναίους ὅστις μὴ βούλεται οὖτω κακῶς 1 φρονῆσαι καὶ ὑποχειρίους ἡμῖν γενέσθαι ἐνθάδε ἐλθόντας, ἡ δειλός ἐστιν ἡ τῆ πόλει οὐκ εὖνους · τοὺς δ' ἀγγέλλοντας τὰ τοιαῦτα καὶ περιφόβους ὑμᾶς ποιοῦντας τῆς μὲν τόλμης 5 οὐ θαυμάζω, τῆς δὲ ἀξυνεσίας, εἰ μὴ οἴονται ἔνδηλοι εἶναι. οἱ γὰρ δεδιότες ἰδία τι βούλονται τὴν πόλιν ἐς ἔκπληξιν κα- 2 θιστάναι, ὅπως τῷ κοινῷ φόβῳ τὸν σφέτερον ἐπηλυγάζωνται · καὶ νῦν αὖται αἱ ἀγγελίαι τοῦτο δύνανται, ⟨αῖ⟩ οὐκ ἀπὸ

Speech of Athenagoras (36-40)

36. However much we might wish the Athenians to attack us, the reports of their approach are only senseless fabrications of people who are always exciting disquiet. The insight and experience of the Athenians make such folly on their part incredible. -1. τοὺς μέν 'Αθηναίους . . . ούτω: accidental hexameter, as 2. 49. 18. For accidental trimeters, cf. 1.80.6; 3.40.39; 4.17. 6. The ancients disliked such occurrences in prose; cf. Cic. De Orat. 3. 47. 182; Quint. 9. 4. 72. τούς μέν 'Αθηναίous, as antithesis to τούς δ' άγγέλλοντας, placed before the rel. Cf. 1. 5. 11; 3. 39. 5. — ούτω κακώς φρονήσαι: i.e. as is alleged, with the result expressed in και υποχειρίους ήμιν γενέσθαι ένθάδε έλθόντας, where chief stress is on έλθόντas. The sent. is colloquial, as indeed the tone of the whole speech. - 3. oùk εύνους: = κακόνους 24. 15. — 4. της μέν τόλμης οὐ θαυμάζω, της δὲ άξυνεσίας: Iam astonished not at their audacity but at their simplicity (cf. τολμήσαντες 34. 52). της μέν τόλμης said mockingly, as Cl. explains; for Athenagoras ascribes the whole alarm to the scare of his opponents. But Steup thinks it clear from 38. § 2 that Athenagoras considers that those who are spreading these reports

are not acting sincerely and hence does not ascribe fear to them. For the gens. of cause with θανμάζειν, cf. ἀλγεῖν 2.65.14; δνειδίζειν 3.62.15; χαλεπῶς φέρειν 2.62.18. See on 4.11.17.—5. εἰ μὴ σἴονται ἔνδηλοι εἶναι: if they fancy their object is not transparent. Steup thinks the orator means that those who spread the reports would use the general panic to get control of the state (τῆς πόλεως ἄρχειν 38.6).

6. οί γὰρ δεδιότες ίδία τι: for those who have any private grounds of alarm. - is ξκπληξιν καθιστάναι: see on 34.23. --7. δπως . . . ἐπηλυγάζωνται: that with the general fear they may hide their own. So Cl. and most recent editors, reading, for το σφέτερον of the Mss., τον σφέτερον. Cf. Schol. δπως κοινή φοβήσαντες άπαντας τον ίδιον φόβον άποκρύψωνται, and Valla, ut publico metu suum occultent. Cf. also Dexippus (Hist. Gr. Min., Dind. I, p. 196) imitating this passage, δπως αν τὸ σφέτερον δέος έπηλυγάζησθε. See App. -- έπηλυγάζωνται: rare word, derived from ήλύγη (= σκία, σκότος). See Hesych. s.v. ήλυγισμένος. -- 8. καλ νθν . . . τοῦτο δύναν-Tai: so now these rumors (mean this) have this object. For $\delta \dot{v} a \sigma \theta a \iota = valere$. cf. 1. 141. 5; 3. 46. 10. - (al): which, first added by Cl., is now generally

ταὐτομάτου, ἐκ δὲ ἀνὶ ρῶν, οἴπερ αἰεὶ τάδε κινοῦσι, ξύγκεινται.

10 ὑμεῖς δὲ ἢν εὖ βουλεύησθε, οὐκ ἐξ ὧν οὖτοι ἀγγέλλουσι σκο- 8
ποῦντες λογιεῖσθε τὰ εἰκότα, ἀλλὶ ἐξ ὧν ἄν ἄνθρωποι δεινοὶ
καὶ πολλῶν ἔμπειροι, ὧσπερ ἐγὼ ᾿Αθηναίους ἀξιῶ, δράσειαν.
οὐ γὰρ αὐτοὺς εἰκὸς Πελοποννησίους τε ὑπολιπόντας καὶ ἐ
τὸν ἐκεῖ πόλεμον μήπω βεβαίως καταλελυμένους ἐπ᾽ ἄλλον

15 πόλεμον οὐκ ἐλάσσω ἑκόντας ἐλθεῖν, ἐπεὶ ἔγωγε ἀγαπᾶν
οἴομαι αὐτοὺς ὅτι οὐχ ἡμεῖς ἐπ᾽ ἐκείνους ἐρχόμεθα, πόλεις
τοσαῦται καὶ οὖτω μεγάλαι.

37 "Εἰ δὲ δή, ὧσπερ λέγονται, ἔλθοιεν, ἰκανωτέραν ἡγοῦμαι 1

adopted, was prob. lost owing to the final letters of δύνανται. The rel. seems necessary to show that the following clause is explanatory of άγγελίαι and not epexegetical of τοῦτο. If (al) be not inserted, we must put a semicolon (·) after δύνανται and regard the following sent. as an asyndetical explanation of άγγελίαι. — άπὸ ταὐτομάτου: of themselves, sua sponte, as 2.77.17. With this, ξύγκεινται, which as pf. pass. of συντίθημι means have been invented, has the special force of άρχην είληφασι. - 9. έκ δὲ ἀνδρῶν: stronger than ὑπὸ ἀνδρῶν. See on 2.49.1; 3.69.3; and C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 66 f. -οἴπερ αἰεὶ τάδε κινοῦσι: who are always stirring up things here, i.e. disturbing the public mind, as explained by the orator himself 38. § 2. Cf. the pass. κινείσθαι 3.82.3; 4.76.21; and κίνησις 1.1.8.

10. οὐκ ἐξ ὧν . . . σκοπούντες: not forming your judgment from what these men report. Cf. 5. 68. 7 ἐκ τοιοῦδε λογισμοῦ ἔξεστί τψ σκοπεῖν. — 11. δεινοί: Schol. συνετοί, φρόνιμοι. Cf. Isocr. 12. 229 ἀνδρα δεινὸν καὶ πολλῶν ἔμπειρον. — 12. ὧσπερ ἐγὼ Αθηναίους ἀξιῶ: just as I hold the Athenians, sc. δεινοὺς καὶ πολ-

λῶν ἐμπείρους εἶναι. Kr., who so explains with Cl., suggests οἴουσπερ ἐγὼ 'Λθηναίους ἀξιῶ, comparing 3.14.7 γίγνεσε δὲ ἀνδρες οἴουσπερ ὑμᾶς οἴ τε Ἑλληνες ἀξιοῦσι καὶ τὸ ὑμέτερον δέος βούλεται. St. supplies ἀν δρᾶσαι. — δράσειαν: potential, as ἀν in ἐξ ὧν ἄν belongs to the verb. Cf. 7.48.22.

13. Πελοποννησίους ὑπολιπόντας: comparison with 10.1 (πολεμίους πολλούς ένθάδε ύπολιπόντας) and 17, 26 (ουσπερ νθν φασι πολεμίους ύπολιπόντας) suggests the loss here of πολεμίους. But the modifier here needed is sufficiently implied in the following closely correlated ptc. clause, και τον έκει πόλεμον μήπω βεβαίως καταλελυμένους, before they have completely ended the war there.— 14. τον έκει πόλεμον: see on 34. 15. μήπω: not οὖπω since καταλελυμένους is part of the inf. clause dependent on elκός.-καταλελυμένους: of settling hostilities, as 1.24.19 and freq. Cf. Hdt. 7. 146. 2 καταλυσάμενοι τὰς ἔχθρας. See on 1. 81. 9.—16. αὐτοὺς . . . ἐκείνους: both referring to the Athenians. Cf. 61. 18, 20, and see on 1. 132. 31.

37. If however they should risk an attack, with their relatively inferior

Σικελίαν Πελοποννήσου διαπολεμήσαι όσφ κατὰ πάντα ἄμεινον ἐξήρτυται, τὴν δὲ ἡμετέραν πόλιν αὐτὴν τῆς νῦν στρατιᾶς, ως φασιν, ἐπιούσης, καὶ εἰ δὶς τοσαύτη ἔλθοι, πολὺ κρείσσω εἶναι· οἷς γ' ἐπίσταμαι οὖθ' ἴππους ἀκολουθήσοντας, οὐδ' αὐτόθεν πορισθησομένους εἰ μὴ ὀλίγους τινὰς παρὰ Ἐγεσταίων, οὖθ' ὁπλίτας ἰσοπλήθεις τοῖς ἡμετέροις, ἐπὶ νεῶν γε ἐλθόντας (μέγα γὰρ τὸ καὶ αὐταῖς ταῖς ναυσὶ κούφαις τοσοῦτον πλοῦν δεῦρο κομισθῆναι), τήν τε ἄλλην παρα-10 σκευὴν ὅσην δεῖ ἐπὶ πόλιν τοσήνδε πορισθῆναι οὐκ ὀλίγην οὖσαν. ωστε (παρὰ τοσοῦτον γιγνωσκω) μόλις ἄν μοι δοκοῦ- ² σιν, εἰ πόλιν ἔτέραν τοσαύτην ὅσαι Συράκουσαί εἰσιν ἔλθοιεν

forces, they would surely be destroyed. -2. ὄσφ: after, as well as before, a comp., in so far as (because). Cf. 1.82. 19, and see on 1.68.11. — 3. αὐτήν: antithesis to Σικελίαν, Syracuse alone. Cf. 1. 139. 16; 3. 27. 9. — The vûn straτιᾶς: governed by κρείσσω. The attrib. ptc. may stand after the noun, since there is another modifier $(\nu \hat{\nu} \nu)$. See on 1. 11. 19. — 5. обо титом: has its correlative in $o\ddot{v}\theta$ (correctly restored by Haacke for οὐδ') ὁπλίτας ἰσοπλήθεις, both to be construed with ἀκολουθήσοντας. The clause οὐδ' αὐτόθεν πορισθησομένους modifies ἔππους alone. As to ἔπποι, cf. 43.16, 98.2.—7. Ισοπλήθεις: rare word. For similar formations, cf. looklyduyos 34. 46; Ισοπαλής 2. 39. 9; Ισόρροπος 2. 42. 7; 7. 71. 2. - ἐπὶ νεῶν γε ἐλθόντας: in sense of fut. pf. belonging to δπλίτας and explaining why the Athenian leaders would not have a hoplite force equal in numbers to the Syracusan.—8. μέγα yap . . . κομισθήναι: for it is a great thing to be brought so long a sail with actually their ships only, unladen as they are, i.e. with crew only, without hoplites or provisions. τοσοῦτον πλοῦν.

const. as δσον πλοῦν 30.13. Abresch's suggestion that the whole sent. is parenthetical has been generally approved. -9. τήν τε άλλην παρασκευήν . . . ovoav: third member dependent on ots γ' ἐπίσταμαι, and that all the rest of the equipment which must be provided against so large a city is not small, and therefore not easy to be procured by them. την άλλην παρασκευήν refers prob. to provisions, arms, and implements. To take τήν τε άλλην παρασκευήν with πορισθηναι construed also with μέγα, as has usually been done, would weaken the force of και αὐταις ταις ναυσι κούφαις and make the connection τήν τε άλλην unintelligible. See App.

11. παρὰ τοσοθτον γιγνώσκω: by so much do I decide otherwise, i.e. so much do I differ from those who spread these reports. Schol. παρὰ τοσοῦτον διαφέρομαι τοῖς τὰ ἔτερα διαγγέλλουσι. See on 3. 49. 18. This expression is parenthetical here, just as εδ οἶδ' ότι in 34: 43, 57. —μόλις ἄν: ἄν, which belongs to διαφαρῆναι, is there repeated. See on 4. 18. 17. GMT. 223; Gild., Syn. 467. —12. εἰ πόλιν ἐτέραν τοσαύτην . . . τὸν

έχοντες καὶ ὅμορον οἰκήσαντες τὸν πόλεμον ποιοῖντο, οὐκ ἄν παντάπασι διαφθαρῆναι, ἢ πού γε δὴ ἐν πάση πολεμία Σικε
15 λία (ξυστήσεται γάρ) στρατοπέδω τε ἐκ νεῶν ἱδρυθέντι καὶ ἐκ σκηνιδίων καὶ ἀναγκαίας παρασκευῆς οὐκ ἐπὶ πολὺ ὑπὸ τῶν ἡμετέρων ἱππέων ἐξιόντες. τό τε ξύμπαν οὐδ' ἄν κρατῆσαι αὐτοὺς τῆς γῆς ἡγοῦμαι · τοσούτω τὴν ἡμετέραν παρασκευὴν κρείσσω νομίζω.

38 "'Αλλὰ ταῦτα, ὧσπερ ἐγὼ λέγω, οι τε 'Αθηναῖοι γιγνώ- 1 σκοντες τὰ σφέτερα αὐτῶν εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι σώζουσι, καὶ ἐνθένδε

πόλεμον ποιοίντο: the condition assumed by the speaker as most favorable for the Athenians is made clear from its opposite, the real state of the case: that in a land entirely hostile they would have their base only in an ill-provided camp and would be without freedom of movement owing to the enemy's cavalry. The opp. condition is expressed by πόλιν ἐτέραν τοσαύτην δσαι Συράκουσαί είσιν έχοντες και δμορον olκήσαντες, i.e. if they had in possession another city (in Sicily) as large as Syracuse and had settled therein contiguous (to Syracuse). εl ξλθοιεν means here merely if they should come (against us), and we have here a case of the opp. character to έπὶ νεῶν έλθεῖν (cf. l. 7 ἐπὶ νεων γε έλθόντας, and l. 15 στρατοπέδω τε έκ νεων ίδρυθέντι). Hence it is unnecessary to bracket, with Cl., ξλθοιεν, or with v. H., St., etc., ξλθοιεν ξχοντες. L. Herbst (on 2. 101 f.) explained πόλιν έτέραν έχοντες, "with another city on board." But the real meaning of Athenagoras is exactly that the Athenians ex vews would not be in any way dangerous in their advance upon the Syracusans. Cf. also 86. § 3. -14. ἡ πού γε δή: much less then would they not be entirely destroyed. Cf. 1. 142, 7. — έν πάση πολεμία Σικελία: cf. ές άλλοτρίαν πασαν 21. 12. - 15. ξυστήσεται: cf. 21. 4, 33. 26, 79. 13; 7. 15. 4. — στρατοπέδω τε έκ νεών ίδρυθέντι: and in a camp pitched right from the ships (and therefore lacking in much). - Kal EK σκηνιδίων . . . Εξιόντες: third member. σκηνίδιον found only here.-16. άναγκαίας παρασκευής: i.e. from meagre equipment and war materials; what was absolutely necessary rather than sufficient. For avayratas in this sense, cf. 2, 70.5; 5, 8, 10; 7, 69, 21. ὑπὸ τῶν ἡμετέρων ἱππέων: by reason of our cavalry, as 7. 78. 30.—17. τό τε ξύμπαν: for τὸ δὲ ξύμπαν of the Mss., as Haase emended, acc. to Thuc.'s usage for such comprehensive formulas. See on 3.82.34. It is only when a general remark is expressly opposed to what went before, as in 3.116.6, that Thuc. uses $\delta \epsilon = 0$ of an kratheau the vis: would not even effect a landing. Cf. 23. 7, and see on 3. 85. 13.

38. The Athenians, too, are aware of this. But ambitious men from here wish, by exciting fear of dangers from without, to help themselves into power; these I oppose with word and deed.—

1. ταῦτα: with γιγνόσκοντες.—2. τὰ σφέτερα αὐτῶν . . . σφίζουσι: cf. 36.

ανδρες οὖτε ὅντα οὖτε ἀν γενόμενα λογοποιοῦσιν, οὖς ἐγὼ 8 οὐ νῦν πρῶτον, ἀλλ' αἰεὶ ἐπίσταμαι ἤτοι λόγοις γε τοιοῖσδε

δ καὶ ἔτι τούτων κακουργοτέροις ἢ ἔργοις βουλομένους καταπλήξαντας τὸ ὑμέτερον πλῆθος αὐτοὺς τῆς πόλεως ἄρχειν. καὶ δέδοικα μέντοι μήποτε πολλὰ πειρῶντες καὶ κατορθώσωσιν ἡμεῖς δὲ κακοί, πρὶν ἐν τῷ παθεῖν ὧμεν, προφυλάξασθαί τε καὶ αἰσθόμενοι ἐπεξελθεῖν. τοιγάρτοι δι' αὐτὰ ἡ 8
10 πόλις ἡμῶν ὀλιγάκις μὲν ἡσυχάζει, στάσεις δὲ πολλὰς καὶ ἀγῶνας οὐ πρὸς τοὺς πολεμίους πλείονας ἡ πρὸς αὐτὴν ἀναιρεῖται, τυραννίδας δὲ ἔστιν ὅτε καὶ δυναστείας ἀδίκους. ὧν ἐγὼ 4 πειράσομαι, ἡν γε ὑμεῖς ἐθέλητε ἔπεσθαι, μήποτε ἐφ' ἡμῶν τι περιιδεῖν γενέσθαι, ὑμᾶς μὲν τοὺς πολλοὺς πείθων τοὺς δὲ

15 άγαπῶν οίομαι αὐτοὺς ὅτι οὐχ ἡμεῖς ἐπ' ἐκείνους ἐρχόμεθα. The pres. is conative. — εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι: as 34. 43. — ἐνθένδε ἄνδρες: see on 10. 5. — 3. οὕτε ὅντα οὕτε ἄν γενόμενα: = ἄ οὕτε ἔστιν οὕτε ἀν γένοιτο. — λογοποιοῦσιν: cf. Theophr. Char. 8 ἡ λογοποιία ἐστὶ σύνθεσις ψευδῶν λόγων καὶ πράξεων.

4. ἦτοι . . . γε . . . ἥ : see on 34. 10. λόγοις . . . ἔργοις: instrumental dats. with καταπλήξαντας. — 5. βουλομένους: suppl. ptc. with ἐπίσταμαι and limited by άρχειν. - καταπλήξαντας: cf. 36. 6 την πόλιν ές ξκπληξιν καθιστάναι. The ptc. belongs as means to αρχειν. — 7. µέντοι: confirmatory, to be sure. πολλά πειρώντες και κατορθώσωσιν: byrepeated attempts they may finally succeed. kal (etiam) of purposed result. -8. Theis de kakol: sc. $\epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon \nu$, i.e. independent, not depending on $\mu\eta\pi$ ore. The orator makes emphatic the reproach that the Syracusans do not know how to take timely precautions. For Kakos with inf. (GMT. 763; Kr. Spr. 55, 3, 3), Kr. cites Hdt. 6. 108. 15 τιμωρέειν ἐοῦσι οὐ κακοῖσι, and Soph. O. R. 445 μανθάνειν έγω κακὸς σοῦ. For the like const. ἄριστοι ἀπατᾶσθαι, see on 3. 38. 21. — πρὶν . . . ωμεν: see on 10. 18, 29. 7. — 9. αἰσθόμενοι: sc. τὰς ἐπιβουλάς. — ἐπεξελθεῖν: sc. τοῖς ἐπιβουλεύουσιν, bring to account (the plotters). Cf. 3. 38. 5; 5. 89. 3.

τοιγάρτοι δί αὐτά: τοιγάρτοι (only here in Thuc.) referring to ἡμεῖς δὲ... ἐπεξελθεῖν, and δι αὐτά to the persistent plots against the state. — ἡ πόλις... ἡσυχάξει: cf. 36. 9 οἴπερ αἰεὶ τάδε κινοῦσι. — 12. τυραννίδας δέ: i.e. of Gelon and Hiero (485–467 в.с.). — καὶ δυναστείας ἀδίκους: i.e. ὀλίγων ἀνδρῶν (cf. 3. 62. 11; 4. 78. 15), referring to the unquiet time following the expulsion of the tyrants. Cf. Diod. 11. 72 f., 76. With both nouns sc. ἀναιρεῖται in the sense of ὑπομένει, πάσχει.

ών: depends on τι (14).—14. περιδείν γενέσθαι: for inf. after περιδείν, see GMT. 903, 6.— ὑμᾶς τοὺς πολλούς: as Dem. 8. 1 (cf. τὸ ὑμέτερον πλῆθος l. 6); opp. to ὁλίγους in l. 19.— τοὺς δὲ τὰ τοιαῦτα μηχανωμένους κολάζων: either, as

15 τὰ τοιαῦτα μηχανωμένους κολάζων, μὴ μόνον αὐτοφώρους (χαλεπὸν γὰρ ἐπιτυγχάνειν), ἀλλὰ καὶ ὧν βούλονται μὲν δύνανται δ' οὖ (τὸν γὰρ ἐχθρὸν οὐχ ὧν δρᾳ μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ τῆς διανοίας προαμύνεσθαι χρή, εἶπερ καὶ μὴ προφυλαξάμενός τις προπείσεται), τοὺς δ' αὖ ὀλίγους τὰ μὲν ἐλέγχων, 20 τὰ δὲ φυλάσσων, τὰ δὲ καὶ διδάσκων μάλιστα γὰρ δοκῶ ἄν μοι οὖτως ἀποτρέπειν τῆς κακουργίας.

"Καὶ δητα, ὁ πολλάκις ἐσκεψάμην, τί καὶ βούλεσθε, ὧ τ νεώτεροι; πότερον ἄρχειν ήδη; ἀλλ' οὐκ ἔννομον· ὁ δὲ νόμος ἐκ τοῦ μὴ δύνασθαι ὑμᾶς μᾶλλον ἡ δυναμένους ἐτέθη

Cl. explains, democratic leaders ambitious for the tyranny, or, as Pp., a set of disturbers belonging to the oligarchs. See App. - 15. μη μόνον: not où because the ptc. belongs to the inf. clause $(\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu)$. — 16. $\vec{\omega} \nu$: gen. of the thing for which, with rold (eu, as 2. 74. 16; so with προαμύνεσθαι l. 18 below. and ἀμύνασθαι 1, 96, 5. See on 4, 11, 17. —18. είπερ . . . τις προπείσεται: since indeed the man who is not first to guard will be first to suffer. - 19. Toùs & av ollγους: opp. to ύμας μέν τούς πολλούς (14). Cf. 2. 44. 16; 5. 14. 12, 68. 6; 8. 78. 10, 104. 7. — τὰ μὲν ἐλέγχων . . . διδάσκων: of these three means to be used against the aristocrats the two first are actual precautions: ἐλέγχειν, to convict them of guilt in dangerous plots, φυλάσσειν, to watch them in all their conduct. Only the third, διδάσκειν, is the orator's task, which is immediately carried out in what follows. Pp. wrongly finds the έλέγχειν in the conclusion of this chapter and in the next, the διδάσκειν in c. 40. καί before διδάσκων represents this teaching as not yet hopeless, and to this alone refers the foll. hope of suc-COSS - δοκῶ ἄν μοι οὕτως (i.e. διδάσκων)

αποτρέπειν τῆς κακουργίας. καὶ δῆτα, and now, ironical, a vivid transition to the διδάσκειν, while both ὁ πολλάκις ἐσκεψάμην (a question which I have often considered) and καί in τί καὶ βούλεσθε make the effort of these people appear entirely unjustified.

22. ω νεώτεροι: from this address it is clear that the majority of the olivou at least as described here by the orator, were younger men. Hermocrates indeed was no longer young, as he had represented Syracuse at the peace congress at Gela in 424 B.C. (4.58ff.); cf. also 72. § 2. In 39.11 also the orator puts the δυνάμενοι by the side of the νέοι. -23, πότερον: we have in this and the foll. άλλά δή (25) the same form of refutation by means of questions as in 1.80. 16, only that there the second question is introduced by simple άλλά. — ήδη: already, i.e. before you have reached the required age for office. — 6 6è vóµos . . . ἀτιμάζειν : Schol, λέγει περί τῶν νέων δτι εξργονται της άρχης δια νόμον ούκ ατιμαζόμενοι, άλλά κωλυόμενοι διά την ήλικίαν. -24. ix: with causal force, as not seldom in Thuc., esp. with pass. verb forms. Cf. 40. 16. — μᾶλλον ή: the comparison 25 ἀτιμάζειν. ἀλλὰ δὴ μὴ μετὰ πολλῶν ἰσονομεῖσθαι; καὶ πῶς 39 δίκαιον τοὺς αὐτοὺς μὴ τῶν αὐτῶν ἀξιοῦσθαι; φήσει τις 1 δημοκρατίαν οὖτε ξυνετὸν οὖτ ἴσον εἶναι, τοὺς δὲ ἔχοντας τὰ χρήματα καὶ ἄρχειν ἄριστα βελτίστους. ἐγὼ δέ φημι πρῶτα μὲν δῆμον ξύμπαν ώνομάσθαι, ὀλιγαρχίαν δὲ μέρος, ἔπειτα 5 φύλακας μὲν ἀρίστους εἶναι χρημάτων τοὺς πλουσίους, βουλεῦσαι δ' ἀν βέλτιστα τοὺς ξυνετούς, κρῖναι δ' ἀν ἀκούσαντας ἄριστα τοὺς πολλούς, καὶ ταῦτα ὁμοίως καὶ κατὰ μέρη

is only in form, the second member being practically denied. See on 1.73.11; 3.37.12.—25. μετὰ πολλῶν ἱσονομείσθαι: cf. Schol. ἰσότιμοι εἶναι μετὰ τῶν πολλῶν. He prob. had therefore in his text τῶν πολλῶν, which would answer very well to ὀλίγοι (19), and is adopted by Hude. ἰσονομεῖσθαι found elsewhere only in late writers.—26. τοὺς αὐτούς: i.e. the citizens of the same state. The democratic principle here expressed (δίκαιον . . . ἀξιοῦσθαι) is in direct opposition to the view of Alcibiades in 16.16 οὐδέ γε ἄδικον ἐφ' ἐαυτῷ μέγα φρονοῦντα μὴ ἴσον εἶναι.

39. The democratic form of government is wrongly reproached with an unjust distribution of power; rather would an oligarchy restrict all the advantages of government to a small number. -1. φήσει τις: introducing an objection, as [Xen.] Ath. Pol. 3.7. For position, see on 10. 1. - 2. Euvetov, toov: for neut. pred. adj. with fem. subj., see G. 925; H. 617. Cf. 3. 37. 1, 16. - ίσον: Schol. δίκαιον. - τὰ χρήmara: wrongly suspected by Kr. and v. H. and supported by its repetition below (5).—3. ἄρχειν ἄριστα: depends on βελτίστους. See on άπατᾶσθαι άριστοι 3. 38. 21 and κακοί προφυλάξασθαι 38. 8. Cf. also infs. with κράτιστος 1. 138. 19; 2. 81. 9; 8. 68. 6. Δριστα is unnecessarily rejected by v. H., as βελτίστους by Cobet. The reading of Laur. βελrlous seems certainly a copyist's slip. esp. in the light of the following superlatives άριστους, βέλτιστα, ἄριστα. πρώτα μέν: for the usual πρώτον μέν. — 4. ξύμπαν: the whole. — 5. βουλεθσαι: give advice (act.), as 3.42.25; 4.68.30; 8. 76. 33. — 6. **kpivai**: five decisions (of the popular assembly), as 1.87.2; 2. 40.8; 3.43.17.—7. ταῦτα: to be understood, with the Schol., of the three classes named (τούς πλουσίους, τούς ξυνετούς, τούς πολλούς), not, with Grote ("in a democracy these functions, one and all, find their proper place"), of the threefold activity (φυλάσσειν, βουλεύειν, κρίνειν); for Ισομοιρείν is, as in 16.18, personal and active, to have an equal part, i.e. in the duties as in the advantages of public life. The sense is then: "and these classes alike both separately (i.e. according to the indicated difference of station) and all together (i.e. in the popular assembly) in a democracy share equally." For ταῦτα (neut.) of classes of citizens, cf. Alcibiades in 18.33 τό τε φαῦλον και το μέσον και το πάνυ ἀκριβές.

καὶ ξύμπαντα ἐν δημοκρατίᾳ ἰσομοιρεῖν. ὀλιγαρχία δὲ τῶν ² μὲν κινδύνων τοῖς πολλοῖς μεταδίδωσι, τῶν δ' ἀφελίμων οὐ 10 πλεονεκτεῖ μόνον, ἀλλὰ καὶ ξύμπαντ' ἀφελομένη ἔχει· ἃ ὑμῶν οἴ τε δυνάμενοι καὶ οἱ νέοι προθυμοῦνται, ἀδύνατα ἐν μεγάλη πόλει κατασχεῖν.

40 "'Αλλ' ἔτι καὶ νῦν, ὧ πάντων ἀξυνετώτατοι, εἰ μὴ μαν- 1 θάνετε κακὰ σπεύδοντες, [ἡ ἀμαθέστατοί ἐστε] ὧν ἐγὼ οἶδα 'Ελλήνων, ἡ ἀδικώτατοι εἰ εἰδότες τολμᾶτε, ἀλλ' ἡτοι μαθόντες

8. όλιγαρχία δὲ κτέ.: transition to direct discourse for the sake of vividness and intensity. — 9. των δ' ώφελίμων: part. gen. with πλεονεκτεί, answering to των μέν κινδύνων μεταδίδωσι. --10. ξύμπαντ': (i.e. ξύμπαντα τὰ ώφέλιμα) so with v. H. for ξύμπαν of the Mss. άφελομένη έχει: takes and holds. For this periphrasis for the perf., cf. 76. 10; 1.38.15. Freq. in tragic poets, esp. Soph. See GMT. 47; Gild., Syn. 295. a: the just indicated objects of oligarchic effort. For the acc. with προθυμοῦνται, cf. 5.17.6; 8.90.9.—11. οί δυνάμενοι: = οἱ ὁλιγαρχικοί, with whom are placed their pupils of véoi. See on 38.22. Cf. [Xen.] Ath. Pol. 2. 18 η πλούσιος η γενναΐος $\ddot{\eta}$ δυνάμενος. — άδύνατα: in the sense of καίπερ άδύνατα δντα.-12. κατασχείν: hold fast, as in 11, 1, 86, 9, not attain, as in 9.16; 2.62.25. For the act. inf., see GMT. 763; Gild., Syn. 143.

40. Whoever has the common weal at heart will beware of oligarchic intrigues and not be deceived by false reports of danger. Our city will know how to ward off the Athenians if they come, and to preserve its freedom against machinations from within.—

1. άλλ' ἔτι καὶ . . . αὕξετε: if the bracketed words [ἡ ἀμαθέστατοι ἐστε],

which all the Mss. give, be retained, we may explain, with Jowett, that "the words άλλ' ἔτι καὶ νῦν were intended to be followed more closely by autere, but Thuc. has gone off upon the word aforeτώτατοι." The clause εί μη μανθάνετε κακά σπεύδοντες is then prot. to ή άμαθέστατοί έστε ών . . . Έλληνων, as εί είδότες τολμᾶτε is to $\hat{\eta}$ άδικώτατοι. After this practical parenthesis, the admonitory const. is resumed with άλλ' ήτοι. But it seems better, with Dobree and Madvig, to reject η αμαθέστατοί έστε as the gloss of a copyist, meant doubtless to balance η άδικώτατοι and supply a government for $\vec{\omega}_{\nu}$... $\vec{E}\lambda\lambda\eta\nu\omega\nu$. With the words η αμαθέστατοί έστε removed. ων . . . Έλλήνων becomes a limitation of πάντων all the more emphatic after the intervening clause εl μη . . . σπεύδοντες. After the extended address, expressed in two corresponding members (ω άξυνετώτατοι, εί μη . . . σπεύδοντες . . . $\hat{\eta}$ άδικώτατοι, εl εlδότες τολμ \hat{a} τε), the repetition of dala (3), to resume the intended admonition, is natural. -- μανθάνετε κακά σπεύδοντες: for suppl. ptc. in indir. disc., see GMT. 904. κακά is cogn. acc. — 3. ήτοι . . . γε ή: see on 34. 10. — μαθόντες: removes the reproach of άξυνετώτατοι, as μεταγνόντες

γε ή μεταγνόντες τὸ τῆς πόλεως ξύμπασι κοινὸν αὖξετε, ἡγη5 σάμενοι τοῦτο μὲν ἄν καὶ ἴσον καὶ πλέον οἱ ἀγαθοὶ ὑμῶν ἤπερ τὸ τῆς πόλεως πλῆθος μετασχεῖν, εἰ δ' ἄλλα βουλήσεσθε, καὶ τοῦ παντὸς κινδυνεῦσαι στερηθῆναι. καὶ τῶν τοιῶνδε ἀγγελιῶν ὡς πρὸς αἰσθομένους καὶ μὴ ἐπιτρέψοντας ἀπαλλάγητε. ἡ γὰρ πόλις ἤδε καὶ εἰ ἔρχονται ᾿Αθηναῖοι ²
10 ἀμυνεῖται αὐτοὺς ἀξίως αὐτῆς (καὶ στρατηγοί εἰσιν ἡμῖν οἳ σκέψονται αὐτά) καὶ εἰ μή τι αὐτῶν ἀληθές ἐστιν, ὥσπερ

that of άδικώτατοι. - 4. ξύμπασι: pleonastic after κοινόν, to emphasize the community of interest; to which further expression is given in the participial clause (ἡγησάμενοι κτέ.), where the good results are promised esp. to the dya- θ ol. — 5. τ o θ τ o: 8C. τ d κοιν θ ν τ η s π d θ εωs, i.e. the whole that is shared with others (μετασχείν). The more natural const. would be the gen. (τούτου), which Steup adopts after v. H. and Badham. - Kal ίσον και πλίον: in equal or larger measure. For kal allowing a choice, see on 1. 82. 12.— $\pi\lambda$ éov ň $\pi\epsilon\rho$ τὸ τῆς π όλεως: $\mathring{\eta}\pi\epsilon\rho$ only here in Thuc., rare in Attic prose, found esp. in Hdt. and Hom. See App. - οί άγαθοι ύμων: with ήγησάμενοι, in explan. appos. Cf. 32. 7.—6. et 8 alla βουλήσεσθε: as opp. to τὸ τῆς πόλεως ξύμπασι κοινόν αύξειν, pointing to a continuation of oligarchical machinations. -7. τοῦ παντὸς στερηθήναι: i.e. by death or banishment, in case of defeat in conflict with the opp. party. - κινδυνεθσαι: sc. av from the first member, as Kr. explains. St. and Hude write kar . . . κινδυνεύσαι. Cl. and Steup consider that by the hypothetic el άλλα βουλήσεσθε the inf. is sufficiently justified even without dv. See on 24.3. - Kal Tav Toiώνδε άγγελιών . . . άπαλλάγητε: have done with such reports, understanding that

you are dealing with those who perceive (your objects) and will not permit them. For πρόs, against, in relation to, see on 3. 43. 15. αlσθανομένους, which Laur. offers, would suit the context here; but αlσθομένους has the support of all the other Mss., and seems justified by 38. 8 ἡμεῖς δὲ κακοί . . . αlσθόμενοι ἐπεξελθεῖν. The aor. was evidently before the Schol., who seems, moreover, to have read ώς πρὸς προαισθομένους (cf. Schütz, Ztschr. f. d. Gymnasialw. XXXIII, 1879, p.94.—8. μὴ ἐπιτρέψοντας: abs., asin 17 below. See on 1. 71. 5.

9. και εί έρχονται Άθηναιοι: to this corresponds καὶ εἰ μή τι . . . ἐστιν. As only the second clause has to do with the idea contained in ω's προs αλσθομένους καλ μη επιτρέψοντας, the first clause is in thought subordinate to the second, the sense being, "as this city, if the Athenians really come, will ward them off, so also etc." For similar cases of parataxis, see on 9.11. - 10. Kal στρατηγοί . . . αὐτά: as a side remark, to be indicated as a parenthesis. - 11. of σκέψονται αὐτά: cf. τὸ ἐαυτοῦ μόνον σκοπῶν 12.9; τὸ σεαυτοῦ σκοπῶν Plat. Phaedr. 232 D. avrá of the matters in hand or under discussion, as 10.5. See on 1. 1. 10. So αὐτῶν (11), of them, i.e. the reports. — ώσπερ οὐκ οἴομαι: sc. τι

οὐκ οἴομαι, οὐ πρὸς τὰς ὑμετέρας ἀγγελίας καταπλαγεῖσα καὶ ἐλομένη ἡμᾶς ἄρχοντας αὐθαίρετον δουλείαν ἐπιβαλεῖται, αὐτὴ δ' ἐφ' αὐτῆς σκοποῦσα τούς τε λόγους ἀφ' ὑμῶν 15 ὡς ἔργα δυναμένους κρινεῖ καὶ τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν ἐλευθερίαν οὐχὶ ἐκ τοῦ ἀκούειν ἀφαιρεθήσεται, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ ἔργῳ φυλασσομένη μὴ ἐπιτρέπειν πειράσεται σώζειν."

41 Τοιαθτα μεν 'Αθηναγόρας εἶπε, τῶν δε στρατηγῶν εἶς 1 ἀναστὰς ἄλλον μεν οὐδένα ἔτι εἶασε παρελθεῖν, αὐτὸς δε πρὸς τὰ παρόντα ἔλεξε τοιάδε· "Διαβολὰς μεν οὐ σῶφρον 2 οὖτε λέγειν τινὰς ἐς ἀλλήλους οὖτε τοὺς ἀκούοντας ἀποδέ- χεσθαι, πρὸς δε τὰ ἐσαγγελλόμενα μᾶλλον ὁρᾶν ὅπως εἶς τε ἔκαστος καὶ ἡ ξύμπασα πόλις καλῶς τοὺς ἐπιόντας

αὐτῶν άληθὲς εἶναι.—12. πρὸς τὰς ὑμετέρας άγγελίας καταπλαγείσα: frightened at your reports. So mpos with karaπλαγήναι, as with χαλεπαίνειν 2. 22. 1, 59. 10; with άθυμεῖν 2. 88. 11; with δείσαι 3. 60.2; with λυπείσθαι 2. 64. 32. Cf. also 58. 4 and 7. 74. 1. — 14. airì ச் a viris: itself of itself, i.e. without allowing interference from outside, esp. from you. Cf. ἐφ' ἐαυτῶν 8.8.5; έπι σφών αύτών 2.63.11; 5.67.3; 8. 63, 20. — τούς τε λόγους ἀφ' ὑμῶν: the speeches that come from you. Cf. 1.37. 5; 7.77.17. For art. not repeated, see on 1. 51. 11; 4. 9. 5. — 15. ώς ἔργα δυναμένους: as meaning deeds. For force of δυναμένους, cf. 36.8. βουλομένους of Laur. is clearly a slip of the copyist and cannot be supported by βουλόμενον είναι 5.65.9. -- 16. ἐκ τοῦ ἀκούειν: sc. τὰς τοιάσδε άγγελίας. For έκ, see on 38.24. — 17. μη ἐπιτρέπειν: as above (8), in reference to the hostile designs of opponents.

41. After the vehement speech of Athenagoras one of the strategi forbids further speeches, but calls upon all,

in view of the reports, to be ready to repel the enemy, and promises for the government watchfulness and energy.

—1. τοιαῦτα μέν: see on 19.1.— τῶν στρατηγῶν: fifteen, acc. to 72.12, constituting the highest executive authority.—2. ἀναστάς: rising to speak, as ἀνιστάμενοι 8.76.9. Generally Thuc. uses παριέναι, come forward.—3. πρὸς τὰ παρόντα: with reference to present circumstances, as 46.27. See on 2.22.1.

διαβολάς: personal imputations, such as Athenagoras had indulged in against the oligarchs. — 4. τινάς: the orators as contrasted with τους ακούοντας, the assembly as a whole. — is άλλήλους: against one another, as is τους άλλους 1. 68.2. See on 4.28.2. — 5. πρὸς τὰ ἐσαγγελλόμενα: in view of the reports that are coming in (pres.). — όρῶν ὅπως: with fut. indic., as 3.46.16; 5.27.9. GMT. 339. For ὅτφ τρόπφ with fut., cf. 33.12, and see on 1.107.18. — is τε ἔκαστος καὶ ἡ ξύμπασα πόλις: appos, to implied ἡμεῖς. — 6. καλῶς τοὺς ἐπιόντας . . . ἀμύνεσθαι: cf. κάλλιστα ἀμυνεῖσθε αὐτούς 38.12.

παρασκευασόμεθα ἀμύνεσθαι. καὶ ἢν ἄρα μηδὲν δεήση, οὐ- 8 δεμία βλάβη τοῦ τε τὸ κοινὸν κοσμηθῆναι καὶ ἴπποις καὶ ὅπλοις καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις οἶς ὁ πόλεμος ἀγάλλεται (τὴν δ' ἐπι- 4 10 μέλειαν καὶ ἐξέτασιν αὐτῶν ἡμεῖς ἔξομεν), καὶ τῶν πρὸς τὰς πόλεις διαπομπῶν ἄμα ἔς τε κατασκοπὴν καὶ ἤν τι ἄλλο φαίνηται ἐπιτήδειον. τὰ δὲ καὶ ἐπιμεμελήμεθα ἤδη καὶ ὅ τι ἄν αἰσθώμεθα ἐς ὑμᾶς οἴσομεν."

Καὶ οἱ μὲν Συρακόσιοι τοσαῦτα εἰπόντος τοῦ στρατηγοῦ

7. nv apa: if indeed, as 3.56.15, 67. 4, indicating a doubt, as the speaker, in contrast with Athenagoras, considers the approach of the Athenians probable. — δεήση: sc. αμύνεσθαι. — οὐδεμία βλάβη τοῦ τε τὸ κοινὸν κοσμηθήναι κτέ.: Cl. marks off την δ' επιμέλειαν και έξέτασιν αὐτῶν ἡμεις έξομεν as a parenthetical explanation introduced by epexegetical $\delta \epsilon$, thus making $\kappa \alpha l \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$. . . διαπομπών correl. to τοῦ τε τὸ κοινὸν κοσμηθήναι and dependent on οὐδεμία βλάβη. In agreement with Hermocrates (34. 1 τά τε αὐτοῦ παρασκευαζώμεθα καὶ ἐς τους Σικελούς πέμποντες κτέ.) the strategus here refers to the most important means of defense, equipment at home and securing of assistance from outside, and rightly declares that neither will do harm if there shall be no need to defend themselves. ἐξέτασις, the regular expression for military reviews (cf. 45. 7, 96. 16, 97. 11; 4. 74. 11), suits $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \pi o \mu \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ ill; whereas the appendix αμα ές τε κατασκοπήν . . . ἐπιτήδειον has its proper effect only as based on the assumption ην μηδέν δεήση. Cl.'s view and arrangement have met with general adoption. But see App. -8. και ίπποις και όπλοις: connected as in 1, 80, 12; 2, 100, 7, — 9, οίς ὁ πόλεμος άγάλλεται: οίς χαίρει ὁ πόλεμος, ών

 $\chi \rho \eta \xi e_1$, Schol. War is personified here, as in 34.13; 1.122.4; 2.36.13; 3.82.18; 4.18.13.

10. ἡμεῖς: of the strategi, ὑμᾶς (13) of the popular assembly. — των . . . διαπομπών: as Hermocrates had recommended 34. § 1. The word is found elsewhere only in App. Bell. Civ. 5. 71. -11. ες τε κατασκοπήν: with a view to finding out, i.e. "their readiness for war" (Cl.), or "their state of mind in the present condition of things "(St.). or "about the coming and the designs of the enemy " (Valla, etc.). - kal nv τι άλλο φαίνηται έπιτήδειον: i.e. about the prospect of help or the seeking of future alliances. - 12. Tà dè kal étimeμελήμεθα: and this care we have in part already taken. τὰ δέ cogn. acc. with ἐπιμεμελήμεθα, which refers to έπιμέλειαν. - και ο τι αν αισθώμεθα: and whatever we find out, referring to κατασκοπήν. -13. ἐς ὑμᾶς οἴσομεν: we will bring before you, i.e. for action by decree or otherwise. Schol. μηνύσομεν ὑμῖν. So φέρειν, nuntiare, referre, Dem. 6.28 700s ένεγκόντας τας ύποσχέσεις, and freq. in the tragic poets (Aesch. Sept. 40, Eur. Phoen. 1072). Hence neither avolvous (the usual word), which Kr. conjectures, nor evolvous (v. H.) is necessary here. - 14. τοσαῦτα: used esp. of $^{15}_{f 49}$ διελύθη σ αν ἐκ τοῦ ξυλλόγου. οἱ δ' Αθηναῖοι ἦδη ἐν τ $\hat{\eta}$ Κερ- 1 κύρα αὐτοί τε καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι ἄπαντες ἦσαν. καὶ πρῶτον μεν επεξέτασιν τοῦ στρατεύματος καὶ ξύνταξιν ώσπερ εμελλον όρμιεισθαί τε καὶ στρατοπεδεύσεσθαι οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἐποιή-5 σαντο, καὶ τρία μέρη νείμαντες εν εκάστω εκλήρωσαν, ίνα μήτε ἄμα πλέοντες ἀπορῶσιν ὕδατος καὶ λιμένων καὶ τῶν έπιτηδείων έν ταις καταγωγαίς, πρός τε τάλλα εὐκοσμότεροι καὶ ράους ἄρχειν ὦσι, κατὰ τέλη στρατηγῷ προστεταγμένοι. έπειτα δὲ προύπεμψαν καὶ ἐς τὴν Ἰταλίαν καὶ Σικελίαν τρεῖς 2 10 ναθς είσομένας αἴτινες σφας τῶν πόλεων δέξονται. καὶ εἴρητο

short speeches. See on 35, 1; 2, 12, 1. -15. διελύθησαν έκ τοῦ ξυλλόγου: as Hdt. 3. 73. 11, and διελύθησαν έκ τοῦ συνεδρίου Hdt. 8. 56. 8. Cf. διελύθησαν έκ τῶν λόγων 5.113.2.

42. The Athenian generals make for the fleet assembled at Corcyra the necessary preparation to cross over to Italy and Sicily. - 1. oi & 'Adnvaiou ήδη . . . ήσαν: continuation of 32. § 2. — 3. eneferaciv: after-muster, found only here. It means the final review of the united fleet following that made of the separate divisions on setting out. -4. στρατοπεδεύσεσθαι: Kr.'s conjecture, adopted by v. H. and Hude, for στρατοπεδεύεσθαι of the Mss. The two infs. are closely connected and express actions that are naturally closely related, and no good reason can be assigned for a change in tense. L. Herbst, Gegen Cobet, p. 17, explains στρατοπεδεύεσθαι, be encamped, as expressing esp. duration; but it was quite uncertain how long the individual dispositions would continue. St. cites in defense of the pres. 99. 9, 10, and Dem. 21, 55; but in both these passages the infs. do not

stand so close together. — 5. Tola wipn νείμαντες: 80. το στράτευμα ΟΓ τοῦ στρατεύματος. See Kühner-Gerth II, 1, 323. Cf. δύο μέρη ποιήσαντες 62. 2. - έν . . . έκληρωσαν: cf. 62. 2; 8. 30. 5.-6. άμα πλέοντες: Valckenaer's necessary correction of dvamhéorres of the Mss. λιμένων: very few, of course, could accommodate the combined fleet. - 7. ev ταίς καταγωγαίς: means putting in at various seaports. The corresponding verb is καταίρειν, for Thuc. does not, like Hom., Hdt., and others, use κατάγεσθαι, corresponding to ανάγεσθαι.-8. paous apyerv: for limiting inf., see Gild., Syn. 143; GMT. 763. Cf. páðu kaτασχείν 6.9.17; άδύνατα κατασχείν 6.39. 12; βάστοι άμύνεσθαι 4. 10. 15; χαλεπαί άρξαι 7. 14. 5; άξιος θαυμάσαι 1. 138. 11; άξιον φοβηθήναι 1.142.6; δίκαια καὶ πρέποντα άποκρίνασθαι 1. 144. 16; πολύ περαιοῦσθαι 6.34.27. Pass. infs. are rare in this const. in Thuc.: 3. 40. 8 diracos άντιδίδοσθαι; 3.94.22 χαλεπός καταστραφηναι. — τέλη: of divisions of ships, as 1. 48. 7. — προστεταγμένοι: as 5. 8. 14. 10. elcouévas: fut. ptc. of the object

in view as 6. 28; 1. 29. 3; 7. 83. 4. --

43 αὐταῖς προαπαντᾶν, ὅπως ἐπιστάμενοι καταπλέωσι. μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα τοσῆδε ἤδη τῆ παρασκευῆ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἄραντες ἐκ τῆς Κερκύρας ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν ἐπεραιοῦντο · τριήρεσι μὲν ταῖς πάσαις τέσσαρσι καὶ τριάκοντα καὶ ἑκατὸν καὶ δυοῖν 'Ροδίοιν δ πεντηκοντόροιν (τούτων ᾿Αττικαὶ μὲν ἦσαν ἑκατόν, ὧν αἱ μὲν ἑξήκοντα ταχεῖαι, αἱ δ᾽ ἄλλαι στρατιώτιδες, τὸ δὲ ἄλλο ναυτικὸν Χίων καὶ τῶν ἄλλων ξυμμάχων), ὁπλίταις δὲ τοῖς ξύμπασιν ἑκατὸν καὶ πεντακισχιλίοις (καὶ τούτων ᾿Αθηναίων μὲν αὐτῶν ἦσαν πεντακόσιοι μὲν καὶ χίλιοι ἐκ καταλόγου, 10 ἐπτακόσιοι δὲ θῆτες ἐπιβάται τῶν νεῶν, ξύμμαχοι δὲ οἱ ἄλλοι ξυνεστράτευον, οἱ μὲν τῶν ὑπηκόων, οἱ δ᾽ ᾿Αργείων

etρητο: as 30.4.—11. προαπαντάν: i.e. before the fleet had reached the coast (καταπλείν). The word is found also 1.69.22; 4.92.28; and in late writers.

43, 44. Enumeration of all divisions of the fleet. Voyage from Corcyra to the coast of Italy. The attitude of the cities of Italy is little friendly. The Athenians encamp in the territory of Rhegium to await news from Sicily.— 2. τοσῆδε: pred. put forward; cf. 44. 1 τοσαύτη after the enumeration. The whole = τοσήδε ην η παρασκευή, η μετάταῦτα... ἐπεραιοῦντο. — τἢ παρασκευῆ:dat. of the whole followed by the parts in appos. — 3. ἐπεραιοῦντο: impf. of the events in progress; so also διέπλει 44. 1 and ξυνδιέβαλλε 44. 7. Cf. 1. 114. 9; 3.111.11; 4.25.43. — ταῖς πάσαις: in all. Cf. 1. 13, and see on 1. 60, 6. — 4. 'Poblow: masc. ending in the most and best Mss. Elsewhere Thuc. in ethnic terms has also the fem.: 104.11; 5.84.7. The relation of the Rhodians at that time to Athens was not just that of the Methymnaeans and Chians,

(νεων παροκωχη αὐτόνομοι 85.8); theRhodians paid rather tribute, as appears from the tribute lists. - 5. at nev έξήκοντα: art. with numerals as parts of a whole. So 14 and freq. — 6. TTPaτιώτιδες: $= \delta \pi \lambda i \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \gamma ol$ 25, 8, 31, 20. See on 1.116.8.—7. και τῶν ἄλλων ξυμμάχων: the Methymnaeans and Corcyraeans seem to be esp. meant. τοις ξύμπασιν: ef. 2. 2. - 9. έκ κατα-**Adyou:** from the list of citizens of the three upper property-classes liable to military service. — 10. Office: citizens of the lowest property-class, who served usually as oarsmen, but in extraordinary cases, as here, served as mariners (ἐπιβάται) with hoplite-armor.—11, τῶν ύπηκόων: see on 69.21. They were, as the enumeration shows, 2150, i.e. 5100 - 2950 (1500 + 700 + 500 + 250). Kr.'s suggestion to insert the number in the text is unnecessary, since any observant reader could easily figure it out, just as the sum total (2900) of non-Athenian hoplites, which is not given. The mention, too, of the contingents of the different places (cf. 2.9.§4)

πεντακόσιοι καὶ Μαντινέων καὶ μισθοφόρων πεντήκοντα καὶ διακόσιοι), τοξόταις δὲ τοῖς πᾶσιν ὀγδοήκοντα καὶ τετρακοσίοις (καὶ τούτων Κρῆτες οἱ ὀγδοήκοντα ἦσαν), καὶ σφενδο15 νήταις 'Ροδίων ἐπτακοσίοις, καὶ Μεγαρεῦσι ψιλοῖς φυγάσιν εἴκοσι καὶ ἑκατόν, καὶ ἱππαγωγῷ μιῷ τριάκοντα ἀγούσῃ ἱπ44 πέας. τοσαύτη ἡ πρώτη παρασκευὴ πρὸς τὸν πόλεμον διέπλει, 1 τούτοις δὲ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἄγουσαι ὁλκάδες μὲν τριάκοντα σιταγωγοί, καὶ τοὺς σιτοποιοὺς ἔχουσαι καὶ λιθολόγους καὶ τέκτονας καὶ ὄσα ἐς τειχισμὸν ἐργαλεῖα, πλοῖα δὲ ἑκατὸν ἃ

would have little value. - 12. Martiνέων και μισθοφόρων: of Mantineans and other mercenaries (for ral, see on 4.5.6), if the text is sound. But since the source of the non-Athenian hoplites, so far as these are not from the ὑπήκοοι, is given in the context, and we have in 7. 57, 48 Μαντινής και άλλοι Αρκάδων μισθοφόροι, Cl.'s conjecture that άλλων 'Αρκάδων before μισθοφόρων has dropped out meets with favor from recent editors. The fact that acc. to 29, 11 $\tau \hat{\omega}_{\nu}$ Μαντινέων τινές shared for the sake of Alcibiades the expedition to Sicily (cf. 61. § 5 and 1. 60. § 2) can as little be urged against the Mantineans being mercenaries, as the fact that acc. to 5. 81. § 1 the state of Mantinea could hardly have participated in that expedition. Cf. further Nicias' remark 22.3 καὶ ην τινα ἐκ Πελοποννήσου δυνώμεθα η πεῖσαι $\hat{\eta}$ μισθ $\hat{\varphi}$ προσαγαγέσθαι. — 14. **Κρ** $\hat{\eta}$ τές: cf. 25. 13. - 15. Μεγαρεύσι ψιλοίς φυγάσιν είκοσι και έκατόν: see App. on 19.2. These Megarians were doubtless of those who, acc. to 4.74. § 2, in 424 B.C. after the departure of the Athenians, secretly withdrew $(\dot{\nu}\pi\epsilon\xi\hat{\eta}\lambda\theta\sigma\nu)$ in fear of the opposing faction. - 16. iππαγωγφ: cf. 2.56.6; 4.42.4. — τριάκοντα innées: these 30 cavalrymen are not mentioned again. At the beginning of the winter of 415-414 the Athenians are said to have no horsemen (σφίσιν οὐ παρόντων ἰππέων 64.8), and in 98.§ 1 650 horsemen are mentioned as got together from various sources, but with no allusion to these 30. Hence G. Osberger (Festgruß für Heerwagen, Erlangen, 1882, p. 74ff.) plausibly conjectures that ἰππέας was miscopied for ἰπποτοξότας (94.18; cf. 2.13.54; 5.84.9).

44 1. ή πρώτη παρασκευή πρός τὸν πόλεμον: as opp. to the άλλη στρατιά voted in 7. 16. 6 and referred to 7. 42. 10 στρατόν ίσον και παραπλήσιον τώ προτέρφ ἐπεληλυθότα. Cf. also 94. §4; 7. 16. § 2. — 2. τούτοις: referring per synesin to παρασκευή, which consequently means here only the troops. - 3. Toùs σιτοποιούς: acc. to Nicias' advice 22. 8.—λιθολόγους: here and 7.43.15 about equal to the general term λιθουργοί (4. 69.6; 5.82.28). It indicates the importance of choice of stones for forts and other structures indicated by loydδην in 66. 10; 4. 4. 6, 31. 13. -4. 4s τειχισμόν έργαλεῖα: ef. 7.18.28. It was the tools that were wanting at Pylos 4. 4. § 2. — πλοῖα δὲ ἐκατόν: with σιταγωγοι όλκάδες, as 30. 3. — a. . . ξυνίπλει: most recent editors, including

δ έξ ἀνάγκης μετὰ τῶν ὁλκάδων ξυνέπλει πολλὰ δὲ καὶ ἄλλα πλοῖα καὶ ὁλκάδες ἑκούσιοι ξυνηκολούθουν τῆ στρατιᾳ ἐμπορίας ἔνεκα ἀ τότε πάντα ἐκ τῆς Κερκύρας ξυνδιέβαλλε τὸν Ἰόνιον κόλπον. καὶ προσβαλοῦσα ἡ πᾶσα παρασκευὴ πρός 2 τε ἄκραν Ἰαπυγίαν καὶ πρὸς Τάραντα καὶ ὡς ἔκαστοι ηὐπό-10 ρησαν παρεκομίζοντο τὴν Ἰταλίαν, τῶν μὲν πόλεων οὐ δεχομένων αὐτοὺς ἀγορᾳ οὐδὲ ἄστει, ὕδατι δὲ καὶ ὅρμῳ, Τάραντος δὲ καὶ Λοκρῶν οὐδὲ τούτοις, ἔως ἀφίκοντο ἐς Ὑρίγιον τῆς Ἰταλίας ἀκρωτήριον. καὶ ἐνταῦθα ἤδη ἡθροίζοντο, 2 καὶ ἔξω τῆς πόλεως, ὡς αὐτοὺς ἔσω οὐκ ἐδέχοντο, στρα-15 τόπεδόν τε κατεσκευάσαντο ἐν τῷ τῆς ᾿Αρτέμιδος ἱερῷ, οῦ αὐτοῖς καὶ ἀγορὰν παρεῖχον, καὶ τὰς ναῦς ἀνελκύσαντες ἡσύχασαν, καὶ πρός τε τοὺς Ὑρηνίνους λόγους ἐποιήσαντο,

Cl., follow Duker in bracketing & and putting a full stop after διέπλει, construing όλκάδες with ξυνέπλει. But with this const. μετά των όλκάδων is disturbing, whereas placing a comma, with Kr., after διέπλει removes all difficulty. -5. ἐξ ἀνάγκης: = ἡναγκασμένα (cf. 22. 8).— μετά τῶν ὁλκάδων: as well as the merchantmen. These 30 merchantmen also went έξ ἀνάγκης, as is clear from the following sentence.—ξυνέπλει: sc. $\tau \hat{\eta} \pi \rho \delta s \tau \delta \nu \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu \rho \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \sigma \kappa \epsilon \upsilon \hat{\eta} . - 6.$ **Exoú**σιοι: with ολκάδες, as 7. 57. 44 εκούσιος with στρατιά. Cf. καθ' ἐκουσίαν 8.27.16. -7. α πάντα: includes both ολκάδες and $\pi \lambda o \hat{i} a$.—ξυνδιέβαλλε: sc. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ στρατι \hat{q} . Schol. ξυνδιεπεραιώθη. In this sense prob. only here. Cf. διαβάλλειν 30.6.

8. ἡ πῶσα παρασκευή: i.e. the στρατιά with ὁλκάδες and πλοῖα.—πρὸς ἄκραν
Ἰαπυγίαν: see on 30. 5.—9. καὶ ὡς
ἔκαστοι ηὑπόρησαν: sc. προσβαλεῖν, as
(where and how) they severally found
opportunity to land. Finite verb with

ως ξκαστοι as 4.96.29.—10. την Ίταλίαν: for the restricted use of the term in Thuc., see on 1.12.14.—οὐ δεχομένων . . . ἄστει: explained by 50.§ 1. δέχεσθαι ἀγορᾶ = ἀγορὰν παρέχειν. The same explanation also for ὕδατι καὶ ὅρμφ, the latter meaning only an open anchorplace, not a secure harbor (λιμήν).— 12. Λοκρῶν: i.e. the Epizephyrian Locrians or those near the promontory Zephyrium.— Ὑτιον . . . ἀκρωτήριον: cf. 4.24.13. On the earlier Sicilian expedition of the Athenians Rhegium had been their chief base (3.86.20, 88.13, 115.6; 4.25.53).

14. οὐκ ἐδέχοντο: sc. ol Ἡργῖνοι. —
15. ἐν τῷ... ἰερῷ: i.e. in sacred ground belonging to the temple.—17. καὶ πρός τε τοὺς Ἡργίνους: and to the Rhegians also. τε, which adds a third clause after κατεσκευάσαντο and ἡσύχασαν, is bracketed by Kr., St., and others. For καὶ... τε, as here, cf. 1.9.20; 2.68. 19; 8.68.12, 76.19. See on 103.21.

άξιοῦντες Χαλκιδέας ὅντας Χαλκιδεῦσιν οὖσι Λεοντίνοις βοηθεῖν· οἱ δὲ οὐδὲ μεθ' ἐτέρων ἔφασαν ἔσεσθαι, ἀλλ' ὅ τι ᾶν 20 καὶ τοῖς ἄλλοις Ἰταλιώταις ξυνδοκῆ, τοῦτο ποιήσειν. οἱ δὲ 4 πρὸς τὰ ἐν τῆ Σικελία πράγματα ἐσκόπουν ὅτῳ τρόπῳ ἄριστα προσοίσονται· καὶ τὰς πρόπλους ναῦς ἐκ τῆς Ἐγέστης ἄμα προσέμενον, βουλόμενοι εἰδέναι περὶ τῶν χρημάτων εἰ ἔστιν ἃ ἔλεγον ἐν ταῖς ᾿Αθήναις οἱ ἄγγελοι.

Τοις δε Συρακοσίοις εν τούτω πολλαχόθεν τε ήδη και ἀπὸ τῶν κατασκόπων σαφη ήγγελλετο ὅτι ἐν Ἡηγίω αι νηές εἰσι, και ὡς ἐπὶ τούτοις παρεσκευάζοντο πάση τῆ γνώμη και οὐκέτι ἠπίστουν. και ἔς τε τοὺς Σικελοὺς περιέπεμπον, ἔνθα τὰ ἐν τῆ χώρα φρουρὰς ἐσεκόμιζον, τά τε ἐν τῆ πόλει ὅπλων ἐξετάσει και ἴππων ἐσκόπουν εἰ ἐντελῆ ἐστι, και τάλλα ὡς ἐπὶ ταχεῖ πολέμω και ὄσον οὐ παρόντι καθίσταντο.

— 18. Χαλκιδέας ὅντας: as 79.6. Cf. Strabo 6. p. 257 c κτίσμα ἐστὶ τὸ Ἡτίγιον Χαλκιδέων. — Χαλκιδεῦσιν σὖσι Λεοντίνοις: see 3. § 3. — 19. οὐδὲ μεθ ἐτέρων: see on 2. 67. 34. — ὅ τι ἄν . . . ξυνδοκῆ: for the form, cf. 8. 84. 14 ξυνεδόκει δὲ καὶ τοῖς ἀλλοις ξυμμάχοις ταῦτα. As a fact all the Italiote cities held aloof for the moment from the war.

21. πρὸς τὰ . . . πράγματα: with προσοίσονται. Cf. 5. 105. 17. — ὅτφ τρόπφ: for the more usual ὅπως, with fut. indic., as 11.23, 33.12; 1.107.18; and freq. — 22. τὰς πρόπλους ναῦς: cf. 42.§ 2. It is to be inferred that these ships after completing their first task were sent to Egesta (cf. 46.1). The rare word πρόπλους also 46.1; Isocr. 59 d., and later.—23. εἰ ἔστιν: pregnant, whether it really exists.—24. ἄ ἔλεγον . . . οἱ ἄγγελοι: cf. 8.§ 2. For impf.

with the force of plpf., see on 4. 24. 3. Gild., Syn. 224; Kr. Spr. 53, 2, 8.

45. Meanwhile news comes to Syracuse of the arrival of the Athenians at Rhegium; and now measures for defense are pushed with zeal. — 1. άπὸ τών κατασκόπων . . . ήγγέλλετο : cf. 41. § 4. πολλαχόθεν ήγγέλλετο as 32. 14. For ἀπό, see on 28. 1. — 3. ώς ἐπὶ τούτοις: with a view to this. For έπί here and 8 below, cf. 1.65.7; 7.62.14.— 4. οὐκέτι ἡπίστουν: as before, 32. § 3, 35. § 1. — $\tilde{\epsilon}\nu\theta\alpha$ $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu$: = $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\mu\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau\circ\delta s$, i.e. τούς ὑπηκόους. Cf. δθεν 21. 11. - 5. πρός δε τούς: i.e. τούς αὐτονόμους. For the order, cf. 66.6. On the matter, cf. 34. § 1. — τὰ περιπόλια: Schol. τὰ ἐν τῆ χώρα φρούρια. Cf. 3. 99. 4; 7. 48. 32. — 6. τὰ ἐν τῆ πόλει: proleptic obj. of ἐσκόπουν. — όπλων έξετάσει και ίππων: see on 41. 10. - 8. σσον οὐ παρόντι: (sc.

46 Αἱ δ' ἐκ τῆς Ἐγέστης τρεῖς νῆες αἱ πρόπλοι παραγίγνον- 1 ται τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις ἐς τὸ Ὑρήγιον, ἀγγέλλουσαι ὅτι τάλλα μὲν οὐκ ἔστι χρήματα αμ ὑπέσχοντο, τριάκοντα δὲ τάλαντα μόνα φαίνεται. καὶ οἱ στρατηγοὶ εὐθὺς ἐν ἀθυμία ἦσαν ὅτι 2 αὐτοῖς τοῦτό τε πρῶτον ἀντεκεκρούκει καὶ οἱ Ὑρηγῖνοι οὐκ ἐθελήσαντες ξυστρατεύειν, οὖς πρῶτον ἤρξαντο πείθειν καὶ εἰκὸς ἢν μάλιστα, Λεοντίνων τε ξυγγενεῖς ὄντας καὶ σφίσιν αἰεὶ ἐπιτηδείους. καὶ τῷ μὲν Νικία προσδεχομένῳ ἢν τὰ παρὰ τῶν Ἐγεσταίων, τοῖν δὲ ἐτέροιν καὶ ἀλογώτερα. οἱ δὲ Ἐγε- 8 10 σταῖοι τοιόνδε τι ἐξετεχνήσαντο τότε ὅτε οἱ πρῶτοι πρέσβεις τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἦλθον αὐτοῖς ἐς τὴν κατασκοπὴν τῶν

 $\pi \circ \lambda \ell \mu \varphi$) also 1.36.8. For $\delta \sigma \circ \nu \circ \vartheta$, all but, tantum non, see on 2.94.6.

46. Those who had been sent to investigate the matter announce to the Athenians that the reports of the Egestaeans as to their resources are untrue. Story of the deception practiced upon the former Athenian envoys in Egesta.—1. ἐκ τῆς Ἐγέστης: attached proleptically to the subj. See on 1.8.9. For the matter, cf. 44.§ 4.—3. α ὑπέσχοντο: cf. 6.§ 2, 3; 8.§ 2.—4. φαίνεται: are to be found.

5. ἀντεκεκρούκει: Schol. παρὰ γνώμην ἀπηντήκει, ἀπεβεβήκει. Cf. Dem. 18. 198 ἀντέκρουσέ τι και γέγονεν οιον οὐκ ἔδει. The verb agrees in number with the nearest subj. (τοῦτο), in spite of the directly following pl. οι 'Ρηγῖνοι οὐκ έθελήσαντες. Το both subjects belongs πρῶτον: because to them at the start not only this (the disappointment at Egesta) had come in the way, but the refusal of the Rhegians. This const. of πρῶτον is unavoidable because the second circumstance antedated the other. πρῶτον here, as 1. 103. 16 and freq., strength-

ens ἄρχεσθαι. Van H.'s conjecture πρώrous would introduce an unusual sort of pleonasm. — οἱ Ῥηγίνοι οὐκ έθελήσαντές: for the subst. force of the ptc.. see App. on 4. 63. 2. - 6. πείθειν καλ είκὸς ἡν: ε. ξυστρατεύειν. - 8. προσδεχομένφ ήν: for this periphrasis see GMT. 900; Kr. Spr. 48, 6, 4. See on 2.3.10; 7.35.8. On the matter, cf. 12.5, 22. 14. — 9. τοῖν ἐτέροιν: Alcibiades and Lamachus. - καὶ άλογώτερα: rather incomprehensible (or unexpected). "Somewhat disconcerting," W. E. Heitland (Jour. of Philol. XXIV, 8). For the force of the comp., cf. βιαιότερον άναγαγόμενοι 2. 33. 14; αναχώρησις βιαιοτέρα 4. 31. 15; αὐθαδέστερόν τι ἀπεκρίνατο 8.

oi δὲ Ἐγεσταῖοι: the epexegetical δε introduces an added explanation of the whole occurrence, the aorists from εξετεχνήσαντο to διεθρόησαν (23) with the force of plpfs. Cf. 2. 2. 11; 4. 1. 4.—
10. οί πρῶτοι πρέσβεις τῶν Ἀθηναίων: cf. 6. § 3, 8. § 2.—11. ἦλθον αὐτοῖς: for the dat., see on 1. 13. 12. Kühn. 423, 5. See C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil.

γρημάτων ές τε τὸ ἐν Ἐρυκι ἱερὸν τῆς Αφροδίτης ἀγαγόντες αὐτοὺς ἐπέδειξαν τὰ ἀναθήματα, φιάλας τε καὶ οἰνογόας καὶ θυμιατήρια καὶ ἄλλην κατασκευὴν οὐκ ὀλίγην, ἃ 15 όντα άργυρα πολλώ πλείω την όψιν ἀπ' όλίγης δυνάμεως γρημάτων παρείχετο, καὶ ιδία ξενίσεις ποιούμενοι των τριηριτών τά τε έξ αὐτης Ἐγέστης ἐκπώματα, καὶ χρυσα καὶ άργυρα, ξυλλέξαντες καὶ τὰ ἐκ τῶν ἐγγὺς πόλεων, καὶ Φοινικικών καὶ Ἑλληνίδων, αἰτησάμενοι ἐσέφερον ἐς τὰς ἑστιά-20 σεις ως οἰκεῖα ἔκαστοι. καὶ πάντων ως ἐπὶ τὸ πολὺ τοῖς 4 αὐτοῖς γρωμένων καὶ πανταγοῦ πολλῶν φαινομένων μεγάλην την έκπληξιν τοις έκ των τριήρων Αθηναίοις παρείχε, καὶ άφικόμενοι ές τὰς Αθήνας διεθρόησαν ώς χρήματα πολλὰ ίδοιεν. καὶ οἱ μὲν αὐτοί τε ἀπατηθέντες καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους δ 25 τότε πείσαντες, ἐπειδὴ διῆλθεν ὁ λόγος ὅτι οὐκ εἴη ἐν τῆ Ἐγέ στη τὰ χρήματα, πολλην την αιτίαν είχον ὑπὸ τῶν στρατιω-47 τῶν · οἱ δὲ στρατηγοὶ πρὸς τὰ παρόντα ἐβουλεύοντο. καὶ Assoc. XXV, 69 f. - 12. ες τε τὸ ἐν "Ερυκι ίερόν: τε correl. with και ιδία (16). The sent. itself, as part of τοιόνδε $\tau \iota$, follows, as generally, without $\gamma \delta \rho$ or other copula. See on 1, 89, 2. 14. ά όντα άργυρα . . . παρείχετο: which being silver made, with a small money value, a much greater show. It seems that the envoys, dazed by the number of silver consecrated vessels, without estimating their value imagined the treasures to be much more than what they saw. One must remember that it was a question of monthly subsidies of 60 talents (about \$75,000). See 8. § 1. As to the form of expression, cf. 1. 10. 15 διπλασίαν άν την δύναμιν εικάζεσθαι άπδ της φανεράς δψεως της πόλεως. - 16. ξενίσεις ποιούμενοι: periphrasis for ξενίζοντες. ξένισις, which is found only here, Pollux (6.7) calls τραχύ. See Lobeck

ad Phryn. p. 351. - 17. kal x pvoa kal άργυρα: cf. 32.6.—19. ἐσέφερον: impf. of repeated occurrences.—20. Exactor: v. H. writes ξκαστος here as in 15. 17.

ώς ἐπὶ τὸ πολύ: for the most part; so with most of the best Mss. for ωs έπλ πολύ (see on 1^4 , 12, 4). — 22. παρείχε: sc. ταῦτα general subj. to be supplied from the context. See on 31.30: 2.3. 14. — 23. διεθρόησαν: had spread the report. Cf. 8, 91, 1 (where blame is implied) and Xen. Hell. 1. 6. § 4.

24. και οί μὲν κτέ.: return to main narrative, of µέν referring to the first envoys of the Athenians. - 26. airlar elxov: as 14.5; 3.13.34; here pass. with ὑπό. Cf. Plato, Apol. 38c; Hdt. 5. 73. 17. - 27. πρὸς τὰ παρόντα: cf. 41. 3, and see on 2, 22, 1.

47. In the council of generals Nicias declared for bringing Selinus to terms Νικίου μὲν ἢν γνώμη πλεῖν ἐπὶ Σελινοῦντα πάση τῆ στρατιᾳ, ἐφ' ὅπερ μάλιστα ἐπέμφθησαν, καὶ ἢν μὲν παρέχωσι χρήματα παντὶ τῷ στρατεύματι Ἐγεσταῖοι, πρὸς ταῦτα βουδεύεσθαι, εἰ δὲ μή, ταῖς ἑξήκοντα ναυσίν, ὅσασπερ ἤτήσαντο, ἀξιοῦν διδόναι αὐτοὺς τροφήν, καὶ παραμείναντας Σελινουντίους ἢ βίᾳ ἢ ξυμβάσει διαλλάξαι αὐτοῖς, καὶ οὖτω, παραπλεύσαντας τὰς ἄλλας πόλεις καὶ ἐπιδείξαντας μὲν τὴν δύναμιν τῆς ᾿Αθηναίων πόλεως, δηλώσαντας δὲ τὴν ἐς τοὺς φίλους καὶ ὁ τοῦ ἀδοκήτου ἢ Λεοντίνους οἶοί τε ὦσιν ὡφελῆσαι ἢ τῶν ἄλλων τινὰ πόλεων προσαγαγέσθαι, καὶ τῆ πόλει δαπαθενῶντας τὰ οἰκεῖα μὴ κινδυνεύειν. ᾿Αλκιβιάδης δὲ οὐκ ἔφη χρῆναι τοσαύτη δυνάμει ἐκπλεύσαντας αἰσχρῶς καὶ ἀπράκτους

with Egesta either peaceably or forcibly, but before the other cities to make a display of Athens' power, then without further operations return home. -2. ἡν γνώμη: with inf., as 1.62.8.— 3. ἐφ' ὅπερ: for which very purpose, as 1.59.6. On the matter, cf. 8. § 2. — 5. σσασπερ ήτησαντο: referring to 8. 4. - 7. διαλλάξαι αύτοις: Schol. τοις Έγεσταίοις. Codex Laur, gives αὐτοῖς, most Mss. αὐτούς owing to misconception. For const., cf. 2. 95. 7. - Kal ούτω: and so, i.e. when that is done, as 5, 38, 5, 55, 8. Cf. 48, 10, 49, 15, παραπλεύσαντας: generally used abs., with acc. also 62.7, 104.14; 7.56.2; 8. 56. 21, 94. 4, 101. 9. παρ' αὐτὴν τὴν $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \ 8. \ 104. \ 2. - 8.$ Emibelfantas . . . πόλεως: cf. 11. 14. - 9. ές τούς φίλους και ξυμμάχους: even Nicias can no longer avoid recognizing the Egestaeans as real allies of the Athenians. See App. on 6. 11.—10. δι' όλίγου: διά ταχέων, Schol. See on 11. 14. -

11. ἀπὸ τοῦ ἀδοκήτου: periphrasis for adv., as ἀπὸ τοῦ προφανοῦς 1. 35. 17, 66. 7; ἀπὸ τοῦ εὐθέος 3. 43. 5. See on 34. 50.—12. τῆ πόλει: With μη κινδυνεύειν, not to imperil the safety of the state (cf. 9. 15, 10. 17), which is made still worse by δαπανῶντας τὰ οἰκεῖα, expending their own resources.—13. τὰ οἰκεῖα: as opp. to the resources of the Egestaeans.

48. Alcibiades, on the contrary, advises to win over to the Athenian alliance not only the other Hellenic cities, except Selinus and Syracuse, but also the Sicels and then to attack Syracuse and Selinus, unless these cities should yield to the Athenian demands.—2. ἀπράκτους: Pp.'s and Kr.'s conjecture for ἀπράκτως of the Mss. Cl. retained the adv.; but as Thuc. uses the adj. often, while the adv. occurs elsewhere only in late writers (e.g. Plut. Arist. 18, Cato M. 1), it seems best to adopt the adj., as most recent editors

ἀπελθεῖν, ἀλλ' ἔς τε τὰς πόλεις ἐπικηρυκεύεσθαι πλὴν Σελινοῦντος καὶ Συρακουσῶν τὰς ἄλλας, καὶ πειρᾶσθαι καὶ τοὺς Σικελοὺς τοὺς μὲν ἀφιστάναι ἀπὸ τῶν Συρακοσίων, τοὺς δὲ φίλους ποιεῖσθαι, ἴνα σῖτον καὶ στρατιὰν ἔχωσι, πρῶτον δὲ πείθειν Μεσσηνίους (ἐν πόρω γὰρ μάλιστα καὶ προσβολῆ εἶναι αὐτοὺς τῆς Σικελίας, καὶ λιμένα καὶ ἐφόρμησιν τῆ στρατιὰ ἱκανωτάτην ἔσεσθαι), προσαγαγομένους δὲ τὰς πόλεις, 10 εἰδότας μεθ' ὧν τις πολεμήσει, οὖτως ἤδη Συρακούσαις καὶ Σελινοῦντι ἐπιχειρεῖν, ἢν μὴ οἱ μὲν Ἐγεσταίοις ξυμβαίνωσιν, 49 οἱ δὲ Λεοντίνους ἐῶσι κατοικίζειν. Λάμαχος δὲ ἄντικρυς ἔφη 1

do. For correlation of adv. and adj., see on 3. 4. 4. — 3. ἐς τὰς πόλεις ἐπικηρυκεύεσθαι: this verb with es only here in Thuc., with $\pi \rho \delta s$ and pers. subj. 4. 108. 16; 7. 49. 5; 8. 70. 12; with ws 7.48.14; freq. with dat. (Kr.).-4. 7ds and to the other Siceliote cities, which Nicias also refers to as άλλαι in 20. 10. Cf. 41. 10. τούς Σικελούς τούς μέν κτέ.: see on 34. 2. -6. Exwor: sc. of 'A $\theta\eta$ vaîoi, i.e. may have always at disposal (ἀπὸ τούτων τῶν πόλεων Schol., perhaps also, as Pp. suggests, και τῶν Σικελῶν). St. writes in his text edition $\sigma \chi \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ (so Bm.), and several recent editors adopt Dobree's conjecture παρέχωσι (de Siculis). Even if the Athenians had brought along not only troops but provisions (cf. 44. § 1), additions in both directions would be welcome. For the provisioning, cf. e.g. 88. § 4, 103. § 2, and for troops 62. § 5, 103. § 2. -7. Megσηνίους: already previously allies of the Athenians for a short time (3.90. $\S \ 4 \ ; \ 4 . \ 1 . \ \S \ 1) .$ — έν πόρφ . . . καλ προσβολή είναι αὐτοὺς τής Σικελίας: for they are most conveniently situated for the passage to and landing in Sicily. The

expressions έν πόρφ κατφκήσθαι (1. 120. 8) and $\pi \rho o \sigma \beta o \lambda \eta \nu \notin \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ (4. 1. 5) are here united with strengthened meaning. For the obj. gen. with $\pi \rho o \sigma \beta o \lambda \eta$, cf. 4. 1.5; 7.4.38.—8. ἐφόρμησιν: i.e. means of watching and opposing every hostile movement. This meaning is clear from 8. 15. § 1, where of the έφορμοῦσαι νηες first eight απολείπουσι την φυλακήν, then the remaining twelve likewise την εφορμησιν. See on 49.18.— 10. 715: one, with tacit but unmistakable reference. Cf. 4.68.24, 28; 5.14. 22. — ούτως ήδη: so now, after ptc. as 1. 37. 4. — 11. oi μέν, oi δέ: the former οί Σελινούντιοι, the latter οί Συρακόσιοι, in chiastic order as 1, 120, 20: 2, 64, 28; 3.63.15; 5.16.3.—12. Acoutivous έωσι κατοικίζειν: subj. of inf. is τούς 'Aθηναίους. Cf. 8. 12.

49. Lamachus, however, was for immediate attack on Syracuse, which would insure to the Athenians all the advantages of a surprise and would win over the rest of the Siceliotes. Megara he claimed would be the most suitable ship-station.—1. ἄντικρυς πλεῖν: to sail direct. Cf. 2. 4. 26. ἀντικρυς elsewhere in Thuc. in fig. sense. See on 1. 122. 15.

χρηναι πλείν ἐπὶ Συρακούσας καὶ πρὸς τῆ πόλει ὡς τάχιστα τὴν μάχην ποιεῖσθαι, ἔως ἔτι ἀπαράσκευοί τέ εἰσι καὶ μάλιστα ἐκπεπληγμένοι. τὸ γὰρ πρῶτον πῶν στράτευμα δεινότατον 2 δείναι ἡν δὲ χρονίση πρὶν ἐς ὄψιν ἐλθεῖν, τῆ γνώμη ἀναθαρσοῦντας ἀνθρώπους καὶ τῆ ὄψει καταφρονεῖν μῶλλον. αἰφνίδιοι δὲ ἢν προσπέσωσιν, ἔως ἔτι περιδεεῖς προσδέχονται, μάλιστ ἄν σφῶς περιγενέσθαι καὶ κατὰ πάντα ἄν αὐτοὺς ἐκφοβῆσαι, τῆ τε ὄψει (πλεῖστοι γὰρ ἄν νῦν φανῆναι) καὶ 10 τῆ προσδοκία ὧν πείσονται, μάλιστα δ' ἄν τῷ αὐτίκα κινδύνῳ τῆς μάχης. εἰκὸς δὲ εἶναι καὶ ἐν τοῖς ἀγροῖς πολ- 3 λοὺς ἀποληφθῆναι ἔξω διὰ τὸ ἀπιστεῖν σφῶς μὴ ηξειν,

— 2. πρὸς τῆ πόλει: near the city (i.e. under the walls). Cf. Plut. Nic. 14 μά-χην ἔγγιστα τῆς πόλεως τιθέναι. — 3. τὴν μάχην ποιείσθαι: cf. 5. 65. 17.

5. xpovion: delay, lose time. Also 8.16.12. - 6. καὶ τῆ ὅψει καταφρονεῖν: also on sight despise. The simple dat. is induced by antithesis to τη γνώμη άναθαρσοῦντας, hence v. H.'s conjecture κάν τη όψει is unnecessary. Cf. 2.11. 21 τη μέν γνώμη θαρσαλέους στρατεύειν, τῷ δὲ ἔργψ δεδιότας παρεσκευάσθαι. - καταφρονείν: opp. to έκπεπληγμένοι (4). Cf. 11. 20, 83. 13, 35. 5. The inf. pres. (not fut.) to express generality. See St., Qu. Gr.2 p. 6. - aldvision: preferable to alpridior of the Mss., acc. to Thucydidean usage (4.75.18; 8.14.6, 28.9). For the emphatic position, cf. 13. 10 and see on 14. 10. 5. From ξωs . . . προσδέχονται, it is clear that alφνίdue refers only to the immediate advance without further preparations (cf. άντικρυς πλείν l. 1 and τῷ αὐτίκα κινδύνω της μάχης 1. 10), not to an unexpected attack. That the Syracusans expected an immediate attack is confirmed by 63. § 2.—8. σφάς περιγενέσθαι: this is the reading of all Mss., but Bk.'s conjecture σφεῖς, on account of πλεῖστοι (9), has been adopted by St., Hude, and Bm. (not in text edition). But the accus. is sufficiently protected by 96.5; 3. 111. 13; 7.21.17; 8.32.15.—κατὰ πάντα: explained by the following datives.

12. ἀποληφθήναι: without αν after είκδς είναι. GMT. 416, 421. See on 1. 81. 13. The Mss. vary between ἀπο- $\lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ and $\delta \pi \circ \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$, but only the former suits the context (διὰ τὸ . . . ήξειν). - διά τὸ άπιστείν . . . ήξειν: on account of disbelief in our coming, not referring to present conditions and the advance proposed by Lamachus (now the Syracusans expect an immediate attack), but to the past and the coming of the Athenians to Sicily, which the Syracusans had so long not credited. Of the sentiments dominant in Syracuse till quite recently (cf. 45. 4 οὐκέτι ήπίστουν) Lamachus might very well have got knowledge. On ἀπιστεῖν μή with dependent inf., cf. 4.40.5 and καὶ ἐσκομιζομένων αὐτῶν τὴν στρατιὰν οὐκ ἀπορήσειν χρημάτων, ἢν πρὸς τἢ πόλει κρατοῦσα καθέζηται. τούς τε ἄλλους 4

15 Σικελιώτας οὖτως ἦδη μᾶλλον καὶ ἐκείνοις οὐ ξυμμαχήσειν καὶ σφίσι προσιέναι καὶ οὐ διαμελλήσειν περισκοποῦντας ὁπότεροι κρατήσουσι. ναύσταθμον δὲ ἐπαναχωρήσαντας καὶ ἐφόρμησιν τὰ Μέγαρα ἔφη χρῆναι ποιεῖσθαι, ἃ ἦν ἐρῆμα, ἀπέχοντα Συρακουσῶν οὖτε πλοῦν πολὺν οὖτε ὁδόν.

i0 Λάμαχος μὲν ταῦτα εἰπὼν ὄμως προσέθετο αὐτὸς τῆ ᾿Αλκι- ۱ Βιάδου γνώμη. μετὰ δὲ τοῦτο ᾿Αλκιβιάδης τῆ αὑτοῦ νηὶ

see on 3. 32. 13. GMT. 807. — 13. Kal έσκομιζομένων αὐτῶν . . . καθέζηται : andwhile they were attempting to carry in (their property) the army would not lack supplies, if it encamped under the walls victorious. Against this explanation of Cl.'s Steup objects that the ἀποληφθήναι must have put a stop to the ἐσκομίζεσθαι, and he conjectures something like ἐσβαλοῦσαν ἔτι to have fallen out before ἐσκομιζομένων. — ἐσκομιζομένων: used abs., cf. 2.18.15. — χρημά-Twv: supplies, not merely money. Cf. 97.27; 1.49.21; 3.74.10; 7.24.7, 25. 7.—14. κρατούσα: victorious, i.e. in the $\mu d\chi \eta$ mentioned in 3 and 11.

15. οὖτως: see on 47. 7. — ἤδη μᾶλλον: cf. 59. 5, 105. 14. — 16. περισκοποῦντας ὁπότεροι κρατήσουσι: cf. 4. 73. 5 περιορωμένους ὁποτέρων ἡ νίκη ἔσται. — 17. ἐπαναχωρήσαντας: returning thither (from their first attack on Syracuse), i.e. with the fleet, for the land army would be encamped before the city (πρὸς τῷ πόλει ἐκαθέζετο). — 18. ἐφόρμησιντὰ Μέγαρα: on the reading, see App. Against Alcibiades, who had praised the advantages of Messene as λιμένα καὶ ἐφόρμησιν ἰκανωτάτην (48. 8), Lamachus recommends the nearer Megara

i.e. Hyblaea (4.8), which the Syracusans under Gelon had destroyed (4.§2, 94.§1), as ναύσταθμον (ship and supply station, see on 3.6.10) and έφόρμησιν (watch station against the enemy, see on 48.8). For the art., cf. 75.4, 97. 25; 7.25.15.—19. ἀπέχοντα...οῦτε πλοῦν πολὺν οῦτε ὁδόν: cf. 97.8 οῦτε πλοῦν οῦτε ὀδὸν πολλην ἀπέχει.

50. Still Lamachus accepts the view of Alcibiades. The latter thereupon negotiates in vain with the Messenians; then all three generals undertake with 60 ships a voyage of reconnoissance to Naxos, where they are received, to Catana, where they are rejected, and to Syracuse, where through 10 ships sent into the Great Harbor they announce their intentions with reference to Leontini and get information about preparations at Syracuse; whereupon they return to Catana. — 1. προσέθετο αὐτός: so all the better Mss. except M, not και αὐτός, which would indicate adhesion from others. It is only emphasized that Lamachus, although of a different opinion, for himself adopts the view of Alcibiades, to avoid a split. Therewith the divergent view of Nicias is implied, which however did not διαπλεύσας ε΄ς Μεσσήνην καὶ λόγους ποιησάμενος περὶ ξυμμαχίας πρὸς αὐτούς, ὡς οὐκ ἔπειθεν, ἀλλ' ἀπεκρίναντο πόλει τὰν ἀν οὐ δέξασθαι, ἀγορὰν δ' ἔξω παρέξειν, ἀπέπλει ε'ς τὸ ዮήγιον. καὶ εὐθὺς ξυμπληρώσαντες έξήκοντα ναῦς ἐκ πασῶν ε οἱ στρατηγοὶ καὶ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια λαβόντες παρέπλεον ε'ς Νάξον, τὴν ἄλλην στρατιὰν ε'ν ዮηγίω καταλιπόντες καὶ ενα σφῶν αὐτῶν. Ναξίων δὲ δεξαμένων τῆ πόλει παρέπλεον ε'ς Κατάνην. ε το καὶ ὡς αὐτοὺς οἱ Καταναῖοι οὐκ εδέχοντο (ἐνῆσαν γὰρ αὐτόθι ἄνδρες τὰ Συρακοσίων βουλόμενοι), ἐκομίσθησαν ἐπὶ τὸν Τηρίαν ποταμόν, καὶ αὐλισάμενοι τῆ ὑστεραία ἐπὶ Συρακούσας ἔπλεον, ἐπὶ κέρως ἔχοντες τὰς ἄλλας ναῦς, δέκα δὲ 4 τῶν νεῶν προύπεμψαν ε'ς τὸν μέγαν λιμένα πλεῦσαί τε καὶ

prevent, as shown in what follows, a start being made on the plan of Alcibiades. - 2. τη αύτοῦ νηί: i.e. with the trireme which as trierarch he had fitted out and commanded; so 61. 25 έχων την έαυτοῦ ναῦν. - 3. ές Μεσσήνην: the winning of Messene had been designated by Alcibiades (48.7) as the next task of the Athenians. - 4. Exerber: impf. of continued effort. See on 4.4.1. - πόλει: in the city, dat. as 4. 103. 15. - 5. av où δέξασθαι . . . παρέξειν: transition from aor. inf. with av to fut. inf.; see on 1. 127.6. The refusal is not so definite as the concession: "in the city they could not receive them, they would however provide them with provisions outside." For the unusual order av ov -for which v. H. writes οὐκ ἄν - Kr. compares from Attic prose Xen. Anab. 2.3.18 and Dem. 9.9. See Kr. Spr. 59, 7, 5.

6. ξυμπληρώσαντες: i.e. manning the squadron of 60 ships from the three divisions in common (42.5).—8. ξνα: most prob. Nicias, who had opposed

the whole plan of Alcibiades. Certainly it could not have been Alcibiades (51.4).

11. ἄνδρες: see on 10.5. — τὰ Συρακοσίων βουλόμενοι: more freq. φρονοῦντες (51.8; 3.68.18; 5.84.5; 8.31.7). See on 2.79.8. — 12. Τηρίαν: now Fiume di S. Leonardo, near which Leontini was situated. Holm, Gesch. Siz. I, 28. — 13. ἐπὶ κέρως ἔχοντες τὰς ἄλλας ναῦς: i.e. the 50, after 10 of the 60 had been sent off, remained in the line in which they had sailed out. For ἐπὶ κέρως, see on 32.10. It is necessary to punctuate with comma before δέκα, since also the 10 ships ἐπὶ Συρακούσας ἔπλεον. For transition from ptc. to fin. verb, see on 1.57.10; 4.69.11, 106.4.

14. ἐς τὸν μέγαν λιμένα πλεθσαι: this and the foll. infs. to execute the task indicated in προύπεμψαν. Cf. similar infs., after προτάσσειν 100. 13; after πέμπειν 4. 132. 15; 6. 8. 13, 15; after περιπέμπειν 5. 2. 11; after ὑπεκπέμπειν 4. 8. 12; after κομίζειν 5. 56. 12. For the position of τε, see on 34. 17.—

15 κατασκόψασθαι εἴ τι ναυτικόν ἐστι καθειλκυσμένον, καὶ κηρῦξαι ἀπὸ τῶν νεῶν προσπλεύσαντας ὅτι ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἦκουσι Λεοντίνους ἐς τὴν ἑαυτῶν κατοικιοῦντες κατὰ ξυμμαχίαν καὶ ξυγγένειαν τοὺς οὖν ὅντας ἐν Συρακούσαις Λεοντίνων ὡς παρὰ φίλους καὶ εὐεργέτας ᾿Αθηναίους ἀδεῶς ἀπιέναι. ἐπεὶ δ 20 δ' ἐκηρύχθη καὶ κατεσκόψαντο τήν τε πόλιν καὶ τοὺς λιμένας καὶ τὰ περὶ τὴν χώραν, ἐξ ἦς αὐτοῖς ὁρμωμένοις πολεμητέα 51 ἦν, ἀπέπλευσαν πάλιν ἐς Κατάνην. καὶ ἐκκλησίας γενομένης 1 τὴν μὲν στρατιὰν οὐκ ἐδέχοντο οἱ Καταναῖοι, τοὺς δὲ στρατηγοὺς ἐσελθόντας ἐκέλευον, εἴ τι βούλονται, εἰπεῖν. καὶ λέγοντος τοῦ ᾿Αλκιβιάδου καὶ τῶν ἐν τῆ πόλει πρὸς τὴν δ ἐκκλησίαν τετραμμένων οἱ στρατιῶται πυλίδα τινὰ ἐνωκο-δομημένην κακῶς ἔλαθον διελόντες καὶ ἐσελθόντες ἠγό-ραζον [ἐς τὴν πόλιν]. τῶν δὲ Καταναίων οἱ μὲν τὰ τῶν 3

15. ἐστι καθειλκυσμένον: for the periphrasis, see App. on 1. 1. 5.—17. ἐαυτῶν: emphasizing the esp. important obj. Λεοντίνους. Cf.. 1. 17. 5 ἐπράχθη ἀπ' αὐτῶν οὐδὲν ἔργον εἰ μή τι πρὸς περιοίκους τοὺς αὐτῶν ἐκάστοις. Kr. Spr. 51, 2, 6.—18. τοὺς ὄντας ἐν Συρακούσαις Λεοντίνων... ἀπιέναι: cf. 5. 4. 10. The inf. after κηρύσσειν (as 4. 37. 8; 5. 115. 7; and after ἀνεῖπεν ὁ κῆρυξ 2. 2. 27) because it is here not, as before (ὅτι ... ἐνγγένειαν), an announcement, but a demand.—19. 'Αθηναίονς: in most Mss. miswritten 'Αθηναίων. The prep. is not repeated, as 1. 84. 19; 4. 41. 6.

21. πολεμητέα: see on 1.7.2.

51. While the Catanaeans are holding a popular assembly a part of the Athenian army break into the city; thereupon the adherents of the Syracusans withdraw and the remaining citizens declare for the Athenians, who then betake themselves with their whole

fleet from Rhegium to Catana. - 1. ekκλησίας: τῶν Καταναίων Schol.-2. τὴν μέν στρατιάν . . . είπειν: Pp. compares the bearing of the Acanthians toward Brasidas 4. 84. § 2. — 3. είτι βούλονται: sc. είπεῖν. See App. on 14. 91. 18. — 4. πρός την έκκλησίαν τετραμμένων: their attention wholly occupied with the assembly. See on 2.40.6.—5. ἐνφκοδομημένην κακώς: $80. τ \hat{\varphi} τ \epsilon l χ \epsilon ι.$ κακώς = άτέχνως, σαθρώς (Schol.).-6. διελόντες: breaking through. Cf. 4.48.11, 110.18, 111. 8. - ήγόραζον: Schol. άντι τοῦ έν άγορα διατρίβειν. Freq. in Hdt. (2.35.9; 3. 137. 3, 139. 10; 4. 78. 21, 164. 21), rare in Attic (Ar. Eq. 1373; Lysist. 633; Xen. Hell. 2. 4. 14; Resp. Lac. 9. 4). Cf. 2. 2. 21 θέσθαι ές την άγοραν $\tau \dot{a} \delta \pi \lambda a$ (under similar circumstances). -7. es την πόλιν: rightly bracketed by v. H. and most recent editors. ἐσελθόντες alone is quite as intelligible here as έσελθόντας in 3; besides, if expressed. Συρακοσίων φρονοῦντες, ὡς εἶδον τὸ στράτευμα ἔνδον, εὐθὺς περιδεεῖς γενόμενοι ὑπεξηλθον οὐ πολλοί τινες, οἱ δὲ ἄλλοι 10 ἐψηφίσαντό τε ξυμμαχίαν τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις καὶ τὸ ἄλλο στράτευμα ἐκέλευον ἐκ Ἡργίου κομίζειμ. μετὰ δὲ τοῦτο διαπλεύ- 8 σαντες οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐς τὸ Ἡγγιον πάση ἤδη τῆ στρατιᾳ ἄραντες ἐς τὴν Κατάνην, ἐπειδὴ ἀφίκοντο, κατεσκευάζοντο 52 τὸ στρατόπεδον. ἐσηγγέλλετο δὲ αὐτοῖς ἔκ τε Καμαρίνης, 1 ὡς, εἰ ἔλθοιεν, προσχωροῖεν ἄν, καὶ ὅτι Συρακόσιοι πληροῦσι ναυτικόν. ἀπάση οὖν τῆ στρατιᾳ παρέπλευσαν πρῶτον μὲν ἐπὶ Συρακούσας καὶ ὡς οὐδὲν ηὖρον ναυτικὸν πληρούμενον, 5 παρεκομίζοντο αὖθις ἐπὶ Καμαρίνης καὶ σχόντες ἐς τὸν αἰγιαλὸν ἐπεκηρυκεύοντο. οἱ δ᾽ οὐκ ἐδέχοντο, λέγοντες σφίσι

ές τὴν πόλιν must surely have come before or right after ἐσελθόντες.

τὰ τῶν Συρακοσίων φρονοῦντες: see on 50.11.—8. ἔνδον: it is not necessary to add ὅν, with ν. Η. and others. Cf. 5. 56. 5, where Cl., it is true, thought of adding ὅντας before πάντας.—9. ὑπεξηλθον: of the same thing also 4.74. 7.—οῦ πολλοί τινες: see on 1.5.

11. διαπλεύσαντες: this reading of Vat. is, with Bk., Kr., and Cl., to be preferred to πλεύσαντες of the rest of the Mss. διαπλεῖν is the proper term for crossing a sea, as 44.1, 50.3. Cf. also διάπλους 31.43.—12. πάση ἤδη τῆ στρατιῷ: no more with only a part as 50. § 2.—13. κατεσκευάζοντο: were arranging, i.e. set about arranging; meanwhile came the following announcements (ἐσηγγέλλετο). On the camp, cf. 64. § 3, 75. § 2, 88. § 5.

52. Vain attempt of the Athenian fleet to win over the Camarinaeans. First hostilities after landing in the territory of the Syracusans. Return to Catana.—1. ἔκ τε Καμαρίνης: cor-

related with καὶ ὅτι Συρακόσιοι κτέ. (2); the announcements came simultaneously from different quarters. - 5. avθις: further, after πρώτον (3) implying advance not retrogression. Cf. 90.4; 5. 36. 11, 76. 9; 8. 22. 13, and see on 1. 70. 9. — σχόντες ές: see on 3. 29. 5 and 7. 1. 14. — ές τὸν αἰγιαλόν: on the open coast, as opp. to λιμήν, in which they were not received. — 6. σφίσι τὰ ὅρκια είναι κτέ.: accordingly the Athenians must have based their call to the Camarinaeans to join them on a sworn agreement between Camarina and Athens. But this compact was hardly, as Cl. supposed, the peace of Gela (4. 65. § 1, 2). For we have no warrant for assuming that this peace had regulated also the relations of the Athenians to the Sicilian cities to which they had lent assistance against Syracuse, i.e. Leontini, Camarina, etc. On the other hand, the passage in 75. § 3 πυνθανόμενοι τοὺς 'Αθηναίους ές τὴν Καμάριναν κατά την έπι Λάχητος γενομένην ξυμμαχίαν πρεσβεύεσθαι, εί πως προσαγάγοιντο τὰ ὅρκια εἶναι μιᾳ νηὶ καταπλεόντων ᾿Αθηναίων δέχεσθαι, ην μὴ αὐτοὶ πλείους μεταπέμπωσιν. ἄπρακτοι δὲ γενόμενοι ἀπέπλεον καὶ ἀποβάντες κατά τι τῆς Συρακοσίας καὶ ἀρπα- ² 10 γὴν ποιησάμενοι καὶ τῶν Συρακοσίων ἱππέων βοηθησάντων καὶ τῶν ψιλῶν τινας ἐσκεδασμένους διαφθειράντων ἀπεκο- 53 μίσθησαν ἐς Κατάνην. καὶ καταλαμβάνουσι τὴν Σαλαμι- 1 νίαν ναῦν ἐκ τῶν ᾿Αθηνῶν ἤκουσαν ἐπί τε ᾿Αλκιβιάδην, ὡς κελεύσοντας ἀποπλεῖν ἐς ἀπολογίαν ὧν ἡ πόλις ἐνεκά-λει, καὶ ἐπ' ἄλλους τινὰς τῶν στρατιωτῶν τῶν μετ' αὐτοῦ

abrobs (cf. 82. § 1 ἀφικόμεθα ἐπὶ τῆς πρότερον οὕσης ξυμμαχίας ἀνανεώσει) makes strongly for an alliance concluded between Athens and Camarina at the time of the command of Laches (3. 86. § 1 ff.). — 7. μιᾶ νηί: i.e. coming with only one ship and asking admittance. Cf. 2. 7. 13; 3. 71. 4.—8. μεταπέμπωσιν: used by Thuc. in both act. and mid. with same force. See on 1. 112. 6.

9. ἀποβάντες: on the return to Catana. — κατά τι τής Συρακοσίας: at some point of Syracusanterritory on the coast. —10. ποιησάμενοι και . . . βοηθησάντων: for combination of ptcs. concordant and absolute, cf. 4.54.16, 133.3. GMT. 876.—11. τινας ἐσκεδασμένους: of the Athenians who had scattered άρπαγὴν ποιούμενοι.

53. They find the Salaminia just arrived from Athens to summon to trial Alcibiades, on charges preferred against him by the state, as well as some others, accused partly with him of profanation of the mysteries, partly of mutilation of the Hermae. For at Athens prevailed now the greatest fear and mistrust in recollection of the tyranny of the Peisistratids.—1. τὴν Σαλαμινίαν γαῦν: one of the two Athenian

é .

state triremes. See on 3.33.2. vaûv, which might have been omitted, is found also 61, 19. Cf. 8, 74, 1 την Πάραλον ναθν. - 2. ώς κελεύσοντας: after την Σαλαμινίαν ναῦν, for the synesis, see on 1.52.4. Cobet suspects ω's κελεύσοντας άποπλείν, St. ώς κελεύσοντας, both unnecessarily. - 3. ἀποπλείν: sail back, as often: 1.89.12; 2.94.19; 3.79.7, 85. 3, 88. 13; 4. 56. 9, 65. 9. — ών ἡ πόλις ένεκάλει: acc. to 61. § 1 reference is here made not only to the profanation of the mysteries, but also to a plot against the democracy (cf. 28. § 2). The expression implies that in the interim a formal charge against Alcibiades had been brought before the people. - 4. Tar στρατιωτών τών μετ' αὐτοθ: Cl. explains, dependent on em' allows runds (cf. 2.51. 4 άλλο οὐδὲν τῶν εἰωθότων). Steup objects that thus μετ' αὐτοῦ is strange, since Alcibiades as one of the three generals commanded only the third of the army that fell to him by lot (42. § 1), and that the gens. μεμηνυμένων ώς ἀσεβούντων cannot be explained. He suggests έπ' άλλους τινάς, των στρατιωτών τινων μετ' αὐτοῦ κτέ. Hude writes τῶν στρατιωτών, τών (μέν) μετ' αὐτοῦ κτέ., which is doubtless just what Thuc. intended, 5 μεμηνυμένων περὶ τῶν μυστηρίων ὡς ἀσεβούντων, τῶν δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶν Ἑρμῶν.

Οἱ γὰρ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ἐπειδὴ ἡ στρατιὰ ἀπέπλευσεν, οὐδὲν 8 ήσσον ζήτησιν ἐποιοῦντο τῶν περὶ τὰ μυστήρια καὶ τῶν περὶ τοὺς Ἑρμᾶς δρασθέντων, καὶ οὐ δοκιμάζοντες τοὺς 10 μηνυτάς, ἀλλὰ πάντα ὑπόπτως ἀποδεχόμενοι διὰ πονηρῶν ἀνθρώπων πίστιν πάνυ χρηστοὺς τῶν πολιτῶν ξυλλαμβάνοντες κατέδουν, χρησιμώτερον ἡγούμενοι εἶναι βασανίσαι τὸ πρᾶγμα καὶ εὑρεῖν ἡ διὰ μηνυτοῦ πονηρίαν τινὰ καὶ χρηστὸν δοκοῦντα εἶναι αἰτιαθέντα ἀνέλεγκτον διαφυγεῖν. ἐπιστάμενος γὰρ ὁ δῆμος ἀκοῆ τὴν Πεισιστράτου καὶ τῶν παίδων τυραννίδα χαλεπὴν τελευτῶσαν γενομένην καὶ προσέτι οὐδ᾽ ὑφ᾽ ἐαυτῶν καὶ ʿΑρμοδίου καταλυθεῖσαν, ἀλλ᾽ ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων ἐφοβεῖτο αἰεὶ καὶ πάντα ὑπόπτως ἐλάμβανε.

as Kr. also understands. — μετ' αὐτοῦ: cf. 28. 5 ὧν καὶ τὸν 'Αλκιβιάδην ἐπητιῶντο and 61. 4 τὰ μυστικὰ, ὧν ἐπαίτιος ῆν. — 5. τῶν δὲ καὶ περὶ τῶν 'Ερμῶν: of the mutilation of the Hermae Alcibiades had not been accused, acc. to 28. § 1 and 61. § 1.

7. οὐδὲν ἦσσον ζήτησιν ἐποιοθντο κτέ.: cf. 27. § 2. - 9. οὐ δοκιμάζοντες τοὺς μηvurás: i.e. without satisfying themselves of their credibility. — 10. πάντα ὑπόπτως ἀποδεχόμενοι: in their state of suspicion accepting everything. Cf. diaβολάς ἀποδέχεσθαι 41.4. Lindau's conjecture ανυπόπτως does not agree with the context. — πονηρών άνθρώπων: obj. gen. with $\pi l \sigma \tau \iota \nu$. — 12. Basavisa: siftto the bottom, examine closely; elsewhere of persons (7.86.18; 8.92.10).—13. mal Y photov δοκοῦντα είναι: even though reputed worthy.—14. altialévta: once accused.— ἀνέλεγκτον: Schol. ἀνεξετάστως, i.e. without close investigation.

15. ἀκοῆ: (= εξ ἀκοῆs) with επίστασθαι also 60.2; 4.126.15; with είδέναι 1. 4. 1; 6. 55. 2; 7. 87. 21; with alσθάνεσθαι 6. 17. 22, 20. 3; with νομίζειν 4. 81. 12.—16. χαλεπήν: harsh, severe; cf. 59.4.— τελευτώσαν: adv. Schol. έν τοις τελευταίοις χρόνοις.-17. οὐδ' ὑφ' ἐαυ-Tŵv: not even by themselves (i.e. the Athenians), οὐδέ emphasizing the second and strongest ground, fear of return of a tyranny. — άλλ' ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων: under Cleomenes, 510 B.C. Thue. adds in 59. 17 καὶ Άλκμεωνιδων των φευγόντων. Cf. Hdt. 6. 123. 8 οι μέν γάρ έξηγρίωσαν τούς ὑπολοίπους Πεισιστρατιδέων "Ιππαρχον άποκτείνοντες, οὐδέ τι μάλλον ξπαυσαν τούς λοιπούς τυραννεύοντας · Άλκμεωνίδαι δὲ ἐμφανέως ήλευθέρωσαν, εί δη ουτοί γε άληθέως ήσαν οί την Πυθίην άναπείσαντες προσημαίνειν Λακεδαιμονίοισι έλευθεροῦν τὰς 'Αθήνας. -18. ὑπόπτως ἐλάμβανε: see on l. 10; 3, 59, 6; 4, 17, 8,

54 Τὸ γὰρ ᾿Αριστογείτονος καὶ ʿΑρμοδίου τόλμημα δι᾽ ἐρω- 1 τικὴν ξυντυχίαν ἐπεχειρήθη, ἣν ἐγὼ ἐπὶ πλέον διηγησάμενος

Episode on the end of the Tyranny of the Peisistratidae (54-59)

54. The bold deed of Aristogeiton and Harmodius was committed under the Tyranny of Hippias, eldest son of Peisistratus, and occasioned by an amour of Hipparchus, a younger son. Character of the rule of the Peisistratidae until the murder of Hipparchus.-1. τὸ γὰρ 'Αριστογείτονος και 'Αρμοδίου τόλμημα: a digression introduced by $\gamma d\rho$, as 1.89.1. The episode is due to the mention made 53. § 3 that recollection of the issue of the Tyranny of the Peisistratidae had been the cause of the feeling then prevailing among the Athenian Demos. Acc. to the words ην έγω έπι πλέον διηγησάμενος κτέ. (2 ff.), Thuc.'s motive, in our view, was to oppose prevalent popular errors with regard to the sons of Peisistratus and the deed of Harmodius and Aristogeiton. He had already done this more briefly in 1.20. § 2, where he gives an example of the widespread ignorance among the Hellenes concerning important events of their own history. As to the relation of the two episodes, see on 14. 20. 5. The conjecture of Müller-Strübing, Aristophanes 543 ff., that Thuc.'s special interest in the fates of the house of the Peisistratidae was due to kinship is prob. correct (see Einleit.4 p. xiii, n. 6). But M.-S. is doubtless wrong (Thuk. Forsch. 53 ff.) in finding in Thuc.'s double treatment of the Peisistratid question a proof of the separate publication of the history of the Ten Years' War. (See Einleit.4 p. xxxvii). As indicated at 14. 20. 5,

nothing is in the way of believing that we should have had only one treatment of the matter if Thuc.'s work had received a final revision. Wrong, too, is the view of G. Friedrich (N. Jhbb. CLV, p. 177) that at 1.20 the Athenian Demos is "represented as in entire ignorance of the state of affairs," while in 6.53 it has a suspicion of what was really going on. From 1.20. § 2 it cannot be rightly inferred that Thuc. there ascribed to the Demos the belief that the deed of Harmodius and Aristogeiton had restored freedom to Athens. The account in Aristotle's 'Αθηναίων πολιτεία of the issue of the Tyranny of the Peisistratidae (c. 17 ff.) differs in many points from Thuc., but apparently offers nothing more correct. - 2. Eurtuxlav: affair, matter. Cf. 1.33.1; 3. 112.26. — ην . . . διηγησάμενος άποφανώ: by a full discussion of which I will prove. L. Herbst (Philol. XL, 306 ff.), assuming $\epsilon \pi l \pi \lambda \epsilon \sigma \nu$ always expresses or implies a definite comparison, connects έπι πλέον ἀποφανῶ and argues a more definite reference to 1. 20. § 2. But even in Thuc. are found comparatives not essentially differing in force from positives. See on 6.6; 1. 122. 8; and further διά πλείονος 1. 124. 12; βιαιότερον 2. 33. 14; μακρότερα 3. 52. 22; τούς λόγους μακροτέρους μηκύνειν 4.17.5; έπλ πλέον 2.53.1. Besides, if Thuc. had really meant to refer here to something said earlier, he must have expressed himself more clearly; to say nothing of the improbability that he would have left in a final revision 1. 20. § 2 and 6.54 ff. as we have them. -

αποφανῶ οὖτε τοὺς ἄλλους οὖτε αὐτοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους περὶ τῶν σφετέρων τυράννων οὐδὲ περὶ τοῦ γενομένου ἀκριβὲς οὐδὲν δέγοντας. Πεισιστράτου γὰρ γηραιοῦ τελευτήσαντος ἐν τῆ 2 τυραννίδι οὐχ Ἦπαρχος, ὧσπερ οἱ πολλοὶ οἴονται, ἀλλ' Ἱππάας πρεσβύτατος ὧν ἔσχε τὴν ἀρχήν. γενομένου δὲ ʿΑρμοδίου ὧρα ἡλικίας λαμπροῦ ᾿Αριστογείτων, ἀνὴρ τῶν ἀστῶν, μέσος πολίτης, ἐραστὴς ὧν εἶχεν αὐτόν. πειραθεὶς δὲ ὁ 3 10 ʿΑρμόδιος ὑπὸ Ἱππάρχου τοῦ Πεισιστράτου καὶ οὐ πεισθεὶς καταγορεύει τῷ ᾿Αριστογείτονι. ὁ δὲ ἐρωτικῶς περιαλγήσας καὶ φοβηθεὶς τὴν Ἱππάρχου δύναμιν, μὴ βία προσαγάγηται αὐτόν, ἐπιβουλεύει εὐθὺς ὡς ἀπὸ τῆς ὑπαρχούσης ἀξιώσεως κατάλυσιν τῆ τυραννίδι. καὶ ἐν τούτῷ ὁ Ἱππαρχος ὡς αὖθις 4 15 πειράσας οὐδὲν μᾶλλον ἔπειθε τὸν ʿΑρμόδιον, βίαιον μὲν οὐδὲν ἐβούλετο δρᾶν, ἐν τρόπῷ δὲ τινι ἀφανεῖ ὡς οὐ διὰ

3. οὖτε τοὺς ἄλλους: to emphasize the second member: neither the other Hellenes, nor even the Athenians themselves, corresponding to the positive οἴ τε άλλοι κτέ.—4. ἀκριβὲς οὐδὲν λέγοντας: of historical questions, as ἀκριβές τι εἰδέναι 5. 26. 24. See on 55. 1.

5. Πεισιστράτου γάρ κτέ.: for γάρ, see on 33. 7. — γηραιού τελευτήσαντος: prob. 528-527 B.C.— 6. ώσπερ οἱ πολλοι οἴονται: so also Pseudo-Plato, Hipparch. 228 Β. — 7. ἔσχε τὴν ἀρχήν: acquired the sovereignty, = $\hbar \rho \xi \epsilon$ 55.1. -8. ώρα ήλικίας λαμπρού: Schol. έν $\tau \hat{\eta}$ $d\kappa \mu \hat{\eta}$ $\epsilon \pi \iota \phi a \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau d\tau o \nu$, i.e. in the flower of youth and beauty. - avno τών άστων: cf. άνηρ 'Αθηναίος των πάλαι 1. 126. 6. ἀστός referring to his home, $\pi \circ \lambda i \tau \eta s$ to his political station. - 9. μέσος πολίτης: Schol. ούτε έπιφανής ουτε άδοξος. Cf. μέσου άνδρὸς Μήδου Hdt. 1. 107. 13. - είχεν αὐτόν: same term that is used of the marital relation. Cf. 2.29. 2 οὖ εἶχε τὴν ἀδελφὴν Σιτάλκης.

πειραθείς: Schol. ἐρωτικὴν πεῖραν δεξάμενος.—11. καταγορεύει: informs, as 4. 68. 24. — περιαλγήσας: greatly pained, περι- intensive, see on 4. 14. 10.— 13. ἐπιβουλεύει . . . κατάλυσιν τῆ τυραννίδι: for similar turns of expression, see on 3. 109. 21.— ὡς ἀπὸ τῆς ὑπαρχούσης ἀξιώσεως: quantum pro sua auctoritate poterat; restrictive, an open attack was out of the question for a μέσος πολίτης.

14. καὶ ἐν τούτῳ ὁ Ἱππαρχος κτέ: see App. — 16. ἐν τρόπῳ δέ τινι ἀφανεῖ: so recent editors, with Levesque, for τόπῳ of the Mss. It prob. does not mean that the act was done secretly, but that the manner of the insult did not betray the real motive. Cf. the same idiom in Dio C. 43.13. The Schol. also read τρόπῳ: ἐμηχανᾶτο κρύφα προπηλακίσαι τὸν ᾿Αρμόδιον ώς δι ἄλλην αἰτὶαν

τοῦτο δὴ παρεσκευάζετο προπηλακιῶν αὐτόν. οὐδὲ γὰρ τὴν δ ἄλλην ἀρχὴν ἐπαχθὴς ἦν ἐς τοὺς πολλούς, ἀλλ' ἀνεπιφθόνως κατεστήσατο · καὶ ἐπετήδευσαν ἐπὶ πλεῖστον δὴ τύραννοι 20 οὖτοι ἀρετὴν καὶ ξύνεσιν, καὶ 'Αθηναίους εἰκοστὴν μόνον πρασσόμενοι τῶν γιγνομένων τήν τε πόλιν αὐτῶν καλῶς διεκόσμησαν καὶ τοὺς πολέμους διέφερον καὶ ἐς τὰ ἱερὰ ἔθυον. τὰ δὲ ἄλλα αὐτὴ ἡ πόλις τοῖς πρὶν κειμένοις νόμοις ἐχρῆτο, 6 πλὴν καθ' ὄσον αἰεί τινα ἐπεμέλοντο σφῶν αὐτῶν ἐν ταῖς 25 ἀρχαῖς εἶναι. καὶ ἄλλοι τε αὐτῶν ἦρξαν τὴν ἐνιαυσίαν 'Αθηναίοις ἀρχὴν καὶ Πεισίστρατος ὁ Ἱππίου τοῦ τυραννεύσαντος υἰός, τοῦ πάππου ἔχων τοὖνομα, δς τῶν δώδεκα θεῶν βωμὸν

τινά. — 17. δή: scilicet. See on 10. 21. — προπηλακιών: for fut. ptc. after παρασκευάζομαι, see on 2. 18. 4.

την άλλην άρχην: see App. on 14. -18. άνεπιφθόνως κατεστήσατο: had established it so as not to give offense (aor. in subord. clause); Schol. ἀνεπιφθόνως, οὐ μεμπτῶς. - 19. καὶ ἐπετήδευσαν κτέ.: this aor., as also διεκόσμησαν (21), complexive (see on 2.29; 1.7.6) with comprehensive subj. οὖτοι (i.e. the Peisistratidae). The pred. τύραννοι is put before the subst., acc. to the usage explained at 1.1.8 (cf. 31.6); only here the plural - representing the Peisistratidae — and the preceding sup. $(\epsilon \pi l)$ πλείστον δή) give a somewhat different turn to the sentence: as tyrants (= of all tyrants) these for the longest time (at last even they too fell into other ways) laid stress upon noble sentiment and mental culture. On άρετή see Introd. to 14. li, and on Eureous (usually clear insight), see xlviii. άρετη και ξύνεσις are emphasized also in 4.81.11 by Brasidas as comprehending the highest moral and intellectual qualities. - 20. εἰκοστὴν μόνον: acc. to Arist. Ath. Pol. 16 Peisistratus levied a δεκάτη. But cf. Busolt, Griech. Gesch.² II, 328 n.1.—21. τῶν γιγνομένων: i.e. all the fruits of the earth.— διεκόσμησαν: the best-known of these ornaments was the Enneakrunos (2.15. §5).—22. τοὺς πολέμους διάφερον: impf. of persistent prosecution. Cf. 1.11.12; 8.75.13.—45 τὰ ἰερὰ ἔθυον: short for ἐς . . . τὰς θυσίας ἔφερον. Cf. ἐθεώρουν ἐς τὰ Ἱσθμια 8.10.2; θύειν εἰς ταύτην τὴν πανήγυριν (Lys.) 6.5.

23. αὐτὴ ἡ πόλις: the state or citizens apart from the tyrants. - 24. exemiλοντο: with inf. also Xen. Mem. 4.7. 1. Only the pres. stem of this verb seems to have been used by Thuc. See St., Qu. Gr.² p. 66. — έν ταις άρχαις: i.e. the offices of state, esp. the archonship. - 25. 'Aθηναίοις: dat. with ħρξαν (of the ένιαυσία or κατ' ένιαυτον άρχή), as 1.93.11; 2.2.6.—26. τοῦ τυραννεύσαν-TOS: who had been tyrant. Cf. 55.11. -27. ős: wrongly suspected by Bk.; for the younger Peisistratus is mentioned on account of his archonship, not for the altars dedicated by him, which are referred to only incidentally in a rel. clause. The year of his

τον ἐν τῆ ἀγορᾳ ἄρχων ἀνέθηκε καὶ τον τοῦ ᾿Απόλλωνος ἐν Πυθίου. καὶ τῷ μὲν ἐν τῆ ἀγορᾳ προσοικοδομήσας ὖστερον το ὁ δῆμος ᾿Αθηναίων μεῖζον μῆκος [τοῦ βωμοῦ] ἠφάνισε τοὐπίγραμμα τοῦ δ᾽ ἐν Πυθίου ἔτι καὶ νῦν δῆλόν ἐστιν ἀμυδροῖς γράμμασι λέγον τάδε

μνημα τόδ' ής ἀρχης Πεισίστρατος Ἱππίου υίδς θηκεν ᾿Απόλλωνος Πυθίου ἐν τεμένει.

55 [°]Οτι δὲ πρεσβύτατος ὧν 'Ιππίας ἦρξεν, εἰδὼς μὲν καὶ 1 ἀκοῆ ἀκριβέστερον ἄλλων ἰσχυρίζομαι, γνοίη δ' ἄν τις καὶ αὐτῷ τούτῳ· παιδες γὰρ αὐτῷ μόνῳ φαίνονται τῶν γνησίων

archonship is not definitely known. τών δώδεκα θεών βωμόν: like the miliarium aureum in Rome, starting-point for the roads leading out of Athens and with the distances inscribed on it (cf. Hdt. 2.7.5; C. I. G. II, 1078); serving also as a place of refuge. See Curtius, Zur Gesch. des Wegebaues bei den Gr. (Abhandl. d. Berl. Akad., 1854, p. 247 f.; also collected works I, 59 f.); Wachsmuth, die Stadt Athen II, 434ff.; Milchhöfer in Curtius, Stadtgesch. von Athen, p. ix.—28. ev Πυθίου: sc. lepφ or τεμένει (cf. 34). So έν Διονύσου 8.94.1. See 2.15.23 (where the sanctuary is called τὸ Πύθιον) and Milchhöfer in Curtius, Stadtgesch. p. xiv.

30. [τοθ βωμοθ]: due, as Kr. saw, to some stupid reader seeking a modifier for μείζον.—31. δηλόν ἐστιν: sc. τὸ ἐπίγραμμα.— ἀμυδροῦς γράμμασι: the stone with this inscription was found in the spring of 1877, on the banks of the Ilissus near the fountain Callirrhoe (C. I. G. IV, 373 e). It is in two pieces and some letters are gone entirely (-τρα-τος Ἱππίου), others are much abraded.

Otherwise the inscription is distinct, so that $d\mu\nu\delta\rho\rho\hat{n}$ s cannot refer to the tracing of the letters. Steup thinks the meaning is here, as in (Dem.) 59.76, that the red color of the letters had faded. See H. Heydemann, Hermes XIV, 317. Cl. suggested that the injury to the inscription may go back to the earliest period, and that $d\mu\nu\delta\rho\hat{n}$ s possibly referred to this injury. For still another view, see Szanto, Wiener Studien III, 155 ff.

55. Proofs that Hippias as eldest son succeeded his father Peisistratus in the tyranny. — 1. ἦρξεν: attained the sovereignty, = ἔσχε τὴν ἀρχήν 54. 7. — είδως ἀκριβέστερον ἄλλων: knowing more accurately than others. Cf. 54. 4; 5. 26. 24. See on 91. 2. The expression ἀκριβέστερον άλλων clearly points to a near relationship of the historian with the family of the Peisistratidae, so that more exact knowledge had come to him by word of mouth (καὶ ἀκοῦ). See on 54. 1. — 2. ἰσχυρίζομαι: affirm, as 3. 44. 9; 5. 26. 19. — 3. αὐτῷ τούτῳ: Schol. τῷ ῥηθησομένῳ. — μόνῳ: preferable to

άδελφῶν γενόμενοι, ὡς ὅ τε βωμὸς σημαίνει καὶ ἡ στήλη τερὶ τῆς τῶν τυράννων ἀδικίας ἡ ἐν τῆ ᾿Αθηναίων ἀκροπόλει σταθεῖσα, ἐν ἡ Θεσσαλοῦ μὲν οὐδ' Ἱππάρχου οὐδεῖς παῖς γέγραπται, Ἱππίου δὲ πέντε, οἱ αὐτῷ ἐκ Μυρσίνης τῆς Καλλίου τοῦ Ὑπεροχίδου θυγατρὸς ἐγένοντο εἰκὸς γὰρ ἦν τὸν πρεσβύτατον πρῶτον γῆμαι. καὶ ἐν τῆ αὐτῆ στήλη πρῶτος 2 10 γέγραπται μετὰ τὸν πατέρα, οὐδὲ τοῦτο ἀπεικότως διὰ τὸ πρεσβεύειν τε ἀπ' αὐτοῦ καὶ τυραννεῦσαι. οὐ μὴν οὐδ' ἀν 3 κατασχεῖν μοι δοκεῖ ποτε Ἱππίας τὸ παραχρῆμα ῥαδίως τὴν τυραννίδα, εἰ Ἱππαρχος μὲν ἐν τῆ ἀρχῆ ὧν ἀπέθανεν, αὐτὸς δὲ αὐθημερὸν καθίστατο ἀλλὰ καὶ διὰ τὸ πρότερον ξύνηθες 15 τοῖς μὲν πολίταις φοβερόν, ἐς δὲ τοὺς ἐπικούρους ἀκριβὲς

μόνον of the Mss. on account of the dependent gen. See on 3. 1.—4. ὁ βωμός: without doubt the altar έν Πυθίου (54. 31, 34), whose inscription proved the existence of a son of Hippias.—ἡ στήλη περί τῆς κτέ.: without repeated art. (see on 1.51.11), which is here the more negligible since another art. follows soon. The reference in των τυράννων is to the Peisistratidae in general (cf. 54. 4, 19; 8. 68. 27). - 6. ev i Oeoσαλοῦ μέν κτέ.: see App. - Θεσσαλοῦ μὲν οὐδ' Ἱππάρχου: the neg. is to be understood also with Θεσσαλοῦ. Cf. al Φοίνισσαι νηες οὐδε ὁ Τισσαφέρνης ήκον 8. 99.4; and 5.47.9.—8. Υπεροχίδου: so with Laur. and Meineke (Hermes III, 372), for ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \ell \delta o \nu$, because only the name ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho o \chi o s$, not ' $\Upsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \chi \dot{\eta} s$, is known. -9. πρώτον γήμαι: and consequently by implication γεννήσαι. But as the matter of childlessness is left out of consideration the proof is not quite clear. More positive is the second proof, in § 2.

έν τη αύτη στήλη: so all editors, following the correction (manu sec.) of

one Ms., for ἐν τῷ πρώτη of all the Mss.
—10. ἀπεικότως: always with neg. Cf.
1.73.9; 2.8.2; 8.68.25.—11. πρεσβεύειν: Schol. πρεσβύτατος εἶναι.—ἀπ'αὐτοῦ:
= μετ' αὐτόν.

ού μην οὐδέ: nor yet again. See on 1. 3. 17. — 14. καθίστατο: sc. ές την $d\rho\chi\eta\nu$ (conative impf.). — $d\lambda\lambda\dot{d}$ kai: but (even) actually. kal is wanting in Laur. — διά τὸ πρότερον . . . φοβερόν: on account of the hitherto usual fear on the part of the citizens. Cf. τὸ φοβερὸν τοι̂s ὑπηκόοιs 7.68.16. This fear inspired in the citizens (cf. also 54, 12 φοβηθείς τὴν Ἱππάρχου δύναμιν κτέ.) is not incompatible with the comparative mildness of the rule of the Peisistratidae emphasized in 54. § 5 f. For πρότερον adv., see Steup, Thuk, Stud. II, 22. For substantivized neut. adj. (φοβερόν, άκριβέs) with attrib. modifier ξύνηθες, see on 34. 16. - 15. ές τούς έπικούρους άκρι-Bis: strict discipline enforced upon the bodyguard. The δορυφόροι are called here and 58.6 em ikovpor because they were usually hired foreigners. See on πολλφ τφ περιόντι τοῦ ἀσφαλοῦς κατεκράτησε, καὶ οὐχ ὡς ἀδελφὸς νεώτερος ὧν ἠπόρησεν, ἐν ῷ οὐ πρότερον ξυνεχῶς ὡμιλήκει τῆ ἀρχῆ. Ἱππάρχφ δὲ ξυνέβη τοῦ πάθους τῆ 4 δυστυχία ὀνομασθέντα καὶ τὴν δόξαν τῆς τυραννίδος ἐς τὰ 20 ἔπειτα προσλαβεῖν.

56 Τὸν δ' οὖν 'Αρμόδιον ἀπαρνηθέντα τὴν πείρασιν, ὧσπερ 1 διενοεῖτο, προυπηλάκισεν· ἀδελφὴν γὰρ αὐτοῦ κόρην ἐπαγ-γείλαντες ἤκειν κανοῦν οἴσουσαν ἐν πομπῆ τινι ἀπήλασαν, λέγοντες οὐδὲ ἐπαγγεῖλαι τὴν ἀρχὴν διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀξίαν εἶναι.

1. 115, 18. — 16. πολλώ τῷ περιόντι . . . κατεκράτησε: with a great surplus of security (i.e. very easily) got the upper hand (of the conspirators). Cf. $\omega_s \tau \hat{\varphi}$ άσφαλει περισχήσων 5.7.13, and κατακρατείν πολλόν Hdt. 7. 168. 16. το περιόν = $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota o \upsilon \sigma \iota a$ from $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu a \iota$, abundare (diff. from 2. 79. 30 περιείναι, reliquum esse). —17. ἐν ὧ. . . τῆ ἀρχῆ: in which case he would not have been continuously used to the sovereignty. Ev & (of hypothetical case, as in 8, 86, 22) refers only to ωs άδελφὸς νεώτερος ων. For όμιλεῖν in this sense with dat., cf. 70.4. Cf. $\pi \rho o \sigma$ ομιλείν 1.122.6. For rare plpf. without άν, cf. Isae. 3. 10 δηλον γάρ ότι ὁ άδελφὸς αὐτὴν . . . ἐκδεδώκει.

18. τοῦ πάθους τῆ δυστυχία: pleonastic; for δυστυχία one would have expected ξυντυχία (see on 54. 2).—
19. δνομασθέντα: Schol. δνομαστον γενδμενον. The accus, as in 1.53.1; 7.74. 6.— καὶ τὴν δόξαν τῆς τυραννίδος: i.e. as he was often mentioned, as it were by consequence he obtained also the credit of being ruler.— ἐς τὰ ἔπειτα: in after times, for which is found elsewhere ἐς τὸ ἔπειτα 4.64.21; ἐς ἔπειτα 1.130.10; ἐς τὸν ἔπειτα χρόνον 3.114.13; τὰ ἔπειτα 5.26.29; τὸ ἔπειτα 4.107.2; 5.115.4; τὸν ἔπειτα χρόνον 4.45.9.

56. After Hipparchus had shamefully insulted the sister of Harmodius, Aristogeiton and Harmodius determine to carry out, with their fellow conspirators, at the great Panathenaea, their purpose to overthrow the tyranny.— 1. 8' ouv: after the digression resumes the narrative interrupted at 54. § 4. See on 1. 3. 19. — ἀπαρνηθέντα: cf. 54. § 4. — $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ διενοείτο : i.e. $\epsilon \nu \tau \rho \delta \pi \omega \tau \iota \nu l$ maiden sister. Cf. θυγάτηρ παρθένος Xen. Mem. 1. 5. 2; Cyrop. 4. 6. 9. — ἐπαγγείλαντες . . . άπήλασαν: transition from Hipparchus alone to himself and Hippias as arrangers of the procession. πομπή τις cannot refer to the Panathenaea since some time elapsed before that: περιέμενον Παναθήναια τὰ μεγάλα (8). Aristotle (18. § 2f.) places both the insult to the maiden and the murder of Hipparchus at the Panathenaea. --3. κανοῦν οἴσουσαν: this service at a festival was a great distinction, so that the rejection of the maiden was regarded as a bitter insult to the family. See E. Curtius, Arch. Zeitg., 1880, p. 27, = Gesam. Abhandlungen II, 286. - οὐδὲ τὴν ἀρχήν: not at all. See on 2. 74. 10. Schol. wrongly τούς άρχοντας. - διά τὸ μὴ άξίαν είναι: αί γάρ

5 χαλεπῶς δὲ ἐνεγκόντος τοῦ 'Αρμοδίου πολλῷ δὴ μᾶλλον 2 δι' ἐκεῖνον καὶ ὁ 'Αριστογείτων παρωξύνετο. καὶ αὐτοῖς τὰ μὲν ἄλλα πρὸς τοὺς ξυνεπιθησομένους τῷ ἔργῳ ἐπέπρακτο, περιέμενον δὲ Παναθήναια τὰ μεγάλα, ἐν ἢ μόνον ἡμέρᾳ οὐχ ὖποπτον ἐγίγνετο ἐν ὅπλοις τῶν πολιτῶν τοὺς τὴν 10 πομπὴν πέμψοντας ἀθρόους γενέσθαι· καὶ ἔδει ἄρξαι μὲν αὐτούς, ξυνεπαμύνειν δὲ εὐθὺς τὰ πρὸς τοὺς δορυφόρους ἐκείνους. ἦσαν δὲ οὐ πολλοὶ οἱ ξυνομωμοκότες ἀσφαλείας ἔνεκα· 3 ἤλπιζον γὰρ καὶ τοὺς μὴ προειδότας, εἰ καὶ ὁποσοιοῦν τολμήσειαν, ἐκ τοῦ παραχρῆμα, ἔχοντάς γε ὅπλα, ἐθελήσειν σφᾶς 15 ποτοὺς ξυνελευθεροῦν. καὶ ὡς ἐπῆλθεν ἡ ἑορτή, 'Ιππίας μὲν 1

κανηφόροι των άστων και των εύγενων ήσαν, Phot. s.v. κανηφόροι.

5. πολλφ δη μάλλον: as 8.89.8. Cf. 61.3.-6. autois . . . Επέπρακτο: the other arrangements had been made by them with their fellow conspirators. For πρός τούς ξυνεπιθησομένους πράσσειν, 800 on 1.131.7. For dat. with pass., see on 1. 125.6; 3. 64. 15. — 7. πρὸς τοὺς ξυνεπιθησομένους τῷ ἔργ \mathbf{w} : cf. έπιθέσθαι τ $\hat{\eta}$ πείρα 7. 42. 33; τοις τοιούτοις των έργων έπιτίθεσθαι Xen. Mem. 2.8.3.—8. Παναθήναια τὰ μεγάλα: celebrated in the last third of the month Hekatombaion (July-August) of the third year of the Olympiad. See A. Mommsen, Feste der Stadt Athen, pp. 47 ff., 148 ff.; A.. Willauer, Étude sur les Panathénées (Lausanne diss., 1899), pp. 20 ff.; Busolt, Gr. Gesch.2 II, 344 ff. The festival in question was that of Ol. 66, $3 = 514 \text{ B.c.} - \epsilon v \hat{\eta} \dots \hat{\eta} + \epsilon pq : \text{mani-}$ festly the chief day of the several days' festival, i.e. Hekatombaion 28, when the great procession occurred. This day is called h copth in 57.1. - 9. ev δπλοις: i.e. μετ' άσπίδος και δόρατος (58.

8). For the expression, cf. 61.13, 74.7; 8.69.13.—10. πέμψοντας: though of slight Mss. authority, required by the context for πέμψαντος.— ἄρξαι μὰν αὐτούς: that they (i.e. Aristogeiton and Harmodius) begin the attack upon Hippias and the lifeguard. ἐκείνους = τοὺς ξυνεπιθησομένους τῷ ἔργφ.—11. ξυνεπαμύνειν: elsewhere only in late writers.

—τὰ πρὸς τοὺς δορυφόρους: as to matters against the bodyguard. Schol. ἐς τὰ πρὸς τοὺς δορυφόρους. Cf. 4.85.28.

12. οὐ πολλοί: cf. Arist. 18. § 2 συνέβη . . . τὸν 'Αρμόδιον καὶ τὸν 'Αριστογείτονα πράττειν τὴν πρᾶξιν μετεχόντων πολλῶν, but Thuc.'s testimony is preferable.— ἔνεκα: the Mss. have οὕνεκα, found nowhere else in Thuc.— 13. εἰ καὶ ὁποσοιοῦν τολμήσειαν: if even ever so few dared the attack. Cf. ὁποσονοῦν 4. 37. 2.— 14. ἐκ τοῦ παραχρῆμα: at once, without long reflection or preparation.— ἐθελήσειν: will resolve.

57. During the preparations for the procession, the two leaders, suspecting that their plot had been betrayed to the tyrant and without waiting for their

έξω ἐν τῷ Κεραμεικῷ καλουμένῳ μετὰ τῶν δορυφόρων διεκόσμει ὡς ἔκαστα ἐχρῆν τῆς πομπῆς προιέναι · ὁ δὲ 'Αρμόδιος καὶ ὁ 'Αριστογείτων ἔχοντες ἤδη τὰ ἐγχειρίδια ἐς τὸ ἔργον τροῆσαν. καὶ ὡς εἶδόν τινα τῶν ξυνωμοτῶν σφίσι διαλεγόμε- 2 νον οἰκείως τῷ 'Ιππία (ἦν δὲ πᾶσιν εὐπρόσοδος ὁ 'Ιππίας), ἔδεισαν καὶ ἐνόμισαν μεμηνῦσθαί τε καὶ ὄσον οὐκ ἤδη ξυλληφθήσεσθαι. τὸν λυπήσαντα οὖν σφᾶς καὶ δι' ὄνπερ πάντα ἐκιν- 2 δύνευον ἐβούλοντο πρότερον, εἰ δύναιντο, προτιμωρήσασθαι, 10 καὶ ὤσπερ εἶχον ὤρμησαν ἔσω τῶν πυλῶν, καὶ περιέτυχον

accomplices, attack Hipparchus, who was some distance from his brother, and kill him. Harmodius is cut down immediately by Hipparchus' attendants; Aristogeiton escapes for the time, but later is captured and put to death. -1. Kal is: and when, vividly introducing the execution of the plan. - 2. 450 έν τῶ Κεραμεικῶ καλουμένω: see App. — $\mathbf{i} \boldsymbol{\xi} \boldsymbol{\omega}$: outside the city, as 49.12; 2. 15. 21, 18. 17; 3. 81. 7; 4. 84. 6, 106. 4. διεκόσμει, (5) προήσαν: the two impfs. in paratactic relation to each other: while the one was occupied, the others proceeded to the execution of the plot. προήσαν perhaps intentionally repeated after προιέναι: there ξκαστα της πομπης, here és τὸ ἔργον.

5. τῶν ξυνωμοτῶν σφίσι: = τῶν σφίσι ξυνομοσάντων. The const. of personal verbal substs. with the dat. is rarer than that of neuters (see Kr. Spr. 48, 12, 5; Kühner-Gerth II, 1, 426 f.), but not on that account to be rejected in Thuc. Cl. conjectures τῶν ξυνομωμοκότων σφίσι, comparing 56. 12.—6. οἰκείως: Schol. φιλικῶς.— εὐπρόσοδος: as personal characteristic also Xen. Ages. 9. 2. Cf. δυσπρόσοδος 1. 130. 10.—δ Ἱππίας: repeated perhaps to make clear

that τις (5) is not subject. Van H. brackets.—7. **?δεισαν**: became frightened (aor.).— μεμηνῦσθαι: with pers. subj. as 53.5 μεμηνυμένων.— δσον οὐκ: all but. See on 2.94.6. With ηδη also 8.96.13.

8. τον λυπήσαντα σφάς: i.e. Hipparchus. - 9. πρότερον προτιμωρήσασθαι: for similar pleonasms, see on 1. 23.21. $\pi \rho l \nu \xi \nu \lambda \lambda \eta \phi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota$ is to be supplied in thought (cf. 1. 20. 12). For the aor., adopted by St., Hude, Bm., see on 6. 3. All the Mss. except M read προτιμωρήσεσθαι, which Cl. (followed by Steup) would justify on the ground that through the intervening πρότερον, εί δύvairto (if in any way they could, before Hippias should seize them), έβούλοντο gets the force of ηλπιζον. προτιμωρείν occurs also 1.74.16; elsewhere only in late writers. -10. ώσπερ είχον: just as they were. See on 1, 134, 14, -- Tay πυλών: see App. on 2. - περιέτυχον τῷ Ἱππάρχω παρά τὸ Λεωκόριον καλούμενον κτέ.: for the Leocorium, see on 1. 20. 13. The statement there, $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ 'I π πάρχω περιτυχόντες περί το Λεωκόριον καλούμενον την Παναθηναϊκήν πομπην διακοσμοῦντι ἀπέκτειναν (cf. Arist. Ath. Pol. 18. § 3 τον μέν "Ιππαρχον διακοσμούντα την πομπην παρά το Λεωκόριον τῷ Ἱππάρχῳ παρὰ τὸ Λεωκόριον καλούμενον, ⟨καὶ⟩ εὐθὺς ἀπερισκέπτως προσπεσόντες καὶ ὡς ἄν μάλιστα δι' ὀργῆς, ὁ μὲν ἐρωτικῆς, ὁ δὲ ὑβρισμένος, ἔτυπτον καὶ ἀποκτείνουσιν αὐτόν. καὶ ὁ μὲν τοὺς δορυφόρους τὸ αὐτίκα διαφεύγει, ὁ ¼ 15 ᾿Αριστογείτων, ξυνδραμόντος τοῦ ὅχλου, καὶ ὕστερον ληφθεὶς οὐ ῥαδίως διετέθη· ʿΑρμόδιος δὲ αὐτοῦ παραχρῆμα ἀπόλ-58 λυται. ἀγγελθέντος δὲ Ἱππία ἐς τὸν Κεραμεικὸν οὐκ ἐπὶ τὸ 1 γενόμενον ἀλλ' ἐπὶ τοὺς πομπέας τοὺς ὁπλίτας, πρότερον ἣ

ἀπέκτειναν), is prob. best brought into agreement with the opening of the present chapter (καὶ ως έπηλθεν ή έορτή, 'Ιππίας μέν . . . διεκόσμει κτέ.) by assuming that both brothers were arranging the procession, the one outside in the Ceramicus, the other in the region of the Agora. Aristotle (18.§3) makes Hippias await the procession on the Acropolis. — 11. (καί) εὐθὺς κτέ.: καί, adopted by nearly all editors without Mss. authority, is necessary unless one accepts Badham's bold conjecture περιτυχόντες. A third καί introducing the account of the actual assault accords well with the haste of Harmodius and Aristogeiton (ωσπερ είχον ωρμησαν, εὐθὺς ἀπερισκέπτως προσπεσόντες), whereas by an adversative (εὐθὺς δ'), as Hude and Marchant write, after F. Portus, the separate occurrences, which are all the consequence of έβούλοντο πρότερον προτιμωρήσασθαι, are too much torn apart. Since kal occurs several times in quick succession and κα λούμενον immediately precedes, it might easily have dropped out. — 12. ἀπερισκέπτως: cf. ή άλόγιστος τόλμα 59.2. The word occurs also 65.4; 4.10.4, 108.23; elsewhere only in late writers. — ώς αν μάλιστα δι' όργης: (sc. προσπέσοιεν, cf. 1. 33. 5) in extreme wrath, the cause of

which in Aristogeiton is expressed by the adj. έρωτικής, in Harmodius by the ptc. ὑβρισμένος. See on 59.1.—13. ἔτυπτον: the impf. indicating repeated blows, the pres. (ἀποκτείνουσιν) expressing the result.

14. τὸ αὐτίκα: for the moment. See on 4. 107. 2.— ὁ 'Αριστογείτων: added by way of explanation, as 2. 29. 12; 7. 57. 28.— 15. ξυνδραμόντος τοῦ ὅχλου: not to assist him; but he availed himself of the confusion to escape.— ληφθείς: i.e. by the pursuing δορυφόροι.— 16. οὐ ῥαδίως διετέθη: was handled not softly, i.e. cruelly killed (οὐ ῥαδίως, κακῶς Hesych. and Phot.). He was tortured for the names of his accomplices, acc. to Arist. 18. § 4 ff., Polyaen. 1. 22, Justin. 2. 9, etc.

58. Hippias, informed of what had occurred, promptly takes precautions for his own safety and for the punishment of the guilty.—1. ἀγγελθέντος: one of the rare instances of the abs. impers. ptc. in gen. for acc. See on 1. 74.1. GMT. 849.—ἐς τὸν Κεραμεικόν: see App. on 57.2.—οὐκ ἐπὶ τὸ γενόμενον: indicating his great self-control, as does also ἀδήλως τἢ δψει πλασάμενος πρὸς τὴν ξυμφοράν (4).—2. τοὺς πομπέας: only here in the sense of τοὺς τὴν πομπὴν πέμψοντας (56.9). The words

αἰσθέσθαι αὐτοὺς ἄπωθεν ὄντας, εὐθὺς ἐχώρησε, καὶ ἀδήλως τἢ ὄψει πλασάμενος πρὸς τὴν ξυμφορὰν ἐκέλευσεν αὐτούς, 5 δείξας τι χωρίον, ἀπελθεῖν ἐς αὐτὸ ἄνευ τῶν ὅπλων. καὶ οἱ 2 μὲν ἀπεχώρησαν οἰόμενοί τι ἐρεῖν αὐτόν, ὁ δὲ τοῖς ἐπικούροις φράσας τὰ ὅπλα ὑπολαβεῖν ἐξελέγετο εὐθὺς οὓς ἐπητιᾶτο καὶ εἴ τις ηὑρέθη ἐγχειρίδιον ἔχων · μετὰ γὰρ ἀσπίδος καὶ δόρατος εἰώθεσαν τὰς πομπὰς ποιεῖν.

59 Τοιούτω μεν τρόπω δι' έρωτικήν λύπην ή τε άρχή της 1

τοὺς ὁπλίτας (which v. H. brackets), added in explanation, give the essential motive for his subsequent action.— πρότερον ή: with aor. inf., as 1.69.21; 2.40.11; 8.45.20; ὕστερον ή 4.11.— 3. ἄπωθεν: with εἶναι as 4.67.8.— ἀδήλως... πρὸς τὴν ξυμφοράν: dissembling in look in the presence of the calamity so that no one observed (ἀδήλως). For πρὸς τὴν ξυμφοράν, see on 40.12.— 5. δείξας τι χωρίον: as 1.87.8.

6. ἀπεχώρησαν: Pp.'s correction of άνεχώρησαν, in accordance with ἐκέλευσεν αὐτούς . . . ἀπελθεῖν. — οἰόμενοί τι έρειν αὐτόν: they suspected nothing, for it was customary for soldiers to hear unarmed the address of the leader. Cf. Polyaen. 1. 21. 2. — 7. **dpágas**: = κελεύσας, as 3.15.5. — ὑπολαβεῖν: tocarry away secretly. See on 1.68.18. - εξελέγετο: picked out, i.e. for execution. Cf. 4. 74. 12. — ούς ἐπητιᾶτο: whom he held guilty, knowing their disaffection. — 8. kal et tis . . . exwv: and besides any one who was found with a dagger; for shield and spear belonged to the procession. Hence the words μετά γάρ άσπίδος . . . πομπάς ποιείν, omitted by v. H., St., and others, are well motived. ποιείν (for ποιείσθαι) is not ground for suspicion, since Thuc. uses the act. esp. of festal arrange-

ments (see on 2.15.17). Here, too, woiciv is used of ordering and preparing, not of the execution of the procession. Doubtless in view of Thuc.'s representation of Hippias' bearing immediately after the murder of Hipparchus, Aristotle says (18. § 4) οὐ γὰρ ἐδύναντο παραχρημα λαβείν οὐδεν έχνος της πράξεως. άλλ' ὁ λεγόμενος λόγος, ώς ὁ Ίππίας άποστήσας άπὸ τῶν ὅπλων τοὺς πομπεύοντας έφώρασε τούς τὰ έγχειρίδια έχοντας, ούκ άληθής έστιν ου γάρ ξπεμπον τότε μεθ' ὅπλων, άλλ' ὕστερον τοῦτο κατεσκεύασεν δ δημος. But in spite of the definiteness of this statement, it is prob. that Thuc, and not Aristotle is right. See Busolt, Gr. Gesch.² II, 53 and 382 ff., and E. Meyer, Gesch. d. Alt. II, 775, 795.

59. Hippias ruled three years longer, more harshly than before, until he was driven from Athens. He then betook himself to Sigeum and Lampsacus, and from there to King Darius. Later he accompanied the expedition of the Persians to Marathon.—1. δι ἐρωτικὴν λύπην: i.e. on account of pain occasioned by a love affair. The phrase forms, as St. rightly explains against Cl. and some others, together with 'Αρμοδίφ... ἐγένετο the pred. to both following subjects (η τε ἀρχὴ... τόλμα

ἐπιβουλῆς καὶ ἡ ἀλόγιστος τόλμα ἐκ τοῦ παραχρῆμα περιδεοῦς Ἡρμοδίφ καὶ Ἡριστογείτονι ἐγένετο. τοῖς δ' Ἀθηναίοις 2 χαλεπωτέρα μετὰ τοῦτο ἡ τυραννὶς κατέστη, καὶ ὁ Ἱππίας 5 διὰ φόβου ἦδη μᾶλλον ὢν τῶν τε πολιτῶν πολλοὺς ἔκτεινε καὶ πρὸς τὰ ἔξω ἄμα διεσκοπεῖτο, εἴ ποθεν ἀσφάλειάν τινα ὁρῷη μεταβολῆς γενομένης ὑπάρχουσάν οἱ. Ἱππόκλου γοῦν τοῦ 3 Λαμψακηνοῦ τυράννου Αἰαντίδη τῷ παιδὶ θυγατέρα ἐαυτοῦ μετὰ ταῦτα Ἡρχεδίκην, Ἡθηναῖος ὢν Λαμψακηνῷ, ἔδωκεν, 10 αἰσθανόμενος αὐτοὺς μέγα παρὰ βασιλεῖ Δαρείω δύνασθαι.

έκ τοῦ . . . περιδεοῦς). With this view of the sentence we have here a conclusion in accordance with the introductory words (54, 1 τὸ γὰρ ᾿Αριστογείτονος . . . $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota \rho \eta \theta \eta$) of the discussion of the undertaking of Harmodius and Aristogeiton. St. points out further that in the account of the τόλμημα of Harmodius and Aristogeiton it is expressly stated (57. § 3) τον λυπήσαντα οὖν σφᾶς καὶ δι' ὄνπερ πάντα ἐκινδύνευον ἐβούλοντο πρότερον, εί δύναιντο, προτιμωρήσασθαι. If one keeps these words in mind along with what is said about the concocting of the conspiracy (54. § 3 ὁ δὲ ἐρωτικῶς περιαλγήσας . . . ἐπιβουλεύει εὐθύς . . . κατάλυσιν $\tau \hat{\eta}$ τυραννίδι), there can be no doubt that Thuc., in this concluding and summarizing sentence, traces not only the concoction of the plot but the murder of Hipparchus to the pangs of love. έρωτικός is here used in a somewhat more general sense than in 54.11, where in contrast with the anger of Harmodius on account of insult mention is made of the έρωτική δργή (i.e. rage inflamed by jealousy) of Aristogeiton. — 2. ή άλόγιστος τόλμα: as 3. 82.24.— ἐκ τοῦ παραχρήμα περιδεοῦς: to be closely connected with τόλμα though

the art, is not repeated (see on 55.4; 1.51.11). The phrase τὸ παραχρήμα περιδεές occurs also 8.1.29. As to Thuc.'s use of neut. ptcs. and adjs. for abstract nouns, see on 1.36.3, and C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, 75 ff.

γοῦν: at any rate, introducing an instance (ξδωκεν) in confirmation of the general διεσκοπεῖτο, i.e. "among other things" he concluded this alliance, although not esp. brilliant for a ruler of Athens ('Αθηναῖος ῶν Λαμψακηνῦ).—10. αἰσθανόμενος: with unusual dependent inf. for ptc. Cf. 5. 4. 24.—αὐτούς: i.e. Hippocles and Aeantides.

καὶ αὐτῆς σῆμα ἐν Λαμψάκῳ ἐστὶν ἐπίγραμμα ἔχον τόδε ἀνδρὸς ἀριστεύσαντος ἐν Ἑλλάδι τῶν ἐφ' ἑαυτοῦ Ἱππίου ᾿Αρχεδίκην ἥδε κέκευθε κόνις ἡ πατρός τε καὶ ἀνδρὸς ἀδελφῶν τ' οὖσα τυράννων παίδων τ' οὖκ ἦρθη νοῦν ἐς ἀτασθαλίην.

τυραννεύσας δὲ ἔτη τρία Ἱππίας ἔτι ᾿Αθηναίων καὶ παυθεὶς 4 ἐν τῷ τετάρτῳ ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων καὶ ᾿Αλκμεωνιδῶν τῶν φευγόντων ἐχώρει ὑπόσπονδος ἔς τε Σίγειον καὶ παρ᾽ Αἰαντίδην ἐς Λάμψακον, ἐκεῖθεν δὲ ὡς βασιλέα Δαρεῖον, ὅθεν καὶ 20 ὁρμώμενος ἐς Μαραθῶνα ὕστερον ἔτει εἰκοστῷ ἤδη γέρων ὧν μετὰ Μήδων ἐστράτευσεν.

— μέγα παρά βασιλει δύνασθαι: cf. 2. 29. 3.—11. ἐπίγραμμα: from Simonides, acc. to Arist. Rhet. 1. 9 (p. 32, 24 Bk.), who cites the third verse. Cf. Bergk, Poet. Lyr. Gr. (Simon. frg. 111).—12. τῶν ἐψ΄ ἐαντοῦ: of the men of his own time (with ἀριστεύσαντος).—13. Ἱππίον: sc. θυγατέρα.—14. ἀδελφῶν: of these only the Peisistratus mentioned 54. 26 is known. Müller-Strübing, Aristoph. p. 541 f., conjectures that the historian Thucydides was descended, on the father's side, from this Archedice, daughter of Hippias.

15

17. ἐν τῷ τετάρτῳ: Ol. 67, 3; 510 B.C.

— ὑπὸ Λακεδαιμονίων καὶ ᾿Αλκμεωνιδῶν τῶν φευγόντων: the former led by Cleomenes; the latter had been in banishment since the secure establishment of the tyranny of Peisistratus (Ol. 60, 1; 540 B.C.). On the matter, see 53. § 3; Hdt. 5. 62 ff.; Arist. 19.—18. ὑπόσπονδος: under safe conduct, as 88. 50. Cf. Hdt. 5. 65.— ἐς Σίγειον: which the

Athenians had conquered under the rule of Peisistratus (Hdt. 5.94) or earlier.—19. 86ev: i.e. from the court of Darius. See on 21.11.

60. Recalling what happened after the murder of Hipparchus and the manner of the expulsion of Hippias, the Demos of Athens was greatly excited and many arrests were made. One of the suspects made a confession about the mutilation of the Hermae. He was set free, but his alleged accomplices were executed after a short trial. — 1. ພ້າ ຂ້າຍນຸມວນ໌ມຂາວຣ $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$.: the rel. referring to the details given 59. § 2 ff.; the narrative is resumed where it was broken off at 53. § 3. Cf. similar transition at 1. 42. 1. - 2. ἀκοῆ ἡπίστατο: repeated from 53. 15. - χαλεπὸς ἦν: as 8.1.4. — και ὑπόπτης ἐς τοὺς . . . λαβόντας: cf. 53. 10. ὑπόπτης is rare; cf. Soph. Phil. 136. The Schol. remarks τους ιππους φασί τους ταρασσομένους ὑπόπτας λέγεσθαι, and so Xen. De ύπόπτης ές τοὺς περὶ τῶν μυστικῶν τὴν αἰτίαν λαβόντας, καὶ πάντα αὐτοῖς ἐδόκει ἐπὶ ξυνωμοσία ὀλιγαρχικῆ καὶ τυραννικῆ πεπρᾶχθαι. καὶ ὡς αὐτῶν διὰ τὸ τοιοῦτον ὀργι- 2 ζομένων πολλοί τε καὶ ἀξιόλογοι ἄνθρωποι ἤδη ἐν τῷ δεσμωτηρίῳ ἦσαν καὶ οὐκ ἐν παύλη ἐφαίνετο, ἀλλὰ καθ ἡμέραν ἐπεδίδοσαν μᾶλλον ἐς τὸ ἀγριώτερόν τε καὶ πλείους ἔτι ξυλλαμβάνειν, ἐνταῦθα ἀναπείθεται εῖς τῶν δεδεμένων, ὅσπερ ἐδό10 κει αἰτιώτατος εἶναι, ὑπὸ τῶν ξυνδεσμωτῶν τινος εἴτε ἄρα καὶ τὰ ὄντα μηνῦσαι εἴτε καὶ οὖ ἐπ' ἀμφότερα γὰρ εἰκάζεται, τὸ δὲ σαφὲς οὐδεὶς οὖτε τότε οὖτε ὖστερον ἔχει εἰπεῖν περὶ τῶν

Re Equestri 3. 9 τοὺς ὑπόπτας φύσει (ἴππους) φυλακτέον. Steup thinks that Thuc. must have alluded here also to the processes for the mutilation of the Hermae (cf. 53. § 1 f. and c. 60), hence that some words must have dropped out after μυστικών, prob. και τούς περί τών 'Ερμών (cf. 53.9), or only και των Ερμών (Stein). - 4. πάντα αὐτοῖς . . . πεπράχθαι: cf. 53. 18 πάντα ὑπόπτως ἐλάμβανε, and 27. 10 έπι ξυνωμοσία νεωτέρων πραγμάτων καὶ δήμου καταλύσεως γεγενήσθαι.έπλ ξυνωμοσία: in consequence of a conspiracy, as 27. 10. - kal tupavvikā: for such fears on the part of the demos, and esp. with regard to Alcibiades, see 15. § 4.

7. οὐκ ἐν παύλη ἐφαίνετο: there seemed to be no end of it (the subj. το πράγμα to be supplied from the context; see on 46.22). Cf. 4.73. 12 οὐκ ἀν ἐν τύχη γίγνεσθαι σφίσιν, and (of a person) 3.33. 13 οὐκέτι ἐν καταλήψει ἐφαίνετο. — καθ' ἡμέραν ἐπεδίδοσαν: as 7.8.2 (of things and without μάλλον).—8. ἐς τὸ ἀγριώτερον: i.e. to even greater rage and embitterment. Cf. ἐπὶ τὸ μεῖζον 8.24.22. — καὶ πλείους ἔτι ξυλλαμβάνειν: and so that

even more persons were arrested. For ès τό with inf. after ἐπιδιδόναι, cf. 8.83.7. -9. ἐνταῦθα: introducing the decisive result. Cf. 5. 65. 23, 69. 2; ἐνταῦθα δή 8. 53. 20; ἐνταῦθα ἤδη 4. 35. 4. — εἰς τών δεδεμένων: namely, the orator Andocides, who gives his account of the whole matter in his speech De Mysteriis, esp. § 48 ff. The one who persuaded him was, acc. to Andocides, his cousin Charmides; acc. to Plut. Alcib. 21, it was Timaeus. — 10. εἴτε ἄρα καὶ τὰ ὄντα εἴτε καὶ οῦ: whether after all true or not, the words of the author not of the persuader, anticipating the confession; and to these words refers the following remark έπ' ἀμφότερα γὰρ εἰκάζεται κτέ., for conjectures are divided. For the form of the expression, cf. 5. 65.9. apa emphasizes the doubt or uncertainty. Cf. Plato, Phaed. 70 c okeψώμεθα είτε άρα έν "Αιδου είσιν αι ψυχαί είτε και ου (Kr.). For the force of και, see Kr. Spr. 69, 32, 13. $\tau \dot{a} \ \delta \nu \tau a = \tau \dot{a}$ \dot{a} ληθη̂. ου, sc. τὰ δντα. — 11. τὸ σαφές: ef. l. 19; 61. 3; 3. 29. 7. — 12. oute tote ούτε ύστερον έχει είπειν: for the zeugma, cf. 1.86.7; 3.40.5. Kr. Spr. 62, 4, 2.

δρασάντων τὸ ἔργον. λέγων δὲ ἔπεισεν αὐτὸν ὡς χρή, εἰ μὴ καὶ 8 δέδρακεν, αὐτόν τε ἄδειαν ποιησάμενον σῶσαι καὶ τὴν πόλιν 15 τῆς παρούσης ὑποψίας παῦσαι : βεβαιοτέραν γὰρ αὐτῷ σωτηρίαν εἶναι ὁμολογήσαντι μετ' ἀδείας ἢ ἀρνηθέντι διὰ δίκης ἐλθεῖν. καὶ ὁ μὲν αὐτός τε καθ' ἐαυτοῦ καὶ κατ' ἄλλων μηνύει ἐ τὸ τῶν Ἑρμῶν · ὁ δὲ δῆμος ὁ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἄσμενος λαβών, ὡς ῷετο, τὸ σαφὲς καὶ δεινὸν ποιούμενοι πρότερον, εἰ τοὺς 20 ἐπιβουλεύοντας σφῶν τῷ πλήθει μὴ εἴσονται, τὸν μὲν μηνυτὴν εὐθὺς καὶ τοὺς ἄλλους μετ' αὐτοῦ ὅσων μὴ κατηγορήκει ἔλυσαν, τοὺς δὲ καταιτιαθέντας κρίσεις ποιήσαντες τοὺς μὲν ἀπέκτειναν ὅσοι ξυνελήφθησαν, τῶν δὲ διαφυγόντων θάνατον καταγνόντες ἐπανεῖπον ἀργύριον τῷ ἀποκτείναντι. κὰν τούτῷ δ οἱ μὲν παθόντες ἄδηλον ἢν εἰ ἀδίκως ἐτετιμώρηντο, ἡ μέντοι ἄλλη πόλις ἐν τῷ παρόντι περιφανῶς ώφέλητο.

13. λέγων δὲ ἔπεισεν αὐτὸν ώς χρή, κτέ.: epexegetical of άναπείθεται κτέ. (9), for he persuaded him, representing that, etc. — εί μη και δέδρακεν: if he had not actually done it. The order puts stronger emphasis on the word following καί, as in 2. 11. 27, εί μη καὶ νῦν ώρμηνται. - 14. άδειαν ποιησάμενον: here of obtaining immunity, as άδειαν ποιείν TIVI (3. 58. 11; 8. 76. 34) of granting immunity. Informers were assured of immunity by the state. Cf. Andoc. § 20; Plut. Alcib. 21. - 16. διά δίκης έλθειν: really opposed to δμολογήσαντι μετ' άδείas, so that έλθόντι was to be expected. The irregularity is due to striving after parallelism of clauses. See Einleitung4, p. lxviii. For the idiom διά δίκης έλθεῖν, to undergo trial, cf. Soph. Ant. 742 ώ παγκάκιστε διά δίκης ιων πατρί. Cf. also διά μάχης ιέναι (2.11.13; Hdt. 6.9. 22), διά φιλίας ίέναι (Xen. Anab. 3. 2. 8).

17. καὶ ὁ μὲν . . . ὁ δὲ δημος: con-

tinuance of the foregoing (kal, and the consequence was), with the usual transition to the separate facts. Cf. 1. 19. 1. -18. ὁ τῶν 'Αθηναίων: not necessary' after l. 1, but hardly to be bracketed, with Kr. - 19. ώς φετο: referring to τδ σαφές.—δεινὸν ποιούμενοι: (ptc. impf.) transition to pl.; cf. 53.17. The expression in Thuc. only here and 1. 102. 17. See note there. — 20. σφῶν τῷ πλήθει: the pron. gen. thus placed with almost the effect of dat, of interest, See on 1.30. 14.-22. Kploeis moihoantes: after holding some trials therefor. - 24. ἐπανεῖπον άργύριον τῷ ἀποκτείναντι: i.e. besides sentence of death they offered a reward to the slayer. Cf. ἀνειπεῖν 2.2.26; 4.105. 11. The compound is rare. For the force of $\epsilon \pi \iota$, cf. 1. 70. 8; 2. 65, 48; 3. 57. 6.

25. οἱ μὲν παθόντες: placed for emphasis before the conj. (εl); see on 14. 10.5.—ἡ μέντοι ἄλλη πόλις... ὡψέλητο: Schol. οὐ γὰρ ὑπώπτευον ἔτι ἀλλήλους.

61 Περὶ δὲ τοῦ ᾿Αλκιβιάδου, ἐναγόντων τῶν ἐχθρῶν οἴπερ 1 καὶ πρὶν ἐκπλεῖν αὐτὸν ἐπέθεντο, χαλεπῶς οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐλάμβανον καὶ ἐπειδὴ τὸ τῶν Ἑρμῶν ῷοντο σαφὲς ἔχειν, πολὺ δὴ μᾶλλον καὶ τὰ μυστικά, ὧν ἐπαίτιος ἦν, μετὰ τοῦ αὐτοῦ ὁλόγου καὶ τῆς ξυνωμοσίας ἐπὶ τῷ δήμῳ ἀπ᾽ ἐκείνου ἐδόκει πραχθῆναι. καὶ γάρ τις καὶ στρατιὰ Λακεδαιμονίων οὐ πολλὴ ² ἔτυχε κατὰ τὸν καιρὸν τοῦτον, ἐν ῷ περὶ ταῦτα ἐθορυβοῦντο,

61. Against Alcibiades, however, there was the more suspicion about the profanation of the mysteries, as a Lacedaemonian army had drawn near the Attic frontier, and in Argos too there were suspicions of hostility to the Demos. Obeying the summons of the Salaminia he set off for Athens; but at Thurii he slipped away and betook himself to Peloponnesus, and in consequence was at Athens condemned to death in absentia. — 1. ἐναγόντων: urging them on, obj. to be supplied, as in 7.18.3; 8.26.4; obj. expressed 4. 21. 8.—τῶν ἐχθρῶν: among whom Plut. Alcib. 19, 22, mentions esp. Androcles and Thessalus, son of Cimon. - 2. ἐπέθεντο: cf. Μέλητός μοι ἐπέθετο Plato, Apol. 23 E. For the matter, cf. 28. § 2. The aor, here with force of plpf, as in 67. 11. - χαλεπώς . . . ἐλάμβανον: (sc. $\tau \delta \pi \rho \hat{a} \gamma \mu a$, as 27. 9), took the matter hard about Alcibiades, i.e. were full of anger and fear. For the idiom, cf. 27. 9, 53. 18.-3. ἐπειδὴ τὸ . . . σαφὲς ἔχειν : cf. 60. 19. For the free const. of the gen. τὸ τῶν Ερμῶν σαφές, the actual facts about the Hermae, cf. 4.84.5 τοῦ κάρπου τὸ δέος. - πολύ δη μάλλον: vividly emphasizing, as πολλφ δη μάλλον 56. 5; 8. 89. 8. μᾶλλον, i.e. than before, when in reference to the mutilation of the Hermae also they had only conjectures to

go on. Cf. 60. 4 f. - 4. ων ἐπαίτιος ἡν: cf. 28. 5 ων και τον Άλκιβιάδην έπητιώντο. Alcibiades was not accused of mutilating the Hermae. - μετά τοῦ αὐτοῦ λόγου και της ξυνωμοσίας έπι τῷ δήμφ: with the same intent, that is, of conspiracy against the Demos. If ral is retained, it must be explanatory = τοῦτ' ἔστι or δηλονότι (Kistemacher, Dobree); so Bl. and Heilmann render. Poppo says,"kal potest et quidem valere." Steup renders, "for the same reason and in consequence of the conspiracy against the Demos," explaining that the reason consisted in the conspiracy, which is added with kal. This gets at the right sense, though it is doubtful if the Greek will bear that translation. Dobree, Kr., and Cl. are inclined to strike out καί. Stahl brackets και της ξυνωμοσίας, which Bm. approves. Van H. brackets και της ξυνωμοσίας έπι τῷ δήμφ. - 5. της ξυνωμοσίας: the art. because of the mention in 60. 4. — ἐπὶ τῷ δήμφ: for $\epsilon \pi l$ with dat. in hostile sense, cf. 79.3, and see on 3.63.8. — ἀπ' ἐκείνου πραχθήναι: so άπό freq. with πράσσεσθαι and λέγεσθαι. See on 1.17.4.

6. και γάρ και: for also, in further support of πολύ δη μάλλον (3). Cf. 103. 18; 4.108.18; 8.64.13.—7. ἐθορυβοῦντο: were in great excitement. Cf. 3.22. 30; 4.113.2.—8. παρελθοῦντα: Hude

μέχρι 'Ισθμοῦ παρελθοῦσα πρὸς Βοιωτούς τι πράσσοντες.
ἐδόκει οὖν ἐκείνου πράξαντος καὶ οὐ Βοιωτῶν ἔνεκα ἀπὸ
10 ξυνθήματος ἤκειν, καὶ εἰ μὴ ἔφθασαν δὴ αὐτοὶ κατὰ τὸ
μήνυμα ξυλλαβόντες τοὺς ἄνδρας, προδοθῆναι ᾶν ἡ πόλις.
καί τινα μίαν νύκτα καὶ κατέδαρθον ἐν Θησείω τῷ ἐν πόλει
ἐν ὅπλοις. οἴ τε ξένοι τοῦ 'Αλκιβιάδου οἱ ἐν Αργει κατὰ τὸν 8
αὐτὸν χρόνον ὑπωπτεύθησαν τῷ δήμω ἐπιτίθεσθαι · καὶ τοὺς
15 ὁμήρους τῶν 'Αργείων τοὺς ἐν ταῖς νήσοις κειμένους οἱ 'Αθη-
ναῖοι τότε παρέδοσαν τῷ 'Αργείων δήμω διὰ ταῦτα διαχρήσα-
σθαι. πανταχόθεν τε περιεστήκει ὑποψία ἐς τὸν 'Αλκιβιάδην. 4
ὥστε βουλόμενοι αὐτὸν ἐς κρίσιν ἀγαγόντες ἀποκτεῖναι πέμ-
πουσιν οὔτω τὴν Σαλαμινίαν ναῦν ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν ἐπί τε

unnecessarily changes, with Badham, το προελθούσα. - πρός Βοιωτούς τι πράσ-GOVTES: in agreement with the Boeotians. Cf. 1. 131. 7; 3. 28. 11. For pl. ptc. after στρατιά, cf. 60. 19. Nothing further is known about the matter. Andocides (§ 45) states that Boeotian troops had approached the Attic border, but puts this advance before his confession, whereas acc. to Thuc. it was after that (cf. 10 el μη . . . τους ανδρας). - 9. ἐκείνου πράξαντος: at his instigation.— ἀπὸ ξυνθήματος: on agreement. Cf. 4. 67. 20; 7. 22. 4. - 10. 84: in emphatic assurance, not without an ironical touch, as Thuc, does not conceal the exaggeration in the whole matter. — 11. τοὺς ἄνδρας: i.e. τούς καταιτιαθέντας (60. 22).—12. καί τινα μίαν νύκτα κτέ.: doubtless also in the sense remarked at $\delta \eta$; extraordinary precautions were taken. For Tiva μίαν, cf. 34.11, 77.9. - κατέδαρθον: of an unusual way of passing the night, 800 on 4. 113. 4. - ἐν Θησείω τῶ ἐν πόλει: έν πόλει (without art.) as έξω πόλεως 8. 67.8. Andocides (§ 45) gives a different assignment of watch-posts: for ἐν ἀστει οἰκοῦντες the Agora, and for those ἐν μακρῷ τεἰχει the Theseum; but he refers to events of a somewhat earlier time. The site of the Theseum in the city is not exactly known. It was long wrongly supposed to be the so-called Theseum, still well preserved, north of the Areopagus, but see C. Wachsmuth, Athenai, in Pauly-Wissowa's Realency klopädie, Suppl. I, 181.—13. ἐν ὅπλοις: see on 56, 9.

14. ὑπωπτεύθησαν: came under suspicion (aor.). — τοὺς ὁμήρους τῶν 'Αργείων: the 300 men mentioned 5. 84. 4. — 15. κειμένους: pf. ptc. of κατέθεντο (5. 84. 4). Cf. 5. 61. 19.—16. διὰ ταῦτα: Schol. ὡς οἰκείους δντας τῶν τοῦ 'Αλκιβιάδου ξένων. — διαχρήσασθαι: to destroy, as 1. 126. 37; 3. 36. 15. For inf. of purpose after παρέδοσαν, cf. 1. 58. 15; 3. 36. 23; 4. 36. 4.

17. πανταχόθεντε: for τε in résumé, Thuc.'s constant usage, see on 3.40.16.

—19. ούτω: referring to βουλόμενοι κτέ. See on 1.37.4; 3.96.8.— ἐπ' ἐκείνον

20 ἐκεῖνον καὶ ὧν πέρι ἄλλων ἐμεμήνυτο. εἴρητο δὲ προειπεῖν δ αὐτῷ ἀπολογησομένῳ ἀκολουθεῖν, ξυλλαμβάνειν δὲ μή, θεραπεύοντες τό τε πρὸς τοὺς ἐν τῆ Σικελίᾳ στρατιώτας τε σφετέρους καὶ πολεμίους μὴ θορυβεῖν καὶ οὐχ ἤκιστα τοὺς Μαντινέας καὶ ᾿Αργείους βουλόμενοι παραμεῖναι, δι᾽ ἐκείνου 25 νομίζοντες πεισθῆναι σφίσι ξυστρατεύειν. καὶ ὁ μὲν ἔχων 6 τὴν ἑαυτοῦ ναῦν καὶ οἱ ξυνδιαβεβλημένοι ἀπέπλεον μετὰ τῆς Σαλαμινίας ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας ὡς ἐς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας · καὶ ἐπειδὴ ἐγένοντο ἐν Θουρίοις, οὐκέτι ξυνείποντο, ἀλλ᾽ ἀπελθόντες ἀπὸ τῆς νεὼς οὐ φανεροὶ ἦσαν, δείσαντες τὸ ἐπὶ διαβολῆ ἐς δίκην 30 καταπλεῦσαι. οἱ δ᾽ ἐκ τῆς Σαλαμινίας τέως μὲν ἐζήτουν τὸν τος καταπλεῦσαι. οἱ δ᾽ ἐκ τῆς Σαλαμινίας τέως μὲν ἐζήτουν τὸν τος καταπλεῦσαι. οἱ δ᾽ ἐκ τῆς Σαλαμινίας τέως μὲν ἐζήτουν τὸν τος καταπλεῦσαι. οἱ δ᾽ ἐκ τῆς Σαλαμινίας τέως μὲν ἐζήτουν τὸν τος καταπλεῦσαι. οἱ δ᾽ ἐκ τῆς Σαλαμινίας τέως μὲν ἐζήτουν τὸν τος καταπλεῦσαι.

κτέ.: cf. 53.§ 1. For ἐκεῖτον after αὐτόν, cf. 35, 36.16; and see on 1.132.31.—
20. καὶ ὧν πέρι ἄλλων: i.e. καὶ ἐπὶ τοὐς ἄλλους περὶ ὧν.

προειπείν: announce publicly. See on 1.29.3.—21. θεραπεύοντες: as if ειρήκεσαν, not είρητο, had preceded. For similar anacolutha, see on 2.53.13.—22. 76 τε πρός τούς έν τῆ Σικελία . . . μή θορυ**βείν** κτέ.: St.'s explanation seems best: curantes ne apud milites in Sicilia suos et hostiles turbas excitarent. i.e. curantes ne vehementer commoverent suorum animos irritantes, hostium erigentes. On θεραπεύοντες depends τὸ μη θορυβείν, to which belongs πρός τούς . . . πολεμίους. τε is placed as if not βουλόμενοι, but a second clause dependent on θεραπεύοντες, were to follow. For the irregular order, cf. 15. 6. With θεραπεύοντες Kr. construes τὸ πρὸς τοὺς ἐν τῆ Σικελία, to which στρατιώτας τε . . . πολεμίους is appos., and μη θορυβείν epexegetical. Steup cites 29. 10 (which all the above explanations overlook) δ τε δημος μη μαλακίζηται, θεραπεύων ὅτι δι' ἐκεῖνον οἴ τ' ᾿Αργεῖοι ξυνεστράτευον και των Μαντινέων τινές,

acc, to which θεραπεύοντες would be taken abs., meaning here conciliating him, and βουλόμενοι govern the first inf. clause as well as its own, with $\tau \epsilon$ in its proper place. - τους έν τῆ Σικελία . . . πολεmlovs: for the single art. with the two very different substs., cf. 1, 6, 1; 2, 35. 19; 3.56.7.—23. τοὺς Μαντινέας καλ Apyelous: cf. 29. § 3 and 43.—25, orblor: Lindau's conjecture, adopted by Bk., St., Hude, Bm., and others, for the impossible $\sigma \phi \hat{a}s$ of the Mss. Cl. explains $\sigma \phi \hat{a} = a \dot{v} \tau o \dot{v}$ "with strong emphasis of the pron.," as also 5.49.6. Steup suspects opas in both passages as an awkward gloss.

26. την έαυτοῦ ναῦν: cf. 50. 2.—
27. ὡς ἐς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας: as if for Athens.
— 28. ἐν Θουρίοις: in the territory of the Thurians; for Thuc. uses Θούριοι only of the inhabitants (104.21; 7.33.27, 35. 2, 57.58; 8.84.3). On the other hand the city is ἡ Θουρία (34, 88.48, 104.12; 7.33.24, 30); and the district ἡ Θουρία γῆ (7.35.6).— 29. ἐπὶ διαβολῆ: on a false charge. Cf. 3.67.33; 5.90.6.

30. of ik the Salamivias: the usual prolepsis. See on 7.13. — ties miv: of

'Αλκιβιάδην καὶ τοὺς μετ' αὐτοῦ· ὡς δ' οὐδαμοῦ φανεροὶ ἢσαν, ῷχοντο ἀποπλέοντες. ὁ δὲ 'Αλκιβιάδης ἢδη φυγὰς ῶν οὐ πολὺ ὖστερον ἐπὶ πλοίου ἐπεραιώθη ἐς Πελοπόννησον ἐκ τῆς Θουρίας· οἱ δ' 'Αθηναῖοι ἐρήμη δίκη θάνατον κατέγνωσαν 35 αὐτοῦ τε καὶ τῶν μετ' ἐκείνου.

2 Μετὰ δὲ ταῦτα οἱ λοιποὶ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων στρατηγοὶ ἐν τῆ 1 Σικελία, δύο μέρη ποιήσαντες τοῦ στρατεύματος καὶ λαχῶν ἑκάτερος, ἔπλεον ξύμπαντι ἐπὶ Σελινοῦντος καὶ Ἐγέστης, βουλόμενοι μὲν εἰδέναι τὰ χρήματα εἰ δώσουσιν οἱ Ἐγεσταῖοι, 5 κατασκέψασθαι δὲ καὶ τῶν Σελινουντίων τὰ πράγματα καὶ τὰ διάφορα μαθεῖν τὰ πρὸς Ἐγεσταίους. παραπλέοντες δ' ἐν 2 ἀριστερᾳ τὴν Σικελίαν, τὸ μέρος τὸ πρὸς τὸν Τυρσηνικὸν κόλπον, ἔσχον ἐς Ἱμέραν, ἤπερ μόνη ἐν τούτω τῷ μέρει τῆς

indefinite duration, as 5.7.1.—32. ήδη: now, i.e. from this time on. Cf. 1.18. 28.—33. ἐπεραιώθη ἐς Πελοπόννησον: for the particulars, see 88. § 9, and for the first consequences of his recall, see 74. § 1.—34. ἐρήμη δίκη: in contumaciam. Cf. Bk. Anecd. I, 245 ἐρήμη δίκη ἐστὶν ὅταν μὴ παρόντων ἀμφοτέρων τῶν προσόντων (ἀλλὰ τοῦ ἐτέρου μόνου) ὁ δικαστὴς τὴν ψῆφον ἐνέγκη κατὰ τοῦ ἀπόντος.—35. ἐκείνου: see on 19.

62. The other Athenian generals make a voyage along the north coast of Sicily, taking the Sicanian town Hyccara. Nicias visits Egesta. Fruitless attack of the Athenians upon the Geleatic Hybla.—1. οἱ λοιποί: Nicias and Lamachus.—2. λαχῶν ἐκάτερος: sc. ἔν, as expressed at 42. 5.—3. ξύμπαντι: (sc. τῷ στρατεύματι) so Kr. after one Ms. and old editions, for ξὺν παντί, which seems to be foreign to Thuc.'s usage. Cf. 3. 95. 9, and Nicias' express advice 47. 2 πλεῖν ἐπὶ Σελινοῦντα πάση τῷ στρατιῷ.—ἐπὶ Σελινοῦντος καὶ Έγέστης:

manifestly in prosecution of the plan of Nicias (c. 47). Selinus, though further off, is mentioned first as the main goal; cf. 47. 2 πλεῖν ἐπὶ Σελινοῦντα... ἐφ ὁπερ μάλιστα ἐπέμφθησαν, and see on 2. 7. 16. Though the Athenians, on this voyage, did not come to Selinus, that was clearly their original intention (cf. 5 κατασκέψασθαι δὲ καὶ τῶν Σελινουντίων τὰ πράγματα), hence the words ἐπὶ Σελινοῦντος are not to be bracketed, with St.—4. τὰ χρήματα εἰ δώσουσιν οἰ Έγεσταιοι: cf. 47. 3.—6. τὰ διάφορα: the points of contention. See on 1. 56. 2. On the matter, cf. 6. § 2.

παραπλέοντες ... την Σικελίαν: see on 47.7.— έν άριστερα: without either εξχοντες, which v. H. inserts here (cf. 3. 106.5; 8. 101.6), or λαβόντες (cf. 7. 1.6). The voyage is first northward, then, after passing through the strait between Sicily and Italy, along the north coast of the island, here designated as τὸ μέρος τὸ πρὸς τὸν Τυρσηνικὸν κόλπον (see on 13.11).— 8. ἐς Ἱμέραν:

Σικελίας Έλλὰς πόλις ἐστί· καὶ ὡς οὐκ ἐδέχοντο αὐτούς, 10 παρεκομίζοντο. καὶ ἐν τῷ παράπλῳ αἱροῦσιν Ὑκκαρα, πό- 8 λισμα Σικανικὸν μέν, Ἐγεσταίοις δὲ πολέμιον· ἢν δὲ παραθαλασσίδιον. καὶ ἀνδραποδίσαντες τὴν πόλιν παρέδοσαν Ἐγεσταίοις (παρεγένοντο γὰρ αὐτῶν ἱππῆς), αὐτοὶ δὲ πάλιν τῷ μὲν πεζῷ ἐχώρουν διὰ τῶν Σικελῶν, ἔως ἀφίκοντο ἐς 15 Κατάνην, αἱ δὲ νῆες περιέπλευσαν τὰ ἀνδράποδα ἄγουσαι. Νικίας δὲ εὐθὺς ἐξ Ὑκκάρων ἐπὶ Ἐγέστης παραπλεύσας καὶ 4 τάλλα χρηματίσας καὶ λαβὼν τάλαντα τριάκοντα παρῆν ἐς τὸ στράτευμα. καὶ τἀνδράποδα ἀπέδοσαν καὶ ἐγένοντο ἐξ αὐτῶν εἴκοσι κὰὶ ἑκατὸν τάλαντα. καὶ ἐς τοὺς τῶν Σικελῶν δ cf. 5. § 1.—9. Ἑλλάς: as fem. adj. only 3 ff.—παρῆν ἐς τὸ στράτευμα: = παρε-

cf. 5. § 1.—9. 'Ελλάς: as fem. adj. only here in Thuc.; freq. in Hdt. See on 1.181.6. On the matter, cf. 7.58. § 2. —οὁκ ἐδέχοντο: sc. ol Ἰμεραῖοι.—10. παρεκομίζοντο: they proceeded westerly along the coast.

"Υκκαρα: for its location, see Holm, Gesch. Siz. I, 60.— πόλισμα Σικανικόν: acc. to 2. § 5 the Sicanians were confined πρὸς τὰ μεσημβρινὰ καὶ ἐσπέρια.—11. παραθαλασσίδιον: elsewhere only in Dio C. 54. 9.—13. παρεγένοντο αὐτῶν ἱππῆς: (i.e. τῶν Ἐγεσταίων) who had joined the Athenians before the attack on Hyccara.—αὐτοί: i.e. all the Athenians (as opp. to the Egestaean cavalry), subdivided presently in τῷ μὲν πεζῷ, αἰ δὲ νῆες. Lamachus was prob. the leader of the land troops through the interior.

15. αἱ δὲ νῆες περιέπλευσαν: i.e. sailed on southwards round the island.

16. Νικίας δὲ εὐθὺς . . . ἐς τὸ στράτευμα: parenthetical explanation.—
17. τάλλα χρηματίσας: attending to the other matters (i.e. other than financial). For χρηματίζειν in this sense, see on 1.
87. 17.— τάλαντα τριάκοντα: all the money on hand, acc. to 46.3. Cf. 47.

3 ff. — παρήν ἐς τὸ στράτευμα: = παρεγένετο ἐς τὸ στράτευμα. See on 3.3.19. The army had meanwhile united again at Catana. See App. — 18. ἀπέδοσαν: sold, a meaning which is extremely rare in active, and prob. another of Thuc.'s poetical usages. Cf. Eur. Cycl. 239 (St.); Ar. Ran. 1235 (Kock). The mid. occurs 1.29.23, 55.6; 7.87.17. Cl. adopted Bk.'s conjecture ἀπέδοντο, and Madvig (Adv. I, 327) suggested ἀπεδόθησαν, but without citing parallels for the pass. in this sense. — ἐγένοντο: with neut. pl. subj., as 2.8.7; 5.26. 12, 75.7; here perhaps because of the definite number.

19. Is τοὺς τῶν Σικελῶν ξυμμάχους: to those of the Sicels who were allies of theirs. Except the Sicels the Athenians had as allies only Egesta, Catana, and Naxos. Nicias had just been in Egesta, and Catana was their actual station. So it is quite natural that mention is made here only of the Sicels. It seems unnecessary then, with St., to bracket τῶν Σικελῶν. Nor is Kr.'s objection to the attrib. position of the part. gen. valid for Thuc. He so inserts the part.

- 20 ξυμμάχους περιέπλευσαν, στρατιὰν κελεύοντες πέμπειν· τῆ τε ἡμισεία τῆς ἐαυτῶν ἦλθον ἐπὶ Ἦχον τὴν Γελεᾶτιν, πολεμίαν οὖσαν, καὶ οὐχ εἶλον. καὶ τὸ θέρος ἐτελεύτα.
- 38 Τοῦ δ' ἐπιγιγνομένου χειμῶνος εὐθὺς τὴν ἔφοδον οἱ 'Αθη- 1 ναῖοι ἐπὶ Συρακούσας παρεσκευάζοντο, οἱ δὲ Συρακόσιοι καὶ αὐτοὶ ὡς ἐπ' ἐκείνους ἰόντες. ἐπειδὴ γὰρ αὐτοῖς πρὸς τὸν 2 πρῶτον φόβον καὶ τὴν προσδοκίαν οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι οὐκ εὐθὺς ἐπέ- 5 κειντο, κατά τε τὴν ἡμέραν ἑκάστην προιοῦσαν ἀνεθάρσουν

gen. not only often between the art. and ptc. (87. 8, 102. 2; 1. 9. 4, 48. 12, 126. 33; 3. 22. 26, 36. 19; 4. 46. 5, 111. 6, 125. 20; 8. 63. 17, 73. 4, 90. 1), as sometimes between art, and adj. (1.25. 18; 3.65.14; 8.73.31), but even between art. and subst., of των Έπιδαμνίων φυγάδες 1.26.13; οι Μυτιληναίων φυγάδες 4. 52. 3; οι 'Ορχομενίων φυγάδες 4. 76. 13; τούς παρόντας Αρκάδων ξυμμάχους 5. 64. 20; τοὺς ᾿Αργείων φυγάδας 6. 7. 5; οι των 'Αθηναίων τριακόσιοι λογάδες 6.101.21; οἱ ἐν τῆ Μιλήτω των Πελοποννησίων . . . στρατιώται 8. 78. 1; κατά τδ νικήσαν των Πελοποννησίων μέρος 8. 105. 15 (cf. also τὰ αὐτῆς τῆς Πύλου ἔξω τοῦ έσπλου πρός τό πέλαγος 4. 8. 35; and for the part. gen. in pred. position, 1.113. 14; 8.85.4; 4.75.8; 6.7.17). Also in Hdt. the part. gen. occurs in attrib. position 1. 98. 21, 143. 3. See H. v. Kleist, Der eingesch. Gen. d. Ganzen b. Thuk. (N. Jhbb. f. Philol. CXLIII, 107 ff.). — 20. περιέπλευσαν: see App. -21. Υβλαν την Γελεάτιν: the modern Paterno at the foot of Aetna; see on 4.7 and Holm I, 68, 363.

68. While the Athenians are preparing for a direct attack upon Syracuse, the Syracusans, in view of their delay, demand to be led against the camp at Catana. — 2. παρεγκευάζοντο: with

varying const., first with acc. (as 1.54.3; 4.30.11; 5.10.1), then verbal with ω s and fut. ptc. (as 2.7.3; 3.107.10; 4.13.18; 5.62.7).

3. πρός τον πρώτον φόβον . . . ἐπέ-KELVTO: i.e. had not attacked immediately as at first they had feared and expected. For $\pi \rho \delta s = \text{secundum}$, cf. **34.** 44, 64. 12, 85. 11; 1. 140. 4; 2. 54. 9; 4. 39. 8, 106. 5. So most editors. Steup objects that the position of the neg. is against this, and renders $\pi \rho \delta s$ by entgegen. On the matter, cf. 45 (end), 49. § 2. — 5. κατά τε τὴν ἡμέραν ἐκάστην: ἔκαστος occurs both with the art. (cf. 31. 19; 1. 22. 12; 2. 24. 10; 3. 50. 10, 82. 14; 4. 98. 6; 5. 47. 39, 49. 9) and without (cf. 67. 18; 1. 56. 9; 2. 31. 15, 85. 16; 3. 58. 16; 4. 56. 2, 66. 2; 5. 18.50). Gild., Syn. 661. Here is added the pred. ptc. προιοῦσαν, as each day passed on. For the participial const., see App. on 4.63.2. $\tau \epsilon$ here, correl. to καί before ἐπειδή, marks the first stage of the gradually reviving self-confidence expressed by impf. ἀνεθάρσουν; the decisive result of the weak undertakings (c. 62) of the Athenians (ἐπειδὴ . . . οὐχ εἶλον βία) is expressed by the aor. κατεφρόνησαν, they came to despise still more. Cf. 49. 5 τη γνώμη άναθαρσοῦντας ανθρώπους και τῆ δψει καταφρονείν

μαλλον, καὶ ἐπειδὴ πλέοντές τε τὰ ἐπ' ἐκείνα τῆς Σικελίας πολὺ ἀπὸ σφῶν ἐφαίνοντο καὶ πρὸς τὴν Ἦβλαν ἐλθόντες καὶ πειράσαντες οὐχ είλον βία, ἔτι πλέον κατεφρόνησαν καὶ ἤξίουν τοὺς στρατηγούς, οἶον δὴ ὅχλος φιλεῖ θαρσήσας 10 ποιεῖν, ἄγειν σφᾶς ἐπὶ Κατάνην, ἐπειδὴ οὐκ ἐκεῖνοι ἐφ' ἑαυτοὺς ἔρχονται. ἱππῆς τε προσελαύνοντες αἰεὶ κατάσκοποι τῶν 3 Συρακοσίων πρὸς τὸ στράτευμα τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐφύβριζον ἄλλα τε καὶ εἰ ξυνοικήσοντες σφίσιν αὐτοῖς μᾶλλον ἦκοιεν ἐν τῆ ἀλλοτρία ἢ Λεοντίνους ἐς τὴν οἰκείαν κατοικιοῦντες.

 $\mu \hat{a} \lambda \lambda o \nu$. — 6. $\pi \lambda \acute{e} o \nu \tau \acute{e} s \tau \acute{e} \tau \mathring{e} \star \mathring{e} \check{e} \iota v \alpha \tau \eta s$ Σικελίας: Kr. takes τὰ ἐπ ἐκεῖνα as construed with πλέοντες, like πλείν τὴν θάλασσαν; but it seems rather adv., on the opposite coast of Sicily. Te Tá, with St., for $\tau \acute{a}$ $\tau \epsilon$ of the Mss., so that both grounds of the recovered courage may stand out clearly. The sense of the passage is: "Since they on their voyage along the opposite coast of Sicily had shown themselves only at a distance from Syracuse and in their attack upon Gela with land troops had not taken it."—8. πειράσαντες: cf. 1. 61. 13 πειράσαντες τοῦ χωρίου. - είλον βίφ: of violent capture, as 1.102.7. Cf. 47. 7; 1. 11. 9. - 9. olov S\u00e0 oxxxxx φιλεί ποιείν: as 4. 28. 12. Cf. 2. 65. 12; 8. 1. 30: — Paporhous: when it has got courage.

11. ἱππῆς τε: the indispensable particle is lacking in all Mss. — προσελαύνοντες . . . πρὸς τὸ στράτευμα: cf. 7. 73. 24, and Tac. Annales 2. 13 equo ad vallum acto.—12. ἐφύβριζον: asked scornfully; not found elsewhere in Att. prose.—13. εἰ: = πότερον (Schol.), and

64, 65. The Athenian generals, by a false message sent through a Catanaean, induce the Syracusans to advance with their whole army upon Catana. Meanwhile the Athenian force sails by night and lands in the Great Harbor at a suitable point near the Olympieum. The Syracusans, becoming aware of the deception, return in haste.—2. Eyew: entice, draw off, in which sense Thuc. elsewhere uses $d\pi d\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (73. 8; 1. 109. 6; 3. 36. 4).—5 ι Takeator ι as far as

δὲ ταῖς ναυσὶν ἐν τοσούτῳ ὑπὸ νύκτα παραπλεύσαντες στρατόπεδον καταλαβεῖν ἐν ἐπιτηδείῳ καθ' ἡσυχίαν, εἰδότες οὐκ ἂν το ὁμοίως δυνηθέντες [καὶ] εἰ ἐκ τῶν νεῶν πρὸς παρεσκευασμένους ἐκβιβάζοιεν ἡ κατὰ γῆν ἰόντες γνωσθεῖεν (τοὺς γὰρ ἄν ψιλοὺς [τοὺς] σφῶν καὶ τὸν ὅχλον τῶν Συρακοσίων τοὺς ἱππέας πολλοὺς ὅντας, σφίσι δ' οὐ παρόντων ἱππέων, βλάπτειν ᾶν μεγάλα· οὖτω δὲ λήψεσθαι χωρίον ὅθεν ὑπὸ τῶν 10 ἱππέων οὐ βλάψονται ἄξια λόγου· ἐδίδασκον δ' αὐτοὺς περὶ τοῦ πρὸς τῷ 'Ολυμπιείῳ χωρίου, ὅπερ καὶ κατέλαβον, Συρακοσίων φυγάδες οῦ ξυνείποντο), τοιόνδε τι οὖν πρὸς ἃ

possible. - 3. έν τοσούτω: for έν τούτω, with a hint of the longer time they hoped to win. — ὑπὸ νύκτα: Cl. explained at nightfall; but as the journey from Catana to Syracuse took considerable time, ὑπὸ νύκτα here, as well as in 65. 14, prob. means by night. This is the meaning also at 7. 12; 8. 35. 16; and in the other cases of its occurrence in Thuc. (1. 115. 19; 2.92.22; 3.80.7, 91.10; 4.67.3; 7.22.2; 8.33.10) there is nothing against its meaning simply by night. - παραπλεύσαντες: Cl. wrote unnecessarily προσπλεύσαντες. Cf. 50. 7, 9; 52. 3; 94.3.—4. εν επιτηδείφ: at a suitable place, as 2. 81. 17. Cf. 66. 3 es xwplor έπιτήδειον. - είδότες . . . γνωσθείεν: knowing that they would not in like manner be able, if from the ships against men prepared they disembarked (the army) or should be recognized going by land. For έκβιβάζειν With obj. (τὸ στράτευμα) to be supplied, St. compares Xen. Hell. 2.1. 24 και ού πρότερον έξεβιβασεν έκ τῶν νεῶν πρίν αὖται ήκον. For the text, see App. -6. τοὺς ψιλοὺς . . . καὶ τὸν ὅχλον: note the emphatic position of these obj. accusatives before the subj. $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \; \Sigma \nu \rho \alpha \kappa \sigma$ σίων τούς ίππέας. - τούς γάρ αν ψιλούς [τοὺς] σφῶν: Cl. explained the art, here as repeated to avoid misunderstanding. but it is against Thucydidean usage, and prob. rightly rejected by St., Bm., Steup.—7. τον δχλον: comprising, it seems, camp-followers and baggagetrain. - 8. σφίσι δ οὐ παρόντων ίππέων: $\delta \epsilon$, which is not strictly necessary, emphasizes the opposition to the preceding, and for the same reason the repetition of $l\pi\pi\epsilon\omega\nu$, which St. brackets, seems justified. - βλάπτειν ἃν μεγάλα: note the repetition of av. See on 1.36.15; 4. 18. 17. GMT. 223; Gild., Syn. 467. μεγάλα is cogn. acc. See on 1.81.8. G. 159, N. 2; H. 716 b; Kr. Spr. 69, 7, 3. — 9. οὖτω δέ: but in that case, as 1. 45. 8. — δθεν: sc. έπιχειροῦντες. — 10. περί τοῦ πρὸς τῷ 'Ολυμπιείω χωρίου: see on 65.15.—11. ὅπερ καὶ κατέλαβον: which they actually took. Cf. 1.14.14.—12. of ξυνείποντο: i.e. who had joined the Athenians.—τοιόνδε τι . . . μηχανώνται: the same phrase also 4.46.17; 5.45.5. - ov: indicating resumption after a parenthesis (see on 3.95.9; 7.6.7) with repetition of the subj. ol στρατηγοί from 1. 1. — πρὸς α ἐβούλοντο: in accordance with what they wished. Cf. 1. 2.

ἐβούλοντο οἱ στρατηγοὶ μηχανῶνται πέμπουσιν ἄνδρα 2 σφίσι μὲν πιστόν, τοῖς δὲ τῶν Συρακοσίων στρατηγοῖς τῆ δο15 κήσει οὐχ ἡσσον ἐπιτήδειον ἡν δὲ Καταναῖος ὁ ἀνήρ, καὶ ἀπ' ἀνδρῶν ἐκ τῆς Κατάνης ἦκειν ἔφη ὧν ἐκεῖνοι τὰ ὀνόματα ἐγίγνωσκον καὶ ἠπίσταντο ἐν τῆ πόλει ἔτι ὑπολοίπους ὄντας τῶν σφίσιν εὖνων. ἔλεγε δὲ τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους αὐλίζεσθαι ἀπὸ 3 τῶν ὅπλων ἐν τῆ πόλει, καὶ εἰ βούλονται ἐκεῖνοι πανδημεὶ ἐν 20 ἡμέρα ἡητῆ ἄμα ἔψ ἐπὶ τὸ στράτευμα ἐλθεῖν, αὐτοὶ μὲν ἀποκλήσειν τοὺς παρὰ σφίσι καὶ τὰς ναῦς ἐμπρήσειν, ἐκείνους δὲ ῥαδίως [τὸ στράτευμα] προσβαλόντας τῷ σταυρώματι αἰρήσειν εἶναι δὲ ταῦτα τοὺς ξυνδράσοντας πολλοὺς Κα65 ταναίων, καὶ ἡτοιμάσθαι ἤδη ἀφ' ὧν αὐτὸς ἤκειν. οἱ δὲ 1

13. πέμπουσιν: after τοιόνδε without γάρ, see on 1.89.2.—14. σφίσι: = σφίσιν αὐτοῖς, as l. 21. See on 4.28.27.
—τῆ δοκήσει: in their opinion. The word is common in Thuc., as in tragedy, but avoided in Attic prose. See on 3.43.3.—17. ἡπίσταντο . . . ὑπολοίπους ὄντας: οὕς (from ὧν l. 16) or αὐτούς to be supplied. See on 1.10.20; 3.55.12.—18. εὕνων: for εὐνόων after the analogy of εὕνους 3.58.9; εὕνοις 5.106.3.

aiλίζεσθαι: were in the habit of passing the night (pres. inf.); not to be understood, of course, of all the Athenians, for a guard must have remained behind. Cf. l. 21 τοὺς παρά σφίσι. — ἀπὸ τῶν ὅπλων: away from their arms or camp. For ἀπὸ in this sense, cf. 1. 7. 6. See Kühn. 86, 1, n. 1. For ὅπλα, see on 1. 111. 6; 3. 1. 7. — 20. ἐπὶ τὸ στράτευμα: i.e. the whole Athenian army, including both τοὺς παρὰ σφίσι (21) and the guard at the camp. To bracket these words, with St., would leave ἐλθεῦν without goal. — αὐτοί: following ἔλεγε

(δ Καταναίος άνηρ), i.e. the friendly Catanaeans. - 21. τοὺς παρά σφίσι: (so correctly Laur., for αὐτοὺς παρὰ σφίσι) i.e. the main body of the Athenians who spent the night in the city. - ral τας ναθς έμπρησειν: it is to be inferred that, though the camp was outside, the ships were drawn up inside the city. -22. [τὸ στράτευμα]: recognized as a gloss by Bl. The meaning "army" does not suit the context, and there is no objection to indicating by σταυρώματι the camp, which is called τὸ στρατόπεδον in 51.14, 75.9, 88.27. The necessity of supplying from σταυρώματι an obj. (αὐτό) for αἰρήσειν seems to have led to the gloss. - 23. Taura Toùs Eurδράσοντας: note the emphatic position of the dem. pron. for rods radra Europáσοντας. See on 77. 18. ξυνδραν is not found elsewhere in Attic prose. Cf. Liban. Orat. 736. - 24. Kal hτοιμάσθαι ... TKELV: Schol. Kal etolpous elval fous ανδρας, παρ' ών αὐτὸς ήκει. For the inf. in rel. sent., see on 1. 91. 24. GMT. 755; Kühn. 594, 5; Kr. Spr. 55, 4, 9.

στρατηγοὶ τῶν Συρακοσίων, μετὰ τοῦ καὶ ἐς τὰ ἄλλα θαρσεῖν καὶ εἶναι ἐν διανοία καὶ ἄνευ τούτων ἰέναι [παρεσκευάσθαι] ἐπὶ Κατάνην, ἐπίστευσάν τε τῷ ἀνθρώπῳ πολλῷ ἀπερισκεπτό- τερον καὶ εὐθὺς ἡμέραν ξυνθέμενοι ἡ παρέσονται ἀπέστειλαν αὐτόν, καὶ αὐτοὶ (ἤδη γὰρ καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων Σελινούντιοι καὶ ἄλλοι τινὲς παρῆσαν) προεῖπον πανδημεὶ πᾶσιν ἐξιέναι Συρακοσίοις. ἐπεὶ δὲ ἑτοῖμα αὐτοῖς καὶ τὰ τῆς παρασκευῆς ἡν καὶ αἱ ἡμέραι ἐν αἷς ξυνέθεντο ἤξειν ἐγγὺς ἦσαν, πορευό- 10 μενοι ἐπὶ Κατάνης ηὐλίσαντο ἐπὶ τῷ Συμαίθῳ ποταμῷ ἐν τῆ

65 2. μ età toû . . . θ apoteîv: in accord with their confidence also in other respects. Cl. and Kr. explain μετά τοῦ = praeterquam quod, comparing Dem. 5. 5 μετά τοῦ προσοφλείν αίσχύνην ... πάντες ἔγνωτε. But this does not suit the context. - 3. elvai ev diavola: = $\delta_{i\alpha\nu o\epsilon i\sigma\theta\alpha i}$. Cf. $\epsilon\nu$ $\epsilon\lambda\pi i\delta_{i}$ $\epsilon i\nu\alpha i$ 4. 70. 20. - και άνευ τούτων: i.e. without this message. But Cl. prefers to take τούτων as masc., referring to the Catanaeans. - [παρεσκευάσθαι]: bracketed by nearly all recent editors. It seems clearly a gloss of elvai ev diavola, certainly cannot as pf. inf. depend on that. Possible would be παρασκευάσασθαι of four Mss.—4. ἀπερισκεπτότερον: too incautiously. See on 57. 12. -5. απέστειλαν αὐτόν: sent him back, reckoning on the uprising of the Catanaeans. In accordance with this expectation they themselves begin to carry out the plan agreed upon: kal αύτοι . . . Συρακοσίοις, and they themselves made proclamation for the whole force of the Syracusans to go out. The parenthesis $\eta \delta \eta \gamma d\rho \ldots \pi a\rho \eta \sigma a\nu$, coming before the repetitive and explanatory statement in 67. § 2 έβοήθησαν δέ . . . ώς wert ήκοντα, was suspected by Cl. But

it probably explains why all the Syracusans could march out (Kr.). For allies to remain behind to protect the city (e.g. against the Sicels) would not be strange, since such a service was freq. rendered among the Hellenes for an allied state (5.23. § 1 f., 47. § 3 f.). Cf. also 5.33.9 οι Μαντινής την πόλιν 'Αργείοις φύλαξι παραδόντες αὐτοί την ξυμμαχίαν έφρούρουν. - 7. πανδημεί πάσιν έξιέναι Συρακοσίοις: for like pleonasm, cf. 1.90.22 τειχίζειν πάντας πανδημεί τούς $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \, \tau \hat{\eta} \, \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \iota$. — 8. $\dot{\epsilon} \tau \sigma \hat{\iota} \mu a \, a \, \dot{\nu} \tau \sigma \hat{\iota} s$: belongs only to the first of the foll. clauses and hence was to be expected after kal. For examples of like irregular order, see on 2.46.1. - Tà Tậs Tapas Keufis: cf. 29. 3. — 9. ai huépai ev ais . . . heiv : pl. including the day of the march from Syracuse as well as that agreed upon for the attack (cf. l. 5). Steup puts a comma after ἐγγύς, construing the adv. with $\eta \xi \epsilon i \nu$ and taking $\eta \sigma a \nu$ as equiv. to έφῆκον. But this view is not likely to receive support. — 10. ἐπὶ τῷ Συμαίθφ: the chief stream of the plain of Catana, and largest of Sicily; now Simeto or Giaretta. It was in Leontine territory, hence in the hands of the Syracusans. See Holm I, 27 f., 340.

Λεοντίνη. οἱ δ' 'Αθηναῖοι, ὡς ἦσθοντο αὐτοὺς προσιόντας, 2 ἀναλαβόντες τό τε στράτευμα ἄπαν τὸ ἐαυτῶν καὶ ὅσοι Σικελῶν αὐτοῖς ἢ ἄλλος τις προσεληλύθει καὶ ἐπιβιβάσαντες ἐπὶ τὰς ναῦς καὶ τὰ πλοῖα ὑπὸ νύκτα ἔπλεον ἐπὶ τὰς Συρακούσας.

15 καὶ οἴ τε 'Αθηναῖοι ἄμα ἔψ ἐξέβαινον ἐς τὸ κατὰ τὸ 'Ολυμ- 8 πιεῖον ὡς τὸ στρατόπεδον καταληψόμενοι, καὶ οἱ ἱππῆς οἱ Συρακοσίων πρῶτοι προσελάσαντες ἐς τὴν Κατάνην καὶ αἰσθόμενοι ὅτι τὸ στράτευμα ἄπαν ἀνῆκται, ἀποστρεψαντες ἀγγέλλουσι τοῖς πεζοῖς, καὶ ξύμπαντες ἦδη ἀποτρεπόμενοι δόδοῦ ἀὐτοῖς, καθὶ ἡσυχίαν καθῖσαν τὸ στράτευμα

12. τὸ στράτευμα ἄπαν: including the ψιλοί and δχλος of 64. § 1. — ὅσοι Ἐικελῶν: who had been summoned 62. § 5.—13. ἢ ἄλλος τις: included in ὅσοι, unless we should read ἢ εἰ ἄλλος τις. Non-Sicel allies of the Athenians were Egesta, Catana, and Naxos; besides, Leontine fugitives were doubtless with the Athenian army (cf. 8. § 2, 50. § 4).—14. τὰς ναῦς καὶ τὰ πλοῖα: cf. 4. 116. 4 τοῖς τε πλοίοις καὶ ταῖς ναυσί. With the πλοῖα are prob. included the ὁλκά-δες of 30. 3 and 44. 6.— ὑπὸ νύκτα: see on 64. 3.

15. ἄμα ἔφ: applying also to καὶ οἱ ὶππῆς ἀγγέλλουσι. The coincidence in time is emphasized by οἴ τε ᾿Αθηναῖοι ... καὶ οἱ ἰππῆς. — ἐς τὸ κατὰ τὸ ὙΟλυμπιῶν: (nearly all Mss. have ἐς τὸν, sc. χῶρον οι τόπον) in the place opposite the Olympieum (cf. 64. 11): "Whoever sailed [from the east] into the bay called the Great Harbor of Syracuse had directly before him a ridge crowned by the temple of Olympian Zeus, remains of which are still visible in the grainfield" (Holm II, 26). — 16. ὡς τὸ

στρατόπεδον καταληψόμενοι: as planned 64. § 1, hence the art., which Laur. wrongly omits. - 17. πρώτοι: in front. Cf. 66. 12. - προσελάσαντες ές την Κατάνην: one Ms. (M) omits έs, and so Hude writes $\tau \hat{\eta}$ Karávy. Steup agrees that is Karávny, into Catana (whether city or territory), is out of place with προσελάσαντες, riding up, and suggests προελάσαντες.—18. άνῆκται: the pf. indicating that the army is gone and they are deceived. - anorthewartes: aor. of the sudden turning back of the horsemen. —19. αποτρεπόμενοι έβοήθουν: of the longer return march of the whole army. Schol. όπίσω ἐπανερχόμενοι.

66. The Athenians, however, had time to encamp and fortify on all sides. The Syracusans advanced against the camp, but withdrew without accomplishing anything.—1. ἐν τούτψ: while the Syracusans were hurrying back to the city, which required considerable time, μακρᾶς οὖσης τῆς ὁδοῦ αὐτοῖς (i.e. τοῖς Συρακοσίοις).—2. καθῖσαν: (Α ἐκάθισαν, as 7.82.16 Λ and B) trans., as 2.71.4; 4.90.5, 93.5; 5.7.14; 7.82.

ές χωρίον ἐπιτήδειον καὶ ἐν ῷ μάχης τε ἄρξειν ἔμελλον ὁπότε βούλοιντο καὶ οἱ ἱππῆς τῶν Συρακοσίων ἤκιστ' [ἄν] αὐτοὺς 5 καὶ ἐν τῷ ἔργῳ καὶ πρὸ αὐτοῦ λυπήσειν τῆ μὲν γὰρ τειχία τε καὶ οἰκίαι εἶργον καὶ δένδρα καὶ λίμνη, παρὰ δὲ τὸ κρημνοί. καὶ τὰ ἐγγὺς δένδρα κόψαντες καὶ κατενεγκόντες ἐπὶ ε τὴν θάλασσαν παρά τε τὰς ναῦς σταύρωμα ἔπηξαν καὶ ἐπὶ τῷ Δάσκωνι ἔρυμά τε, ἡ εὐεφοδώτατον ἦν τοῦς πολεμίοις, 10 λίθοις λογάδην καὶ ξύλοις διὰ ταχέων ἄρθωσαν καὶ τὴν τοῦ

16. See on 4. 93. 5. — 3. ἐπιτήδειον καὶ èv å: attrib. adj. connected by copula with rel. clause (as in French). -4. ἤκιστ' [αν] . . . λυπήσειν: one of the five passages in Thuc. where the Mss. have the fut. inf. with $d\nu$ (cf. 2.80.40; 5.82.21; 8.25.28, 71.4), a const. defended by Herbst (Ueber dv b. Fut. im Thuc., Progr. 1867, p. 29 ff.), rejected by St. (Qu. Gr.² p. 21 sqq.). Cl. adopted Meineke's conjecture (Hermes III, 372) λυπήσειαν, and is followed by Steup. -5. ἐν τῷ ἔργφ: in action, as 1. 105. 24; 2.89.42; 4.25.9; 8.42.12; and freq. - τη μέν γάρ τειχία κτέ.: concerning the locality occupied by the Athenians, see B. Lupus, Die Stadt Syrakus im Altertum (revision of the Cavallari-Holm Topografia), p. 118 f. - Tex (a: prob. to fence in gardens and plots of ground. - 6. λίμνη: not the Lysimeleia (which was too near the city), but either the swampy ground (now called Pantano) about the Cyane (a tributary of the Anapus), west of the Olympieum, or - if the camp of the Athenians cannot be thought of as extending so far west - a swamp south of the mouth of the Anapus. - wapd St ro: on the other side, however. The order as in 45.5.

9. ἐπὶ τῷ Δάσκωνι: the ridge extending northward from the present Punta Caderini (about the middle of the west shore of the Great Harbor). Thuc. reckons it up to the bridge over the Anapus. See Lupus, op. cit. - ਵែប្រជន τε: Kr.'s conjecture ξρυμά τι, adopted by several editors, is intended to bring our passage into agreement with Diod. 13. 13, where the Bay of Dascon, south of the Punta Caderini, is mentioned. But it is not prob. that the position of the Athenians extended so far southward, and Diodorus' topographic statements are little trustworthy (see Lupus, pp. 83, 119). - ή εὐεφοδώτατον ήν τοίς πολεμίοις: cf. 101. 14 $\hat{\eta}$ πηλώδες $\hat{\eta}$ ν. The Mss. read ἐφοδώτατον, but the Schol. reads as in the text, explaining by προσβήναι δυνατόν, εύπρόσιτον. No adj. compound of odos with a prep. occurs, and for Epobos Xen. Anab. 3.4.41 is wrongly cited. But εὐέφοδος is found in . Polybius, Diodorus, and Strabo, as well as Xen. Cyrop. 2. 4. 13. Bk.'s conjecture εὐοδώτατον means rather pervius and expeditus, than aditu facilis. - 10. λογάδην: closely connected with λίθοις. See on 1. 122. 15. — ώρθωσαν: erected, Cf. 88. 28. — την τοῦ 'Ανάπου yédupav: over which the road led from

'Ανάπου γέφυραν ἔλυσαν. παρασκευαζομένων δὲ ἐκ μὲν τῆς 8 πόλεως οὐδεὶς ἐξιων ἐκώλυε, πρῶτοι δὲ οἱ ἱππῆς τῶν Συρακοσίων προσεβοήθησαν, ἔπειτα δὲ ὖστερον καὶ τὸ πεζὸν ἄπαν ξυνελέγη. καὶ προσῆλθον μὲν ἐγγὺς τοῦ στρατεύματος τῶν 15 'Αθηναίων τὸ πρῶτον, ἔπειτα δέ, ὡς οὐκ ἀντιπροῆσαν αὐτοῖς, ἀναχωρήσαντες καὶ διαβάντες τὴν 'Ελωρίνην ὁδὸν ηὐλίσαντο.

Τῆ δ' ὑστεραία οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι παρεσκευά- 1 ζοντο ὡς ἐς μάχην καὶ ξυνετάξαντο ὧδε · δεξιὸν μὲν κέρας 'Αργεῖοι εἶχον καὶ Μαντινῆς, 'Αθηναῖοι δὲ τὸ μέσον, τὸ δὲ ἄλλο οἱ ξύμμαχοι οἱ ἄλλοι. καὶ τὸ μὲν ἤμισυ αὐτοῖς τοῦ 5 στρατεύματος ἐν τῷ πρόσθεν ἦν, τεταγμένον ἐπὶ ὀκτώ, τὸ δὲ ἤμισυ ἐπὶ ταῖς εὐναῖς ἐν πλαισίῳ, ἐπὶ ὀκτὼ καὶ τοῦτο τεταγμένον οἶς εἴρητο, ἡ ἀν τοῦ στρατεύματός τι πονῆ μάλιστα,

Syracuse southward to the town of Elorus (or Helorus), ή Έλωρίνη δδός (16). The Syracusans doubtless restored the bridge after the withdrawal of the Athenians. Cf. 101. § 4.

11. παρασκευαζομένων: sc. $a \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} v$, while they were making preparations. For gen. abs. with subj. easily supplied from the context, cf. 1.2.8; 4.3.6. GMT. 848; H. 972 a. - 12. οἱ ἱππῆς . . . τὸ πεζόν: as they had returned from the expedition against Catana (c. 65, end). - 13. ἔπειτα ὕστερον: connected as in 88.49. See on 2.9.7.— 14. προσήλθον έγγὺς τοῦ στρατεύματος τών 'Αθηναίων: cf. 4.93.4 προσέμειξεν έγγυς τοῦ στρατεύματος αὐτῶν. This must have happened after they had crossed the Anapus higher up. - 15. ouk avriπροήσαν αύτοις: did not in turn advance against them. The compound occurs elsewhere only in postclassical authors. On the 41 compounds of duti- in Thuc. see App. on 4. 80. 3. — 16. διαβάντες την Έλωρίνην: for the accent, not 'Ελωρινήν, see Herodian 2. p. 867. They must have crossed this road before in the opp. direction (from west to east) as they marched out. See Lupus, p. 119.

67. On the following day both armies take position for battle.—2. Selide κέρας: for freq. omission of the art. with divisions of an army, see on 2.81. 11; 4.31.8.—5. ἐπὶ ὀκτώ: eight men deep, the usual depth of front of Athenians and Lacedaemonians at the time of the Peloponnesian War. The const. is usually emi with gen., but for the acc., cf. Xen. Anab. 4, 8, 11. See on 2, 93, 22. -6. ἐπὶ ταῖς εὐναῖς: near their sleepingplaces, i.e. the tents in camp. Cf. in ταις εύναις 3. 112. 10; 4. 32. 2. For έπί with dat, as here, see on 4.14.28. - iv πλαισίω: as 7. 78. 5. Schol. έν σχήματι τετραγώνφ. A hollow square is called τετράγωνος τάξις 4. 125. 16. - 7. ois elρητο: cf. 61.20. -- πονη μάλιστα: as 1. 49.18. πονείν of troops hard pressed also 4. 96. 21; 5. 73. 10. Cf. Sall. Jug. 103 ac modo laborantibus suis

έφορῶντας παραγίγνεσθαι. καὶ τοὺς σκευοφόρους ἐντὸς τούτων τῶν ἐπιτάκτων ἐποιήσαντο. οἱ δὲ Συρακόσιοι ἔταξαν 2 10 τοὺς μὲν ὁπλίτας ἐφ' ἑκκαίδεκα, ὄντας πανδημεὶ Συρακοσίους καὶ ὅσοι ξύμμαχοι παρῆσαν (ἐβοήθησαν δὲ αὐτοῖς Σελινούντιοι μὲν μάλιστα, ἔπειτα δὲ καὶ Γελώων ἱππῆς, τὸ ξύμπαν ἐς διακοσίους, καὶ Καμαριναίων ἱππῆς ὅσον εἶκοσι καὶ τοξόται ὡς πεντήκοντα), τοὺς δὲ ἱππέας ἐπετάξαντο ἐπὶ 15 τῷ δεξιῷ, οὐκ ἔλασσον ὄντας ἡ διακοσίους καὶ χιλίους, παρὰ δ' αὐτοὺς καὶ τοὺς ἀκοντιστάς. μέλλουσι δὲ τοῖς 'Αθηναίοις 2 προτέροις ἐπιχειρήσειν ὁ Νικίας κατά τε ἔθνη ἐπιπαριών ἔκαστα καὶ ξύμπασι τοιάδε παρεκελεύετο

succurrere.—8. ἐφορῶντας: looking on, keeping sharp watch, with implication of removal at some distance. Cf. 8. 104.4.—παραγίγνεσθαι: as 2. 88. 28.—ἐντὸς . . . ἐποιήσαντο: cf. 2. 83. 26; 4. 125. 17; 7. 78. 7.—9. τῶν ἐπιτάκτων: i.e. those held in reserve, = τῶν ἐπιτεταγμένων 5. 72. 17 (cf. ἐπετάξαντο 14).

οί δὲ Συρακόσιοι ἔταξαν κτέ.: it must be assumed that the Syracusans had again advanced eastward across the Elorine road (66. 16), for after being put to flight they again take post on this road and get together (70. § 4). — 10. πανδημεί Συρακοσίους: cf. 65. 7. — 12. Σελινούντιοι μέν μάλιστα: with the other (less important) allies definite numbers are given, so that Cl. was inclined to assume before μάλιστα the loss of some numeral perhaps a (χίλιοι), and Stein approves. But Steup is doubtless right in rendering μέν μάλιστα especially, most of all, on which then follows naturally ἔπειτα δὲ καί (cf. 1. 75. 9; 2. 54. 19; 6. 11. 14, 16. 23). With this view it is quite natural that no number should be given with Σελινούντιοι.—

13. και Καμαριναίων ἱππης κτέ.: on this aid from the Camarinaeans, cf. 75. § 3, 88. § 1.—ὄσον είκοσι: about twenty. Cf. 7. 38. 14.—14. ἐπετάξαντο ἐπὶ τῷ δεξιῷ: i.e. they stationed the cavalry on the right to strengthen it. See on 2. 90. 7.—16. τοὺς ἀκοντιστάς: acc. to l. 14 and 69. § 2 still other light troops were with the Syracusans, but these, as of less importance, seem to be disregarded in the account here of the battle array.

17. προτέροις ἐπιχειρήσειν: cf. 69.
11; 4. 73. 7.—κατὰ ἔθνη ἐπιπαριὰν ἔκαστα: passing along the line of battle, as the contingents of the separate states were drawn up (l. 2 ff.). As Hippocrates had done 4. 94. § 2, Nicias made addresses of similar purport to the separate divisions, and we have here doubtless a summary of the whole.

68. With the excellent composition and military experience of our army we may have the strongest hope of victory. On the other hand, far from home and encompassed by enemies as we are, we should, in case of defeat, by

68 "Πολλη μεν παραινέσει, ὧ ἄνδρες, τί δεῖ χρησθαι, οἱ 1 πάρεσμεν ἐπὶ τὸν αὐτὸν ἀγῶνα; αὐτὴ γὰρ ἡ παρασκευὴ ἱκανωτέρα μοι δοκεῖ εἶναι θάρσος παρασχεῖν ἢ καλῶς λεχθέντες λόγοι μετὰ ἀσθενοῦς στρατοπέδου. ὅπου γὰρ ᾿Αργεῖοι 2 καὶ Μαντινης καὶ ᾿Αθηναῖοι καὶ νησιωτῶν οἱ πρῶτοί ἐσμεν, πῶς οὐ χρὴ μετὰ τοιῶνδε καὶ τοσῶνδε ξυμμάχων πάντα τινὰ μεγάλην τὴν ἐλπίδα της νίκης ἔχειν, ἄλλως τε καὶ πρὸς ἄνδρας πανδημεί τε ἀμυνομένους καὶ οὐκ ἀπολέκτους ὧσπερ καὶ ἡμᾶς, καὶ προσέτι Σικελιώτας, οἱ ὑπερφρονοῦσι μὲν ἡμᾶς,

in evil plight. Think then in the impending conflict at once of your honor and of the seriousness of the situation. - 1. of πάρεσμεν: as if ήμαs preceded. The rel. has causal force (since we; see on 1.68.15). So all recent editors explain except Steup. He would connect of directly with ω ανδρες, the troops being addressed as comrades in battle (for first person, cf. 3.30.1), and $\tau \delta \nu$ αὐτὸν ἀγῶνα emphasizing the common danger of the many different ξθνη (cf. 4. 10. 1 άνδρες οἱ ξυναράμενοι τοῦδε τοῦ κινδύνου). Hude corrects to τοιοῦτον ἀγῶνα. -2. aith h mapaskeuh: comprises all preparations and equipments for the expedition - selection, arming, drilling, payment, and maintenance of the army contingents - which now appear in the excellent condition of the whole army: "the composition and condition of our army alone" (see on 37.3). -3. καλώς: ironical, of fine speeches without corresponding content. Cf. 1. 84. 16; 3. 37. 24, 38. 20, 82. 42; 5. 69. 20. It is as if the danger of encroaching rhetoric were hinted at.

4. ὅπου: not local here, but referring to the matters before them; cf. 8

27.6, and, as here with foll. $\pi \hat{\omega}_{5}$, 8.96.4. - 'Apyeloi kal Martirffs: cf. c. 43; 61. § 5.—5. νησιωτών οί πρώτοι: esp. Rhodians and Chians, c. 43. Under νησιώται are to be understood islanders of the Aegean; cf. Steup, Rh. Mus. XXXV, 328 n. - 6. πάντα τινά: every one, as comprehensive as possible. See on 2. 41. 21; 3. 13. 33. - 7. μεγάλην την έλ- $\pi(\delta a)$: position and effect of the pred. adj. as 2. 49. 24, 63. 16, 67. 30. — \pos: as opposed to; cf. 9. 13. — 8. mardymel άμυνομένους: cf. 67.§2. Meineke (Hermes III, 359) conjectures άμυνου μένους. But it is by no means necessary to conceive of the dμύνεσθαι beginning only with the impending battle. - οὐκ ἀπολέκτους: the neg. side of the same thing, opposed, by means of $\tau \epsilon \dots \kappa a l$, to πανδημεί άμυνομένους, not to πανδημεί alone. — ώσπερ καὶ ἡμᾶς: attraction of case and prolepsis. Cf. 1. 69. 23; 5. 99. 4. Kr. Spr. 62, 4, 3; Kühn. 581, 3. kal, without reference to the preceding neg., merely for the sake of the comparison; cf. 2.13.13.—9. ὑπερφρονοῦσι: cf. 16. 20, and, as here with acc., 3. 39. 29. Here for the sake of the assonance (with ὑπομενοῦσι), for the more usual

10 ύπομενοῦσι δὲ οὖ, διὰ τὸ τὴν ἐπιστήμην τῆς τόλμης ἦσσω ἔχειν. παραστήτω δέ τινι καὶ τόδε, πολύ τε ἀπὸ τῆς ἡμετέρας 8 αὐτῶν εἶναι καὶ πρὸς γῆ οὐδεμιᾶ φιλία ἤντινα μὴ αὐτοὶ μαχόμενοι κτήσεσθε. καὶ τοὐναντίον ὑπομιμνήσκω ὑμᾶς ἢ οἱ πολέμιοι σφίσιν αὐτοῖς εὖ οἶδ' ὅτι παρακελεύονται· οἱ μὲν γὰρ
15 ὅτι περὶ πατρίδος ἔσται ὁ ἀγών, ἐγὼ δὲ ὅτι οὐκ ἐν πατρίδι, ἐξ ἡς κρατεῖν δεῖ ἡ μὴ ἑραδίως ἀποχωρεῖν· οἱ γὰρ ἱππῆς πολλοὶ ἐπικείσονται. τῆς τε οὖν ὑμετέρας αὐτῶν ἀξίας μνησθέντες 4 ἐπέλθετε τοῖς ἐναντίοις προθύμως καὶ τὴν παροῦσαν ἀνάγκην καὶ ἀπορίαν φοβερωτέραν ἡγησάμενοι τῶν πολεμίων."

Ο μεν Νικίας τοιαυτα παρακελευσάμενος επήγε το στρα- 1 τόπεδον εὐθύς· οἱ δὲ Συρακόσιοι ἀπροσδόκητοι μεν ἐν τῷ

καταφρονεῖν (34.54, 35.5, 49.6, 63.8).
—10. ἐπιστήμην: of military knowledge and experience, as 1.49.12; 3.121.15.

11. παραστήτω τινί και τόδε: let this also be fixed in the mind of every one. TIS in wider sense; cf. 34.53.—12. elval: sc. ήμας. - και πρός γη ούδεμια φιλία ήντινα . . . κτήσεσθε: and near to no friendly land, unless you win such by your own arms. -13. τοὐναντίον ή: also 7. 80. 6. See on 3. 22. 28.—14. 43 οίδ' ότι: see on 34. 43. — οἱ μὲν γάρ: sc. παρακελεύονται. - 15. έγω δέ: sc. ύπομιμνήσκω. See on 24.8. — ούκ έν πα**τρίδι**: for $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ ού πατρίδι (see on 1.78.1) = έν γη ού πατρίδι ούση. In the appended rel. sent. (see on 4. 126. 10) & n's belongs gram. only with the second clause ($\hat{\eta}$ $\mu\hat{\eta}$) ... ἀποχωρείν), the intervening clause (κρατείν δεί), which breaks the const., serving as foil for the following. For similar cases, cf. 2. 11. 9, 88. 7, 92. 5; 3.68.9. With the second clause supply perhaps $\mu\ell\lambda$ here from $\delta\epsilon\hat{i}$, as if we had $\ell\xi$ $\hat{\eta}$ s, ϵl $\mu\hat{\eta}$ krateîte, $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\rho}a\delta l\omega s$ $\mu\ell\lambda$ here $d\pi o\chi\omega \rho\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$.—16. $\mu\hat{\eta}$ $\hat{\rho}a\delta l\omega s$ $d\pi o\chi\omega \rho\epsilon\hat{i}\nu$: cf. 4. 10. 13. The neg. $(\mu\hat{\eta})$ still under the influence of $\pi a\rho a\sigma \tau\hat{\eta}\tau\omega$.— $\pi o\lambda\lambda ol$: pred., in large numbers; see on 1. 10. 10.

17. ἀξίας: subst., as 7. 77. 4, 12.—
18. ἐπέλθετε . . . προθύμως: placed between the parallel clauses τῆς τε . . . μνησθέντες and καὶ . . . ἡγησάμενοι κτέ. Cf. 15. 16.

69. The Athenians advance to the attack. The Syracusans, though not expecting this, enter into the battle with spirit. The different motives for the courageous bearing of the combatants.—1. ἐπῆγε: inchoative impf.—2. ἀπροσδόκητοι: active, as in 2. 33. 14, 93. 26; 4. 72. 12; 7. 29. 14, 39. 14 (but in all these it is in the dat. of pers.reference); elsewhere with passive force (2. 5. 13, 61. 13, 91. 15; 3. 39. 23; 4. 103. 21; 7. 46. 1; 8. 28. 12).—

καιρῷ τούτῳ ἦσαν ὡς ἦδη μαχούμενοι (καί τινες αὐτοῖς ἐγγὺς τῆς πόλεως οὖσης καὶ ἀπεληλύθεσαν, οἱ δὲ καὶ διὰ σπουδῆς 5 προσβοηθοῦντες δρόμῳ ὑστέριζον μέν, ὡς δὲ ἔκαστός πη τοῖς πλείοσι προσμείξειε, καθίσταντο · οὐ γὰρ δὴ προθυμίᾳ ἐλλιπεῖς ἦσαν οὐδὲ τόλμη οὖτ' ἐν ταύτη τῆ μάχη οὖτ' ἐν ταῖς ἄλλαις, ἀλλὰ τῆ μὲν ἀνδρείᾳ οὐχ ἤσσους ἐς ὅσον ἡ ἐπιστήμη ἀντέχοι, τῷ δὲ ἐλλείποντι αὐτῆς καὶ τὴν βούλησιν 10 ἄκοντες προυδίδοσαν) · ὅμως δέ, οὐκ ᾶν οἰόμενοι σφίσι τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους προτέρους ἐπελθεῖν καὶ διὰ τάχους ἀναγκαζόμενοι ἀμύνασθαι, ἀναλαβόντες τὰ ὅπλα εὐθὺς ἀντεπῆσαν. καὶ πρῶτον μὲν αὐτῶν ἑκατέρων οἴ τε λιθοβόλοι καὶ σφεν- ² δονῆται καὶ τοξόται προυμάχοντο καὶ τροπάς, οἶα εἰκὸς

3. ώς ήδη μαχούμενοι: for on the day before the Athenians had not accepted the battle offered (66. § 3). The const. of a verb of expectation with ω s and fut. ptc. is unusual; different is 7.12. 15 προσδοκίαν παρέχειν ώς ἐπιπλεύσονται. - καί τινες αὐτοῖς . . . προυδίδοσαν: parenthetical explanation, the regular narrative being resumed with δμως δέ (l. 10). — 4. και άπεληλύθεσαν: sc. és την πόλιν: had even gone away (to the city). See App. — οί δὲ καλ διά σπουδής: and these indeed in haste. of $\delta \dot{\epsilon} = \tau i \nu \epsilon s$, without change of subj., as in 1, 87, 2. -5. $\dot{\omega}$ 5 δè . . . προσμείξειε, καθίσταντο: but as each everywhere reached the main body, they took their stand, i.e. without regard to the divisions they belonged to. The main body was just advancing against the Athenians (12) and was soon in conflict with these. - 8. άλλὰ τη μέν άνδρεία . . . άντέχοι : but in bravery they were not inferior (to the Athenians) so far as their experience (in war) extended. Hour is understood from the preceding clause. τη άνδρεία repeats

13. oi λιθοβόλοι: throwing stones without slings, = libratores or libritores (Tac.). — σφενδονήται: cf. 43. 14. — 14. τοξόται: cf. 43. 18, 67. 14. — τροπάς . . . άλλήλων ἐποίουν: made routs of one another, put each other to flight. Cf. Hdt. 1. 30. 25; Xen. Hell. 7. 2. 20. τροπή with ποιεῖν is verbal noun of the intr. τρέπεσθαι, whereas in τροπήν ποιεῖσθαι (2. 19. 9; 7. 54. 4) it answers to trans. τρέπειν οτ τρέπεσθαι. — οἰα εἰκὸς ψιλούς: sc. ποιεῖν. Mss. C and G read οἴαs by reverse assimilation to

15 ψιλούς, ἀλλήλων ἐποίουν · ἔπειτα δὲ μάντεις τε σφάγια προύφερον τὰ νομιζόμενα καὶ σαλπιγκταὶ ξύνοδον ἐπώτρυνον τοῖς ὁπλίταις · οἱ δ' ἐχώρουν, Συρακόσιοι μὲν περί τε πατρίδος 8 μαχούμενοι καὶ τῆς ἰδίας ἔκαστος τὸ μὲν αὐτίκα σωτηρίας, τὸ δὲ μέλλον ἐλευθερίας, τῶν δὲ ἐναντίων 'Αθηναῖοι μὲν περί 20 τε τῆς ἀλλοτρίας οἰκείαν σχεῖν καὶ τὴν οἰκείαν μὴ βλάψαι ἡσσώμενοι, 'Αργεῖοι δὲ καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων οἱ αὐτόνομοι ξυγκτήσασθαί τε ἐκείνοις ἐφ' ἃ ἢλθον καὶ τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν σφίσι πατρίδα νικήσαντες πάλιν ἐπιδεῖν · τὸ δ' ὑπήκοον τῶν ξυμμάχων μέγιστον μὲν περὶ τῆς αὐτίκα ἀνελπίστου σωτηρίας,

τροπάς.—15. σφάγια προύφερον: Schol. Εμπροσθεν τῆς στρατιᾶς ἐσφαγιάζοντο.—
16. τὰ νομιζόμενα: the custom is mentioned also 5. 10. § 2.—ξύνοδον ἐπώτρυνον τοῖς ὁπλίταις: roused the hoplites to conflict; poetic const., lit. stirred up conflict for the hoplites. Cf. Hom. χ 152 νῶιν ἐποτρύνει πόλεμον κακόν, stirs up evil war against us, and Tac. Hist. 2. 24 irritare proelium.

17. of 8' exapour: they set off, the following clauses as far as ἐπιδεῖν (23) being appos.—18. The iblas: modifying both σωτηρίαs and έλευθερίας. Cf. 2. 44. 4; 5. 105. 2. — τὸ μὲν αὐτίκα . . . τὸ δὶ μέλλον: for the moment . . . for the future; adv. as 7.38.8. Cf. 4.107.2 kal τὸ αὐτίκα . . . καὶ τὸ ἔπειτα. — 19. 'Αθηvalor: sc. μαχούμενοι, which is more nearly explained by the epexegetical infs. σχείν and μη βλάψαι, just as ξυγκτήσασθαι and έπιδεῖν below. - 21. καλ τών ξυμμάχων οί αὐτόνομοι: among the independent allies of the Athenians are to be understood here only such ξύμμαχοι as did not come under the άρχή arising from the Delian Alliance, i.e. besides the Argives, esp. the Corcyraeans, Cephallenians, and Zacynthians. As opp. to these ἀπὸ ξυμμαχίας αὐτόνομοι (7.57.12), all subjects of the άρχή of the Athenians are comprehended under the term τὸ ὑπήκοον τῶν ξυμμάχων (23), even the Chians and Methymnaeans, who under the $d\rho\chi\dot{\eta}$ enjoyed a certain independence and esp. were not liable to tribute (cf. 85. 7f.; 7.57.17). That Thuc. included the Chians and Methymnaeans among the ὑπήκοοι is clear not only from 7.57. §4f., but also from 22.2, where Nicias otherwise would have had to take into consideration besides the ὑπήκοοι ξύμμαχοι not merely Peloponnesian allies of the Athenians. See Stahl, De Sociorum Atheniensium Iudiciis, Index lect.. Münster, 1881, p. 3f. — 22. ξυγκτήσασθαι ἐκείνοις ἐφ' α ήλθον: to help those (the Athenians) acquire what they had come for. For $\epsilon \phi'$ a $\hbar \lambda \theta o \nu$, cf. 9. 17; 7. 15. 1. — 23. τὸ ὑπήκοον: neuter collective; see on 35.6. These belong also to the evartle (19), but owing to the distance from the leading clause (oi δ' έχώρουν) a new finite verb is expressed (τ δ πρ δθυμον ε<math>lχον). — 24. μέγιστον μέν: above all. See on 1.142.1. - ανελπίστου: closely connected with ην μη 25 ἢν μὴ κρατῶσι, τὸ πρόθυμον εἶχον, ἔπειτα δὲ ἐν παρέργῳ καὶ εἴ τι ἄλλο ξυγκαταστρεψάμενοι ῥῷον αὐτοῖς ὑπακούσον70 ται. γενομένης δ' ἐν χερσὶ τῆς μάχης ἐπὶ πολὺ ἀντεῖχον 1 ἀλλήλοις, καὶ ξυνέβη βροντάς τε ἄμα τινὰς γενέσθαι καὶ ἀστραπὰς καὶ ὕδωρ πολύ, ὧστε τοῖς μὲν πρῶτον μαχομένοις καὶ ἐλάχιστα πολέμῳ ὡμιληκόσι καὶ τοῦτο ξυνεπιλαβέσθαι 5 τοῦ φόβου, τοῖς δ' ἐμπειροτέροις τὰ μὲν γιγνόμενα καὶ ὧρᾳ ἔτους περαίνεσθαι δοκεῖν, τοὺς δὲ ἀνθεστῶτας πολὺ μείζω ἔκπληξιν μὴ νικωμένους παρέχειν. ὡσαμένων δὲ τῶν ᾿Αρ- ² γείων πρῶτον τὸ εὐώνυμον κέρας τῶν Συρακοσίων καὶ μετ' αὐτοὺς τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων τὸ κατὰ σφᾶς αὐτούς, παρερρήγνυτο ἤδη 10 καὶ τὸ ἄλλο στράτευμα τῶν Συρακοσίων καὶ ἐς φυγὴν κατέστη. καὶ ἐπὶ πολὺ μὲν οὐκ ἐδίωξαν οἱ ᾿Αθηναίοι (οἱ γὰρ ἱππῆς ³

κρατῶσι: above all they were zealous for the safety of their lives, for which there was no hope unless they should conquer.
— 25. ἐν παρέργφ: secondarily, by the way. Cf. ἐκ παρέργου 1.142.25; 7.27. 20. — 26. καὶ εἴ τι . . . ὑπακούσονται: after τὸ πρόθυμον εἶχον expressing the hope, whether after having helped (the Athenians) in some other conquest they shall receive milder treatment from them. εἰ as in 2.12.3. Cf. Xen. Anab. 6.4.22 ἐδεήθη προθυμεῖσθαι, εἴ τι κτὲ. See App.

70. After long and brave resistance the Syracusan army was routed, first by the Argives, then also by the Athenians; but the superior cavalry of the Syracusans prevented pursuit.—1. γενομένης ἐν χεροὶ τῆς μάχης: the battle had been begun by the λιθοβόλοι καὶ σφενδονῆται καὶ τοξόται 69.13. γίγνεσθαι ἐν χεροί is used of the combat (4.43.8, 14) as well as of the combatants (3.108.1; 5.72.10; 7.5.6).—2. ἄμα: at the same time (with the combat). Cf. 7.79.9.— γενέσθαι: freq. used of natu-

ral phenomena. See on 1, 54, 23, -3. The cf. 2. 5. 5, 77. 18; 7. 79. 9.— 4. πολέμφ ώμιληκόσι: see on 55. 17. -- και τοῦτο ξυνεπιλαβέσθαι τοῦ φόβου: this too (the storm) contributed to (increased) their fear. Cf. 8. 26. 5, and see on 1. 115. 9. — 5. каl бра ётоиs: even to the season of the year. The sense of the passage is: "while to the more experienced the weather phenomena seemed to be due really to the season of the year '' (i.e. the χειμών 63. 1). Cf. 7. 79. § 3. — 6. τοὺς δὲ ἀνθεστώτας . . . παρέχειν: the emphasis is on μη νικωuévous: the fact that their antagonists were not conquered (i.e. after so long a conflict) caused far more consternation. For the ptc. in pred. position with force of verbal subst., see App. on 4.63, 2.

7. ἀσταμένων: pushed back, routed. See on 4. 35. 11.—9. τὸ κατὰ σφᾶς αὐτούς: i.e. the center (67.3).— παρερρήγνυτο: i.e. the line was broken; cf. 4.96, 25; 5. 73. 3.

τῶν Συρακοσίων πολλοὶ ὄντες καὶ ἀήσσητοι εἰργον καὶ ἐσβαλόντες ές τοὺς ὁπλίτας αὐτῶν, εἴ τινας προδιώκοντας ἴδοιεν, άνέστελλον), έπακολουθήσαντες δε άθρόοι όσον άσφαλώς 15 είχε πάλιν ἐπανεχώρουν καὶ τροπαῖον ἴστασαν. οἱ δὲ Συρα- 4 κόσιοι άθροισθέντες ές την Έλωρίνην όδον και ώς έκ των παρόντων ξυνταξάμενοι ές τε τὸ 'Ολυμπιείον όμως σφών αὐτῶν παρέπεμψαν φυλακήν, δείσαντες μὴ οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τῶν χρημάτων α ήν αὐτόθι κινήσωσι, καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ ἐπανεχώρη- $_{71}^{20}$ σ $\alpha \nu$ ès τ $\dot{\eta}$ ν π \acute{o} λ $\iota \nu$. \dot{o} ι \dot{o} \dot{e} \dot{e} θον, ξυγκομίσαντες δε τους έαυτων νεκρούς και έπι πυράν έπιθέντες ηὐλίσαντο αὐτοῦ. τῆ δ' ὑστεραία τοῖς μὲν Συρακοσίοις ἀπέδοσαν ὑποσπόνδους τοὺς νεκρούς (ἀπέθανον δὲ 5 αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων περὶ έξήκοντα καὶ διακοσίους), τῶν δὲ σφετέρων τὰ ὀστᾶ ξυνέλεξαν (ἀπέθανον δὲ αὐτῶν καὶ τῶν ξυμμάχων ὡς πεντήκοντα), καὶ τὰ τῶν πολεμίων σκύλα έχοντες ἀπέπλευσαν ές Κατάνην. χειμών τε γάρ ήν 2 καὶ τὸν πόλεμον αὐτόθεν ποιείσθαι οὖπω έδόκει δυνατὸν εἶναι,

12. ἐσβαλόντες: cf. 101. 25; 2. 100. 22.—13. προδιώκοντας: pushing forward in pursuit. Prob. elsewhere only in Xen. Anab. 3. 3. 10.—14. ἀνέστελλον: they drove them back. Cf. 3. 98. 3.—15. ἱστασαν: proceeded to set up. See on 5. 74. 5.

16. ὡς ἐκ τῶν παρόντων: as well as possible under present circumstances; also 4.17.4. Cf. ὡς ὡδ' ἐχόντων Soph. Ant. 1179.—17. τὸ 'Ολυμπαῖον: cf. 64.11, 65.15.— ὅμως: nevertheless, i.e. although beaten.— σφῶν αὐτῶν παρέπιμψαν: sent from themselves (part. gen.), i.e. from the main body that was retiring to the city.—18. τῶν χρημάτων: part. gen., as 1.143.2.—19. καὶ οἱ λοιποὶ ἐπανεχώρησαν: correlated

with ξε τε τὸ 'Ολυμπιεῖον . . . παρέπεμψαν, although in the course of the narrative the subject has been restricted.

71. The Athenians, after burning their own dead and giving up under truce those of the enemy, decide not to prosecute the attack, on account of the advanced season and other unfavorable circumstances. — 1. τδ ἰερόν: τδ 'Ολυμπιεῖον. — 2. ἐπὶ πυρὰν ἐπιθέντες: cf. 2. 52. 16. — 3. αὐτοῦ: i.e. on the battlefield. — 6. τὰ ὀστὰ ξυνέλεξαν: with a view to taking them home to Athens. Cf. 2. 34. 13 αἰεὶ ἐν αὐτῷ (τῷ δημοσίῳ σήματι) θάπτουσι τοὺς ἐκ τῶν πολέμων.

8. χειμών: cf. 63. 1.— 9. αὐτόθεν: i.e. from where they had bivouacked. But below (11 and 12) αὐτόθεν = $\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$ τῆς

10 πρὶν ἀν ἱππέας τε μεταπέμψωσιν ἐκ τῶν Ἀθηνῶν καὶ ἐκ τῶν αὐτόθεν ξυμμάχων ἀγείρωσιν, ὅπως μὴ παντάπασιν ἱπποκρατῶνται, καὶ χρήματα δὲ ἄμα αὐτόθεν τε ξυλλέξωνται καὶ παρ' ᾿Αθηναίων ἔλθη, τῶν τε πόλεών τινας προσαγάγωνται, ἀς ἤλπιζον μετὰ τὴν μάχην μᾶλλον σφῶν ὑπακούσεσθαι, τά τε ἄλλα καὶ σῖτον καὶ ὅσων δέοι παρασκευάσωνται, ὡς ἐς τὸ ἔαρ ἐπιχειρήσοντες ταῖς Συρακούσαις.

72 Καὶ οἱ μὲν ταύτη τῆ γνώμη ἀπέπλευσαν ἐς τὴν Νάξον καὶ 1 Κατάνην διαχειμάσοντες· Συρακόσιοι δὲ τοὺς σφετέρους αὐτῶν νεκροὺς θάψαντες ἐκκλησίαν ἐποίουν. καὶ παρελθών 2 αὐτοῖς Ἑρμοκράτης ὁ Ἔρμωνος, ἀνὴρ καὶ ἐς τάλλα ξύνεσιν

Σικελίας. - 10. iππέας τε: correlated with καὶ χρήματα δέ (12), the strengthening of the second clause (with $\delta \epsilon$) being due to its remoteness. With $\tau\epsilon$ in 13 and 15 third and fourth members are added: (1) cavalry, (2) money, (3) allies, (4) provisions and other necessaries.—μεταπέμψωσιν: Thuc. uses act. and mid. apparently without distinction; see on 1.112.6.—11. iπποκρατώνται: be inferior in cavalry; elsewhere only in later writers. Cf. vaukpateîv, to be superior in ships, 7.60.7. — 12. xphματα . . . ξυλλέξωνται και . . . ἔλθη : α rare example of the noun functioning as obj., then as subj. in the same clause. For freq. like const. of rel. pron., see on 4. 17. 2. — 14. ας ήλπιζον . . . ὑπακούσεσθαι: cf. 49. § 6. — 15. τὰ ἄλλα: general obj. of παρασκευάσωνται explained by και σίτον και δσων δέοι, both food and whatever was needed. Cf. 88. 33. — ώς ές τὸ ἔαρ: the Mss. have ωστε, but Valla translates tanquam sub vernum tempus. ¿s of the terminus in view. See on 16.30.

72. Hermocrates urges the Syracusans to improve their military organi-

zation and equipment in accordance with their experience, and to commit the conduct of the war to a few men, assuring them full confidence and ready obedience. — 1. ταύτη τῆ γνώμη: in accordance with this determination (referring to the reasons for sailing back to Catana 71.8). Cf. 1.45.1; 2.20.1; 4. 32. 23. — άπέπλευσαν ές την Νάξον και Κατάνην: both for temporal and geographical reasons Catana should have come first, but Naxos precedes because there first the fleet, after the attempt upon Messene, passed the greater part of the winter (74. § 2), and from there further undertakings proceeded (88. § 3). The sentence is a sort of caption for the whole following episode (Boehme-Widmann). See App .-3. ekkanglav emolouv: called an assembly. See on 1.67.11.

παρελθών αὐτοῖς: see on 15. 19.—
4. 'Ερμοκράτης: introduced already (4.58ff.; 6.32 ff.) as conspicuous statesman and orator; here, where he begins to influence decisively the course of the Sicilian war, properly honored with a characterization esp. emphasizing his

5 οὐδενὸς λειπόμενος καὶ κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον ἐμπειρία τε ἰκανὸς γενόμενος καὶ ἀνδρεία ἐπιφανής, ἐθάρσυνέ τε καὶ οὐκ εἴα τῷ γεγενημένῳ ἐνδιδόναι · τὴν μὲν γὰρ γνώμην αὐτῶν οὐχ ἡσσῆ- 8 σθαι, τὴν δὲ ἀταξίαν βλάψαι. οὐ μέντοι τοσοῦτόν γε λειφθῆ- ναι ὅσον εἰκὸς εἶναι, ἄλλως τε καὶ τοῖς πρώτοις τῶν Ἑλλήνων 10 ἐμπειρία ἰδιώτας, ὡς εἰπεῖν, χειροτέχναις ἀνταγωνισαμένους. μέγα δὲ βλάψαι καὶ τὸ πλῆθος τῶν στρατηγῶν καὶ τὴν πο- 4 λυαρχίαν (ἦσαν γὰρ πεντεκαίδεκα οἱ στρατηγοὶ αὐτοῖς) τῶν

already proved military capacity.—
καὶ ἐς τάλλα: cf. 15. 5.— ξύνεστν: see
Bk. 1⁴. Einl. p. xlviii. The determining
acc. with λείπεσθαὶ τινος is less freq.
than the dat.— 5. κατὰ τὸν πόλεμον
... ἐπιφανής: referring prob. not so
much to the present war between Syracuse and Athens, but, as in 91. 24
and 2. 100. 7, in a wider sense to wars
of the Syracusans before the second
appearance of the Athenians in Sicily.
— 6. οὐκ εἴα: protested. See on 1. 28.
9.— 7. ἐνδιδόναι: give in, with dat. also
3. 37. 6 (οἰκτφ), 8. 86. 33, 89. 4 (τοῖς
πολεμίοις).

γνώμην: spirit, courage. See Bk. 14. Einl. p. xlvii. - οὐχ ἡσσῆσθαι: cf. 8. 66. 13 ήσσωντο ταις γνώμαις. — 9. δσον ciròs civa: inf. in rel. sent. in indir. disc. See on 24.13; 1.91.24. GMT. 755; Kühn. 594, 5; Kr. Spr. 55, 4, 9; 53, 2,9. St.'s bracketing of elval cannot be right, as it would hardly have occurred to any one to add the verb if only 800v είκος had been written. — άλλως τε καί: although wanting in all the better Mss. καί is indispensable with the ptc. άνταγωνισαμένους. - 10. ίδιώτας, ώς είπειν, χειροτέχναις: almost as tyros against skilled handicraftsmen. For ιδιώτης as antithesis to one who has become expert by skill and practice, cf. 2.48.

11 laτρὸs κal ἰδιώτης. That the Syracusans were lacking in military experience has been indicated already by Thuc. himself in 69.6 ff. ως είπεῖν, in qualification of a strong expression, often placed, as here, in the midst of the expression qualified. Cf. 3.38.29, 39.25, 82.3; 7.58.22; 8.5.19. See App.

11. μέγα βλάψαι: see on 64.8. και τὸ πλήθος . . . και τὴν πολυαρχίαν: also the multitude of generals and the distribution of command among many. With this interpretation there is no tautology in the text and hence no need, with Stahl, Hude, and others - following Pluygers, Mnem. XI, 92 - to bracket τὸ πληθος τῶν στράτηγῶν καί. For πολυαρχία, cf. Xen. Anab. 6. 1. 18; Plut. Camill. 18; also Hom. B 204 ούκ άγαθη πολυκοιρανίη. - 12. τών τε πολλών την άξύντακτον άναρχίαν: and the disorderly insubordination of the many. With studied paronomasia (cf. 76. § 2, 4, 79. § 2, 3) Hermocrates contrasts with the large number of nominal commanders the anarchy of the soldiers, i.e. the resultant insubordination of the mass accustomed to no order and discipline. For an example of this, cf. 69. § 1. For the rare use of $\tau \epsilon$ to connect two single notions, see on 1.12.15.

τε πολλών τὴν ἀξύντακτον ἀναρχίαν. ἢν δὲ ὀλίγοι τε στρατηγοὶ γένωνται ἔμπειροι καὶ ἐν τῷ χειμῶνι τούτῷ παρα15 σκευάσωσι τὸ ὁπλιτικόν, οἷς τε ὅπλα μὴ ἔστιν ἐκπορίζοντες, ὅπως ὡς πλεῖστοι ἔσονται, καὶ τἢ ἄλλη μελέτη προσαναγκάζοντες, ἔφη κατὰ τὸ εἰκὸς κρατήσειν σφᾶς τῶν ἐναντίων, ἀνδρείας μὲν σφίσιν ὑπαρχούσης, εὐταξίας δὲ ἐς τὰ ἔργα προσγενομένης · ἐπιδώσειν γὰρ ἀμφότερα αὐτά, τὴν μὲν
20 μετὰ κινδύνων μελετωμένην, τὴν δ' εὐψυχίαν αὐτὴν ἑαυτῆς μετὰ τοῦ πιστοῦ τῆς ἐπιστήμης θαρσαλεωτέραν ἔσεσθαι.
τούς τε στρατηγοὺς καὶ ὀλίγους καὶ αὐτοκράτορας χρῆναι ἑλέ- δ σθαι καὶ ὀμόσαι αὐτοῖς τὸ ὄρκιον ἢ μὴν ἐάσειν ἄρχειν ὅπη ᾶν

-14. παρασκευάσωσι τὸ ὁπλιτικόν: Schol, παιδεύσωσιν είς παρασκευήν, i.e. prepare the hoplite force for service. -16. τῆ ἄλλη μελέτη προσαναγκάζοντες: that to which it is to be compelled is to be inferred from the context elsewhere in Thuc. (88. 24, 91. 17; 3. 61. 14; 4. 87. 8; 5. 42. 19; 7. 18. 31; 8. 76. 32); here it is expressed with the dat., forcing them to exercise (drill) besides. For $\delta\lambda\lambda\eta$ in this sense, cf. 1. 2. 12, 128. 21; 2. 14. 3. — 17. εφη: by its position emphasizing the certainty of success, the conditions of which have been stated. Kr. suspected the word on account of its emphatic position .σφάς: as freq. referring to those represented by the speaker. - 18. avspelas μέν . . . προσγενομένης: the former is assumed as actual, the other is hypothetical: having courage, if discipline be added when it comes to action. For ès rà $\xi \rho \gamma a$, cf. 2. 39. 6, 43. 10, and see on 1. 105. 23.—19. άμφότερα αὐτά: for neut. after two fem. nouns, see on 3.97.19. Cl. understood αὐτά = sponte. But what follows is against this. ἀμφότερα αὐτά merely reiterates the foregoing

ideas; cf. 5. 15. 1; Isoc. 6. 25. - Thy μέν: sc. την εύταξίαν. - 20. μετά κινδύνων μελετωμένην: cf. 1. 18. 32 μετά κινδύνων τάς μελέτας ποιούμενοι. - την δ' εὐψυχίαν: = άνδρείαν. The order is chiastic. For εὐψυχίαν, see Bk. 14. Einl. p. xlix. - αύτην έαυτης . . . θαρσαλεωτέpav čo co a: the comp. with gen, of the reflex, pron. measures progress in the subj. itself. See on 1.8.13; 7.66.14. Freq. in Hdt. H. 644; Kühn. 541, 6. The sense of the passage is: "in proportion as (μετά) confidence in their (military) knowledge grows, their courage will be bolder than it was." θαρσαλεωτέραν ἔσεσθαι—where ἐσομένην was to be expected - gives ἐπιδώσειν more definitely. Cf. Veget. 1. 1 scientia rei bellicae dimicandi nutrit audaciam. Nemo facere metuit, quod se bene fecisse confidit.

22. καὶ ὁλίγους καὶ αὐτοκράτορας: pred., not only few, but also with full powers. The first point has already been fully discussed in § 4, so that the second only is here emphasized. For the force of καί...καί, see on 16.1.—23. τὸ ὄρκιον: sing., as freq. in Hdt.

ἐπίστωνται οὖτω γὰρ ἄ τε κρύπτεσθαι δεῖ μᾶλλον ἄν στέγε25 σθαι καὶ τᾶλλα κατὰ κόσμον καὶ ἀπροφασίστως παρασκευα73 σθῆναι. καὶ οἱ Συρακόσιοι αὐτοῦ ἀκούσαντες ἐψηφίσαντό τε 1
πάντα ὡς ἐκέλευς καὶ στρατηγὸν αὐτόν τε εἴλοντο τὸν Ἑρμοκράτην καὶ Ἡρακλείδην τὸν Λυσιμάχου καὶ Σικανὸν τὸν Ἐξηκέστου, τούτους τρεῖς, καὶ ἐς τὴν Κόρινθον καὶ ἐς τὴν ½
5 Λακεδαίμονα πρέσβεις ἀπέστειλαν, ὅπως ξυμμαχία τε αὐτοῖς παραγένηται καὶ τὸν πρὸς ᾿Αθηναίους πόλεμον βεβαιότερον πείθωσι ποιεῖσθαι ἐκ τοῦ προφανοῦς ὑπὲρ σφῶν τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους, ἴνα ἢ ἀπὸ τῆς Σικελίας ἀπαγάγωσιν αὐτοὺς ἢ πρὸς τὸ ἐν Σικελία στράτευμα ἣσσον ὡφελίαν
10 ἄλλην ἐπιπέμπωσιν.

4 Τὸ δ' ἐν τῆ Κατάνη στράτευμα τῶν Ἀθηναίων ἔπλευσεν εὐθὺς 1

- ἡ μήν: with indirect oath, as 4.84.
4.—24. μᾶλλον ᾶν στέγεσθαι: poetical form of expression. Cf. τι χρη στέγειν η τι λέγειν; Soph. Phil. 136; κακόν τι κεύθεις και στέγεις ὑπὸ σκότω Eur. Phoen. 1214.—25. ἀπροφασίστως: without evasions.—παρασκευασθήναι: ἀν of the first clause is understood here. Steup thinks not, the determining condition being sufficiently expressed by οὕτω. See App. on 2.3.8.

73. In consequence of this admonition Hermocrates and two others are placed in command and ambassadors are sent to Corinth and Sparta to urge the pushing of the war. — 4. τούτους τρεῖς: emphasizing the limitation of the πεντεκαίδεκα of 72.12. For absence of art., cf. ἐνὶ ἔργψ τούτψ 5.75.11; ἐπὶ μίαν πόλιν ταύτην 7.56.23.—5. ξυμμαχία: abstract for concrete, — ξύμμαχοι; cf. ὡφελία below (9).—6. τὸν πρὸς ᾿Αθηναίους πόλεμον βεβαιότερον . . . ἐκ τοῦ προφανοῦς κτἐ.: the two adverbs

strengthen each other: "to make the war more persistently and openly in their behalf." Cf. 88, 44 τον αὐτοῦ πόλεμον σαφέστερον ποιείσθαι πρός τούς 'Αθηvalous and 91. 20 τὰ ἐνθάδε χρη αμα φανερώτερον έκπολεμείν. - 7. έκ τοῦ προφανούς: as 3. 43. 10. Cf. έκ τοῦ εὐθέος 1. 34. 10; ἐκ τοῦ ἀφανοῦς 1. 51. 3; .ἐκ τοῦ φανεροῦ 4. 79. 10. — ὑπὲρ σφῶν: in their behalf, carried out in the final clause ໃνα . . . έπιπέμπωσιν. — 8. **ἀπαγάγωσιν**: (sc. ol Λακεδαιμόνιοι) draw off, as 1. 109. 6; 3. 36. 4; 5. 55. 10. See on 64. 2. — 9. worklar and ther assistance, i.e. reënforcements. For this meaning, cf. 88. 45, 93. 10, 103. 13. — 10. ἐπιπέμπωσιν: sc. ol 'Αθηναΐοι. The change of subj. is harsh. See on 1.51.16.

74. The Athenians failing in their attempt to bring over Messene betake themselves to Naxos for winter quarters, and send to Athens for money and cavalry.—1. ἐν τῆ Κατάνη: cf. 71. 8, and see on 72. 1.— εθθές: i.e.

ἐπὶ Μεσσήνην ὡς προδοθησομένην. καὶ ἃ μὲν ἐπράσσετο, οὐκ ἐγένετο ᾿Αλκιβιάδης γὰρ ὅτ᾽ ἀπήει ἐκ τῆς ἀρχῆς ἦδη μετάπεμπτος, ἐπιστάμενος ὅτι φεύξοιτο, μηνύει τοῖς τῶν Συ- τοῦς τοῦς ἐν τῆ Μεσσήνη ξυνειδῶς τὸ μέλλον οἱ δὲ τούς τε ἄνδρας διέφθειραν πρότερον καὶ τότε στασιάζοντες καὶ ἐν ὅπλοις ὄντες ἐπεκράτουν μὴ δέχεσθαι τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους οἱ ταῦτα βουλόμενοι. ἡμέρας δὲ μείναντες περὶ τρεῖς καὶ δέκα οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ὡς ἐχειμάζοντο καὶ τὰ ἐπιτήδεια 10 οὐκ εἶχον καὶ προυχώρει οὐδέν, ἀπελθόντες ἐς Νάξον καὶ

immediately after the return to Catana. -2. ώς προδοθησομένην: in the hope that it would be betrayed, i.e. that the negotiations begun by Alcibiades (50. § 1), and probably continued secretly with the pro-Athenian faction, would now be successful. — α μèν ἐπράσσετο: the negotiations, Schol. τὰ τῆς προδοσίας. For $\pi \rho \dot{\alpha} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ of secret negotiations, cf. 56. 7 and freq. For $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ the correl. is $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ in 1.8. - 3. oùk èvéveto: did not come to anything. Cf. 4.68.31; 5.116.3; and see on 3. 23. 26. — ήδη: Hude would transpose after ἐπιστάμενος, but it seems to be added to ὅτ' ἀπήει ἐκ τῆς ἀρχῆς to emphasize the fact that the treachery of Alcibiades followed his recall when he had to give up his command. -4. ἐπιστάμενος ὅτι Φεύξοιτο: knowing (i.e. having determined) that he would go into banishment. He had taken at once the resolution which he carried out at Thurii (61. § 6). The opt. of indir. disc., as 1. 38. 4; 4. 108. 13. μηνύει . . . τὸ μέλλον: cf. 4. 89. 10 μηνυθέντος τοῦ ἐπιβουλεύματος. — 6. οἱ δέ: sc. οί τῶν Συρακοσίων φίλοι. - τοὺς ἄνδρας: as may be understood from the context = τους υπόπτους ως προδιδόντας Schol. -διέφθειραν: after the hist. pres. μηνύει

not to be taken, with Cl., as plpf. (see on 46.9), but as aor. narrandi. πρότερον: i.e. immediately after the information given by Alcibiades; opp. to $\tau b \tau \epsilon$, at that time, i.e. on the approach of the Athenian fleet. - στασιάζοντες και ἐν ὅπλοις ὅντες: since οἱ δέ (6) can refer only to the party friendly to the Syracusans, as likewise έπεκράτουν μή δέχεσθαι τους 'Αθηναίους, and finally the particles $\tau \epsilon \dots \kappa a \ell$ seem clearly to indicate that two things are to be said of ol dé, these participles cannot refer, as Cl. and St. think, to all the Messenians. For στασιάζειν of a party in insurrection (not of a whole in dissension), cf. 17. 12; 1. 126. 41; and στάσις 4. 71. 2; 7. 50. 4. — 7. ἐν ὅπλοις: see on 56. 9. - ἐπεκράτουν: carried the point, with inf. expressing the result, as 5.46.25. -8. οί ταῦτα βουλόμενοι: in epexegetical apposition to ol dé. Steup follows v. H. in bracketing the words as a marginal explanation.

ήμέρας περί τρεῖς και δέκα: περί in a numerical statement placed after the noun, as 1.54.13, 117.5. Cf. 1.100.6.— μείναντες: i.e. before Messene.—9. ἐχειμάζοντο: were storm-beaten, as 2. 25.19; 3.69.4, and freq.—11. ὅρια

δρια καὶ σταυρώματα περὶ τὸ στρατόπεδον ποιησάμενοι, αὐτοῦ διεχείμαζον καὶ τριήρη ἀπέστειλαν ἐς τὰς ᾿Αθήνας ἐπί τε χρήματα καὶ ἱππέας, ὅπως ἄμα τῷ ἢρι παραγένωνται.

Το Ἐπείχιζον δὲ καὶ οἱ Συρακόσὶοι ἐν τῷ χειμῶνι πρός τε τἢ 1 πόλει, τὸν Τεμενίτην ἐντὸς ποιησάμενοι, τεῖχος παρὰ πᾶν τὸ πρὸς τὰς Ἐπιπολὰς ὁρῶν, ὅπως μὴ δι᾽ ἐλάσσονος εὐαποτείτιστοι ὧσιν, ἢν ἄρα σφάλλωνται, καὶ τὰ Μέγαρα φρούριον τοὶ ἐν τῷ ᾿Ολυμπιείῳ ἄλλο καὶ τὴν θάλασσαν προεσταύρωσαν πανταχῆ ἢ ἀποβάσεις ἢσαν. καὶ τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους 2 εἰδότες ἐν τῆ Νάξῳ χειμάζοντας ἐστράτευσαν πανδημεὶ ἐπὶ

και σταυρώματα περι τὸ στρατόπεδον ποιησάμενοι: having constructed dock-yards and stockades round the camp. σταυρώματα in pl., as 7.53.2. See App.

75. The Syracusans utilize the leisure to build fortifications for the defense of the city. They make also an expedition against Catana and destroy the abandoned Athenian camp. On information that the Athenians had sent ambassadors to Camarina to win over that city, they too send thither Hermocrates and others for the same purpose. — 1. etely lov: has double object πρός τε τη πόλει . . . τείχος . . . δρών and (4) καὶ τὰ Μέγαρα φρούριον καὶ . . . dλλο. -2. τον Τεμενίτην: the temple of Apollo Temenites and the suburb which had grown up about it, the later · Neapolis.—ἐντὸς ποιησάμενοι: i.e. they brought the hitherto open suburb into the compass of the new wall. If it be true, as is highly probable, that the outer city (Achradina) was walled about, the new wall bent round the earlier one on the eastern declivity of Epipolae (παρά πᾶν τὸ πρὸς τὰς Ἐπιπολὰς ορων), and led from there down toward the Great Harbor. For its probable

course, see the map Siege of Syracuse. Cf. Holm, Gesch. Siziliens II, 384 f.; Lupus, Die Stadt Syrakus, p. 120 ff.; Freeman, Hist. of Sicily III, 656 ff.; W.E. Heitland, Jour. of Philol. XXIII, 46 ff.; E. Lange, Philologus LVI, 677. — τὸ πρὸς τὰς Ἐπιπολὰς ὁρῶν: cf. 97. 25, 101. 2; 2. 55. 4, 93. 22; 7. 37. 6. — 3. όπως μη δι' έλάσσονος εύαποτείχιστοι worv: a circumvallation on the part of the enemy (amoreixious) should in consequence of the greater circuit of their own wall not be practicable within a slighter distance from the city proper, and so in smaller compass. - 4. 7à Méγαρα φρούριον: sc. έτειχιζον; for const., cf. 2. 32. 1 έτειχίσθη Αταλάντη . . . φρούριον. They fortified Megara (α ην έρημα 49. 18) for a defense toward the north (see on 94.6), as the Olympieum toward the south. - 5. την θάλασσαν προεσταύpwoav: they protected the shore by means of pales driven in along it. See on 4.9.4. Only the Great Harbor is meant, as 97. § 1 shows, and indeed the pales were driven down πρό των παλαιών νεωσοίκων (7. 25. 20). — 6. ή άποβάσεις ήσαν: where landings were possible. See on 4. 13. 5.

την Κατάνην, καὶ της τε γης αὐτῶν ἔτεμον καὶ τὰς τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων σκηνὰς καὶ τὸ στρατόπεδον ἐμπρήσαντες ἀνεχώρησαν
10 ἐπ᾽ οἴκου.

Καὶ πυνθανόμενοι τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους ἐς τὴν Καμάριναν κατὰ 8 τὴν ἐπὶ Λάχητος γενομένην ξυμμαχίαν πρεσβεύεσθαι, εἶ πως προσαγάγοιντο αὐτούς, ἀντεπρεσβεύοντο καὶ αὐτοί· ἦσαν γὰρ ὑποπτοι αὐτοῖς οἱ Καμαριναῖοι μὴ προθύμως σφίσι μήτ' ἐπὶ 15 τὴν πρώτην μάχην πέμψαι ἃ ἔπεμψαν, ἔς τε τὸ λοιπὸν μὴ οὐκέτι βούλωνται ἀμύνειν, ὁρῶντες τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους ἐν τῆ μάχη εὖ πράξαντας, προσχωρῶσι δ᾽ αὐτοῖς κατὰ τὴν προτέραν φιλίαν πεισθέντες. ἀφικομένων οὖν ἐκ μὲν Συρακουσῶν 4 Ἑρμοκράτους καὶ ἄλλων ἐς τὴν Καμάριναν, ἀπὸ δὲ τῶν 20 ᾿Αθηναίων Εὐφήμου μεθ᾽ ἑτέρων, ὁ Ἑρμοκράτης ξυλλόγου γενομένου τῶν Καμαριναίων βουλόμενος προδιαβάλλειν τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους ἔλεγε τοιάδε.

8. **ths** yhs... **tremov**: part. gen. $ab\tau \hat{\omega} \nu = \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ Kataval $\omega \nu$.— $\tau \hat{as} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Aθηvalwo σκηνάς και τό στρατόπεδον: cf. 51. § 3, 64. § 3, 88. § 5.

11. ές την Καμάριναν: cf. 52. § 1.— 12. την έπι Λάχητος γενομένην ξυμμαx(av: cf. 3. 86. § 2. See on 52. 6. - et $\pi\omega_s$: with opt., as 4. 11. 12 and freq. — 13. άντεπρεσβεύοντο: elsewhere only in later writers. - kal autol: added to give additional force; see on 1.50.18. — 14. υποπτοι: followed (1) by μή with inf., (2) by μή with subjv. — 15. α ἔπεμwav: about 20 horsemen and 50 bowmen (67. § 2). — μη οὐκέτι βούλωνται: the reference to the future in ές το λοιπόν induces (as opposed to μη πέμψαι of the past) the subjvs. dependent on υποπτοι in the sense of aroused anxiety; but the two clauses are closely correlated by μήτε . . . τε.—17. κατά την προτέραν φιλίαν: belongs to πεισθέντες, not to προσχωρῶσι; with the latter it would be superfluous.

21. προδιαβάλλειν: i.e. to forestall the influence of the Athenians by a contrary representation; cf. 76. 2 δείσαντες . . . τους μέλλοντας ἀπ' αὐτῶν λόγους. For διαβάλλειν means here not so much to 'slander,' as to 'put in an unfavorable light,' as also 83. 12.

Speech of Hermocrates of Syracuse at Camarina (76-80)

76. We are come because we feared you might give heed to what the ambassadors will say. Not the restoration of Leontini, but the subjugation of the whole island is the object of the Athenians. They intend to proceed here just as at home, where the Hellenic cities, which accepted their leadership

76 "Οὐ τὴν παροῦσαν δύναμιν τῶν 'Αθηναίων, ὧ Καμαριναῖοι, 1 μὴ αὐτὴν καταπλαγῆτε δείσαντες ἐπρεσβευσάμεθα, ἀλλὰ μᾶλλον τοὺς μέλλοντας ἀπ' αὐτῶν λόγους, πρίν τι καὶ ἡμῶν ἀκοῦσαι, μὴ ὑμᾶς πείσωσιν. ἤκουσι γὰρ ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν 2 5 προφάσει μὲν ἢ πυνθάνεσθε, διανοία δὲ ἢν πάντες ὑπονοοῦμεν καί μοι δοκοῦσιν οὐ Λεοντίνους βούλεσθαι κατοικίσαι, ἀλλ' ἡμᾶς μᾶλλον ἐξοικίσαι. οὐ γὰρ δὴ εὔλογον τὰς μὲν ἐκεῖ πόλεις ἀναστάτους ποιεῖν, τὰς δὲ ἐνθάδε κατοικίζειν, καὶ Λεοντίνων μὲν Χαλκιδέων ὄντων κατὰ τὸ ξυγγενὲς κήδεσθαι, 10 Χαλκιδέας δὲ τοὺς ἐν Εὐβοία, ὧν οἴδε ἄποικοί εἰσι, δουλωσαμένους ἔχειν. τὴ δὲ αὐτῆ ἰδέα ἐκεῖνά τε ἔσχον καὶ τὰ ἐνθάδε 3

in the alliance concluded on the pretext of taking revenge on the Medes, have one after another been enslaved on trumped-up charges. — 1. οὐ τὴν παρούσαν δύναμιν των 'Αθηναίων . . . άλλὰ μᾶλλον τοὺς . . . λόγους (3) : objs. of delouvres so construed that the former recurs as obj. (αὐτήν) of μὴ καταπλαγήτε, while from the latter (τούς λόγους) a subj. is supplied for μη ύμας πείσωσι. Thuc. freq. uses such proleptic consts. to make vivid important ideas. Cf. 77. 1, 88. 5. - 2. μη αὐτην καταπλαγήτε: for accus., cf. ήμας . . . έκπεπληγμένοι είεν 11.12. - 3. τοὺς μέλλοντας ἀπ' αὐτῶν λόγους: εc. λέγεσθαι, the words that are going to be said on their part. See on 28.1, 32.16; 3.36. 24. With τους μέλλοντας λόγους, cf. τά μέλλοντα ξργα 3. 38. 17; τον μέλλοντα πόλεμον 1. 36. 8; 8. 43. 11; δ άγων δ μέλλων 7. 61. 2. - πρίν τι και ήμων άκοῦσαι: put for emphasis before the μή clause. See on 80.17.

 ἡκουσι γὰρ κτέ.: stating what is pointed to in πρίν τι καὶ ἡμῶν ἀκοῦσαι. For γάρ, see on 33. 7. — 5. προφάσει μέν . . . διανοία δέ: cf. Hdt. 2. 100. 11 $\tau \hat{\psi} \lambda \delta \gamma \psi$, $\nu \delta \psi \delta \hat{\epsilon}$. The dat. $\pi \rho \phi \phi \delta \sigma \epsilon i$, as 5.53.2; elsewhere accus., 33.8; 3.111. 2; 5. 80. 17. - τ πυνθάνεσθε: sc. ηκειν. In the second clause the rel. is construed as dir. obj. with ὑπονοοῦμεν, as 7. 73. 1 ὑπονοήσας αὐτῶν τὴν διάνοιαν. On the matter, see 33. § 2. — 6. Λεοντίvous κατοικίσαι: cf. 33.9, 50.17, 63.14, 77.6.— κατοικίσαι . . . έξοικίσαι: paronomasia, freq. in Thuc., esp. in speeches. See on 72. 12; 1. 33. 26. — 7. où yàp δή: cf. 69. 6. — τὰς ἐκεῖ πόλεις: as Λegina, Scione, Melos. - 8. avactátous ποιείν: as 8. 24. 15.—9. Λεοντίνων Χαλκιδέων: cf. 3. § 3. — κατά τὸ ξυγγενές: cf. 6. 4, 77. 6. - κήδεσθαι: always of especially thoughtful care or sympathy, here ironical. See on 14.2.— 10. δουλωσαμένους έχειν: see on 39. 10; 1.38.15.

11. τῆ αὐτῆ ἰδέφ: in the same way, as 3.62.4; 7.81.30. Cf. τοῦτο τὸ εἶδος 77.13. For Thuc.'s use of the word, see on 1.109.2.—ἐκεῖνα: i.e. the Hel-

νῦν πειρῶνται ἡγεμόνες γὰρ γενόμενοι ἐκόντων τῶν τε Ἰωνων καὶ οσοι ἀπὸ σφῶν ἦσαν ξύμμαχοι ὡς ἐπὶ τοῦ Μήδου τιμωρία, τοὺς μὲν λιποστρατίαν, τοὺς δὲ ἐπ' ἀλλήλους

lenic cities in the east brought under their rule. - 12. πειρώνται: sc. σχείν. - ἐκόντων: for the matter, cf. 1.75. § 2, 95. § 1, 96. § 1. — Tŵy Te 'Iwwy Kal όσοι . . . τιμωρία: both of the Ionians (of Asia Minor) and all who, descended from them, were members of the alliance concluded for revenge upon the Mede. The original members of the Delian Confederation that were not tribally related to the Athenians, as the Lesbians, are disregarded here, because the orator would draw a parallel between the present conduct of the Athenians in Sicily and their attainment to power in the east, and has before spoken of the Athenian pretext of interference on behalf of their kinsmen, the Leontines. For both these reasons, mention of allies not tribally related to the Athenians, which Kr. misses here, would be out of place, and would besides be in open contradiction of 82. § 3, where the Athenian speaker, with manifest reference to the present passage, says: ές τὸ ἀκριβές είπεῖν οὐδὲ άδίκως καταστρεψάμενοι τούς τε "Ιωνας καὶ νησιώτας, ούς ξυγγενείς φασιν όντας ήμας Συρακόσιοι δεδουλώσθαι. ήλθον γάρ έπὶ τὴν μητρόπολιν έφ' ήμας μετά τοῦ Μήδου. On the other hand, the mention of the Hellespontians in 77.8 proves nothing for Kr.'s view, since most of the dwellers on the Hellespont and the Propontis were of Ionic descent, and so, in Hdt.'s phrase (1. 147. 7), were $d\pi' A\theta\eta\nu\epsilon\omega\nu$; besides 77. § 1 need not refer merely to the time of the formation of the Delian Confederation. For the whole

passage, esp. its importance for the history of the transition of the naval hegemony to Athens, its relation to 1. 89. § 2 and 1. 95. § 1, and its contradiction of Hdt. 9. 106 - acc. to which, of the Ionians of Asia Minor only the Chians and Samians at the time of the formation of the Delian alliance had belonged to the great Hellenic confederation, which also is irreconcilable with Arist. Ath. Pol. 23 — see Steup. Rh. Mus. XXV, 329 ff., and App. on 1. 89. 8. — τών τε Ἰώνων καὶ όσοι: correlation of whole and part, as 16.21, 69. 21, 88. 55; 1. 5. 18; 2. 69. 9; 3. 51. 7; 4. 5. 6.—13. ἀπὸ σφῶν ἦσαν: Schol. άποικοι ήσαν αὐτῶν. Cf. 1. 12. 13 "Ιωνας ' Αθηναίοι καὶ νησιωτών τοὺς πολλοὺς ὤκισαν. Cf. also 2. 15. 25; 7. 57. 19. - ξύμμαχοι: here members of an alliance; see on 14. 48. 11. - ώς ἐπὶ τοῦ Μήδου τιμωρία: τιμωρία τινός, vengeance on some one, as 7.68.4; 8.82.4; whereas in 3. 63. 3 έπι τη ημετέρα τιμωρία must mean for your protection against us. The meaning vengeance here is supported by 1.96.4 πρόσχημα ην άμύνασθαι ων ξπαθον δηοῦντας την βασιλέως χώραν, and the fact that in § 4 of the present chapter the reference is to events of 480 and 479 B.C. need not keep us from understanding the present passage in agreement with the parallel one in Book I. - 14. τούς μέν . . . τούς δέ . . . τοίς δ' . . . ἐπενεγκόντες κατεστρέψαντο: for the matter, cf. 1.98.§4, 99. In the first two clauses we have the accus. depending on κατεστρέψαντο, but in the third rois d' by attraction to ws exacros

15 στρατεύειν, τοῖς δ' ὡς ἐκάστοις τινὰ εἶχον αἰτίαν εὐπρεπῆ ἐπενεγκόντες κατεστρέψαντο. καὶ οὐ περὶ τῆς ἐλευθερίας 4 ἄρα οὖτε οὖτοι τῶν Ἑλλήνων οὖθ' οἱ Ἑλληνες τῆς ἑαυτῶν τῷ Μήδῳ ἀντέστησαν, περὶ δὲ οἱ μὲν σφίσιν ἀλλὰ μὴ ἐκείνῳ καταδουλώσεως, οἱ δ' ἐπὶ δεσπότου μεταβολῆ οὐκ ἀξυνετω-20 τέρου, κακοξυνετωτέρου δέ.

77 "'Αλλ' οὐ γὰρ δὴ τὴν τῶν 'Αθηναίων εὐκατηγόρητον οὖσαν 1 πόλιν νῦν ἦκομεν ἀποφανοῦντες ἐν εἰδόσιν ὅσα ἀδικεῖ, πολὺ δὲ μᾶλλον ἡμᾶς αὐτοὺς αἰτιασόμενοι ὅτι ἔχοντες παραδείγματα

τινὰ εἶχον αἰτίαν εὐπρεπῆ ἐπενεγκόντες, understanding αὐτοῖς with ἐπενεγκόντες in the first two clauses and αὐτοῦς with the verb in the third clause. See on 77. 15.— λιποστρατίαν: for the form, see on 1.99.3.— 16. ἐπενεγκόντες: has obj. first in accus. (λιποστρατίαν), then in inf. clause (ἐπ' ἀλλήλους στρατεύειν, referring to Samos and Miletus, 1.115. § 2), and last in rel. cl. (ώς ἐκάστοις τινὰ εἶχον αἰτίαν εὐπρεπῆ, sc. ἐπιφέρειν).

και ού περί της έλευθερίας άρα: άρα gives a tone of bitter scorn to the conclusion that even in the preceding conflicts the question was never one of Hellenic freedom.—17. οῦτε τῶν Ἑλλήνων ούθ'... της έαυτών: for omission of art. $(\tau \hat{\eta} s)$ in the first member, cf. 83. 7, and see on 6. 27. — τῷ Μήδφ ἀντέστησαν: not to be understood, with Cl., of the continuance of the war till 449 B.C. The Hellenes here mentioned were at the time of the formation of the Delian Confederation no longer under Persian rule, and the liberation of Hellenes was also not the object of that alliance. In the reply of Euphemus, too (83. § 2), the struggle for freedom is put in the earlier period. - 18. mepl δὲ οἱ μὲν σφίσιν . . . κακοξυνετωτέρου δέ : for analysis of the passage, see App.

σφίσιν: = σφίσιν αὐτοῖς. See on 4.
28. 27.—19. ἐπὶ δεσπότου μεταβολῆ:
i.e. to change one master for another.
Cf. 18. 39.—20. κακοξυνετωτέρου: (= κακοφρονεστέρου) coined for the sake of the paronomasia.

77. It is high time that we, warned by these examples and not deceived by their false pretenses, show them by our united resistance that we are Dorians and of quite other spirit than the Hellenes subject to them. Or shall we let ourselves be subdued severally by them and believe ourselves safe until our turn comes?—1. άλλ'... γάρ: άλλά breaks off from the preceding, γάρ leads over to something else. Kr. Spr. 69, 14, 4. - την των 'Αθηναίων . . . πόλιν... ὄσα άδικει: proleptic const., as 76. 1. - 2. iv elboriv: as 2. 36. 14; 4. 59. 5. Cf. 2. 43. 5. — 3. παραδείγματα $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ \tau' \ \hat{\epsilon} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\iota} \ 'E \lambda \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \omega \nu : \ \tau \epsilon - \text{which is}$ slightly misplaced, belonging after waραδείγματα — is correl. to και νῦν. Cf. 76.11. Most of the better Mss. have ἐκεῖσε, but this for ἐκεῖ is found only in late writers. Elsewhere Thuc. uses παράδειγμα, in sing, and pl., as predicate of persons or events which serve

των τ' ἐκεῖ Ἑλλήνων ως ἐδουλωθησαν οὐκ ἀμύνοντες σφί5 σιν αὐτοῖς, καὶ νῦν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ταὐτὰ παρόντα σοφίσματα, Λεοντίνων τε ξυγγενων κατοικίσεις καὶ Ἐγεσταίων ξυμμάχων
ἐπικουρίας, οὐ ξυστραφέντες βουλόμεθα προθυμότερον δεῖξαι
αὐτοῖς ὅτι οὐκ Ἰωνες τάδε εἰσὶν οὐδ' Ἑλλησπόντιοι καὶ νησιωται, οῦ δεσπότην ἡ Μῆδον ἡ ἔνα γέ τινα αἰεὶ μεταβάλλοντες

as examples (παράδειγμα αὐτοὶ ὅντες 2. 37.2; παραδείγμασι τοις προγεγενημένοις χρώμενοι 3. 10. 19; παραδείγμασι χρώμενοι τοις ές τους άλλους 3. 11. 24; παράδειγμα έχομεν τούς άντιπέρας Εύβοέας 4. 92.18). Only here is it obj. with the gen, of persons serving as examples. So much the stronger is the emphasis upon the dependent clause ώς έδουλώθησαν κτέ. (cf. 4.92.20 ώς αὐτοῖς διάκειται): having warning examples in the oversea Hellenes, how these have been enslaved because they did not defend one another.—4. $\sigma\phi$ for ϕ and ϕ another.—4. ϕ for ϕ and ϕ another. as 5.69.17; 8.76.9. See on èv éautoîs 4. 25. 34. - 5. και νῦν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς ταὐτὰ πα**ρόντα σοφίσματα:** ταὐτὰ σοφίσματα is second obj. of έχοντες with έφ' ήμας παρόντα as pred. For σοφίσματα, sophisms, only here in Thuc., cf. σοφιστής also occurring once only (3.38.31). For έφ' ήμας παρόντα, directed against us, cf. 8. 92. 45. See on 3. 3. 19. The admissibility of this const. can scarcely be doubted, considering Thuc.'s fondness for the periphrastic use of ξχειν with pred. adjs. (see on 1. 120.9), even if elsewhere only in 1.144.19 (ήσσον έγκεισομένους τούς έναντίους έξομεν) he adds a ptc. as pred. to Exeir. On account of the position of $\tau \epsilon$ (4), St. understands with this clause έχοντες παραδείγματα, but the slight misplacement of $\tau \epsilon$, which really belongs after παραδείγματα, need not be taken as a fatal objection to the explanation here adopted. See on 2. 46.1.-6. κατοικίσεις . . . ἐπικουρίας: explan. appos. to σοφίσματα. The pl. by its general character indicates the unreality of the pretexts assigned. On the matter, cf. 6. § 1, 8. § 2, 33. § 2, 50. § 4, 63. § 3, 76. § 2.—'Eyectalwy έπικουρίας: obj. gen., as 7.43.12 την έπιχείρησιν τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν. — 7. ξυστραφέντες: uniting together, not merely in a military sense. Cf. 91.5; 8.54.17. — προθυμότερον: see on 6.6. - δείξαι: cf. δήλον ποιησαι 34. 21. — 8. ὅτι οὐκ "Ιωνες τάδε elow: that this is not Ionians. The neut. τάδε with masc. pred. gives esp. emphasis. Cf. 'Απόλλων τάδ' ην Soph. O.R. 1329; οὐ γάρ ἐσθ' Εκτωρ τάδε Eur. Androm. 168. elolv construed with pred., as 3. 112. 2; 4. 102. 13. — oùk "Iwves . . . ούδ' Έλλησπόντιοι και νησιώται: a complete enumeration of the subjects of the Athenians is not intended, rather only chief groups are made prominent; e.g. the communities of the Thracian coast and the Aeolians of Asia Minor are not taken into account. See Steup, Rh. Mus. XXXV, 327 N. — νησιώται: inhabitants of the Cyclades. See on 3. 104. 11. - 9. Eva yé tiva: any one whoever. Cf. 34. 11, 61. 12. - μεταβάλλοντες: changing to, adopting. Cf. μεταβολή 76. 19. — 10. άπ' αὐτονόμου τῆς Πελοποννήσου: cf. ἀπὸ σφῶν 76, 13.

ίνται, ἀλλὰ Δωριῆς ἐλεύθεροι ἀπ' αὐτονόμου τῆς Πελοτου τὴν Σικελίαν οἰκοῦντες. ἡ μένομεν ἔως ἄν ἔκα- 2
ατὰ πόλεις ληφθῶμεν, εἰδότες ὅτι ταύτη μόνον άλωτοί
καὶ ὁρῶντες αὐτοὺς ἐπὶ τοῦτο΄ τὸ εἶδος τρεπομένους
τοὺς μὲν λόγοις ἡμῶν διιστάναι, τοὺς δὲ ξυμμάχων
ἐκπολεμοῦν πρὸς ἀλλήλους, τοῖς δὲ ὡς ἑκάστοις τι
νὲς λέγοντες δύνανται κακουργεῖν; καὶ οἰόμεθα τοῦ
ν ξυνοίκου προαπολλυμένου οὐ καὶ ἐς αὐτόν τινα ἤξειν
νόν, πρὸ δὲ αὐτοῦ μᾶλλον τὸν πάσχοντα καθ' αὐτὸν
(εῖν;

μένομεν έως: or are we waiting he indic. in the ironical quesnore effective than the dub. ξκαστοι κατά πόλεις: severally ity. - 12. rairn: in this way, isolated. — 13. έπλ τοῦτο τὸ πομένους ώστε κτέ.: cf. 8.56.7 έπι τοιόνδε είδος ωστε κτέ. For eldos, cf. $\tau \hat{\eta}$ av $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ldéa 76. 11. δὲ ὡς ἐκάστοις . . . κακουργείν : assimilated to exáctois, which ued with λέγοντες. Jowett exκακουργείν stands in a double rith δύνανται and with ωστε." e of the passage is as if we had ικουργείν ώς έκάστοις τι προσηνές δύνανται (SC. κακουργεῖν αὐτούς). 5. But Steup, who adopts with fter Badham) τους δέ, objects pove (Cl.'s) explanation, that, in 76. 15 τοῖς δέ is connected with a ptc. in the leading !πενεγκόντες), here it must be nt on a ptc. (λέγοντες) to be from the dependent clause. kets δύνανται, which relieves ulty, if we also read, with v. ras. Schütz (Ztsch. f. d. Gym. , 101) would transpose δύνανται λέγοντες. - 16. προσηνές: not found elsewhere in Attic prose. — 17. ἐς αὐτόν Tiva: to each one himself. See on 31.25. es with neer as xwpeîr es tiva 4.35.2; καταφεύγειν ές τινα 4. 113. 10; πέμπειν ές Tiva 4. 93. 8; 8. 47. 9. For the thought, cf. 1. 120. 12 προσδέχεσθαι δέ ποτε, εί τὰ κάτω προοίντο, κάν μέχρι σφών το δεινον προελθεῖν. - 18. πρὸ αὐτοῦ: referring to αὐτόν τινα and put for esp. emphasis before τον πάσχοντα, to which it belongs. Cf. 64. 23 ταῦτα τοὺς ξυνδράσοντας, and see on 4.20.15. For position of μαλλον, cf. 4. 98. 5. The sense of the passage is that rather whoever before him comes to grief suffers misfortune for himself alone. Madvig (Adv. I, 327) thinks that the word-order requires # after πάσχοντα.

78. Let no one doubt that contending for us he is defending himself. Indeed, whoever has heretofore feared or envied us should not for that hold aloof from us; otherwise he will after our defeat wish too late for former conditions. Camarina, however, as our neighbor and next in danger has reason above all to give up her slothfulness and vigorously make common cause

78 "Καὶ εἴ τῷ ἄρα παρέστηκε τὸν μὲν Συρακόσιον, ἑαυτὸν 1 δ' οὐ πολέμιον εἶναι τῷ 'Αθηναίῳ, καὶ δεινὸν ἡγεῖται ὑπέρ γε τῆς ἐμῆς κινδυνεύειν, ἐνθυμηθήτω οὐ περὶ τῆς ἐμῆς μᾶλλον, ἐν ἴσῷ δὲ καὶ τῆς ἑαυτοῦ ἄμα ἐν τῆ ἐμῆ μαχούμε τος, τοσούτῷ δὲ καὶ ἀσφαλέστερον ὅσῷ οὐ προδιεφθαρμένου ἐμοῦ, ἔχων δὲ ξύμμαχον ἐμὲ καὶ οὐκ ἐρῆμος ἀγωνιεῖται, τόν τε 'Αθηναῖον μὴ τὴν τοῦ Συρακοσίου ἔχθραν κολάσασθαι, τῆ δ' ἐμῆ προφάσει τὴν ἐκείνου φιλίαν οὐχ ἦσσον βεβαιώσασθαι.

with us. - 1. kal et to apa mapertyke κτέ.: this same appeal for solidarity and warning against particularistic narrowness Hermocrates had used in 424 B.C. at the peace congress in Gela, 4, 58-65, in part employing similar terms, esp. in 4, 60, 61. — παρέστηκε: see on 34, 53. - τὸν Συρακόσιον, τῷ 'Αθηναίφ: the collective singular, being more familiar in tone, is more urgent. Cf. δ Xaλκιδεύς 84. 9. — ξαυτόν: rare case of acc. of refl. pers. pron. as subj. of inf., due partly to the antithesis (cf. Hdt. 5. 86. 18; 6. 137. 23, etc.), partly because et τω παρέστηκε does not admit of a nom. -2. ὑπέρ γε της ἐμης: note the change to $\pi \epsilon \rho l$ in 3, such change of preps. being not infreq. in Thuc. where there are two clauses. Cf. 14. 1. 14. — 4. ἐν ἴσφ: as 87. 13; 1. 133. 11; 2. 53. 14. - kal της έαυτου: without repetition of prep. $(\pi \epsilon \rho l)$, as often. — 5. $\delta \sigma \varphi$: in so far as. See on 1.68.11. — οὐ προδιεφθαρμένου inov: gen. abs., the speaker as representative of his mother-city. Cf. 1. 137. 24; 4.64.1.—6. οὐκ ἐρῆμος: the reading of a few inferior Mss. preferred by Steup (with Bk., St., Hude, etc.) to έρημον of the better Mss., which Cl. and others retain. Not to emphasize the fact that ξύμμαχον έρημον, an isolated ally, is a contradiction in terms, the

context is against a reference to the already existent allies of Syracuse. As the first three sections of 78 are in form general and apply to all the Siceliotes except the Syracusans, so there is in these nowhere reference to allies of Syracuse already existent; and esp. of προδιεφθαρμένου έμοῦ, opp. to έχων δὲ ξύμμαχον έμε κτέ., is said only of the Syracusans. We have then the same idea expressed first positively and then negatively, as not infreq. in Thuc. Cf. 2. 2. 19; 3. 40. 15; 7. 85. 17. For έρημος, isolated, cf. esp. 1. 32. 14. — τόν τε 'Αθηναΐον . . . βούλεσθαι: transition from suppl. ptc. (μαχούμενος) With ένθυμηθήτω to accus, and inf. See on 4.27, 4.- κολάσασθαι: the rarer mid., as 3. 40. 22. See on διεσκοπείτο 59. 6. — τη έμη προφάσει: with me as a pretext. — 8. The exclusion ϕ λ (as: referring to $\tau \phi$) (1), which includes all the Siceliotes except the Syracusans, but esp. the Camarinaeans. The fate awaiting them is ironically indicated: "that the Athenians while punishing the hostility of the Syracusans will know how none the less to secure their friendship," i.e. by complete dependence. Several emendations have been proposed (Reiske δουλείαν for φιλίαν, Pp. βιάσασθαι for βεβαιώσασθαι, H. Stein δή after φιλίαν),

βούλεσθαι. εἴ τέ τις φθονεῖ μὲν ἡ καὶ φοβεῖται (ἀμφότερα γὰρ 2 10 τάδε πάσχει τὰ μείζω), διὰ δὲ αὐτὰ τὰς Συρακούσας κακωθῆναι μέν, ἴνα σωφρονισθῶμεν, βούλεται, περιγενέσθαι δὲ ἔνεκα τῆς αὐτοῦ ἀσφαλείας, οὐκ ἀνθρωπίνης δυνάμεως βούλησιν ἐλπίζει· οὐ γὰρ οἷόν τε ἄμα τῆς τε ἐπιθυμίας καὶ τῆς τύχης 3 τὸν αὐτὸν ὁμοίως ταμίαν γενέσθαι, καὶ εἰ γνώμη ἀμάρτοι, 15 τοῖς αὐτοῦ κακοῖς ὀλοφυρθεὶς τάχ ἀν ἴσως καὶ τοῖς ἐμοῖς ἀγαθοῖς ποτε βουληθείη αὖθις φθονῆσαι. ἀδύνατον δὲ προεμένω καὶ μὴ τοὺς αὐτοὺς κινδύνους οὐ περὶ τῶν ὀνομάτων ἀλλὰ περὶ τῶν ἔργων ἐθελήσαντι προσλαβεῖν· λόγω μὲν γὰρ τὴν

but these only weaken the effect. oux horov: i.e. still more, as 88.4.

9. ἀμφότερα τάδε: referring, as αὐτά, to envy and fear.—10. τὰ μείζω: greater things, here greater states.—11. ἴνα σωφρονισθώμεν: that we may receive a lesson in moderation. Cf. σωφροσύνη 1. 32. 15; τὸ σώφρον 1. 37. 7. — περιγενέσθαι: maintain ourselves. See on 1. 55. 11.—12. οὐκ ἀνθρωπίνης δυνάμεως βούλησιν ἐλπίζει: i.e. he hopes what he wishes, but his wish does not keep within the bounds of human power (or weakness). With βούλησιν ἐλπίζει cf. 3.39. 18 ἐλπίσαντες μακρότερα μὲν τῆς δυκάμεως, ἐλάσσω δὲ τῆς βουλήσεως.

13. οὐ γὰρ οἰόν τε... ταμίαν γενέσθαι: gives the reason for the preceding: for it is impossible that the same person be in like measure the controller at once of his own desires and of fortune. For the thought, cf. 4. 64. 5. ταμίαν γενέσθαι is slightly different in force from ταμιεύεσθαι 18. 13. For τε... και όμοίως, cf. 2. 53. 7, and see on 2. 44. 6.—14. γνώμη ἀμάρτοι: i.e. that Syracuse will not be completely overthrown. Cf. γνώμη σφαλέντες 4. 18. 7; γνώμης άμαρτάνειν 92.3; 1.33.17; γνώμης σφα-

ληναι 4.28.27; της δόξης σφαληναι 4.85. 5. - 15, τοις αύτοῦ κακοις όλοφυρθείς: brought to grief by his own ills. Thuc. uses elsewhere the aor. mid. of this verb (2.46.7; 7.30.21; 8.66.16, 81. 21); in the pass, the sense is prob. not lamented, which does not suit the context here, but in lamentabilem statum abductus (St.). Cf. μεμφθηναι (4.85.7) and μέμψασθαι (1.143.14; 2. 60. 3, 64. 21; 3. 37. 25, 61, 5; 8. 109. 9). — τάχ' ᾶν ζσως . . . αὖθις φθοvioca: he would perhaps sometime wish again to become envious of our good fortune. The aor. φθονησαι is ingressive. For $\tau d\chi' d\nu l\sigma \omega s$, see on 10. 13.—16. $\pi \rho o$ εμένω: for one letting go (sc. έμέ, τούς Συρακοσίους). — 17. και μή τούς αύτούς κινδύνους . . . προσλαβείν: and not consent to take up the same dangers not about names, but about facts. κινδύνους προσλαβείν, used by Hermocrates also in 4.61.3, followed here by the const. περί τινος, as not seldom μάχεσθαι, άγωνίζεσθαϊ, κινδυνεύειν. - 18. λόγφ μέν γάρ ... ἔργφ δὲ... σωτηρίαν: explanation of the above οὐ περί τῶν ὀνομάτων . . . ξργων, for nominally one might be preserving our power, but actually his own

ήμετέραν δύναμιν σφίζοι ἄν τις, ἔργφ δὲ τὴν αὐτοῦ σωτηρίαν.
20 καὶ μάλιστα εἰκὸς ἦν ὑμᾶς, ὧ Καμαριναῖοι, ὁμόρους ὅντας 4 καὶ τὰ δεύτερα κινδυνεύσοντας προορᾶσθαι αὐτὰ καὶ μὴ μαλακῶς ὧσπερ νῦν ξυμμαχεῖν, αὐτοὺς δὲ πρὸς ἡμᾶς μᾶλλον ἰόντας, ἄπερ, εἰ ἐς τὴν Καμαριναίαν πρῶτον ἀφίκοντο οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, δεόμενοι ᾶν ἐπεκαλεῖσθε, ταῦτα ἐκ τοῦ ὁμοίου καὶ 25 νῦν παρακελευομένους, ὅπως μηδὲν ἐνδώσομεν, φαίνεσθαι. ἀλλ' οὖθ' ὑμεῖς νῦν γέ πω οὖθ' οἱ ἄλλοι ἐπὶ ταῦτα ὧρμησθε.
79 ⑤ Δειλία δὲ ἴσως τὸ δίκαιον πρός τε ἡμᾶς καὶ πρὸς τοὺς 1 ἐπιόντας θεραπεύσετε, λέγοντες ξυμμαχίαν εἶναι ὑμῖν πρὸς

safety. For σψζειν σωτηρίαν Bl. compares Cic. ad Fam. 11.7.2 conservare salutem populi Romani.

21. τὰ δεύτερα κινδυνεύσοντας: willincur danger next (cogn. acc.). — αὐτά: i.e. the state of affairs. Cf. 10.5, 40. 11.—22. μαλακώς ώσπερ νθν: cf. 75. § 3. — autous: yourselves, sponte. To this belongs not only πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἰδντας but also παρακελευομένους φαίνεσθαι: but should of yourselves come to us, and as you, if the Athenians had first come against Camarina, would be calling us with the prayer not to yield an inch (δπως μηδέν ένδώσομεν), so also now show yourselves (φαίνεσθαι), using in like manner the same exhortation. - 23. aπep: belongs only to δεόμενοι, as ταῦτα to παρακελευομένους, both explained by ὅπως μηδέν ένδώσομεν. - 24. έκ τοῦ όμοίου: $=\delta\mu$ olws, as 87. 23; 2. 44. 16; 4. 10. 19. Cf. έκ τοῦ ἴσου 2. 3. 19. — 26. ἀλλ' οῦθ'. . . ώρμησθε: but neither you, as yet at least, nor the rest have shown zeal for this. Cf. ώρμησθαι ές 2.65.5; 7.21.25; 8.40.19, 47. 17., ώρμησθαι έπί τι 9. 17 is slightly different. The verb is construed here with the more prominent subj. (ὑμεῖς).

79, 80, § 1, 2. You cannot hold up

to us your treaty of alliance with Athens, in cowardice urging the plea of justice. This treaty does not concern the present case, neither pledging you to support the Athenians nor hindering your standing by us against them. Nor out of anxiety for your safety need you decide for neutrality. If we Siceliotes only hold together, the power of the Athenians is not at all to be dreaded; especially as we can reckon upon the assistance of the war-trained Peloponnesians. Besides, neutrality toward us would not be equitable. -1. τὸ δίκαιον: the point of right, or legal obligation. Cf. 4. 61. 15 to diracor this ξυνθήκης. - πρός τε ήμας και πρός τούς έπιόντας: the emphasis is on the second member, as shown by λέγοντες . . . πρὸς 'Aθηναίουs. See on 16.1. The prep. is repeated and re placed as in 6.8 week re γαμικών τινων καί περί γης άμφισβητήτου. The substitution of rods emilyras (the aggressors) for too's 'Abnualous is purposely made, for acc. to what follows the alliance between Camarina and Athens was not for wars of aggression. —2. θεραπεύσετε: will lay stress on. Cf. 3. 56. 9 το ξυμφέρον μάλλον θεραπεύοντες.

'Αθηναίους ' ην γε οὐκ ἐπὶ τοῖς φίλοις ἐποιήσασθε, τῶν δὲ ἐχθρῶν ην τις ἐφ' ὑμᾶς ἔη, καὶ τοῖς γε ' Αθηναίοις βοηθεῖν, 5 ὅταν ὑπ' ἄλλων, καὶ μὴ αὐτοὶ ὥσπερ νῦν τοὺς πέλας ἀδικῶσιν. ἐπεὶ οὐδ' οἱ 'Ρηγῖνοι ὄντες Χαλκιδῆς Χαλκιδέας ὄντας 2 Λεοντίνους ἐθέλουσι ξυγκατοικίζειν. καὶ δεινὸν εἰ ἐκεῖνοι μὲν τὸ ἔργον τοῦ καλοῦ δικαιώματος ὑποπτεύοντες ἀλόγως σωφρονοῦσιν, ὑμεῖς δ' εὐλόγῳ προφάσει τοὺς μὲν φύσει πολε-10 μίους βούλεσθε ἀφελεῖν, τοὺς δὲ ἔτι μᾶλλον φύσει ξυγγενεῖς

- ξυμμαχίαν . . . πρός 'Αθηναίους: the orator assumes that the Camarinaeans might seek to justify a cowardly neutrality in the present war by a plea that hostility to the Athenians would be a violation of the treaty made with the Athenians at the time of the command of Laches (cf. 75. § 3; see on 52. 6). But this treaty with Athens was directed, the orator argues, not against friends of the Camarinaeans, but against enemies attacking them; and the Camarinaeans were to support the Athenians in case these suffered injustice from others, but not if they themselves were the aggressors. And this latter was really the case, even if the Athenians talked of helping in the restoration of the city of the Leontines, their allies and kinsmen. The emptiness of this pretext even the Rhegians had recognized, who, although being Chalcidians, like the Leontines, had held aloof from that Athenian enterprise, in spite of their alliance with the Athenians. So the Camarinaeans should see that without violating their alliance with Athens they could fulfill their treaty obligations to Syracuse. -3. To ye: rel. with the force of a strong adversative conj., "this alliance, however." See on 1.39.2. With the following exposition of the treaty, cf. 1. 40. § 2.— $\ell\pi l$ τοῖς φίλοις: against your friends. See on 61.5.— τῶν δὲ ἐχθρῶν: put before the conj. for the sake of the antithesis to τοῖς φίλοις.— 4. καὶ τοῖς γε Ἀθηναίοις βοηθεῖν: dependent on ξυμμαχίαν ἐποιήσασθε, as 1.44.8 ἐπιμαχίαν ἐποιήσαντο... βοηθεῖν. The γε prepares for the limiting ὅταν clause, to aid the Athenians only when.— 5. ὑπ' ἄλλων: sc. ἀδικῶνται. For ellipses, similar, though not so strong, cf. 1.78.10; 2. 11.34, 86.14; 7.69.3.— τοὺς πέλας: = ἄλλους. See on 1.32.2.

6. οὐδ' οἱ 'Ρηγίνοι ὄντες Χαλκιδής: cf. 44.17, 46.7. Note the chiastic arrangement. - 7. Acortivous Eurkatoiκίζειν: cf. 8. 12. - δεινόν εί . . . μέν ... 86: parataxis, as 1. 35. 4, 121. 19. Cf. 76. 5. — 8. τὸ ἔργον τοῦ καλοῦ δικαιώματος: the real meaning of the fine plea of right. καλοῦ (ironical) = εὐπρε- π oûs. The same antithesis again 80.7. — άλόγως σωφρονοῦσιν . . . ώφελεῖν: against the letter of the agreement display prudence (obey policy), while you on the pretext of the letter wish to aid those who are your natural enemies. For σωφρονείν, cf. 78.11, 87.19. For the paronomasia, see on 72. 12. - 9. εὐλόγφ προφάσει: cf. 8. 19 προφάσει βραχεία και εύπρεπει.-10. ἔτι μάλλον φύσει ξυγγενείς: i.e. as μετὰ τῶν ἐχθίστων διαφθείραι. ἀλλ' οὐ δίκαιον, ἀμύνειν δὲ 8 καὶ μὴ φοβείσθαι τὴν παρασκευὴν αὐτῶν οὐ γάρ, ἢν ἡμεῖς ξυστῶμεν πάντες, δεινή ἐστιν, ἀλλ' ἦν, ὅπερ οὖτοι σπεύδουσι, τἀναντία διαστῶμεν ἐπεὶ οὐδὲ πρὸς ἡμᾶς μόνους 15 ἐλθόντες καὶ μάχη περιγενόμενοι ἔπραξαν α ἐβούλοντο, 80 ἀπῆλθον δὲ διὰ τάχους. ὥστε οὐχ άθρόους γε ὄντας εἰκὸς 1 ἀθυμεῖν, ἰέναι δὲ ἐς τὴν ξυμμαχίαν προθυμότερον, ἄλλως τε καὶ ἀπὸ Πελοποννήσου παρεσομένης ἀφελίας, οἱ τῶνδε κρείσσους εἰσὶ τὸ παράπαν τὰ πολέμια καὶ μὴ ἐκείνην τὴν 5 προμηθίαν δοκεῖν τω ἡμῖν μὲν ἴσην εἶναι, ὑμῖν δὲ ἀσφαλῆ,

Dorians and inhabitants of the same island. For $\phi l\lambda o v s$, the logical antithesis of $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu lov s$, is substituted $\xi v \gamma \gamma \epsilon v \epsilon \hat{s}$. Sameness of blood was the ground of natural friendship between Syracuse and Camarina, difference of blood the cause of natural hostility between Athens and Camarina.

11. ἀμύνειν δέ: (δίκαιον understood) but (it is right) to lend aid (sc. τοις φύσει ξυγγενέσι). - 12. μη φοβείσθαι την παρασκευήν αὐτῶν: cf. 76. 2. -- ήν ήμεις ξυστώμεν: cf. 21. 4, 33. 26, 37. 15. — 13. ὅπερ οὖτοι σπεύδουσι: cf. 10. 14.— 14. τάναντία: adv. as 3. 67. 17; 4. 86. 6; 7. 87. 5. — διαστώμεν: cf. 4. 61. 6. For the paronomasia, see on 72, 12, πρὸς ἡμᾶς μόνους: cf. 65 ff. The allied forces mentioned in 65. § 1 and 67. § 2 are ignored by the orator. For such cases of inexactness in speeches, see App. on 12.4. Note $\pi \rho \delta s$, for the usual $\epsilon \pi l$ (which Cobet would substitute here), as with ἐπιέναι (31. 43; 1. 86. 20; 2.65.46; 4.92.39).

80 1. άθρόους γε όντας: sc. ήμας, about equiv. to ήν ήμεις ξυστωμεν πάντες (79. 12).—2. tέναι δὲ ἐς τὴν ξυμμαχίαν προθυμότερον: (sc. ὑμῶς) enter into the

alliance more heartily; here, as Jowett says, "in the sense, not of joining a new alliance, but of taking a more energetic part in an old one," as opposed to μη μαλακώς ώσπερ νῦν ξυμμαχείν 78.21. St.'s rendering of έs την ξυμμαylar, ad praestandum auxilium sociale, gets the same general sense, though in a different way. The meaning cannot be, of course, the ordinary one of entering into a (new) alliance (as in 5.30.29), for an alliance already existed (cf. 75. § 3) and the Athenian ambassadors had come to renew this (82.1). See App. — 3. of: sc. Πελοποννήσιοι implied in the antecedent clause. Cf. 94. 3 Μέγαρα ους. — 4. τὰ πολέμια: as to matters of war. Cf. 1. 18. 32; 4. 126. 7. — ἐκείνην τὴν προμηθίαν: that prudent course, referring to the neutrality which the orator characterizes 79. 1 by δειλία τὸ δίκαιον πρός τε ήμας καί πρός τούς ἐπιόντας. As to the form προ- $\mu\eta\theta la\nu$, it seems better to follow that of the tragic poets, when the Mss. vary (between $-\theta la$ and $-\theta e la$). — 5. 80κείν τω: Duker's correction for δοκείν $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ of the Mss.; dependent on elabs. ήμιν μέν ζσην είναι, ύμιν δέ άσφαλή: τὸ μηδετέροις δὴ ὡς καὶ ἀμφοτέρων ὅντας ξυμμάχους βοηθεῖν. οὐ γὰρ ἔργῳ ἴσον ὧσπερ τῷ δικαιώματί ἐστιν. εἰ γὰρ ² δι' ὑμᾶς μὴ ξυμμαχήσαντας ὅ τε παθὼν σφαλήσεται καὶ ὁ κρατῶν περιέσται, τί ἄλλο ἡ τὴ αὐτὴ ἀπουσία τοῖς μὲν 10 οὐκ ἡμύνατε σωθῆναι, τοὺς δὲ οὐκ ἐκωλύσατε κακοὺς γενέσαι; καίτοι κάλλιον τοῖς ἀδικουμένοις καὶ ἄμα ξυγγενέσι προσθεμένους τήν τε κοινὴν ώφελίαν τῆ Σικελία φυλάξαι καὶ τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους φίλους δὴ ὄντας μὴ ἐᾶσαι άμαρτεῖν.

" Ξυνελόντες τε λέγομεν οἱ Συρακόσιοι ἐκδιδάσκειν μὲν 8

from what follows it is clear that the stress is to be laid upon the first clause—to be equitable to us, as safe for you—so that we have here the same relation of the two clauses as with τε... καί 1.8.1; 2.39.24; 3.38.27; 4.81.3; with καί... καί 89.24; 3.58.10; with οὖτε... οὖτε 3.46.1.—6. τὸ μηδετέρους δὴ... βοηθεῖν: to aid neither forsooth on the ground of being allies of both, explan. appos. to ἐκείνην τὴν προμηθίαν. δή is ironical as in 13; καί placed in the dependent clause though really emphasizing the leading one. Cf. 18.3.

7. οὐ γὰρ ἔργω ἴσον ὥσπερ τῷ δικαιώματί ἐστιν: for it is not in fact equitable as in the article of the law (i.e. in the abstract). Cf. 79.8.—8. δι' ὑμᾶς μὴ ξυμμαχήσαντας: on account of your not giving aid. For the const., see App. on 4. 63. 1, and Gildersleeve, Amer. J. of Phil. XIII, 258 f. — $\delta \pi a \theta \omega v := \delta \Sigma \nu \rho a$ κόσιος, as \dot{o} κρατ $\hat{\omega}\nu = \dot{o}$ Αθηναίος (Schol.). Both collectives are taken up below by plurals ($\tau o \hat{i} s \mu \hat{\epsilon} \nu$, $\tau o \hat{i} s \delta \hat{\epsilon}$). — 9. $\tau \hat{i} \delta \lambda \lambda o$ ή: as 3. 39. 10, 58. 24; 5. 98. 8. H. 612; Kr. Spr. 62, 3, 7; Kühn, 587, 18. — 10. ημύνατε, ἐκωλύσατε: the impending results being vividly represented as if they had already occurred.

the assumed cases are treated as if facts. Cf. pf. κεκωλῦσθαι 2.8.17. — σωθηναι: inf. of purpose. See on 8.17. — σὸκ ἐκωλύσατε κακοὺς γενέσθαι: remark here and below (13) καὶ τοὺς Ἀθηναίους . . . ἀμαρτεῖν the sarcasm, the sense being that if the Athenians are really their friends, it is the duty of the Camarinaeans to prevent the former from being wicked (i.e. from enslaving all Sicily). For δή, see on 6 and 10.21.—12. τὴν κοινὴν ἀφελίαν: τὴν ἐλευθερίαν τῶν Σικελιωτῶν Schol. Cf. κοινὸς ὁ κίνδυνος 34.4.

80, § 3-5. We beg your support and we declare most emphatically that if you do not join us you will be betraying Dorian kinsmen who are threatened by Ionians. And if the Athenians win, you will be the prize of victory which they will take; but if we win, you will none the less be punished for the dangers occasioned to us. Choose then either slavery that is for the moment without risk, or, winning with us, escape from shameful serfdom to the Athenians and enmity from us. - 14. Euvelovtes te lévouev: in résumé, as freq. in Thuc. Cf. 37. 17 and see on 3. 40. 16. — οί Συρακόσιοι:

15 οὐδὲν ἔργον εἶναι σαφῶς οὖτε ὑμᾶς οὖτε τοὺς ἄλλους περὶ ὧν αὐτοὶ οὐδὲν χεῖρον γιγνώσκετε · δεόμεθα δὲ καὶ μαρτυρόμεθα ἄμα, εἰ μὴ πείσομεν, ὅτι ἐπιβουλευόμεθα μὲν ὑπὸ Ἰώνων αἰεὶ πολεμίων, προδιδόμεθα δὲ ὑπὸ ὑμῶν Δωριῆς Δωριῶν · καὶ εἰ ἐ καταστρέψονται ἡμᾶς ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ταῖς μὲν ὑμετέραις γνώμαις 20 κρατήσουσι, τῷ δ᾽ αὑτῶν ὀνόματι τιμηθήσονται, καὶ τῆς νίκης οὐκ ἄλλον τινὰ ἄθλον ἡ τὸν τὴν νίκην παρασχόντα λήψονται · καὶ εἰ αὖ ἡμεῖς περιεσόμεθα, τῆς αἰτίας τῶν κινδύνων οἱ αὐτοὶ τὴν τιμωρίαν ὑφέξετε. σκοπεῖτε οὖν καὶ αἰρεῖσθε ἤδη ἡ τὴν ὁ αὐτίκα ἀκινδύνως δουλείαν ἡ κᾶν περιγενόμενοι μεθ' ἡμῶν

for similar cases of appos. to the subj. contained in the verb, with a view to increase of effect, see Kr. Spr. 50, 7, 14. — 15. ούδὲν ἔργον είναι : οὐ χαλεπὸν είναι Schol. Cf. Hdt. 1. 17. 12; 3. 112. 13; and Caes. B. G. 2. 17 neque esse quicquam negoti. - 16. δεόμεθα: sc. ύμων, as 3.59.9 αlτούμεθα ύμας. μαρτυρόμεθα: we solemnly declare. For const. with ότι, cf. 29. § 2 ἐπεμαρτύρετο ... ὅτι σωφρονέστερον εἴη κτέ. Cl. rightly made all that follows down to ὑφέξετε (23) dependent on μαρτυρόμεθα δτι, punctuating with a colon only after Δωριῶν (18), as well as after λήψονται (21). For this view speaks the fact that εl μη πείσομεν must be supplied in thought also in the clauses of § 4. — 17. εί μη πείσομεν: placed for emphasis before δτι. Cf. 86. 3, and the position of \(\pi\rho\lu\) \(\tau\ella\) και ήμων άκουσαι 76. 3. - ἐπιβουλευόμεθα μέν . . . προδιδόμεθα δέ: the former is already occurring, while the latter is still in the future, but the orator, vividly expresses the latter also in the present. See on 34.25. In the thought, the first clause is subord. to the second. See on 9. 11. - ὑπὸ Ἰώνων αίελ πολεμίων: cf. 82. 4. — 18. προδιδόμεθα δέ: gets by its

relation (anaphora) to $\ell\pi\iota\beta$ ov $\lambda\epsilon\nu\delta\mu\epsilon\theta$ a $\mu\ell\nu$ (i.e. by those from whom it was to be expected), as well as by the interlocked order of the words $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\rho}$ $\dot{\nu}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$ $\Delta\omega\rho\iota\hat{\eta}s$ $\Delta\omega\rho\iota\hat{\omega}\nu$, the chief odium of the complaint.

19. ταῖς μὰν ὑμετέραις γνώμαις: by your decisions.—20. τιμηθήσονται: the fut. pass. form is found in Dem. 19. 223 and freq. in Attic inscriptions. See Meisterhans, Gr. d. att. Inschriften,³ p. 193, and Kühn. 1, 2, 112. In 2. 87. 35 the Mss. have the more usual fut. mid. τιμήσονται.—21. ἀθλον: (neut.) prize of victory, pred. to οὐκ ἀλλον τινά.—22. τῆς αἰτίας τῶν κινδόνων: for causing the dangers which we have been subjected to.—οἰ αὐτοί: pred., as 2. 40. 12; 3. 47. 18; 4. 17. 3.

23. ἤδη: now, at the decisive moment.—την αὐτίκα ἀκινδύνως δουλείαν: for the adv. ἀκινδύνως in attrib. position modifying the verbal noun, cf. την ἄντικρυς ἐλευθερίαν 8. 64. 23; διὰ την... οὐκέτι ἐπαναγωγήν 7. 34. 26.—24. ἢ κἄν: when two clauses are disjunctively connected, the second is freq. introduced, as here, by ἢ καί (cf. 85. 14, 86. 22; 2. 13. 7; Hom. δ 80). ἄν gives to

25 τούσδε τε μὴ αἰσχρῶς δεσπότας λαβεῖν καὶ τὴν πρὸς ἡμᾶς ἔχθραν μὴ ἄν βραχεῖαν γενομένην διαφυγεῖν."

81 Τοιαῦτα μὲν ὁ Ἑρμοκράτης εἶπεν· ὁ δ' Εὖφημος ὁ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων πρεσβευτὴς μετ' αὐτὸν τοιάδε·

32 " Αφικόμεθα μὲν ἐπὶ τῆς πρότερον οὖσης ξυμμαχίας ἀνα- 1 νεώσει, τοῦ δὲ Συρακοσίου καθαψαμένου ἀνάγκη καὶ περὶ τῆς ἀρχῆς εἰπεῖν ὡς εἰκότως ἔχομεν τὸ μὲν οὖν μέγιστον μαρτύριον 2 αὐτὸς εἶπεν, ὅτι οἱ Ἰωνες αἰεί ποτε πολέμιοι τοῖς Δωριεῦσίν ⁵ εἰσιν. ἔχει δὲ καὶ οὖτως ἡμεῖς γὰρ Ἰωνες ὄντες Πελοπον- νησίοις Δωριεῦσι καὶ πλείοσιν οὖσι [καὶ] παροικοῦντες

both infs., $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ and $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \nu \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$, hypothetical character after $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \delta \rho \epsilon \nu \omega$ (= $\epsilon l \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \sigma \delta \epsilon$). $\delta \nu$ below (26) belongs only to $\gamma \epsilon \nu \omega \rho \epsilon \nu \omega \omega$. 25. τούσδε τε μη αlσχρώς δεσπότας $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$: the neg. modifies both adv. and verb: not shamefully to get these as masters.— την προς ήμας έχθραν: hostility with us, where $\delta \phi'$ ήμων was to be expected. Cf. 1. 42. 8 έχθραν . . . $\pi \rho \delta \varsigma$ Κορινθίους.—26. μη αν βραχείαν γενομένην: = $\mathring{\eta}$ οὐκ αν βραχεία γένοιτο (i.e. $\epsilon l \mu \eta \mu \epsilon \theta'$ ήμων σταίητε). $\mu \eta$ is due to the influence of the inf. Kr. Spr. 67, 8, 3.

81. After Hermocrates, the Athenian ambassador Euphemus comes forward.—1. εἶπεν: aor., as always in Thuc. in referring back to a completed speech. See on 2. 71. 6.—δ δ' Εύφημος: already mentioned 75. 20, hence the art. Nothing further is known of his personality. In the following speech the idea of the interest of his own state is dominant.

Speech of Euphemus, Athenian ambassador (82-87)

82. It is a fact that, against the constant menace of the superior strength

of the Lacedaemonians, we have built a bulwark by bringing under our rule those Hellenic cities which sided with the Persians against us. - 1. άφικόμεθα: aor, instead of pf. of an event that has just occurred. Cf. 1. 73. 2; 2. 60. 3. έπὶ τῆς πρότερον οὕσης ξυμμαχίας άνανεώσει: although the Athenian alliance made with Camarina at the time of the command of Laches (75. § 3) was still formally in effect (79.2, 88.12), it could be treated as belonging to the past. The preceding gen. supplies the place of the art. with ἀνανεώσει, as 1. 1. 11, 3. 1, 11. 2, etc. — 2. Kalayaμένου: sc. ήμων. Cf. 16. 2. - περί της dox fis: because the attacks of Hermocrates were directed against the establishment of this supremacy (76. § 3).

3. τὸ μὰν οὖν μέγιστον μαρτύριον: sc. ώς εἰκότως ἄρχομεν, i.e. that race hostility forces us to defensive precautions. Cf. 1. 73. 25 τεκμήριον μέγιστον αὐτὸς ἐποίησε. — 4. αἰεί ποτε πολέμιοι: inveterate enemies. For the expression, see on 2. 102. 10; for the fact, cf. 80. § 3. — 5. ἔχει δὲ καὶ οὖτως: and it is even so, i.e. as Hermocrates has asserted. — ἡμεῖς γὰρ . . . ὑπακουσόμεθα: on the

ἐσκεψάμεθα ὅτῳ τρόπῳ ηκιστα αὐτῶν ὑπακουσόμεθα· καὶ 8 μετὰ τὰ Μηδικὰ ναῦς κτησάμενοι τῆς μὲν Λακεδαιμονίων ἀρχῆς καὶ ἡγεμονίας ἀπηλλάγημεν, οὐδὲν προσῆκον μᾶλλόν 10 τι ἐκείνους ἡμῖν ἡ καὶ ἡμᾶς ἐκείνοις ἐπιτάσσειν, πλὴν καθ ὅσον ἐν τῷ παρόντι μεῖζον ἴσχυον, αὐτοὶ δὲ τῶν ὑπὸ βασιλεῖ πρότερον ὅντων ἡγεμόνες καταστάντες οἰκοῦμεν, νομίσαντες ἡκιστ' ἄν ὑπὸ Πελοποννησίοις οὕτως εἶναι, δύναμιν ἔχοντες ἡ ἀμυνούμεθα, καὶ ἐς τὸ ἀκριβὲς εἰπεῖν οὐδὲ ἀδίκως κατα-15 στρεψάμενοι τούς τε Ἰωνας καὶ νησιώτας, οῦς ξυγγενεῖς φασιν ὄντας ἡμᾶς Συρακόσιοι δεδουλῶσθαι. ἡλθον γὰρ ἐπὶ 4

text, see App. — 7. ὅτφ τρόπφ ἤκιστα αὐτῶν ὑπακουσόμεθα: cf. 33.12; for the more usual ὅπως with fut. See on 1.107. 18. Thuc. construes ὑπακούειν, be subject to, generally with gen. (87.5; 2.62.22; 3.50.13; 4.56.16; 5.84.11; 8.5.19), sometimes with dat. (69.26; 2.61.3; 4.63.12).

 $\kappa \alpha i := atque, and so. -8.$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \dot{a}$ Μηδικά: belongs not so much with the ptc. as with $d\pi\eta\lambda\lambda d\gamma\eta\mu\epsilon\nu$. — 9. odder προσήκον μαλλόν τι: not in any way more fitting. The accus. abs., as 84.1; 3.40.21; 4.95.4. GMT.851; Kr. Spr. 56, 9, 5. For μαλλόν τι, cf. 1. 49, 9.— 10. ἐπιτάσσειν: give orders. See on 1. 140. 18. - 11. αὐτοὶ δὲ . . . ἡγεμόνες καταστάντες οἰκοῦμεν: in sharp contrast with της μέν Λακεδαιμονίων άρχης καὶ ἡγεμονίας ἀπηλλάγημεν. Chief stress is on ήγεμόνες καταστάντες (cf. 1. 75. § 2, 95. § 1 ff.), not on the finite verb, so that καταστάντες οίκοθμεν is practically a fuller expression for καθέσταμεν. In Thuc, olkeîv is sometimes so used that the sense inhabit, dwell in, is weakened into find one's self, be so situated, i.e. a more concrete ἐσμέν. In this sense olkeîv is modified by an adv. in 18.40. 92.26; 1.124.19; here by a ptc. The pres. is quite in place here, rather than. impf. or aor., otherwise we should miss in the orator's exposition a transition to present conditions. So Steup explains, with a number of the best editors. Cl. and others take offense at οίκουμεν and would either add άσφαλως or substitute loχύομεν or ήρκοθμεν (Cl.), or άρχομεν (v. H.), or οίκειούμεθα sc. αὐτούς (St.). - 12. νομίσαντες: as below καταστρεψάμενοι (14), explanatory of αύτοι των . . . δντων ήγεμόνες καταστάν- τ ες. — 14. ή άμυνούμεθα: cf. δτ φ τρόπ φ ὑπακουσόμεθα (7). — ές τὸ άκριβές εἰπεῖν: inf. abs., as τὸ ξύμπαν είπεῖν 1. 138. 18; 7. 49. 18. GMT. 777. For ès τὸ ἀκριβές = $d\kappa\rho\iota\beta\hat{\omega}s$, cf. ès $\tau\delta$ ϕ aνερόν 1. 6. 17, 23. 26; ές τὰ πρῶτα 3.39.9, 56.22. Kr.'s emendation is for is (cf. Dio C. 46.35. 2; 51. 22. 6), approved by St., etc., is unnecessary, and not supported by the Schol. ἀντὶ τοῦ ως ἀληθῶς είπεῖν, since ώς άληθως is adv., rendering ές τὸ ἀκρι- $\beta \epsilon_s = 16$. Se Soul works: (mid.) on the matter, cf. 76, § 2f.

έπιτην μητρόπολιν έφ' ήμᾶς: the prep. is repeated, as έπι την μητρόπολιν is appos. put first for emphasis. Cf. 3.53.

τὴν μητρόπολιν ἐφ' ἡμᾶς μετὰ τοῦ Μήδου καὶ οἰκ ἐτόλμησαν ἀποστάντες τὰ οἰκεῖα φθεῖραι, ὥσπερ ἡμεῖς ἐκλιπόντες τὴν πόλιν, δουλείαν δὲ αὐτοί τε ἐβούλοντο καὶ ἡμῖν τὸ αὐτὸ ἐπε
20 νεγκεῖν. ἀνθ' ὧν ἄξιοί τε ὄντες ἄμα ἄρχομεν, ὅτι τε ναυτικὸν 1 πλεῖστόν τε καὶ προθυμίαν ἀπροφάσιστον παρεσχόμεθα ἐς τοὺς Ἦλληνας, καὶ διότι καὶ τῷ Μήδῳ ἑτοίμως τοῦτο δρῶντες οὖτοι ἡμᾶς ἔβλαπτον, ἄμα δὲ τῆς πρὸς Πελοποννησίους

5 ἰσχύος ὀρεγόμενοι. καὶ οὐ καλλιεπούμεθα ὡς ἢ τὸν βάρβα- 2 ρον μόνοι καθελόντες εἰκότως ἄρχομεν ἡ ἐπ' ἐλευθερίᾳ τῷ τῶνδε μᾶλλον ἡ τῶν ξυμπάντων τε καὶ τῆ ἡμετέρα αὐτῶν

3, and see Herbst, Ueber Cobet, p. 31.—
18. ἀποστάντες: sc. τοῦ Μήδου.— ἄσπερ ἡμεῖς: sc. τὰ οἰκεῖα φθεῖραι ἐτολμήσαμεν.
— ἐκλιπόντες τὴν πόλιν: as 1. 18. 19, 91. 21. Cf. 1. 74. 13 ἐκλιπόντες τὴν πόλιν καὶ τὰ οἰκεῖα διαφθείραντες.— 19. δουλείαν δὲ αὐτοί τε ἐβούλοντο καὶ ἡμίν τὸ αὐτὸ ἐπενεγκεῖν: and both themselves wished slavery and to bring the same upon us. For subst. as obj. of ἐβούλοντο, cf. τὴν ἀπόβασιν αὐτὸν ἐς τὴν νῆσον διανοεῖσθαι 4. 29. 5, and τὴν αὐτὴν δύναται δούλωσιν 1. 141. 5. See App.— τὸ αὐτό: referring to δουλείαν, as 3. 104. 43 δ after ἰπποδρομίας. Cf. 72. 19.

83. We rule both because we are worthy of it and for the sake of security against the Peloponnesians, and we make, in order to justify our supremacy, no fine speeches about our conduct in the Persian wars. In Sicily, too, we appear in the interest of our security, and our sole object is together with our friends so to order things that we shall be freed of all danger.—1. ἀνθ ὧν ἄξιοί τε ὅντες ἄμα ἄρχομεν . . . ὁρεγόμενοι: Athenian rule (ἄρχομεν) is based on the one hand upon worth (ἄξιοί τε ὅντες ἄμα), on the other, upon the desire

for strength against the Peloponnesians (ἄμα δὲ κτέ.). Again the claim of worth (ἄξιοι ὅντες) is based on two grounds (ὅτι $\tau \epsilon \ldots \kappa \alpha l \delta i \delta \tau \iota \ldots \check{\epsilon} \beta \lambda \alpha \pi \tau \sigma \nu).$ — \check{a} ξιοι οντες άρχομεν: cf. 1. 75. §1. — ναυτικόν πλειστόν τε και προθυμίαν . . . ές τούς "Ελληνας: cf. 1. 74. § 1, 2. τε is placed after ναυτικόν πλείστον as if it were a single word. -2. άπροφάσιστον: without pretexts or excuses, answering to the adv. in 72. 25. - 3. και τῷ Μήδφ ἐτοίμως τοῦτο δρώντες: even for the Mede doing this willingly. The reproach is sharpened by ral as well as by evoluws. For τοῦτο δρώντες, standing for ναυτικόν παρεχόμενοι, see on 1.5.11. For the dat. with δρώντες, cf. Plato, Legg. 713 D olov δρώμεν τοι̂ς ποιμνίοις. Kr. Spr. 46, 12, 3.

5. οὐ καλλιεπούμεθα κτέ.: we do not say in fine phrases that etc. For a similar frank admission of the policy of expediency, cf. 5. 89.—τὸν βάρβαρον μόνοι καθελόντες: such a claim would have been correct at most for Marathon (cf. 1. 73. § 4). καθελόντες, having overthrown, as 1. 77. 20; 3. 13. 32; 4. 85. 7.—6. εἰκότως ἄρχομεν: cf. 82.3.—ἐπ' ἐλευθερία... κινδυνεύσαντες: refering to 76. § 4.—7. τῶνδε: i.e. τῶν τε

κινδυνεύσαντες. πασι δε ανεπίφθονον την προσήκουσαν σωτηρίαν εκπορίζεσθαι.

10 "Καὶ νῦν τῆς ἡμετέρας ἀσφαλείας ἔνεκα καὶ ἐνθάδε παρόντες ὁρῶμεν καὶ ὑμῶν ταὐτὰ ξυμφέροντα. ἀποφαίνομεν δὲ ἐξ ε ὧν οἴδε τε διαβάλλουσι καὶ ὑμεῖς μάλιστα ἐπὶ τὸ φοβερώτερον ὑπονοεῖτε, εἰδότες τοὺς περιδεῶς ὑποπτεύοντάς τι λόγου μὲν ἡδονῆ τὸ παραυτίκα τερπομένους, τῆ δ' ἐγχειρήσει ὖστε15 ρον τὰ ξυμφέροντα πράσσοντας. τήν τε γὰρ ἐκεῖ ἀρχὴν εἰρή- 4 καμεν διὰ δέος ἔχειν καὶ τὰ ἐνθάδε διὰ τὸ αὐτὸ ἤκειν μετὰ

'Ιώνων και τῶν νησιωτῶν.—8. πᾶσι δὲ ἀνεπίφθονον . . . ἐκπορίζεσθαι: no one however is to be blamed for providing for his own safety, as we have done by the subjugation of the Ionians and islanders. Cf. 1.75. § 5.

10. καὶ νῦν: as 86. 4, 14; 89. 10.—
11. ὁρῶμεν καὶ ὑμῖν ταὐτὰ ξυμφέροντα:
Cl. rightly follows Haacke in adopting ταὐτά of E for ταῦτα of the other
Mss. ταῦτα (τὸ ἡμᾶς παρεῖναι) is hardly
satisfactory, because the presence of
the Athenians in Sicily could not yet
be represented as of itself advantageous to the Camarinaeans; that depended entirely on the motive of their
coming. But the assertion of a mutual
interest in hindering Syracusan supremacy over all Sicily was from the
standpoint of the Athenians quite
appropriate.

άποφαίνομεν δέ: (sc. τοῦτο) and this we prove to you.— ξέ δν οἴδε τε διαβάλλουσι κτέ.: referring to the objects of the Athenians in their Sicilian enterprise, which were discredited by the Syracusans and suspected in the highest degree by the Camarinaeans (cf. 88.§1), but if correctly conceived, acc. to the orator, must lead the Camarinaeans to recognize that their interests

were the same as those of the Athenians.—12. οίδε διαβάλλουσι: cf. 76. § 2; 77. § 1, 2.—ἐπὶ τὸ φοβερώτερον: with exaggerated fear. Cf. ἐπὶ τὸ φοβερώτερον ἀγγέλλεσθαι Dion. H. Arch. 1. 57; Dio C. 47. 24. 1. See on ἐπὶ τὸ πλεῖον 34. 43.—13. λόγου ἡδονῆ: cf. ἡδονῆ λόγων 3. 40. 7; ἀκοῆς ἡδονῆ 3. 38. 31.—14. τὸ παραυτίκα τερπομένους: of the seductive effect of speech, as 2. 41. 14 τὸ αὐτίκα τέρπειν of poetry.—τῆ δ΄ ἐγχειρήσει: (temporal) when it comes to action.

15. γάρ: refers to ἀποφαίνομεν (11). - είρηκαμεν: cf. 82. § 2. — 16. και τά ένθάδε . . . καταστησόμενοι : (sc. λέγο- $\mu \epsilon \nu$) and (we say) that we are come for the same reason, with our friends to place matters here on a safe footing, i.e. to secure the Siceliotes against the lust for rule on the part of the Syracusans. Cl. put a semicolon after πράσσοντας and explained the yap clause as taking up ἀποφαίνομεν (" now then, as we have often said . . . , so we declare "). But it seems hardly to be doubted that Thuc., if he had come to revise his work, would have inserted λέγομεν or φαμέν, and therefore it is unnecessary, with St., to change heev to heomer. - Sid to αὐτό: i.e. διὰ δέος, not anxious fear,

τῶν φίλων ἀσφαλῶς καταστησόμενοι, καὶ οὐ δουλωσόμενοι, μὴ παθεῖν δὲ μᾶλλον τοῦτο κωλύσοντες.

¾ "Υπολάβη δὲ μηδεὶς ὡς οὐδὲν προσῆκον ὑμῶν κηδόμεθα, 1 γνοὺς ὅτι σῷζομένων ὑμῶν καὶ διὰ τὸ μὴ ἀσθενεῖς ὑμᾶς ὄντας ἀντέχειν Συρακοσίοις ἦσσον ἄν τούτων πεμψάντων τινὰ δύναμιν Πελοποννησίοις ἡμεῖς βλαπτοίμεθα. καὶ ἐν τούτῳ 2 προσήκετε ἤδη ἡμῖν τὰ μέγιστα. διόπερ καὶ τοὺς Λεοντίνους εὖλογον κατοικίζειν μὴ ὑπηκόους ὧσπερ τοὺς ξυγγενεῖς αὐτῶν τοὺς ἐν Εὐβοίᾳ, ἀλλ' ὡς δυνατωτάτους, ἴνα ἐκ τῆς σφετέρας ὅμοροι ὄντες τοῖσδε ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν λυπηροὶ ὧσι. τὰ μὲν γὰρ ἐκεῖ 3 καὶ αὐτοὶ ἀρκοῦμεν πρὸς τοὺς πολεμίους, καὶ ὁ Χαλκιδεύς,
10 ὅν ἀλόγως ἡμᾶς φησι δουλωσαμένους τοὺς ἐνθάδε ἐλευθεροῦν, ξύμφορος ἡμῖν ἀπαράσκευος ὧν καὶ χρήματα μόνον

but well-grounded apprehension.—
μετά τῶν φίλων: the real kernel of the argument: "we wish only common action."—17. και οὐ δουλωσόμενοι: sc. τὰ ἐνθάδε.—18. μὴ παθείν τοῦτο: sc. ὑπὸ Συρακοσίων. τοῦτο, i.e. τὸ δουλωθῆναι.

84. We have great interest in the maintenance of your independence and power and in setting up again a strong Leontini. At home, on the contrary, it is to our advantage that our allies be weak.—1. ὑπολάβη μηδείς: order as in 3.13.22; 4.95.3.—οὐδὲν προσήκον: see on 82.9.—2. σφζομένων ὑμῶν καὶ διὰ τὸ ... ἀντέχειν: gen. abs. and prep. clause correlated to give the grounds for ὅτι ... ησσον ἀν ... βλαπτοίμεθα. μή belongs only to ἀσθενεῖς ὅντας, not to ἀντέχειν.—3. ἡσσον: the less, minus, belongs to both ptc. and finite verb.

4. και ἐν τούτῳ προσήκετε ἤδη ἡμιν τὰ μέγιστα: opp. to οὐδὲν προσήκον (1), and in this you become at once our chief concern. For τὰ μέγιστα, cf. τὰ δεύτερα

78. 21; τὰ κράτιστα 1. 19. 8. There is a play on the double sense of προσῆκον and προσήκετε, the orator assuming by the pers. const. a sort of relationship where there is no blood-kinship.—
5. διόπερ: for this very reason, referring to ήσσον ὰν . . . βλαπτοίμεθα.—
6. εὕλογον: (sc. ἐστιν) answer to 76.
7 ff.— μὴ ὑπηκόους: pred. (= ὥστε μὴ ὑπηκόους εἶναι), as also ὡς δυνατωτάτους.
— τοὺς ξυγγενές: acc. by attraction to τοὺς λεοντίνους, not connected with κατοικίζειν.— 8. τοῦσδε: i.e. τοῖς Συρακοσίοις.— λυπηροὶ ὧσι: for expression and thought, cf. 18. 5.

τὰ μὲν ἐκεῖ: as to matters there. Cf. τὰ δὲ ἐνθάδε below (12).—9. καὶ αὐτοί: even ourselves, i.e. alone. Cf. 87.3.—
δ Χαλκιδεύς: see on 78.1.—10. φησι: direct reference to 76. § 2.—11. ξύμφορος (sc. ἐστιν)... ῶν καὶ... φέρων: (pers. const.) for the structure of the sent., cf. 4. 44. 3 ἤσαν... οἰ ἰππῆς ἀφέλιμοι ξυμμαχόμενοι, and see App. on 4.63.2.— ἀπαράσκενος ῶν καὶ

φέρων, τὰ δὲ ἐνθάδε καὶ Λεοντῖνοι καὶ οἱ ἄλλοι φίλοι ὅτι μάλιστα αὐτονομούμενοι.

85 "'Ανδρὶ δὲ τυράννω ἢ πόλει ἀρχὴν ἐχούση οὐδὲν ἄλογον ὅ 1 τι ξυμφέρον οὐδ' οἰκεῖον ὅ τι μὴ πιστόν πρὸς ἔκαστα δὲ δεῖ ἢ ἐχθρὸν ἢ φίλον μετὰ καιροῦ γίγνεσθαι. καὶ ἡμᾶς τοῦτο ἀφελεῖ ἐνθάδε, οὐκ ἢν τοὺς φίλους κακώσωμεν, ἀλλ' ἢν οἱ ⁵ ἐχθροὶ διὰ τὴν τῶν φίλων ῥώμην ἀδύνατοι ὧσιν. ἀπιστεῖν δὲ ² οὐ χρή καὶ γὰρ τοὺς ἐκεῖ ξυμμάχους ὡς ἔκαστοι χρήσιμοι ἐξηγούμεθα, Χίους μὲν καὶ Μηθυμναίους νεῶν παροκωχῆ

χρήματα μόνον φέρων: cf. 1. 99. 11 χρήματα έτάξαντο άντὶ τῶν νεῶν τὸ ἰκνούμενον ἀνάλωμα φέρειν. In fact they were, however, in case of need, to furnish troops also (cf. 2. 9. § 5; 7. 57. § 4).—12. καὶ Λεοντίνοι κτέ.: sc. ξύμφοροι ἡμῖν εἰσι.—ὅτι μάλιστα αὐτονομούμενοι: opp. to ὑπηκόουs (6).

85. Whoever has a supremacy to maintain must look solely to selfinterest, and it is to our advantage to have our Sicilian friends so powerful that our enemies can undertake nothing against us. Mistrust of us is unwarranted; for, as at home relations with our allies have been so shaped as in each case was most advantageous for us, so in Sicily we have been influenced solely by self-interest, that is, by fear of the designs of the Syracusans. -1. άνδρι δε κτέ.: frank admission of the same kind of policy as guided the Athenians against the Melians 5.85-113. Cf. esp. 5. 89. — άνδρὶ τυράννω: for generic and specific term united, as often, see on 1. 115. 9. — πόλει άρχην exούση: as 2.65.45. For the connection with ανδρί τυράννω, cf. τυραννίδα έχετε την άρχην 3.37.9 (and 2.63.8). - aloyov: inconsistent, i.e. contrary to

a well-considered line of action, self-interest being recognized as the test (λόγος) in political life.—2. ξυμφέρον: without ἐστί, as in 3.44.5. So often άξιον, δεινόν, δίκαιον.—οἰκεῖον: of family or tribal relationship. In politics even kinship is of value only as it contributes to self-interest.—πρὸς ἔκαστα: in every case.—3. μετὰ καιροῦ: as 8.27.12, more significant than ἐν καιρῷ: "in accord with opportunity," i.e. according to circumstances.—τοῦτο: looks forward, as in 55.3. See on 4.114.19.

6. τους έκει ξυμμάχους: this reading of C is adopted by Steup (with Bk., Kr., Hude, Oxford text, etc.), on account of the following appositives (Xlovs μèν κτέ.). Pp., St., Cl., Bm., and M. keep the reading of all the other Mss. τοις ξυμμάχοις. Thuc. has έξηγεῖσθαι with accus. 1. 71. 27, έξηγεῖσθαι with dat. 3. 55. 14. - ώς ξκαστοι χρήσιμοι: as they were severally useful to us. — 7. ἐξηγούμεθα: the manner of the leadership is defined more exactly in what follows, (1) by adj. (αὐτονόμους), (2) by adv. (βιαιότερον), (3) by ptc. (έλευθέρως ξυμμαχούντας). - Μηθυμναίous: who alone of the Lesbians retained

αὐτονόμους, τοὺς δὲ πολλοὺς χρημάτων βιαιότερον φορᾳ, ἄλλους δὲ καὶ πάνυ ἐλευθέρως ξυμμαχοῦντας, καίπερ νησιώ10 τας ὄντας καὶ εὐλήπτους, διότι ἐν χωρίοις ἐπικαίροις εἰσὶ περὶ τὴν Πελοπόννησον. ὤστε καὶ τἀνθάδε εἰκὸς πρὸς τὸ s λυσιτελοῦν καί, ὁ λέγομεν, ἐς Συρακοσίους δέος καθίστασθαι. ἀρχῆς γὰρ ἐφίενται ὑμῶν καὶ βούλονται ἐπὶ τῷ ἡμετέρῳ ξυστήσαντες ὑμᾶς ὑπόπτῳ, βίᾳ ἢ καὶ κατ' ἐρημίαν, ἀπρά15 κτων ἡμῶν ἀπελθόντων, αὐτοὶ ἄρξαι τῆς Σικελίας. ἀνάγκη δέ, ἡν ξυστήτε πρὸς αὐτούς οὖτε γὰρ ἡμῦν ἔτι ἔσται ἰσχὸς

their autonomy after 427 B.c. Cf. 3. 50. § 1, 2. — νεών παροκωχή: as χρημάτων φορά (8), dat. of attendant circumstance. See on 12.6. παροκωχ η for $\pi \alpha \rho o \chi \hat{\eta}$ of the Mss., acc. to analogy of άνοκωχή and διοκωχή (3.87.3) and the express testimony of Photius (s.v.). See St., Qu. Gr. 2 p. 44. Cf. 7.57. § 4 Xloi φόρου ούχ ὑποτελεῖς ὅντες, ναῦς δὲ παρέχοντες αὐτόνομοι...Μηθυμναῖοι ναυσί καὶ οὐ φόρφ ὑπήκοοι.—8. χρημάτων βιαιότερον φορά: referring to the φόρου ὑποτελεῖς ξύμμαχοι of 1. 19. 1, 80. 14; 7. 57. 17. -9. νησιώτας ... Πελοπόννησον: the reference is to the Corcyraeans, Cephallenians, and Zacynthians. Cf. 7.57. § 7. The Argives are disregarded.

11. πρός: secundum, See on 63.3.

— 12. δ λέγομεν: cf. 83. § 4. — ἐς Συρακοσίους: with a view to fear of the Syracusans (πρός τό belonging to δέος as well as to λυσιτελοῦν). Cf. 3. 14.1 τὰς τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐς ὑμᾶς ἐλπίδας, and 3. 37. 3 διὰ τὸ καθ' ἡμέραν ἀδεὲς καὶ ἀνεπιβούλευτον πρὸς ἀλλήλους καὶ ἐς τοὺς ἔνμμάχους τὸ αὐτὸ ἔχετε. — καθίστασθαι: sc. ἡμᾶς. — 18. ἀρχῆς γὰρ. . . πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἶεν: proof that Athenian fear of the Syracusans is well grounded. — ὑμῶν: including all the Siceliotes except the

Syracusans, as ὑμᾶs in 14 and 18; so also ξυστήτε in 16. — έπι τω ήμετέρω ὑπόπτω: on the ground of suspicion of us. For poss. pron. as obj. gen., cf. 1. 33. 18, and see G. 999; H. 694; Kühn. 454, N. 11.—14. ξυστήσαντες ύμας: having united you. Cf. the intr. ξυστήναι below (16). — βία ή και κατ' έρημίαν: (sc. των κωλυσόντων) by force or in absence (of those who will hinder), referring to the Syracusans and to be taken with αύτοι άρξαι της Σικελίας. For κατ' έρημίαν. "because they have a free field," cf. Dem. 3. 27. The above is Cl.'s explanation, approved by St. Some editors refer the clause to the Camarinaeans, with the Schol. και βούλονται . . . ὑφ' αὑτοις ποιήσασθαι την Σικελίαν, ήτοι βία κρατήσαντες ὑμῶν, ἢ μόνους ἀπολαβόντες, ἡμῶν άπράκτων άπελθόντων. Steup refers it, with Haacke, to ἀπράκτων ἡμῶν ἀπελθόντων (removing the comma after έρηular), suggesting that in case of a union of all Siceliotes the withdrawal of the Athenians might have been due to defeat or merely to lack of allies (cf. 86. $22 \hat{\eta} \hat{\mathbf{a}} \pi \rho \mathbf{a} \kappa \tau o \nu \hat{\mathbf{a}} \pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \hat{\eta} \kappa a \delta \sigma \phi a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma a \nu$. -15. άνάγκη δέ: τὸ ἄρξαι Συρακοσίους της όλης Σικελίας Schol. - 16. ξυστήτε πρὸς αὐτούς: as 1.1.6, 15.10. —

τοσαύτη ες εν ξυστάσα ευμεταχείριστος, οὐθ οίδ ἀσθενείς αν ήμων μη παρόντων προς ύμας είεν.

86 "Καὶ ὅτῷ ταῦτα μὴ δοκεῖ, αὐτὸ τὸ ἔργον ἐλέγχει. τὸ γὰρ 1 πρότερον ἡμᾶς ἐπηγάγεσθε οὐκ ἄλλον τινὰ προσείοντες φόβον ἤ, εἰ περιοψόμεθα ὑμᾶς ὑπὸ Συρακοσίοις γενέσθαι, ὅτι καὶ αὐτοὶ κινδυνεύσομεν. καὶ νῦν οὐ δίκαιον, ῷπερ καὶ ἡμᾶς \$ 5 ἤξιοῦτε λόγῷ πείθειν, τῷ αὐτῷ ἀπιστεῖν, οὐδ' ὅτι δυνάμει μείζονι πρὸς τὴν τῶνδε ἰσχὺν πάρεσμεν ὑποπτεύεσθαι, πολὺ

17. ἐς ἔν ξυστᾶσα: i.e. by the union of the Syracusans with all of the Siceliotes.— εὐμεταχείριστος: easy to handle. Cf. Xen. Anab. 2. 6. 20, and the apparent imitation of our passage in Xen. Hell. 5. 2. 15 εἰ τοσαύτη δύναμις προσγενήσεται τἢ τε Ἀθηναίων καὶ θηβαίων ἰσχύι, ὁρᾶτε ὅπως μὴ οὐκέτι εὐμεταχείριστα ἔσται ἐκεῖνα ὑμῖν. Cf. δυσμεταχείριστος Hdt. 7. 236. 12.— οδο οδο ἀσθενεῖς ἄν... πρὸς ὑμᾶς εἶεν: litotes. οδὸ refers to the Syracusans.

86. On your former summons you yourselves held before us the danger from the Syracusans threatening us as well as you; and will you now give no credence to assurances from us that agree with your former declarations? Though we are here with an army superior to the Syracusan forces, we can never win in this contest without your help, and if we wished to make conquests here, we could not maintain them on account of the distance. We Athenians and you Siceliotes depend upon one another; the danger is closer to you, and if you reject our aid, you will repent when it is too late.—1. αὐτὸ τὸ ἔργον: the fact itself. - τὸ πρότεpov: in 427 B.C., when Camarina stood with the Leontines and the rest of the Chalcidian cities against Syracuse (3. 86. § 2 ff.). — 2. ἐπηγάγεσθε: as in 85. §3, the Camarinaeans appear throughout c. 86 as representatives of all the Siceliotes except the Syracusans. So ύμῶν also 87. 8. — οὐκ άλλον τινά προσείοντες φόβον ή: shaking before us no other weapon of alarm than. The metaphor seems to be derived from the brandishing of swords or spears before enemies to frighten them (cf. 4. 126. 22 ή ἐπανάσεισις τῶν ὅπλων), and is here perhaps a reminiscence of Homer's (\triangle 167) αύτδς έπισσείησιν έρεμνην αίγίδα πασιν. Cf. 5.17. 7 παρασκευή προεπανεσείσθη άπδ των Λακεδαιμονίων, and Eur. H. F. 1209 τί μοι προσείων χείρα σημαίνεις φόνον;--3. ότι και αυτοί κινδυνεύσομεν: placed after the cond., as 80.17.

4. καὶ νῦν: see on 83. 10. — καὶ ἡμᾶς: such prolepsis is freq. in rel. sents. The underlying thought is τῷ λόγῳ ῷπερ ἡμᾶς πείθειν ἡξιοῦτε, καὶ ὑμᾶς πείθεσθαι δίκαιον. — 5. ἡξιοῦτε πείθειν: emphatically connected also 87.4.—δυνάμει μείζονι πρός τὴν τῶνδε ἰσχύν: with a force disproportioned to the strength of Syracuse. See on 31.43. Kr. and Jowett explain, "greater than before."—6. ὑποπτεύεσθαι: (pass.) the context requires ἡμᾶς to be understood here, though above with ἀπιστεῖν sc. ὑμᾶς. Steup, contending that all three infs.

δὲ μᾶλλον τοῖσδε ἀπιστεῖν. ἡμεῖς μέν γε οὔτε ἐμμεῖναι δυ- 3 νατοὶ μὴ μεθ' ὑμῶν, εἴ τε καὶ γενόμενοι κακοὶ κατεργασαίμεθα, ἀδύνατοι κατασχεῖν διὰ μῆκός τε πλοῦ καὶ ἀπορίᾳ 10 φυλακῆς πόλεων μεγάλων καὶ τῆ παρασκευῆ ἡπειρωτίδων οἴδε δὲ οὐ στρατοπέδω, πόλει δὲ μείζονι τῆς ἡμετέρας παρουσίας ἐποικοῦντες ὑμῖν αἰεί τε ἐπιβουλεύουσι καί, ὅταν καιρὸν λάβωσιν ἐκάστου, οὐκ ἀνιᾶσιν (ἔδειξαν δὲ καὶ ἄλλα ἤδη καὶ τὰ ἐς Λεοντίνους), καὶ νῦν τολμῶσιν ἐπὶ τοὺς ταῦτα 4

must have the same subj. $(i\mu\hat{a}s)$, takes $i\pi\sigma\pi\tau\epsilon i\epsilon\sigma\theta a\iota$ as middle; but this is without parallel.

7. hueis mév ye: we certainly. For the combination, see on 1.40.15. έμμείναι: sc. έν τη Σικελία, to maintain ourselves in Sicily. — 8. μη μεθ' ὑμῶν: = ἄνευ ὑμῶν. See on μη μετά νόμων 3. 62.16. Kr. Spr. 67, 9 and 10, 4. See St., Symb. Phil. Bonn. p. 387. - el Te καί γενόμενοι κακοί κατεργασαίμεθα: sc. την Σικελίαν, mocking answer to the insinuations of Hermocrates, 80, 10, κατεργάσασθαι and κατασχείν in the same connection as in 11. 1. — 9. άπορία φυλακής . . . ήπειρωτίδων: Schol. οὐ δυνάμενοι φυλάττειν πόλεις μεγάλας καί παρασκευήν ήπειρωτικήν παρεσκευασμένας, τοῦτ' ἐστι ἱππεῦσι καὶ πεζῷ ἰσχυούσας, αύτοι ναυτικοί όντες. Cf. 1.35.21 ναυτικης και οὐκ ήπειρώτιδος της ξυμμαχίας δι- $\delta o \mu \epsilon \nu \eta s$. — 11. O'SE Sè . . . ÈTTOLKOÛVTES υμίν: and these not with a camp, but in hostile proximity to you with a city greater than our present force. For a similar comparison of an attack menaced by a near-by city with one from a camp, cf. 37. § 2. -πόλει: with ἐποικοῦντες ὑμῶν. For this dat., cf. 3. 93. 7 φοβούμενοι μή σφίσι μεγάλη Ισχύι παροικώσιν. - της ήμετέρας παρουσίας: abstract for concrete ($\dot{\eta}\mu\hat{\omega}\nu \tau\hat{\omega}\nu \pi a\rho \delta\nu\tau\omega\nu$). Cf. 7.

24. 14 $\dot{\eta}$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\dot{\eta}$ $\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$ $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\iota\tau\eta\delta\epsilon l\omega\nu=\tau\dot{\alpha}$ ἐπαγόμενα ἐπιτήδεια. For Steup's view of the passage, which he considers corrupt, see App. — 12. ἐποικοῦντες ὑμῖν: cf. 7. 27, 10 υστερον δέ φρουραίς άπο των πόλεων κατά διαδοχήν χρόνου έπιούσαις τη χώρα έπωκείτο. - ἐπιβουλεύουσι καί . . . οὐκ ἀνιᾶσιν: cf. 18. 14 τοῖς μέν έπιβουλεύειν, τούς δέ μή άνιέναι. - όταν καιρὸν λάβωσιν ἐκάστου: whenever they have got an opportunity against each of you. Some editors consider έκάστου neut., "each attack" (cf. ξργου καιρφ 2. 40.2); but the masc. agrees better with the foll. example from the Leontines. For the sing., cf. δ Χαλκιδεύς 84.9. — 13. (ἔδειξαν δέ . . . καὶ τὰ ἐς Λεοντίvous): they have given both other examples already and especially that of the Leontines. άλλα cogn. acc. (= ξδειξαν δείγματα άλλα). For $\eta\delta\eta$, see on 7.77.2. With τά ές Λεοντίνους, cf. τά ές Βοιωτούς 5.39. 16. Most editors make this remark parenthetical and punctuate the whole sent. as in this text; for Steup's variant arrangement, see App.

14. καὶ νῦν τολμῶσιν: and now they make bold, characterizing the representations of the Syracusans based, acc. to the Athenians, on a false charge, the falsity of which is emphasized esp. by ως ἀναισθήτους ("as if you were

15 κωλύοντας καὶ ἀνέχοντας τὴν Σικελίαν μέχρι τοῦδε μὴ ὑπ' αὐτοὺς εἶναι παρακαλεῖν ὑμᾶς ὡς ἀναισθήτους. πολὺ ὁ δὲ ἐπὶ ἀληθεστέραν γε σωτηρίαν ἡμεῖς ἀντιπαρακαλοῦμεν, δεόμενοι τὴν ὑπάρχουσαν ἀπ' ἀλλήλων ἀμφοτέροις μὴ προδιδόναι, νομίσαι τε τοῖσδε μὲν καὶ ἄνευ ξυμμάχων αἰεὶ 20 ἐφ' ὑμᾶς ἐτοίμην διὰ τὸ πλῆθος εἶναι ὁδόν, ὑμῖν δ' οὐ πολλάκις παρασχήσειν μετὰ τοσῆσδε ἐπικουρίας ἀμύνασθαι ἡν εἰ τῷ ὑπόπτῳ ἡ ἄπρακτον ἐάσετε ἀπελθεῖν ἡ καὶ σφαλεῖσαν, ἔτι βουλήσεσθε καὶ πολλοστὸν μόριον αὐτῆς ἰδεῖν, ὅτε οὐδὲν ἔτι περανεῖ παραγενόμενον ὑμῖν.

foolish enough to believe them").—
ἐπὶ τοὺς ταῦτα κωλύοντας: against those
who prevented these things, i.e. what the
Syracusans undertook against the Leontines.—15. ἀνέχοντας...μὴ ὑπ' αὐτοὺς εἶναι: hindered Sicily up to this
time from being under them. ἀνέχειν
(abs.), hinder, hence μή with inf. Cf.
Bekker, Anecd. Gr. p. 400, where this
passage and one from Aristophanes
are cited in proof that λέγεται ἀνέχειν
καὶ τὸ κωλύειν. GMT. 815, 1; Kr. Spr.
67, 12, 3.—16. ὑπ' αὐτοὺς εἶναι: see on
1.110. 4; and Herbst, Ueber Cobet,
p. 27 ff. Van H. writes ὑπ' αὐτοῦς.

πολύ: modifying ἀληθεστέραν, but by its position before the prep. emphasizing the antithesis. Cf. πολύ δὲ ἐν πλέονι αἰτία 1.35.10.—17. ἀντιπαρακαλοῦμεν: one of Thuc.'s forty-one ἀπαξ εἰρημένα compounds with ἀντι-. See App. on 4.80.4.—18. τὴν ὑπάρ-χουσαν ἀπ' ἀλλήλων ἀμφοτέροις: sc. σωτηρίαν.—προδιδόναι: strong expression for "give up," here = "throw away."—19. νομίσαι τε: Hude writes δὲ with Cod. M, on the ground that this clause must be adversative to the

preceding, but unnecessarily. - 20. &d τὸ πληθος: on account of their numerical superiority. See on 2.11.12.— 21. παρασχήσειν: impers. of an opportunity presenting itself. See on 1.120. 18. — 22. τῶ ὑπόπτω: causal dat. Cf. τῷ ὑμετέρφ ὑπόπτφ 85.13. — ἄπρακτον άπελθείν: cf. 85. 14 άπράκτων ήμων άπελθ δντων. — $\ddot{\eta}$ καί: see on 80.24. — 23. $\ddot{\epsilon}$ τι βουλήσεσθε: you will yet wish, apod. of monitory cond. GMT. 447.—24. où&èv ἔτι περανεί: will no longer accomplish anything, i.e. help will do no good after the Syracusans have subjugated all Sicily. In the conclusion of this chapter we have the rhetorical answer to Hermocrates' words in 78. § 3 kal el γνώμη αμάρτοι, τοῖς αὐτοῦ κακοῖς όλοφυρθείς τάχ' αν ζσως και τοις έμοις άγαθοις ποτε βουληθείη αδθις φθονήσαι.

87. From our policy, which for the maintenance of our supremacy must take precautions on all sides, you have nothing to fear; do not try, therefore, to direct it along other courses. Use rather our offered aid for your security, and oppose resolutely, both you and the rest, the Syracusan lust for

37 " Αλλὰ μήτε ὑμεῖς, ὧ Καμαριναῖοι, ταῖς τῶνδε διαβολαῖς 1 ἀναπείθεσθε μήτε οἱ ἄλλοι εἰρήκαμεν δ' ὑμῖν πᾶσαν τὴν ἀλήθειαν περὶ ὧν ὑποπτευόμεθα, καὶ ἔτι ἐν κεφαλαίοις ὑπομνήσαντες ἀξιώσομεν πείθειν. φαμὲν γὰρ ἄρχειν μὲν τῶν 2 5 ἐκεῖ, ἴνα μὴ ὑπακούωμεν ἄλλου, ἐλευθεροῦν δὲ τὰ ἐνθάδε, ὅπως μὴ ὑπ' αὐτῶν βλαπτώμεθα, πολλὰ δ' ἀναγκάζεσθαι πράσσειν, διότι καὶ πολλὰ φυλασσόμεθα, ξύμμαχοι δὲ καὶ νῦν καὶ πρότερον τοῖς ἐνθάδε ὑμῶν ἀδικουμένοις οὐκ ἄκλητοι, παρακληθέντες δὲ ἤκειν. καὶ ὑμεῖς μήθ' ὡς δικασταὶ γενό- 3 10 μενοι τῶν ἡμῖν ποιουμένων μήθ' ὡς σωφρονισταί, ὁ χαλεπὸν ἤδη, ἀποτρέπειν πειρᾶσθε, καθ' ὅσον δὲ τι ὑμῖν τῆς ἡμετέρας πολυπραγμοσύνης καὶ τρόπου τὸ αὐτὸ ξυμφέρει,

rule.—1. ταις τῶνδε διαβολαις: cf. 83. 12 ἐξ ὧν οίδε διαβάλλουσι.—2. μήτε οἱ ἄλλοι: here is definitely expressed what elsewhere in the admonitions to the Camarinaeans was implied. See below on 8 and on 85. 13 and 86. 2. — πᾶσαν τὴν ἀλήθειαν: a stock phrase in Greek from Homer down, as in English.—3. ὑποπτευόμεθα: cf. 83. 13; 85. 14; 86. 6, 22.—ἐν κεφαλαίοις: in the chief points. Cf. 6. 14; 1. 36. 14; 4. 50. 8.—4. ἀξιώσομεν πείθειν: see on 86. 5.

φαμὲν γάρ: we say, namely. See on 33. 7.— ἄρχειν μὲν κτέ.: cf. 82. § 2, 3; 83. § 4.— 5. ὑπακούωμεν ἄλλου: see on 82. 7.— 6. ὅπως μὴ ὑπ' αὐτῶν βλαπτώμεθα: cf. 84. 3.— πολλὰ πράσσειν: as πολυπραγμοσύνη below (12), in a good sense, characterizing the policy of the Athenians at their acmie, as described by Pericles in the funeral oration 2. 40, 41; its antithesis ἀπραγμοσύνη, τὸ ἀπραγμον in 2. 63. 7, 12. Cf. 18. 30.— 7. πολλὰ ψυλασσόμεθα: we are on guard at many points. Strictly cogn. accus.— 8. τοῦς ἐνθάδε ὑμῶν ἀδικουμένοις:

ύμῶν including all the Siceliotes except the Syracusans. For the part. gen. in attrib. position, see on 62.19.

— οὐκ ἄκλητοι, παρακληθέντες δέ: cf. και παρακαλούμενος και ἄκλητος 1.118.
23. For emphasis by both negation and affirmation, cf. 76.19, and see on 2.98.10. On the matter, cf. 19.§1; 3.86.§3.

10. των ήμεν ποιουμένων: for dat. of agent with pass., see on 3.64.15, and C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Phil. Assoc. XXV, p. 71. — ώς σωφρονισταί: as moderators, i.e. schooling us to moderation, as 3. 65. 16; 8. 48. 43. Cf. $\sigma\omega$ φρονίζειν 78.11; 8.1.27. -δχαλεπὸν ῆδη:referring to άποτρέπειν. - 11. καθ' δσον δέ τι . . . τὸ αὐτὸ ξυμφέρει: in proportion as anything of our busy activity and character (way of acting) is profitable alike to you (as to us). Cf. 83. 10 f. The specific word and the general (τρόπου) with a single article, though of different genders. Cf. 1. 102. 21; 3. 61. 5. πολυπραγμοσύνη only here in Thuc. Cf. Ar. Acharn, 733. τὸ αὐτό pred, appos. to τι. τούτ ψ ἀπολαβόντες χρήσασθε, καὶ νομίσατε μὴ πάντας ἐν ἴσψ βλάπτειν αὐτά, πολὺ δὲ πλείους τῶν Ἑλλήνων καὶ ἀφελεῖν.

15 ἐν παντὶ γὰρ πᾶς χωρίψ καὶ ῷ μὴ ὑπάρχομεν, ὅ τε οἰόμενος 4 ἀδικήσεσθαι καὶ ὁ ἐπιβουλεύων διὰ τὸ ἑτοίμην ὑπεῖναι ἐλπίδα τῷ μὲν ἀντιτυχεῖν ἐπικουρίας ἀφ' ἡμῶν, τῷ δέ, εἰ ηξομεν, μὴ ἀδεὲς εἶναι κινδυνεύειν, ἀμφότεροι ἀναγκάζονται ὁ Cf. 3. 47. 18; 4. 25. 3.—13. τούτψ ἀπολαβόντες χρήσασθε: take this out and use it. Cf. Plato, Rep. 420 c τὴν εὐδαίμονα (πόλιν) πλάττομεν οὐκ ἀ πολαβόντες, όλίγους ἐν αὐτῆ τοιούτους τινὰς θέντες, ἀλλ' δλην.—14. αὐτά: i.e. πολυπραγμοσός ἀδεεῖ, making κινδυνεύειν depend on μὴ

15. έν παντί γάρ πας χωρίφ: πας inserted for the sake of the paronomasia. Cf. α έν τῷ παντί χρόνω όλίγοις δη αμα πάντα ξυνέβη 1. 33. 13; ἐπεσέρχεται . . . έκ πάσης γης τὰ πάντα 2.38.4; κατὰ πάντα γάρ πάντως νικηθέντες 7. 87. 23; πάντα δὲ πανταχόθεν αὐτοὺς έλύπει 8. 1. 9. - Kal 4: prep. not repeated, as freq., in the second clause. Cf. 1.6.20f., and see on 4. 46. 1. Kr.'s conjecture κάν & is unnecessary. — 16. ὑπείναι ἐλπίδα: subesse spem. έλπίδα, hope in the first case $(\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \ell \nu)$, anticipation in the second $(\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\epsilon})$. — 17. dutituxeîv êmiκουρίας άφ' ἡμῶν: i.e. to get redress (dvti-) through our aid, as Haacke explains. - 18. μη άδεις είναι κινδυνεύειν: that it is not without danger to take up the conflict. adeeis is the reading of the Mss., which Jowett retains, explaining, "dôceîs, though in the plural, refers to $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \hat{\epsilon}$ preceding." But all recent editors emend. áðeés is Reiske's conjecture, adopted also by St., who brackets κινδυνεύειν. Cf. Dem. 16. 22 οὐ γάρ έγωγ' άδεὲς τοῦθ' ὑπολαμβάνω τῆ πόλει. But Steup contends that κινδυνεύειν as subj. inf. makes no difficulty. For άδεές in the rare pass, sense, cf. 1.36. 5; Plato, Sympos. 198 A. For κινδυνεύειν meaning take up the conflict without esp. emphasis on the danger involved, cf. 1. 74. 15; 3. 5. 11; 4. 91. 10; 5. 9. 6; 7. 48. 30. Cl. adopted Kr.'s conjecture άδεεί, making κινδυνεύειν depend on μή άδεει είναι (cf. 1. 136. 3; 4. 110. 13; 5. 105.12), and rendering: "because there is to the aggressor the anticipation that, if we come, he has to fear coming into dangerous conflict with us." But Steup, with St., wants proof of this pregnant meaning of κινδυνεύειν, and doubts that not only he who does not fear, but also he who does not need to fear, can be called άδεής. — άμφότεροι άναγκάζονται . . . σφίζεσθαι: άναγκάζονται is really applicable only to ἄκων σωφρονείν, but the emphatic position of άμφότεροι seems to show that the structure of the sent, was intentional, and we may render with Jowett, must both alike submit, the one to learn moderation against his will, the other to receive at our hands a deliverance which costs him nothing. Some, like Kr., think avayrdζονται has a milder sense with σφζεσθαι (" are placed in the way to be saved"); Cl., that olds Té eou or the like must be supplied. - 6 mév, 6 86: the former = ὁ ἐπιβουλεύων, the latter = ὁ οἰόμενος άδικήσεσθαι, in chiastic order. — 19. ακων σωφρονείν: cf. 79. 9. — άπραγμόνως: Schol. χωρίς πόνου. Cf. 4. 61. 27.

ἄκων σωφρονείν, ὁ δ' ἀπραγμόνως σώζεσθαι. ταύτην ε τὴν κοινὴν τῷ τε δεομένω καὶ ὑμῖν νῦν παροῦσαν ἀσφά-ν μὴ ἀπώσησθε, ἀλλ' ἐξισώσαντες τοῖς ἄλλοις μεθ' ἡμῶν Συρακοσίοις, ἀντὶ τοῦ αἰεὶ φυλάσσεσθαι αὐτούς, καὶ πιβουλεῦσαί ποτε ἐκ τοῦ ὁμοίου μεταλάβετε."

ύτην οὖν τὴν κοινὴν . . . ἀσφάλειαν πώσησθε: reject not, then, this on safety now present for you, as is for the one that asks you. For ομένω referring, as Cl. explains, 3 Athenians, cf. 86. 18 δεόμενοι την ουσαν άπ' άλλήλων άμφοτέροις σωνμή προδιδόναι. Most editors under- $\tau \hat{\varphi} \delta \epsilon o \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \varphi$, "any one that asks." objects that the context here is different from that at 86, 18 and sed to Cl.'s view of τω δεομένω, κοινήν is opposed to τῷ δεομένω, senting, collectively, any or all isk. Assuming that the passage is pt, he substitutes evoluny for kolexplaining έτοιμην τῷ τε δεομένω και ύμεν νθν παροθσαν as parallel fiers of ταύτην την ἀσφάλειαν, i.e. safety ready for all that ask and at hand for the Camarinaeans." position of $\tau \epsilon$, with this view, see 46.1.-21. $4\lambda\lambda'$ exignormates tois s κτέ.: but putting yourselves on an ity with the rest, along with us, inof always being on quard against yracusans, take a different course i your turn at last plot against them manner, i.e. as they have plotted st you (51. § 2). — ἐξισώσαντες τοῖς s: i.e. the Leontines, Egestaeans, Catanaeans (51. § 2). The verb as 5.71.17 and Soph. El. 1194 δ' οὐδὲν έξισοῖ. So Cl. St. explains, ώσαντες, BC. την ἀσφάλειαν τῷ τε

δεομένω και υμῶν: postquam eam utrisque aequalem (communem) reddidistis, i.e. postquam nobis pariter atque vobis tutum praesidium societate nobiscum renovata paravistis." Taking τοῖς άλλοις = τοῖς Συρακοσίοις, St. brackets the latter words. So Bm. and M., see App.—23. ἀντεπιβουλεῦσαι: to plot in turn against. The compound is coined by Thuc. See App. on 4.80.4.—μεταλάβετε: take a different course. See on 18.18; 1.120.16. For const. with dependent inf., cf. 1.44.4 μετέγνωσαν...μη ποιήσασθαι.

88. The Camarinaeans answered that as allies to both parties in the war it seemed their duty to help neither. The Athenians now sought as much as possible to win the Sicels for themselves and by ambassadors to get help in Carthage and Tyrrhenia. They transferred their naval station from Naxos to Catana and made preparations for an attack upon Syracuse in the spring. Meanwhile Syracusan ambassadors, who had found great zeal for their cause at Corinth, proceeded in company with Corinthian ambassadors to Lacedaemon, and met there Alcibiades, who had come on the invitation of the Lacedaemonians from Cyllene, whither he had betaken himself from Thurii. Alcibiades joined them in persuading the Lacedaemonians to

Τοιαῦτα δὲ ὁ Εὖφημος εἶπεν. οἱ δὲ Καμαριναῖοι ἐπεπόνθε- 1 σαν τοιόνδε· τοῖς μὲν ᾿Αθηναίοις εὖνοι ἢσαν, πλὴν καθ᾽ ὅσον [εἰ] τὴν Σικελίαν ῷοντο αὐτοὺς δουλώσεσθαι, τοῖς δὲ Συρακοσίοις αἰεὶ κατὰ τὸ ὅμορον διάφοροι · δεδιότες δ᾽ οὐχ ἢσσον τοὺς Συρακοσίους ἐγγὺς ὅντας μὴ καὶ ἄνευ σφῶν περιγένωνται, τό τε πρῶτον αὐτοῖς τοὺς ὀλίγους ἱππέας ἔπεμψαν καὶ τὸ λοιπὸν ἐδόκεὶ αὐτοῖς ὑπουργεῖν μὲν τοῖς Συρακοσίοις μᾶλλον ἔργῳ, ὡς ᾶν δύνωνται μετριώτατα, ἐν δὲ τῷ παρόντι, ἴνα μηδὲ τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις ἔλασσον δοκῶσι νεῖμαι, ἐπειδὴ καὶ δἐπικρατέστεροι τῷ μάχῃ ἐγένοντο, λόγῳ ἀποκρίνασθαι ἴσα ἀμφοτέροις. καὶ οὖτω βουλευσάμενοι ἀπεκρίναντο, ἐπειδὴ ἐπυγχάνει ἀμφοτέροις οὖσι ξυμμάχοις σφῶν πρὸς ἀλλήλους πόλεμος ὧν, εὖορκον δοκεῖν εἶναι σφίσιν ἐν τῷ παρόντι μηδετέροις ἀμύνειν. καὶ οἱ πρέσβεις ἑκατέρων ἀπῆλθον.

renew the war with Athens. - 1. Toiαθτα δέ: see on 19. 1. — ἐπεπόνθεσαν τοιόνδε: had been thus affected, i.e. already (plpf.), not merely in consequence of the opposing speeches.-2. τοις μεν Αθηναίοις κτέ.: after τοιόνδε without conj., as freq. in Thuc. See on 1.89.2. - καθ' όσον [εί] κτέ.: Steup, following Reiske, brackets el, with nearly all recent editors. The part. is not more in place here with πλην καθ' δσον, except in so far as, than it would be at 54. 24, 82. 10. Cl., who retained el, thought Thuc. could not have meant to say that the Camarinaeans really ascribed to the Athenians intentions of conquest. But in various passages of the speech of Euphemus are intimations of mistrust on the part of the Camarinaeans and the rest of the Siceliotes as to the intentions of the Athenians (cf. 83.13; 85. 14; 86.6, 22). - 4. κατά τὸ ὅμορον: cf. Tac. Hist. 5. 1 solito inter ac-

colas odio. - 5, toùs Συρακοσίους ... μη περιγένωνται: prolepsis, as in 2. 67. 23. - 6. τοὺς ὀλίγους ἱππέας ἔπεμwav: acc. to 67. § 2 the Camarinaeans had sent 20 horsemen and 50 bowmen, hence Kr. suspects that ral roforas has dropped out here. - καὶ τὸ λοιπόν: answering to $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$. — 8. $\epsilon \rho \gamma \phi$: in fact, opp. to $\lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$ (10), in word. ώς αν δύνωνται μετριώτατα: as moderately as they can. Abresch would insert δ'. — 9. Γνα μηδέ . . . ἔλασσον δοκώσι veima: that they may not seem to be less favorable to the Athenians. veiuai is Valckenaer's excellent emendation for είναι. Cf. μείζον μέρος νέμοντες 3.3.5; πλέον νείμαντες 3.48.2. — 10. ἐπικρατίστεροι: elsewhere only in late writers; the adv. ἐπικρατέως occurs several times in the Iliad.

11. οὕτω βουλευσάμενοι: after these deliberations.—12. άμφοτέροις οὕσι ξυμμάχοις σφῶν: cf. 80.6.—13. εὕορκον: in accordance with their oath, as 5.18.58.

15 Καὶ οἱ μὲν Συρακόσιοι τὰ καθ ἐαυτοὺς ἐξηρτύοντο ἐς τὸν πό- 8 λεμον, οἱ δ' ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἐν τῆ Νάξῳ ἐστρατοπεδευμένοι τὰ πρὸς τοὺς Σικελοὺς ἔπρασσον, ὅπως αὐτοῖς ὡς πλεῖστοι προσχωρήσονται. καὶ οἱ μὲν πρὸς τὰ πεδία μᾶλλον τῶν Σικελῶν, 4 ὑπήκοοι ὄντες τῶν Συρακοσίων, οὐ πολλοὶ ἀφειστήκεσαν· 20 τῶν δὲ τὴν μεσόγειαν ἐχόντων αὐτόνομοι οὖσαι καὶ πρότερον αἰεὶ ⟨αἱ⟩ οἰκήσεις εὐθύς, πλὴν ὀλίγοι, μετὰ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἦσαν, καὶ σῖτόν τε κατεκόμιζον τῷ στρατεύματι καὶ εἰσὶν οἱ καὶ χρήματα. ἐπὶ δὲ τοὺς μὴ προσχωροῦντας οἱ δ ᾿Αθηναῖοι στρατεύοντες τοὺς μὲν προσηνάγκαζον, τοὺς δὲ καὶ ὑπὸ τῶν Συρακοσίων φρουρούς τε πεμπόντων καὶ βοηθούντων ἀπεκωλύοντο. τόν τε χειμῶνα μεθορμισάμενοι ἐκ

15. τὰ καθ ἐαυτοὺς ἐξηρτύοντο: cf. 1. 82. 5 τὰ ἡμέτερα αὐτῶν ἐξαρτύοσθαι.—
16. ἐν τῷ Νάξψ ἐστρατοπεδευμένοι: cf. 74. 10.— τὰ πρὸς τοὺς Σικελοὺς κτέ.: cf. 48, 62. § 5, 65. § 2.

19. ὑπήκοοι ὄντες τῶν Συρακοσίων: cf. 34. § 1, 45, 48; 3. 103. § 1. — où πολλοί: Canter's correction for oi πολλοί, opp. to πλην δλίγοι (21). — 20. μεσόγειαν: the correct form, not -γαιαν. Cf. 1. 100. 15, 120. 8; 2. 102. 4; 3. 95. 19; 7.80.22. — τών . . . ἐχόντων . . . (ai) oikhous: Bk. inserted the art. and has been followed by most editors; but Cl. and Steup consider the art. unnecessary after the preceding gen. (see on 1. 1. 11) notwithstanding the intervening words (αὐτόνομοι . . . alel). Jowett would govern των έχδυτων by πλην δλίγοι (=most, πάντες πλην όλίγοι), makingαὐτόνομοι . . . οἰκήσεις appos. to the main subj. (πλην όλίγοι). For οίκησεις, settlements $(= \kappa \hat{\omega} \mu a i)$, cf. 1.6.2. -21. $\pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu$ όλίγοι: πλήν adv., not prep., as 2.21. 13, 34. 14; 4. 23. 12. For the rather harsh transition to the inhabitants of the οἰκήσεις, cf. 80. 3, 94. 3.—22. κατεκόμιζον: brought down, i.e. from the interior to the coast. Cf. 4. 67. 14 and κατακομιδή 1. 120. 10.—23. εἰσὶνοῖ: as 10. 10; 1. 23. 7; 2. 49. 39; 7. 13. 16, 44. 46, 57. 12; 8. 101. 16; but ἔστιν ῶν πόλεων below (30) and 7. 11. 7; τοῖς βαρβάροις ἔστιν οῖς 1. 6. 21; ἔστι παρ' οῖς (masc.) 1. 23. 15; ἔστιν οῦς 5. 72. 25. See F. Haase, Lucubr. Thuc. p. 72 f.

26. άπεκωλύοντο: (for άπεκώλυον, with all recent editors, following Doederlein and Bk.) sc. προσαναγκάζειν. Cf. 102. 8 and 7. 56. 6, where after διεκώλυσεν and κωλύσωσι infs. are supplied from what preceded. — τόν τε χειμώνα ... Siexeluagov: Steup finds this statement somewhat inexact; for in the winter of 415-414 B.c. the Athenians make the first attack upon Syracuse (63-71); then attempt to bring Messene over to their side, spending thereby some thirteen days in the territory of Messene (74. § 1, 2); then proceed to Naxos and spend some time there (74. § 2, 75. § 2). He suggests that τελευτώντα after της Νάξου ες την Κατάνην καὶ τὸ στρατόπεδον, ὁ κατεκαύθη ὑπὸ τῶν Συρακοσίων, αὖθις ἀνορθώσαντες διεχείμαζον. καὶ ε ἔπεμψαν μὲν ἐς Καρχηδόνα τριήρη περὶ φιλίας, εἰ δύναιντό τι ώφελεισθαι, ἔπεμψαν δὲ καὶ ἐς Τυρσηνίαν, ἔστιν ὧν πόλεων ἐπαγγελλομένων καὶ αὐτῶν ξυμπολεμεῖν. περιήγγελλον δὲ καὶ τοῖς Σικελοῖς καὶ ἐς τὴν Εγεσταν πέμψαντες ἐκέλευον ἵππους σφίσιν ὡς πλείστους πέμπειν, καὶ τάλλα ἐς τὸν περιτειχισμόν, πλινθία καὶ σίδηρον, ἡτοίμαζον, καὶ ὅσα ἔδει, ὡς 35 ἄμα τῷ ἦρι ἑξόμενοι τοῦ πολέμου.

Οἱ δ' ἐς τὴν Κόρινθον καὶ Λακεδαίμονα τῶν Συρακοσίων τ ἀποσταλέντες πρέσβεις τούς τε Ἰταλιώτας ἄμα παραπλέοντες ἐπειρῶντο πείθειν μὴ περιορᾶν τὰ γιγνόμενα ὑπὸ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων, ὡς καὶ ἐκείνοις ὁμοίως ἐπιβουλευόμενα, καὶ ἐπειδὴ 40 ἐν τῆ Κορίνθῳ ἐγένοντο, λόγους ἐποιοῦντο ἀξιοῦντες σφίσι κατὰ τὸ ξυγγενὲς βοηθεῖν. καὶ οἱ Κορίνθιοι εὐθὺς ψηφισάμε- 8 νοι αὐτοὶ πρῶτοι ὧστε πάση προθυμία ἀμύνειν καὶ ἐς τὴν Λακεδαίμονα ξυναπέστελλον αὐτοῖς πρέσβεις, ὅπως καὶ ἐκείνους

χειμώνα may have dropped out (cf. έν τῷ αὐτῷ θέρει τελευτώντι 7. 9. 1).— 27. δ κατεκαύθη: cf. 75. § 2.—28. ά νορθώσαντες: referring to ὥρθωσαν 66. 10. Cf. ἐπανορθώσοντες 7. 77. 39.

29. ἔπεμψαν μέν, ἔπεμψαν δέ: epanaphora, as 1. 85. 6.—31. και αὐτῶν: even themselves, i.e. of their own accord, with ἐπαγγελλομένων. On the matter, cf. 103. § 2; 7. 53. § 2, 57. § 11.— περιήγγελλον: as ἐκέλευον below, limited by ἴππους . . . πέμπειν. Cf. 1. 116. 5. There is no reason to suspect (with Kr.) ἐκέλευον, or to bracket (with v. H.) πέμψαντες ἐκέλευον. — 32. πέμψαντες ἐκέλευον. .. πέμπειν: cf. 62. 20 περιέπλευσαν, στρατιάν κελεύοντες πέμπειν. —33. τάλλα: with πλινθία και σίδηρον, και ὅσα ἔδει in appos., as 71. 15. — 34. πλινθία: Hude

writes πλινθεῖα with the Schol. of Patmos (πλινθεῖα, τὰ ἐν τύποις ξύλα, οἶς τὰς πλίνθους κατεσκεύαζον).— σίδηρον: ες. λιθουργόν, as 4.69.6. Cf. σιδήρια λιθουργά 4.4.5.— ὡς . . . τοῦ πολέμου: cf. 8.3. 17 ὡς εὐθὺς πρὸς τὸ ἔαρ ἐξόμενοι τοῦ πολέμου.

36. oi δ'... ἀποσταλέντες: cf. 73. § 2.—39. ἐπιβουλευόμενα: pass. with neut. subj., as 3. 96. 11 (often with pers. subj., as 33. 27, 80. 17). See on 3. 109. 21.

41. εύθὺς ψηφισάμενοι αὐτοὶ πρῶτοι κτέ.: cf. 3. 101. 6 αὐτοὶ πρῶτοι δόντες ὀμήρους καὶ τοὺς άλλους ἔπεισαν δοῦναι φοβουμένους κτέ. Hude's bracketing of πρῶτοι is quite unnecessary.—42. ἄστε: after ψηφισάμενοι, as 5. 17. 20. See on 3. 25. 10.—43. αὐτοῖς: sc. τοῖς τῶν Συρακοσίων

ξυναναπείθοιεν τόν τε αὐτοῦ πόλεμον σαφέστερον ποιείσθαι 45 πρὸς τοὺς 'Αθηναίους καὶ ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν ἀφελίαν τινὰ πέμπειν. καὶ οἴ τε ἐκ τῆς Κορίνθου πρέσβεις παρῆσαν ἐς 9 τὴν Λακεδαίμονα καὶ 'Αλκιβιάδης μετὰ τῶν ξυμφυγάδων, περαιωθεὶς τότ' εὐθὺς ἐπὶ πλοίου φορτικοῦ ἐκ τῆς Θουρίας ἐς Κυλλήνην τῆς 'Ηλείας πρῶτον, ἔπειτα ὕστερον ἐς τὴν Λακεδαί- τοῦν μονα αὐτῶν τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων μεταπεμψάντων ὑπόσπονδος ἐλθών· ἐφοβεῖτο γὰρ αὐτοὺς διὰ τὴν περὶ τῶν Μαντινικῶν πρᾶξιν. καὶ ξυνέβη ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησία τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων τούς 10 τε Κορινθίους καὶ τοὺς Συρακοσίους τὰ αὐτὰ καὶ τὸν 'Αλκιβιάδην δεομένους πείθειν τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους. καὶ διανοου- ἐς Συρακούσας κωλύοντας μὴ ξυμβαίνειν 'Αθηναίοις, βοηθεῖν δὲ οὐ προθύμων ὄντων, παρελθών ὁ 'Αλκιβιάδης παρώξυνέ τε τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους καὶ ἐξώρμησε λέγων τοιάδε

πρέσβεσι. - 44. τον αύτοῦ πόλεμον: 800 on 34. 15. — σαφέστερον: $= \beta \epsilon \beta \alpha i \delta \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$ έκ του προφανούς 73.6, οτ φανερώτερον 91.20.-45. ἀφελίαν τινά: see on 73.9. 46. παρήσαν ές: see on 77. 5.— 47. μετά τῶν ξυμφυγάδων: cf. 61. § 6. -48. **τότε**: referring to 61. § 7. — ἐκ της Θουρίας: see on 61.28. — 49. Επειτα йотероу: as 66. 13. — 50. μета те μψάν-Tov: used in act. by Thuc. with apparently the same force as the usual middle. See on 1. 112. 6. — ὑπόσπον-Sog: under free conduct, as 59.18, explained by the following yap clause.— 51. την περί των Μαντινικών: cf. 89. § 3 and 5. 43 ff. On the Mantinean matters, see 5. 29-81.

52. ἐν τῆ ἐκκλησία τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων: which all Spartans of 30 years of age and over were entitled to attend. — 53. τὰ αὐτά: obj. of πείθειν, placed emphatically in the midst of the subjects

connected by τε...καl...καl. πεlθειν is conative, as the context shows.

— 55. τῶν ἐν τέλει ὅντων: = τῶν ἀλλων
τῶν ἐν τέλει ὅντων, for the ephors also
belonged to these.— 56. κωλύοντας:
pres. ptc. with the better Mss., as in
3.52.11; 7.3.4, 25.40. A few inferior
Mss. read κωλύσοντας.— 57. παρώξυνε:
egged on, by working on the feelings
or passions of the Lacedaemonians.
Bl. cites Shakespeare, "Oh whet not
on those two too furious peers."—
58. ἐξώρμησε: goaded on to action.

Speech of Alcibiades in the Popular Assembly at Sparta (89-92)

89. I was endeavoring by friendly acts to acquire again the relation of proxenus to you which my forefathers possessed, and only when you had begun to favor my enemies did I seek by the alliance with Argos and Mantinea

89 "'Αναγκαίον περὶ τῆς ἐμῆς διαβολῆς πρῶτον ἐς ὑμᾶς εἰ- 1 πεῖν, ἴνα μὴ χεῖρον τὰ κοινὰ τῷ ὑπόπτῷ μου ἀκροάσησθε. τῶν δ' ἐμῶν προγόνων τὴν προξενίαν ὑμῶν κατά τι ἔγκλημα 2 ἀπειπόντων αὐτὸς ἐγὼ πάλιν ἀναλαμβάνων ἐθεράπευον ὑμᾶς 5 ἄλλα τε καὶ περὶ τὴν ἐκ Πύλου ξυμφοράν. καὶ διατελοῦντός μου προθύμου ὑμεῖς πρὸς 'Αθηναίους καταλλασσόμενοι τοῖς μὲν ἐμοῖς ἐχθροῖς δύναμιν, δι' ἐκείνων πράξαντες, ἐμοὶ δὲ ἀτιμίαν περιέθετε. καὶ διὰ ταῦτα δικαίως ὑπ' ἐμοῦ πρός τε 3 τὰ Μαντινέων καὶ 'Αργείων τραπομένου καὶ ὅσα ἄλλα ἠναν-10 τιούμην ὑμῖν ἐβλάπτεσθε. καὶ νῦν, εἴ τις καὶ τότε ἐν τῷ πάσχειν οὐκ εἰκότως ὡργίζετό μοι, μετὰ τοῦ ἀληθοῦς σκοπῶν ἀναπειθέσθω· ἢ εἴ τις, διότι καὶ τῷ δήμῳ προσεκείμην μᾶλλον,

to injure you. For that you cannot be angry at me, nor, when you have considered all the circumstances, because I have favored the cause of the Demos.—1. is úμας είπειν: cf. 1.72. 13; 4.58.9; 5.45.3.—2. τὰ κοινά: public matters, opp. to personal imputations (τῆς ἐμῆς διαβολῆς).—τῷ ὑπόπτφ μου: cf. τῷ ἡμετέρφ ὑπόπτφ 85.13.

3. τῶν δ' ἐμῶν: Haacke's conjecture, adopted by most editors for των δ' ἡμων of the Mss., which offends both by plural number and by position of the pron. Reiske's των δη έμων, adopted by Kr. and Hude, would be most acceptable if any good reason for δή could be assigned here. δέ marks transition from general to particular, as 1. 37. 7. — την προξενίαν ύμων κτέ.: cf. 5. 43. § 2. — 4. πάλιν άναλαμβάνων: conative; cf. 5. 43. 13 διενοείτο άνανεώσα- $\sigma\theta$ aι. πάλιν pleonastic, as 4, 75, 14. έθεράπευον ύμας . . . περί την έκ Πύλου **ξυμφοράν**: cf. 5. 43. 12 τούς έκ της νήσου αύτων αίχμαλώτους θεραπεύων. With την έκ Πύλου ξυμφοράν, cf. την περί Πύλον ξυμφοράν 7. 18. 17.—5. διατελοῦντος: without δντος, as 1.34.12. Kühn. 488 c; Kr. Spr. 56, 5, 4.—6. τοῖς ἐμοῖς ἐχθροῖς: i.e. Nicias and Laches. Cf. 5. 43. § 2.—8. περιέθετε: here in bad sense, as 8. 43.21; in 4. 87.26 in good sense.

9. και όσα άλλα ήναντιούμην ύμεν: loosely correlated with $\pi \rho \delta s \tau \epsilon \dots \tau \rho a$ πομένου, as well as by all the opposition that I made to you. For the facts, cf. 5. 53 ff. - 10. kal vûv: cf. 83. 10. - el ... Kai: cf. 33. 15; 3. 46. 5; 4. 22. 11. - ἐν τῷ πάσχειν: = ἐν τῷ βλάπτεσθαι. — 11. οὐκ εἰκότως: unreasonably, as 1. 37. 3, 76. 21; 2. 60. 25; 3. 56. 6. The expression corresponds to the preceding δ ikalws $\epsilon\beta\lambda\delta\pi\tau\epsilon\sigma\theta\epsilon$ and the following μηδ' ουτως ήγήσηται όρθως άχθεσθαι. The neg. belongs to elkbrws alone, not to the prot., hence ov. Cl. conjectured οὐκ ἀπεικότως, " with good reason," as demanded by the context; but this has been almost universally rejected. - μετά τοῦ άληθοῦς: in the light of truth, i.e. in connection with the reasons stated. - 12. άναπειθέσθω: Schol.

χείρω με ἐνόμιζε, μηδ' οὖτως ἡγήσηται ὀρθῶς ἄχθεσθαι.
τοῖς γὰρ τυράννοις αἰεί ποτε διάφοροί ἐσμεν, πᾶν δὲ τὸ ἐναν- 4
15 τιούμενον τῷ δυναστεύοντι δῆμος ἀνόμασται· καὶ ἀπ' ἐκείνου ξυμπαρέμεινεν ἡ προστασία ἡμῖν τοῦ πλήθους. ἄμα δὲ τῆς πόλεως δημοκρατουμένης τὰ πολλὰ ἀνάγκη ἦν τοῖς παροῦσιν ἔπεσθαι. τῆς δὲ ὑπαρχούσης ἀκολασίας ἐπειρώμεθα 6
μετριώτεροι ἐς τὰ πολιτικὰ εἶναι. ἄλλοι δ' ἦσαν καὶ ἐπὶ τῶν
20 πάλαι καὶ νῦν οῦ ἐπὶ τὰ πονηρότερα ἐξῆγον τὸν ὄχλον· οἴπερ

μεταπειθέσθω.— διότι καί: i.e. not merely because I was against you, but also because etc.— τῷ δήμφ προσεκείμην μᾶλλον: was more inclined to the cause of the Demos, i.e. than to that of the δλίγοι. προσκεῖσθαι as 1.93.26; 7.50.31; 8.89.22.—13. μηδ΄ οὕτως: not even for this reason. Alcibiades justifies his political attitude, first by the tradition of his family, the Alcmeonids, who had always led in the contest with the τυραννίς, and second by the dominant form of government, which it was the duty of the individual citizen to support.

14. alei more: freq. used to emphasize a friendly or hostile relation. See on 2, 102, 10. - διάφοροί ἐσμεν: the Alcmeonids had shown their hostility to the ruparuls esp. against Cylon (1. 126) and the Peisistratids (59. § 4). This point would be effective with the tyrant-hating Spartans (1.18.§1, 122. § 3). — 15. τῷ δυναστεύοντι: neuter. δήμος ώνόμασται: cf. 39. 4. — άπ' ἐκείνου: i.e. τοῦ διαφόρους είναι ήμας τοῖς τυράννοις. - 16. ξυμπαρέμεινεν: continued along with it, i.e. with their hatred of tyrants remained to the Alcmeonids the leadership of the people. - ή προστασία τοῦ πλήθους: cf. ή τοῦ δήμου προστασία 2, 65, 49; τοῦ δήμου

προεστάναι 28. 7; 3. 70. 12; 8. 65. 6. τοῦ πλήθους, as well as τοῦ ξύμπαντος (21), selected by Alcibiades in order to represent himself not as a mere partyleader. He would be the head of the whole state; democracy, as the traditional form of Attic government he would endure, but seek to check its extravagances; toward the rule of the δημος, meaning that of one part of the citizens over the other, he is bitterly hostile. His expressions are cleverly chosen with a view to their effect upon the assembly of aristocratic Sparta. άμα δέ: as 83.4; 1.44.15; 3.115.14; 4. 6. 4, 108. 24, 130. 19, 132. 9; 7. 5. 3, 68. 5, 84. 8; 8. 1. 13, 47. 3, 100. 11. Hude writes, with Laur., aua de kal, as 1. 25. 13, 92. 3, 97. 13; 2. 20. 10; 3. 22. 8, 112. 15; 4. 1. 11, 83. 13, 103. 20; 5. 33. 4. — 17. τὰ πολλά: adv. with τοις παρούσιν ξπεσθαι, in most respects to conform to existing conditions.

18. της δὲ ὑπαρχούσης ἀκολασίας: the gen. in the sense of η ὅστετης ὑπαρχούσης ἀκολασία χρησθαι. Cf. 1. 84. 13 ἀμαθέστερον τῶν νόμων της ὑπεροψίας.—
19. ἐπὶ τῶν πάλαι: in the times of our forefathers.— 20. ἔξηγον: = παρηγον. See on 3. 45. 19.— οἴπερ... ἔξηλασαν: among these, Androcles (8. 65. 6).

καὶ ἐμὲ ἐξήλασαν. ἡμεῖς δὲ τοῦ ξύμπαντος προέστημεν, ε δικαιοῦντες ἐν ῷ σχήματι μεγίστη ἡ πόλις ἐτύγχανε καὶ ἐλευθερωτάτη οὖσα καὶ ὅπερ ἐδέξατό τις, τοῦτο ξυνδιασώζειν ἐπεὶ δημοκρατίαν γε καὶ ἐγιγνώσκομεν οἱ φρονοῦντές τι καὶ αὐτὸς οὐδενὸς ἄν χεῖρον, ὅσω καί†, λοιδορήσαιμι · ἀλλὰ περὶ ὁμολογουμένης ἀνοίας οὐδὲν ᾶν καινὸν λέγοιτο · καὶ τὸ μεθιστάναι αὐτὴν οὐκ ἐδόκει ἡμῖν ἀσφαλὲς εἶναι ὑμῶν πολεμίων προσκαθημένων.

6 "Καὶ τὰ μὲν ἐς τὰς ἐμὰς διαβολὰς τοιαῦτα ξυνέβη· περὶ 1 δὲ ὧν ὑμῖν τε βουλευτέον καὶ ἐμοί, εἴ τι πλέον οἶδα, ἐσηγητέον, μάθετε ἤδη· ἐπλεύσαμεν ἐς Σικελίαν πρῶτον μέν, εἰ ² δυναίμεθα, Σικελιώτας καταστρεψόμενοι, μετὰ δ' ἐκείνους αὖτος καὶ Ἰταλιώτας, ἔπειτα καὶ τῆς Καρχηδονίων ἀρχῆς καὶ αὐτῶν ἀποπειράσοντες. εἰ δὲ προχωρήσειε ταῦτα ἡ πάντα ²

22. σχήματι: cf. σχήμα πολιτικόν 8. 89. 21. — 23. και όπερ έδέξατό τις: and which had come down to us. Cf. 2.36. 7, 62. 24. The rel. is repeated after kal because a dem. clause follows. Cf. 4. 18. — 24. δημοκρατίαν: rule of the Demos. — οἱ φρονοῦντές τι: pregnant force, those who had any sense. Cf. 5. 7.10.-25. καὶ αὐτὸς . . . ὄσφ καί†, λοιδορήσαιμι: the lacuna after δσφ καί is most prob. due to the dropping out of μέγιστ' ήδίκημαι, and I myself could find fault as much as any, inasmuch as I have been most injured. See App. άλλά . . . λέγοιτο: but about an admitted folly nothing new could be said. δμολογουμένης as 2. 37. 18; 4. 62. 3; Xen. Mem. 4. 6. 15. — 26. και τὸ μεθιστάναι αύτην . . . προσκαθημένων: καί goes back to τοῦτο ξυνδιασφίζειν, hence all the intervening words (έπεὶ . . . λέγοιτο) must be considered parenthetical, or out of their place owing to a copyist's slip (see on 11. 15). — τὸ μεθιστάναι

αὐτήν: the pron. refers to πόλις (22). The verb is freq. used of political changes; cf. μεταστήσαι τὸν κόσμον 4. 76. 6; τὴν πόλιν μεταστήσαι 8. 48. 24, 66. 2; τὰ ἐν τῷ Σάμφ μεταστήσαι 8. 75. 7.

90. But the Athenians are planning to subdue not only the Siceliotes, but also the Italiotes and Carthage, and then with their resources thus increased to make themselves masters of the whole Hellenic world.—2. « τι πλέον οίδα: cf. 5. 29. 12; 7. 49. 22.— ἐσηγητέον: as 99. 6; 3. 20. 7; 4. 76. 8; 7. 73. 5.—3. ἤδη: followed by explanation without γάρ, while 91. 5 has γάρ. So explanations indicated by τοιδοδε and δδε sometimes have and sometimes omit γάρ. See on 1. 89. 2.

4. Σικελιώτας καταστρεψόμενοι κτέ.: cf. 15. § 2. — αδθις: further. See on 52. 5. — 5. της Καρχηδονίων άρχης: prob. referring esp. to Sardinia and Corsica. — 6. άποπειράσοντες: to make an attempt on. See on 4.24.7.

ἡ καὶ τὰ πλείω, ήδη τῃ Πελοποννήσω ἐμέλλομεν ἐπιχειρήσειν, κομίσαντες ξύμπασαν μὲν τὴν ἐκεῖθεν προσγενομένην δύναμιν τῶν Ἑλλήνων, πολλοὺς δὲ βαρβάρους μισθωσάμενοι 10 καὶ Ἰβηρας καὶ ἄλλους τῶν ἐκεῖ ὁμολογουμένως νῦν βαρβάρων μαχιμωτάτους, τριήρεις τε πρὸς ταῖς ἡμετέραις πολλὰς ναυπηγησάμενοι, ἐχούσης τῆς Ἰταλίας ξύλα ἄφθονα, αἷς τὴν Πελοπόννησον πέριξ πολιορκοῦντες καὶ τῷ πεζῷ ἄμα ἐκ γῆς ἐφορμαῖς τῶν πόλεων τὰς μὲν βία λαβόντες, τὰς δ' ἐν-15 τειχισάμενοι ῥαδίως ἡλπίζομεν καταπολεμήσειν καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα καὶ τοῦ ξύμπαντος Ἑλληνικοῦ ἄρξειν. χρήματα δὲ 4 καὶ σῖτον, ὧστε εὐπορώτερον γίγνεσθαί τι αὐτῶν, αὐτὰ τὰ

7. η και τὰ πλείω: or at least the greater part. kal as in 1.1.7. - 9. Tav **Έλλήνων** : i.e. τῶν τε Σικελιωτῶν καὶ τῶν Ιταλιωτών.-10. και Ίβηρας και άλλους: epexegetical appos. to πολλούς βαρβάρους. — βαρβάρων: bracketed unnecessarily by Bk., St., and v. H. It modifies μαχιμωτάτους to which όμολογουμένως νῦν belongs, and the repetition after $\beta a \rho$ βάρους (9) is not stranger than that in 3. 116. 4 or 4. 122. 2. - 11. TRIPPELS TE: third particular after Ελληνες and βάρβαροι.-12. als: Duker's conjecture for ofs, generally accepted. With πέριξ πολιορκοῦντες the reference can only be to the triremes; besides transition to the land forces is emphasized by kal dua. -13. την Πελοπόννησον πέριξ πολιορκοθντες: cf. 2. 7. 18 πέριξ τὴν Πελοπόννησον καταπολεμήσοντες. — ἐκ γῆς ἐφορμαῖς: byattacks from the land side. ¿φορμή except here and Hom. χ 130 is found only in late poets; see Steph. Thes. s.v. The verb ἐφορμᾶν is found in the classical period only in the poets; see App. on 49. 18.—14. ἐντειχισάμενοι: (mid. elsewhere prob. only in late authors; see App. on 14. 142. 8) having walled in.

The Schol. explains: περιτειχίσαντες η φρούρια έν τη χώρα αὐτῶν ἐπιτειχίσαντες. Cl. approved the latter explanation; but Steup argues that the proper antithesis to βία λαμβάνειν πόλεις (cf. 4. 5. 3, 69.3) was not capture by an emiteiχισμός $\tau \hat{\eta}$ χώρα (see on 1, 122, 3), but by a regular siege, to which belonged above all a circumvallation (cf. 2.77. § 1 f.). For evreixizes bai, surround with walls or fortifications, cf. Dio C. 42. 38 έκράτει της μέν ηπείρου ο 'Αχιλλας, χωρίς ών ὁ Καίσαρ ένετετείχιστο (where, however, not siege works but works of defense are meant). Cf. Plut. Pomp. 28 παρέδωκαν έαυτούς και πόλεις και νήσους, $\hat{\omega}$ ν έπεκράτουν έντειχισάμενοι (= having built fortifications). - 15. катажоλεμήσειν: sc. την Πελοπόννησον. The compound = debellare, as 16.7. 16. τοῦ ξύμπαντος Έλληνικοῦ: the whole Hellenic world. Cf. το Έλληνικόν 1.1. 6; 3.82.3.

17. εὐπορώτερον: more practicable. Cf. οὐκ εὕπορον ἢν 4.78.10; εὐπόρως 8. 36.2.—τι αὐτῶν: i.e. something of these plans, as 92.1; not, with Cl., referring to χρήματα και σῖτον.—αὐτὰ

προσγενόμενα ἐκείθεν χωρία ἔμελλε διαρκή ἄνευ τής ἐνθένδε προσόδου παρέξειν.

91 "Τοιαῦτα μὲν περὶ τοῦ νῦν οἰχομένου στόλου παρὰ τοῦ τὰ 1 ἀκριβέστατα εἰδότος ὡς διενοήθημεν ἀκηκόατε· καὶ ὅσοι ὑπόλοιποι στρατηγοί, ἡν δύνωνται, ὁμοίως αὐτὰ πράξουσιν. ὡς δέ, εἰ μὴ βοηθήσετε, οὐ περιέσται τἀκεῖ, μάθετε ἤδη. Σι- 2 5 κελιῶται γὰρ ἀπειρότεροι μέν εἰσιν, ὅμως δ' ἀν ξυστραφέντες ἀθρόοι καὶ νῦν ἔτι περιγένοιντο. Συρακόσιοι δὲ μόνοι μάχη τε ἤδη πανδημεὶ ἡσσημένοι καὶ ναυσὶν ἄμα κατειργόμενοι ἀδύνατοι ἔσονται τἢ νῦν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐκεῖ παρασκευἢ ἀντισχεῖν. καὶ εἰ αὖτη ἡ πόλις ληφθήσεται, ἔχεται καὶ ἡ 3 10 πᾶσα Σικελία, καὶ εὐθὺς καὶ Ἰταλία· καὶ ὅν ἄρτι κίνδυνον ἐκεῖθεν προεῖπον, οὐκ ἀν διὰ μακροῦ ὑμῖν ἐπιπέσοι. ὧστε μὴ 4

τὰ προσγενόμενα ἐκείθεν . . . παρέξειν : the places added from that quarter were going to furnish enough (money and food) of themselves without our home revenue. ἐκείθεν as in 8 above. Cf. προσγεγενημένας ναῦς ἐκ τῶν ᾿Αθηνῶν 1.52. 7; προσεγένοντο ἐκ τοῦ Ἑλλησπόντου τινὲς δύο νῆες 8.100.26.

91, 92, § 1. Against this impending danger you must give vigorous support to the Syracusans, who cannot alone withstand the Athenians, and especially must you send them an experienced general. At the same time you must openly renew the war with the Athenians, and, what they themselves fear most, you must occupy Decelea in Attica, whence you can cut off their chief sources of supply. - 1. περί τοῦ νῦν οἰχομένου στόλου: concerning the expedition that is gone. Of the same expedition we read 2.65.47 οἱ ἐκπέμψαντες οὐ τὰ πρόσφορα τοῖς οἰχομένοις έπιγιγνώσκοντες. — τὰ ἀκριβέστατα είδό-Tos: has the most accurate knowledge.

Cf. ἀκριβές τι είσομαι 5. 26. 24; τὸ σαφὲς εἰδέναι 3. 29. 7. The accus. is cognate, but does not differ practically from the adv. ἀκριβέστατα in 27 below.—2. ὅσοι: referring to two only, Nicias and Lamachus. Pal. reads ως οἰ, but Alcibiades has said nothing as yet of what the other generals will do.—3. ὑπόλοιποι: as 4. 2. 7. οἰ λοιποί of the same in 62. 1.—4. οὐ περιέσται: Schol. οὐ σωθήσεται. Cf. περιγένοιντο below (6).— ἤδη: now, as 90. 3.

5. ξυστραφέντες άθρόοι: as 4.68.25. Cf. 77. 7.— 7. πανδημεί: cf. 67. 10, 68.8.— ἡσσημένοι καὶ . . κατειργόμενοι: ptcs. pf. and pres. expressing the present situation.

9. ἔχεται: pres. vividly anticipating the result, then all Sicily is theirs. Cf. 34.25. The cond. is monitory, as in 4 above. GMT. 447.—10. ἄρτι...προείπον: 90. § 3.—11. οὐ διὰ μακροῦ: see on 15.18.

ωστε: with imv., as 7.63.17.—μη περι της Σικελίας... βουλεύειν: for the thought, cf. 1.36.6, 120.14. βουλεύειν,

περὶ τῆς Σικελίας τις οἰέσθω μόνον βουλεύειν, ἀλλὰ καὶ περὶ τῆς Πελοποννήσου, εἰ μὴ ποιήσετε τάδε ἐν τάχει, στρατιάν τε ἐπὶ νεῶν πέμψετε τοιαύτην ἐκεῖσε οἴτινες αὐτερέται 15 κομισθέντες καὶ ὁπλιτεύσουσιν εὐθύς, καὶ ὁ τῆς στρατιᾶς ἔτι χρησιμώτερον εἶναι νομίζω, ἄνδρα Σπαρτιάτην ἄρχοντα, ὡς ἀν τούς τε παρόντας ξυντάξη καὶ τοὺς μὴ θέλοντας προσαναγκάση· οὖτω γὰρ οἱ τε ὑπάρχοντες ὑμῖν φίλοι θαρσήσουσι μᾶλλον καὶ οἱ ἐνδοιάζοντες ἀδεέστερον προσίασι. καὶ δ τὰ ἐνθάδε χρὴ ἄμα φανερώτερον ἐκπολεμεῖν, ἴνα Συρακόσιοί τε νομίζοντες ὑμᾶς ἐπιμέλεσθαι μᾶλλον ἀντέχωσι καὶ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τοῖς ἑαυτῶν ἦσσον ἄλλην ἐπικουρίαν πέμπωσι. τειχί- δ ζειν δὲ χρὴ Δεκέλειαν τῆς ᾿Αττικῆς, ὅπερ ᾿Αθηναῖοι μάλιστα αἰεὶ φοβοῦνται, καὶ μόνου αὐτοῦ νομίζουσι τῶν ἐν τῷ πολέμφ

as freq., = βουλεύεσθαι. — 13. εί μη ποιήσετε τάδε έν τάχει: this prot. depends, as Kr. and St. explain, on something implied but not expressed in the preceding, i.e. also about the Peloponnesus (which is in danger) unless you will do the following things quickly. Steup suggests the loss of some words before el μή ποιήσετε, perhaps είπερ καὶ αυτη τὰ μέγιστα βλάψεται. - στρατιάν τε . . . καί . . . ανδρα Σπαρτιάτην: τε and καί correlate the double obj. of πέμψετε, which still depends upon el and explains τάδε. Cf. 2. 77. 22 τόδε ξυμβηναι followed by epexegetical inf. without connective. See on 1. 89. 2. - 14. oftives: pl. rel. referring to the collective στρατιάν, as 3. 67. 12; 7. 1. 9. - αὐτερέrau: fighting men serving at the oar. Cf. 1. 10. 26; 3. 18. 16. — 16. ώς αν τούς τε παρόντας . . . προσαναγκάση: cf. the advice of Hermocrates 72. § 4. For wis dv with subjy., found only here in Thuc., but not infreq. in tragedy, see Stahl, Qu. Gr. 225. — 17. θέλοντας: for

the form, see on 34.18.—19. ivanatation in 1.36.9, 122.15. Not elsewhere in Attic.

και τὰ ἐνθάδε χρὴ . . . ἐκπολεμεῖν: and here you must at the same time prosecute the war more openly. Cf. 73. 6f. and 88. 44 f. τὰ ἐνθάδε is adv. here, as in 84. 12. φανερώτερον is enforced by ἐκ in ἐκπολεμεῖν. Though the intr. form seems appropriate here, St. and others adopt against all Mss. the trans. ἐκπολεμοῦν. See App.—21. ἐπιμέλεσθαι: see on 54. 24.—22. τοῖς ἐαυτῶν ἦσσον ἄλλην ἐπικουρίαν πέμπωσι: may be less likely to send to their allies further assistance. Cf. 73. 9f. For τοῖς ἐαυτῶν, their allies, cf. 4. 126. 4 τὴν ἀπόλειψιν τῶν ἡμετέρων, the withdrawal of our allies.

τειχίζειν δὲ χρὴ Δεκέλειαν: this advice, fateful for Athens, was later carried out, 7.19.—24. αὐτοῦ: pers. for rel., acc. to Greek usage in a second clause of a rel. sent. See on 1.42.2. G. 1040; H. 1005; Kr. Spr. 60, 6, 2.—τῶν ἐν τῷ πολέμφ: i.e. war in general,

25 οὐ διαπεπειρασθαι. βεβαιότατα δ' ἄν τις οὖτω τοὺς πολεμίους βλάπτοι, εἰ, ἃ μάλιστα δεδιότας αὐτοὺς αἰσθάνοιτο, ταῦτα σαφῶς πυνθανόμενος ἐπιφέροι· εἰκὸς γὰρ αὐτοὺς ἀκριβέστατα ἑκάστους τὰ σφέτερα αὐτῶν δεινὰ ἐπισταμένους φοβείσθαι. ἃ δ' ἐν τἢ ἐπιτειχίσει αὐτοὶ ἀφελούμενοι τοὺς ἐναντίους τοῦς κωλύσετε, πολλὰ παρεὶς τὰ μέγιστα κεφαλαιώσω· οἶς τε γὰρ ἡ χώρα κατεσκεύασται, τὰ πολλὰ πρὸς ὑμᾶς τὰ μὲν ληφθέντα, τὰ δ' αὐτόματα ἤξει· καὶ τὰς τοῦ Λαυρείου τῶν ἀργυρείων μετάλλων προσόδους καὶ ὅσα ἀπὸ γῆς καὶ δικαστηρίων νῦν ἀφελοῦνται εὐθὺς ἀποστερήσονται, μάλιστα δὲ τῆς ἀπὸ τῶν ξυμμάχων προσόδου ἦσσον διαφορουμένης, οῦ τὰ παρ' ὑμῶν νομίσαντες ἦδη κατὰ κράτος πολεμεῖσθαι

92 ολιγωρήσουσι. γίγνεσθαι δέ τι αὐτῶν καὶ ἐν τάχει καὶ 1

not this particular war. Cf. 72.5; 2. 100.7.—25. διαπεπειρᾶσθαι: only here in Thuc.—27. σαφῶς πυνθανόμενος: the vague αἰσθάνοιτο becomes here clearly learning.— αὐτούς: ipsos, pred. to ἐκάστους.

29. &: cogn. accus. with ωφελούμενοι. - τη έπιτειχίσει: a hostile stronghold on the border or in the territory of an enemy. See on 1. 122. 3. - 30. κωλύσετε: εc. ώφελεῖσθαι. - κεφαλαιώσω: found also 3.67.32; 8.53.3.—31. kaτεσκεύασται: applied to every kind of structure and domestic equipment. Cf. 8. 24. 18 την χώραν καλώς κατεσκευασμένην. To this kind of property belong also slaves, and to these applies 7à δ' αὐτόματα ήξει (32). Cf. 7. 27. 22 άνδραπόδων πλέον η δύο μυριάδες ηὐτομολήκεσαν. - 32. Λαυρείου: so (for Λαυρίου) some of the best Mss. here and 2.55. 2, in agreement with Steph. Thes. s.v. Σ έρρειον.—33. δικαστηρίων: "from the courts, since in case of a war at home a cessation of the courts occurs" (Boeckh, Staatshaushaltung I3, 415). Cf. also Schol, ταύτης οὖν τῆς πάσης προσόδου στερήσεσθαι ξμελλον οι 'Αθηναίοι, πολεμίων αὐτοῖς ἱδρυμένων ἐν τῆ χώρα καὶ σχολήν ού παρεχόντων δικάζεσθαι. The expression από δικαστηρίων ώφελοῦνται prob, includes also the μισθὸς δικαστικός received by individuals. See App. -35. της . . . προσόδου: added, with change of const., to αποστερήσονται, with ήσσον διαφορουμένης in explan, addition, as the tribute is less regularly brought in. διαφορείν, as 100. 24, but not elsewhere found in this sense. Bl. cites, as nearest parallel, Dio C. 34.6, where it means remit. The pres. ptc. used of wont or custom. See App. --36. of: referring to ξυμμάχων, in the sense of έπει έκεινοι. - κατά κράτος πολεμείσθαι: neut. pass. with τὰ παρ' ὑμῶν in adv. force.—37. όλιγωρήσουσι: they will take their tribute obligations lightly.

92, § 1. 1. τι αὐτῶν: see on 90.17. The reference is to the proposals developed in 91. § 4 ff.—2. προθυμότερον:

προθυμότερον εν ύμιν εστιν, ω Λακεδαιμόνιοι, επει ως γε δυνατά, (και οὐχ αμαρτήσεσθαι οἶμαι γνώμης) πάνυ θαρσω.

"Καὶ χείρων οὐδενὶ ἀξιῶ δοκεῖν ὑμῶν εἶναι, εἰ τἢ ἐμαυτοῦ 2

5 μετὰ τῶν πολεμιωτάτων, φιλόπολίς ποτε δοκῶν εἶναι, νῦν
ἐγκρατῶς ἐπέρχομαι, οὐδὲ ὑποπτεύεσθαί μου ἐς τὴν φυγαδικὴν προθυμίαν τὸν λόγον. φυγάς τε γάρ εἰμι τῆς τῶν 3
ἐξελασάντων πονηρίας καὶ οὐ τῆς ὑμετέρας, ἢν πείθησθέ
μοι, ἀφελίας, καὶ πολεμιώτεροι οὐχ οἱ τοὺς πολεμίους που
10 βλάψαντες ὑμεῖς ἢ οἱ τοὺς φίλους ἀναγκάσαντες πολεμίους

used with reference to περαίνεσθαι implied in γίγνεσθαι.— ἐν ὑμιν ἐστιν: depends upon you.— ὡς γε δυνατά... πάνν θαρσῶ: Alcibiades intimates that the Athenians would not be able to prevent the Spartans from bringing aid to the Syracusans and fortifying Decelea.—
3. ἀμαρτήσεσθαι γνώμης: cf. 1.33.23.

8. άμαρτήσεσθαι γνώμης: cf. 1.33.23. 92, §§ 2-5. Do not mistrust me because I am an Athenian exile and urge you to war against my fatherland. Use me rather without fear for every danger and difficulty; shrink not from proceeding against Sicily and Attica, in order to rescue the Siceliotes, to break the power of the Athenians, and to have security for yourselves and the hegemony over all Hellas with its free consent. - 4. kal xelpwv . . . elva : cf. 3. 9. 11 μηδέ τω χείρους δόξωμεν είναι. The orator is led by the consideration that his proposals might be distrusted in Sparta, as coming from an Athenian exile, again to go into his personal relations, as in c. 89 he had sought to show that his former political conduct should not prevent the Spartans from giving him an unprejudiced hearing. - Th έμαυτοῦ: sc. $\gamma \hat{\eta}$. — 6. ἐγκρατῶς: (Schol. καρτερώς) as 1.76.6. - μου: dependent on τον λόγον, but gets by its position the force of dat. incommodi.— is την φυγαδικήν προθυμίαν: on the score of (with reference to) the exile's zeal, i.e. as if only the zeal that characterizes exiles were beneath my proposal. For is, cf. 8.88.8 βουλόμενος αὐτὸν is την . . . φιλίαν . . . διαβάλλειν. φυγαδικός also in Isocr. 9.28; elsewhere only in late writers.

7. φυγάς τε γάρ είμι κτέ.: "The following definitions are doubtless so arranged by Thuc. that by ingenious paradox and forced sophistry may be revealed the insincerity and unsteadiness of Alcibiades" (Bm.). The answers to the possible reproaches are given in chiastic order: for I am a fugitive from the villainy of those that expelled me, and yet not banished from benefiting you, if you hearken to me. With the word-play on φυγάς, cf. ξύμμαχοι 3. 10. 10, and άποστήσεσθαι άπόστασιν 3. 13. 8. - 9. καλ πολεμιώτεροι κτέ.: this καί, not the preceding, correl. to $\tau \epsilon$. The predicate applied above (5) to enemies in war, the Lacedaemonians, is here transferred to his enemies in Athens, and the word is put forward for emphasis where we should naturally have γενέσθαι τό τε φιλόπολι οὐκ ἐν ῷ ἀδικοῦμαι ἔχω, ἀλλ' ἐν ῷ ٤ ἀσφαλῶς ἐπολιτεύθην οὐδ' ἐπὶ πατρίδα οὖσαν ἔτι ἡγοῦμαι νῦν ἰέναι, πολὺ δὲ μᾶλλον τὴν οὐκ οὖσαν ἀνακτᾶσθαι καὶ φιλόπολις οὖτος ὀρθῶς, οὐχ ὃς ᾶν τὴν ἑαυτοῦ ἀδίκως ἀπολέ15 σας μὴ ἐπίῃ, ἀλλ' ὃς ᾶν ἐκ παντὸς τρόπου διὰ τὸ ἐπιθυμεῖν πειραθῆ αὐτὴν ἀναλαβεῖν. οὖτως ἐμοί τε ἀξιῶ ὑμᾶς καὶ ἐς κίνδυνον καὶ ἐς ταλαιπωρίαν πᾶσαν ἀδεῶς χρῆσθαι, ὧ Λακε-δαιμόνιοι, γνόντας τοῦτον δὴ τὸν ὑφ' ἀπάντων προβαλλόμε-νον λόγον, ὡς, εἰ πολέμιός γε ῶν σφόδρα ἔβλαπτον, κᾶν

ού πολεμιώτεροι: and more hostile are not those who, like you, have injured foes, but those who have forced friends to become foes.

11. τό τε φιλόπολι κτέ.: τε introducing third rejoinder. The Schol. remarks: φιλόπολις, φησίν, οὐ νῦν εἰμί, ἡνίκα άδικοθμαι, άλλα τότε ότε άσφαλως έπολιτευόμην. But έν & is not merely temporal, but circumstantial: and patriotism I cherish not where I am wronged, but where my political rights were secure. Sc. είχον; see on 1.86.7.—12. ἐπολιτεύθην: lived as a citizen. This (aor. pass.) form occurs also in Lys. 26.5; Isocr. 7. 15; Aeschin. 2. 176; but ἐπολιτευσάμην Dem. 18. 207. Veitch remarks that of classical authors only Thuc, and Xen, use the active moliτεύειν. - οὐδ' ἐπὶ πατρίδα . . . νῦν ἰέναι: and it is not against a land that is still my country that I consider I am now going, referring to (4) εί τῆ ἐμαυτοῦ... ϵπϵρχομαι. — 13. τὴν οὐκ οὖσαν ἀνακτᾶσθαι: sc. πατρίδα. From the whole context only Athens can be thought of. δι ετέρων ζητείν την κάθοδον, as Isocr. 9.28 expresses it, was something common enough in Hellenic antiquity. Van H. wishes to write την οὐκέτ' οὖσαν οτ την

ούκ οδσαν έτι, but after έτι in 12 this is unnecessary.—και φιλόπολις κτέ.: transition from the personal to the general, with a reference also to the assumed reproach (5) φιλόπολίς ποτε δοκών είναι: and the true patriot is not that one who, having unjustly lost his fatherland, refrains from going against it, but who on account of longing for it tries in every way to get it back. Such a definition would not seem as strange to the Hellenes of the fifth and fourth centuries as to us. Cf. Isocr. 16. 12 ff. and A. Fokke, Rettungen des Alkibiades II, 76 ff. -16. πειραθή: in act. sense, as in 2, 5, 20, 33. 9 (elsewhere πειράσαι or πειράσα- $\sigma\theta\alpha\iota$); in pass. sense 54.9.

ούτως: drawing the conclusion from the preceding, as 1.76.6; 4.86.23.— ἐμοί τε άξιῶ... ἀφελοίην: clearly imitated in the conclusion of the speech of Coriolanus in Dion. H. Antiq. 8.8.— ἐμοί τε: (Bk.'s correction for ἔμοιγε) opp. to καὶ αὐτούς (21).—17. πᾶσαν: though agreeing in gender with the nearer noun, affecting also κίνδυνον.—18. τούτον: looks forward. See on 85.3.— προβαλλόμενον: advanced.—
19. εἰ... ἔβλαπτον: actual case more vividly presented in hypothetical form.

20 φίλος ὧν ἱκανῶς ώφελοίην, ὄσῷ τὰ μὲν ᾿Αθηναίων οἶδα, τὰ δ' ὑμέτερα ἤκαζον, καὶ αὐτοὺς νῦν, νομίσαντας περὶ μεγίστων δὴ τῶν διαφερόντων βουλεύεσθαι, μὴ ἀποκνεῖν τὴν ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν τε καὶ ἐς τὴν ᾿Αττικὴν στρατείαν, ἴνα τά τε ἐκεῖ βραχεῖ μορίῷ ξυμπαραγενόμενοι μεγάλα σώσητε καὶ 25 ᾿Αθηναίων τήν τε οὖσαν καὶ τὴν μέλλουσαν δύναμιν καθέλητε, καὶ μετὰ ταῦτα αὐτοί τε ἀσφαλῶς οἰκῆτε καὶ τῆς ἀπάσης Ἑλλάδος ἑκούσης καὶ οὐ βίᾳ, κατ' εὖνοιαν δὲ ἡγῆσθε."

93 Ο μὲν ᾿Αλκιβιάδης τοσαῦτα εἶπεν οἱ δὲ Λακεδαιμόνιοι το διανοούμενοι μὲν καὶ αὐτοὶ πρότερον στρατεύειν ἐπὶ τὰς ᾿Αθήνας, μέλλοντες δ' ἔτι καὶ περιορώμενοι, πολλῷ μᾶλλον

See on 1.33.8.—20. δσφ: in so far as, without comp. or sup. See on 1.68.11. - τα δ' υμέτερα ήκαζον: while I could only conjecture yours. Note the modest impf. as contrasted with the self-conscious pres. - 21. αύτοὺς . . . μη άποκνείν: dependent on άξιω (16).-22. των διαφερόντων: the interests at stake, as 1. 70. 3, and τὰ διάφορα 1. 68. 8; 2. 37. 5; 4. 86. 23; 5. 115. 8. Cf. ιδία τι αὐτῷ διαφέρει. The pred. μεγίστων δή is emphatically put forward, as all important. - 23. TE Kal: connecting the two divergent goals of the στρατεία, as inseparable if the object is to be reached. -24. βραχεί μορίφ: of a division of troops, as 2.39.17. — μεγάλα σάσητε: keep great, preserve in their greatness. The adj. is pred., containing the effect of the verb. Cf. 1.90.20. Steup connects, with Portus and Haase,

τὰ ἐκεῖ μεγάλα, notwithstanding the in-

tervening words, urging that we have

thus (i.e. in the great Sicilian cities) a

better antithesis to βραχεῖ μορίφ.—

25. τήν τε ούσαν και την μέλλουσαν δύνα-

miv: the power which they now possess

and that for which they hope. την μέλλου- $\sigma a \nu$ the increased power on which they might reckon after the subjugation of Sicily and with which Alcibiades threatens them in 90. § 3. — καθέλητε: pull down, overthrow, as 11.12; 5.14. 14. See on 1. 77. 20. - 26. Kal meta ταῦτα . . . ἡγήσθε: parody, with intentional optimistic coloring, of Alcibiades' own description, in 90. § 3 (end), of the hopes of the Athenians. Against άρξειν there appears here the mild έκούσης καὶ οὐ βία, κατ' εὕνοιαν δὲ ἡγῆσθε.— 27. ἡγησθε: so with Vat., in preference to ἡγήσησθε or ἡγήσεσθε of other Mss., to express continuance, as in $olk\hat{\eta}\tau\epsilon$ (26).

93. In consequence of this speech the Lacedaemonians determine to fortify Decelea, and send Gylippus to Syracuse, with orders to see that aid is brought as soon as possible to Sicily. In Athens it is voted to comply with the request of the generals and send money and cavalry to Sicily.—1. τοσαῦτα: Vat. reads, perhaps more correctly, τοιαῦτα. See on 35.1.—2. αὐτοί: sua sponte, as 3.65.4.—3. περιοράμενοι:

ἐπερρώσθησαν διδάξαντος ταῦτα ἔκαστα αὐτοῦ καὶ νομίσαν5 τες παρὰ τοῦ σαφέστατα εἰδότος ἀκηκοέναι · ὥστε τἢ ἐπιτει- 2
χίσει τῆς Δεκελείας προσεῖχον ἤδη τὸν νοῦν καὶ τὸ παραυτίκα
καὶ τοῖς ἐν τἢ Σικελία πέμπειν τινὰ τιμωρίαν. καὶ Γύλιππον
τὸν Κλεανδρίδου προστάξαντες ἄρχοντα τοῖς Συρακοσίοις
ἐκέλευον μετ' ἐκείνων καὶ τῶν Κορινθίων βουλευόμενον ποιεῖν
10 ὅπῃ ἐκ τῶν παρόντων μάλιστα καὶ τάχιστά τις ώφελία ἤξει
τοῖς ἐκεῖ. ὁ δὲ δύο μὲν ναῦς τοὺς Κορινθίους ἤδη ἐκελευέν 3
οἱ πέμπειν ἐς ᾿Ασίνην, τὰς δὲ λοιπὰς παρασκευάζεσθαι ὅσας
διανοοῦνται πέμπειν, καί, ὅταν καιρὸς ἢ, ἑτοίμας εἶναι πλεῖν.
ταῦτα δὲ ξυνθέμενοι ἀνεχώρουν ἐκ τῆς Λακεδαίμονος.

circumspectantes. Cf. 103.9; 7. 33.9. So Cic. Tusc. 1.30.73 dubitans, circumspectans, haesitans. -4. διδάξαντος . . . αὐτοῦ καὶ νομίσαντες : for similar coupling of gen. abs. and nom., see on 1.65.2.—5. παρά τοῦ σαφέστατα είδότος άκηκοέναι: cf. 91. § 1. 6. προσείχον τὸν νοῦν: more freq. $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \ \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \eta \nu \ (1.95.7; 2.11.10; 5.26.$ 23; 7.15.15, 23.3). With dat. here, as in 7. 23. 3. — και τὸ παραυτίκα και . . . τιμωρίαν: and in particular to sending immediately to the Sicilians some assistance. Compare herewith the feeling of the Spartan authorities before the speech of Alcibiades, 88.54 ff. The inf. follows προσείχον τον νούν as if έν νῷ είχον had preceded. Stahl compares the inf. after νοῦν ἔχειν Soph. El. 1014. τὸ παραυτίκα as 1. 27. 4, 134. 10; 3. 4. 8; 4. 121. 7. -8. προστάξαντες άρχοντα: see App. on 3.26.3. Gylippus, whose generalship in Sicily was so fateful for Athens, first appears here. His father Clean- dridas was implicated in the charge of bribery on which King Pleistoanax was banished in 446 s.c. (1.114. § 2; 2.21.

§1; 5.16.§3), and he had to go into exile himself. Cf. 104.§2; Plut. Pericl. 22; Nic. 28.—9. ματ' ἐκείνων και τῶν Κορινθίων: i.e. with the ambassadors of the Syracusans and Corinthians.— ποιείν ὅπη: operam dare quomodo. Cf. πράσσειν ἢ 26. 3.—10. μάλιστα: best, most certainly. Steup alone of the editors adopts from Vat. κάλλιστα. But that attractive reading looks like a marginal explanation of μάλιστα.— τις ἀφελία: cf. 88. 45; 1.31.10.

11. ἤδη: without delay, as 25.3.—
12. ἐς ᾿Ασίνην: prob. the harbor in Messenia (4.13.3). See on 4.54.20.
—παρασκευάζεσθαι: prob. pass.; οτ τὰς λοιπάς must be at once its obj. and the subj. of ἐτοίμας εἶναι.—14. ταθτα δὲ ξυνθέμενοι ἀνεχάρουν: i.e. the ambassadors of Syracuse and Corinth, as all recent editors, except Steup, explain. The latter thinks the words of Koρίνθωι must have fallen out here, because only the Corinthian ambassadors would have part in an agreement to send Corinthian ships in aid.—ἀνεχάρουν: set off on the return.

15 'Αφίκετο δὲ καὶ ἡ ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας τριήρης τῶν 'Αθηναίων, 4 ຖν ἀπέστειλαν οἱ στρατηγοὶ ἐπί τε χρήματα καὶ ἱππέας. καὶ οἱ 'Αθηναῖοι ἀκούσαντες ἐψηφίσαντο τήν τε τροφὴν πέμπειν τῆ στρατιᾳ καὶ τοὺς ἱππέας. καὶ ὁ χειμὼν ἐτελεύτα, καὶ ἔβδομον καὶ δέκατον ἔτος τῷ πολέμῳ ἐτελεύτα τῷδε ὃν Θου-20 κυδίδης ξυνέγραψεν.

94 * Αμα δὲ τῷ ἦρι εὐθὺς ἀρχομένῳ τοῦ ἐπιγιγνομένου θέ 1 ρους οἱ ἐν τῆ Σικελίᾳ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ἄραντες ἐκ τῆς Κατάνης παρ- έπλευσαν ἐπὶ Μεγάρων τῶν ἐν τῆ Σικελίᾳ, οὖς ἐπὶ Γέλωνος τοῦ τυράννου, ὥσπερ καὶ πρότερόν μοι εἴρηται, ἀναστήσαντες 5 Συρακόσιοι αὐτοὶ ἔχουσι τὴν γῆν. ἀποβάντες δὲ ἐδήωσαν 2

15. ἡ ἐκ τῆς Σικελίας τριήρης: cf. 74.

12.—17. τὴν τροφήν: not provisions, but pay or money for maintenance, as is shown by 94. 19. This is the usual meaning of τροφή in military matters; cf. 4. 83. 24; 8. 57. 33. σιτηρέσων first occurs Xen. Anab. 5. 10. 4 (Kr.).—19. τῷ πολέμῳ ἐτελεύτα τῷδε: Vat. offers here and in 7. 18. 33, against the other Mss., the rarer word-order ἐτελεύτα τῷ πολέμω τῷδε (cf. 7. 22; 2. 70. 5), whereas it alone has in 8. 60. 17 τῷ πολέμω ἐτελεύτα τῷδε. See on 2. 17. 2.

The Eighteenth Year of the War

94. The Athenians make from Catana forays into the territory of Megara Hyblaea and to the river Terias, also against the Sicel town of Centoripa, which they induce to join them. From Athens 250 cavalrymen — but without horses—and 30 mounted bowmen, along with 300 talents of money, arrive at Catana.—2. ἄραντες ἐκ τῆς Κατάνης: cf. 88. § 5.—3. Μεγάρων: Megara Hyblaea; see on 4.7.— τῶν ἐν τῆ Σικελίφ: bracketed by Stahl and

others, following Kr. It might easily be spared, but without it έπι τῶν Μεγά-ρων must be expected, acc. to 49. 18, 75. 4, 97. 25; 7. 25. 15. The reading of Vat. ἐπὶ Μεγαρέων τῶν κτἐ. is not acceptable, as ἐπὶ takes gen. only of names of places, and the Megarians at that time had been driven from town and territory (4. § 2).—οὕς: per synesin referring to Μεγάρων. Cf. 80. 3, 91. 14. — 4. πρότερον: 4. § 2.

5. έδήωσαν τοὺς άγροὺς κτέ.: so with Vat., for τούς τε άγρούς of the Mss., which most editors accept. The position of $\tau \epsilon$ is not ground for rejection (see on 2.46.1); but not only is the coupling of aor. and impf. of the same verb by $\tau \epsilon \dots \kappa a \ell$ objectionable, but it is hardly to be supposed that Thuc. would thus correlate the devastation of the fields and the plain, with the unsuccessful attack on the fort and the advance along the shore to the Terias river thrown in between. L. Herbst (Zu Thuk. II, 109 f.) would bracket not only $\tau \epsilon$, but also $\tau o \theta s$ dypows, because in 3. 79. 12 Thuc. writes πορθείν άγρούς.

τοὺς ἀγροὺς καὶ ἐλθόντες ἐπὶ ἔρυμά τι τῶν Συρακοσίων καὶ οὐχ ἑλόντες αὖθις καὶ πεζῃ καὶ ναυσὶ παρακομισθέντες ἐπὶ τὸν Τηρίαν ποταμὸν τό τε πεδίον ἀναβάντες ἐδήουν καὶ τὸν σῖτον ἐνεπίμπρασαν, καὶ τῶν Συρακοσίων περιτυχόντες 10 τισὶν οὐ πολλοῖς καὶ ἀποκτείναντές τέ τινας καὶ τροπαῖον στήσαντες ἀνεχώρησαν ἐπὶ τὰς ναῦς. καὶ ἀποπλεύσαντες ἐς ε Κατάνην, ἐκεῖθεν δὲ ἐπισιτισάμενοι πάση τῆ στρατιᾳ ἐχώρουν ἐπὶ Κεντόριπα, Σικελικὸν πόλισμα, καὶ προσαγαγόμενοι ὁμολογίᾳ ἀπῆσαν, ἐμπιπράντες ἄμα τὸν σῖτον τῶν τε 15 Ἰνησσαίων καὶ τῶν Ὑβλαίων. καὶ ἀφικόμενοι ἐς Κατάνην καταλαμβάνουσι τούς τε ἱππέας ῆκοντας ἐκ τῶν ᾿Αθηνῶν

But cf. δηοῦν άγρούς 2.13.7, 12. - 6. ἐπὶ έρυμά τι των Συρακοσίων: acc. to 75. § 1 the Syracusans had turned the depopulated Megara into a fort, which prob. is here designated by ξρυμά τι, as it is not likely that they would have fortified two points in the district of Megara. The historian prob. did not recall that he had already spoken more definitely at 75. § 1 about the ξρυμα. — 7. αύθις . . . παρακομισθέντες: Stahl rightly explains that αδθις refers to $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha$ - in $\pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \alpha \nu$ (2). The Athenians advanced again along the coast, the movement being made this time not only by sea, but kal $\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta}$ kal vauriv. As Hdt. (5. 98. 26), so also Thuc. sometimes uses κομίζεσθαι of land-marches (cf. 3. 114. 24; 8. 80. 15, 100. 20). Not observing this, Cl. understood $\pi \epsilon \zeta \hat{\eta}$ to refer to the return-march from the ξρυμα to the coast and corrected (8) άναβάντες (going up, i.e. inland) into åποβάντες (disembarking). -8. Tηρίαν: about this river, see on 50.12. — 10. TIσίν ού πολλοις: see on 1.5.

12. Emistric ameron: having supplied themselves with provisions. Cf. 8, 95.17,

101.2.—13. **Κεντόριπα**: now Centorbi. See Holm I, 68. Cf. Strabo 272 c κείται ύπερ Κατάνης τὰ Κεντόριπα συνάπτοντα τοις Αίτναίοις δρεσι και τω Συμαίθω ποταμφ βέοντι είς την Καταναίαν. - Σικελικὸν πόλισμα: the reading of Vat., the other better Mss. having Σικελόν. Most editors write \(\Sigma\epsilon\right)\) with the inferior Codex G. Cf. Υκκαρα, πόλισμα Σικανικόν 62. 10 ; "Ινησσαν, το Σικελικον πόλισμα 3. 103. 5. — προσαγαγόμενοι: having brought over; see on 2.30.7; 3.91.7. -14. ἐμπιπράντες: Vat. has ἐμπιπρῶντες, the other Mss. πιμπράντες or πιπράν-Tes. The compound is necessary (with v. H.), as the simple verb seems to be quite foreign to Attic prose. The compound occurs above (9) and elsewhere in Thuc. fourteen times. - Tŵy Te 'Ivngoalων και τῶν Ύβλαίων: Inessa and Hybla Geleatis were Sicel towns, the former mentioned 3. 103. 5, the latter 62. 21.

16. τούς τε ίππέας κτέ.: cf. 93. 18.—
17. ἄνευ τῶν ἵππων: without the necessary horses, hence the article.— μετά σκευῆς: as elsewhere of equipment of men (see on 1.2.12), so here of horses.
— ὡς . . . πορισθησομένων: for the

πεντήκοντα καὶ διακοσίους ἄνευ τῶν ἴππων μετὰ σκευῆς, ὡς αὐτόθεν ἴππων πορισθησομένων, καὶ ἱπποτοξότας τριάκοντα καὶ τάλαντα ἀργυρίου τριακόσια.

95 Τοῦ δ' αὐτοῦ ἦρος καὶ ἐπ' Ἄργος στρατεύσαντες Λακε- 1 δαιμόνιοι μέχρι μὲν Κλεωνῶν ἦλθον, σεισμοῦ δὲ γενομένου ἀνεχώρησαν. καὶ ᾿Αργεῖοι μετὰ ταῦτα ἐσβαλόντες ἐς τὴν Θυρεᾶτιν ὅμορον οὖσαν λείαν τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων πολλὴν ἔλα- 5 βον, ἡ ἐπράθη ταλάντων οὐκ ἔλασσον πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι. καὶ ² ὁ Θεσπιῶν δῆμος ἐν τῷ αὐτῷ θέρει οὐ πολὺ ὖστερον ἐπιθέμενος τοῖς τὰς ἀρχὰς ἔχουσιν οὐ κατέσχεν, ἀλλὰ βοηθησάντων Θηβαίων οἱ μὲν ξυνελήφθησαν, οἱ δ' ἐξέπεσον ᾿Αθήναζε.

matter, cf. 37. § 1, 98. § 1.—18. iπποτοξότας: cf. 2.13.54; 5.84.9.—19. τάλαντα άργυρίου τριακόσια: the payment of this sum to the ships, which carried it to Sicily, is mentioned in Inscr. Gr. I, 183.11 ff. = Sylloge Inscr. Gr. ed. Dittenberger I², 37, p. 64.

95. Hostilities between the Lacedaemonians and Argives. A democratic uprising in Thessaly miscarries. -1. ἐπ' "Aργος: the last hostilities between Lacedaemonians and Argives had occurred in the sixteenth year of the war (7. §§ 1, 2).—2. μέχρι Κλεωνών: see on 5.67.16. Apparently the Lacedaemonians had taken the way through Arcadia and the territory of Phlius. σεισμοθ γενομένου: the same reason for the turning back of the Lacedaemonians as in 3.89. § 1. — 3. ανεχώρησαν: so with Vat.; with the usual reading ἀπεχώρησαν one would expect ἐπ' οἴκου (cf. 7. 9; 2. 68. 31; 4. 44. 5) or πάλιν (cf. 1. 107. 11; 2. 94. 18). - ές τὴν Θυρεατιν: cf. 2. 27. 11 ή θυρεατις, γη μεθορία της Αργείας και Λακωνικής. It was in the possession of the Lacedaemonians. - 5. οὐκ ἔλασσον: for η omitted, cf. 4. 44. 28, and see O. Schwab, Hist. Syntax d. gr. Komparation (Schanz, Beitr. IV), p. 212 ff.

6. Θεσπιών: which, belonging unwillingly to the Theban alliance under the supremacy of Thebes, was deprived of its walls in 423 B.C. (4. 133. § 1), because the Thebans feared its leaning toward Athens; hence the attempt of the Demos to throw off at once their own oligarchic rule and the supremacy of Thebes. — 7. τας άρχας έχουσιν: (cf. 5. 34. 11) = $\epsilon \nu \, d\rho \chi \hat{\eta}$ or $d\rho \chi a\hat{\imath}s \, \epsilon \bar{\imath} \nu a\iota$ (54. 24; 2. 37. 16; 4. 74. 11). — où katé-TXEV: did not get the upper hand. For this abs. use of κατασχείν, corresponding to the trans. in 9.16, Stahl compares Lys. 3. 42. - βοηθησάντων Θηβαίων: so only Vat. correctly. The reading of the other Mss., βοηθησάντων Άθηναίων, even if the gen. abs. be taken, with Heilmann, as concessive (although the Athenians lent aid), gives no satisfactory sense; for in case of a real interference on the part of the Athenians, which would have meant an infraction of existing peace relations with the Boeotians (cf. 5.32. § 5), more exact information was to be expected .— 8. ξυνελήφθησαν: and doubtless executed later. - ifinerov:

96 Καὶ οἱ Συρακόσιοι τοῦ αὐτοῦ θέρους, ὡς ἐπύθοντο τούς τε 1 ἱππέας ἦκοντας τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις καὶ μέλλοντας ἦδη ἐπὶ σφᾶς ἰέναι, νομίσαντες, ἐὰν μὴ τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν κρατήσωσιν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, χωρίου ἀποκρήμνου τε καὶ ὑπὲρ τῆς πόλεως εὐθὺς τκειμένου, οὐκ ᾶν ῥαδίως σφᾶς, οὐδ᾽ εἰ κρατοῖντο μάχη, ἀποτειχισθῆναι, διενοοῦντο τὰς προσβάσεις αὐτῶν φυλάσσειν, ὅπως μὴ κατὰ ταύτας λάθωσι σφᾶς ἀναβάντες οἱ πολέμιοι οὐ γὰρ ἄν ἄλλη γε αὐτοὺς δυνηθῆναι. ἐξήρτηται γὰρ τὸ ² ἄλλο χωρίον, καὶ μέχρι τῆς πόλεως ἐπικλινές τέ ἐστι καὶ

not passive here, were driven out (as e.g. 1.2.23; 2.27.7; 3.68.17), but fled for refuge; cf. 7.71.31.

96. The Syracusans, expecting a renewal of the attack of the Athenians, held a review of their forces in a meadow on the Anapus, and designated 600 picked hoplites to guard the approaches to Epipolae. — 1. 76: omitted by three Mss. (Vat., Pal., Paris.), and bracketed by Stahl and Classen; but it is indispensable, for μέλλοντας refers unquestionably not to $l\pi\pi\epsilon$ as, but 'A $\theta\eta$ valous to be supplied from rois' Abnualois. -2. Tois 'Adyvalois: dat. with "Kelv, (also 1.91.11; 5.10.12, 34.1), as with έλθεῖν (see on 1. 13. 12) and ἀφικνεῖσθαι (3. 5. 12). See C. F. Smith, Trans. Amer. Philol. Assoc. XXXV, 69 f. -3. τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν: the triangular plateau extending westward from the base of Achradina for about five kilometers to the point of Euryelus, for the most part with steep declivities north and south; from this an enemy could make the most dangerous attack upon the city. - 4. cibis: directly (see on 4.43. 6) above, i.e. to the west of the city. See Holm II, 31, 32. - 5. σφας: on account of the distance of voulgavies,

for $\sigma \phi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ that was to be expected (see on 49. 8). — 6. τὰς προσβάσεις αὐτών: the approaches to it (Epipolae), which were κατά τον Ευρύηλον (97. 11; 7.2. 14, 43. 21). — 7. κατά ταύτας: so rightly read Bk., Cl., and others, with Vat., for κατά ταῦτα of the rest of the Mss. Since the following $d\lambda\lambda y$ is opp. to the approaches of Epipolae, it was necessary that there should be in the purpose clause a definite reference to the προσβάσεις αὐτῶν, hence κατά ταῦτα, in these places, for which 2.69.7 has been cited, is not so good. - 8. Surnehva: sc. ἀναβήναι. Supply ἐνόμιζον from διενοοῦντο (cf. 4. 8. 34, 108. 8).

έξήρτηται τὸ ἄλλο χωρίον: Schol. τὸ ἄλλο χωρίον, πλὴν τῶν προσβάσεων. The meaning here of ἐξηρτῆσθαι is suspensum esse, which though not found in other early authors, is sufficiently confirmed by the imitation of Strabo 290 c ἐξήρτηται ἡ χώρα πρὸς νότον. Virgil's saxis suspensa rupes (Aen. 8. 190) depends upon the same point of view. On the contrary Plut. Anton. 46 τὰ μεγάλα πεδία τῶν λόφων τούτων ἐξήρτηται is not parallel. Stahl adopts Casaubon's conjecture ἐξῆρται, which Kr. too prefers in spite of the caution

10 ἐπιφανὲς πῶν ἔσω καὶ ἀνόμασται ὑπὸ τῶν Συρακοσίων διὰ τὸ ἐπιπολῆς τοῦ ἄλλου εἶναι Ἐπιπολαί. καὶ οἱ μὲν ἐξελ- 8 θόντες πανδημεὶ ἐς τὸν λειμῶνα παρὰ τὸν Ἄναπον ποταμὸν ἄμα τῆ ἡμέρα (ἐτύγχανον γὰρ αὐτοῖς καὶ οἱ περὶ τὸν Ἑρμοκράτην στρατηγοὶ ἄρτι παρειληφότες τὴν ἀρχήν) ἐξέτασίν 15 τε ὅπλων ἐποιοῦντο καὶ ἑξακοσίους λογάδας τῶν ὁπλιτῶν ἐξέκριναν πρότερον, ὧν ἦρχε Διόμιλος, φυγὰς ἐξ Ἄνδρου, ὅπως τῶν τε Ἐπιπολῶν εἶεν φύλακες καί, ἡν ἐς ἄλλο τι δέη, 97 ταχὺ ξυνεστῶτες παραγίγνωνται. οἱ δὲ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ταύτης 1 τῆς νυκτός, ⟨ἡ⟩ τῆ ἐπιγιγνομένη ἡμέρα ἐξητάζοντο ἐκεῖνοι,

of the Schol. μη ἐπὶ τοῦ ἐξῆρθαι καὶ μετεωρίσθαι ἀκουέσθω. See App. — 10. ἐπιφανὲς πῶν ἔσω: in full view within the city. The Schol. explains καταφανὲς ἔσωθεν, which is the same thing from the reverse (our) point of view. Epipolae clear to the top is still visible from the lower town. — 11. ἐπιπολής: above (really elliptical gen. of ἐπιπολή), adv. = ἐπάνω. Cf. Hdt. 1.187. 4; Ar. Plut. 1207 (Schol. ἐπίρρημα τοπικόν). See Lobeck ad Phryn. p. 126.

12. παρά τὸν "Αναπον ποταμόν: i.e. along the left bank of the river, not far from its mouth. Most recent editors think, with Kr., that τόν must be inserted before mapá. But cf. 40.14 τοὺς λόγους ἀφ' ὑμῶν, and see on 1.51. 11; 3. 91. 10; 4. 9. 5.—13. autois: ethical dat. Cf. 1.89.14; 5.3.21.οί περί τὸν Έρμοκράτην στρατηγοί: who, chosen in the winter (73. § 1), had prob. in the spring entered upon their office. — 14. ἐξέτασιν ὅπλων: see on 41. 10.—16. πρότερον: the choice of the 600 (the Mss. have wrongly επτακοσίους, cf. 97. 15; 7. 43. 29) was the first measure taken before the general review, with which the arrangement of the

separate divisions was connected. On these 600, see Holm II, 418.—17. είν ... καλ... παραγίγνωνται: the same change of mood, in reverse order, as in 3.22.37; 7.17.15; 8.87.14, 17. GMT. 321; Kr. Spr. 54, 8, 2; Kühn. 553, 6.

97. The Athenians, however, had already landed the night before with their whole army at Leon at the foot of Epipolae and ascended this height by way of Euryelus before the Syracusans could lend aid from the Anapus meadow. The 600 picked men and the rest of the Syracusans rush in disorder against the Athenians, but are repulsed with great loss. Next day the Athenians advance against the city; but as the Syracusans do not come out, they turn back and build at Labdalum, the northernmost point of Epipolae, a fort to serve as a base and a depository of military supplies. - 1. oi & 'Αθηναίοι ταύτης της νυκτός, ζή) τη έπιγιγνομένη ἡμέρα έξητάζοντο ἐκείνοι, έλαθον αύτους . . . κατά τον Λέοντα καλούμενον: the Athenians during that night, on the day after which the Syracusans held their review, came from Catana with their whole force and landed ἔλαθον αὐτοὺς παντὶ ἤδη τῷ στρατεύματι ἐκ τῆς Κατάνης σχόντες κατὰ τὸν Λέοντα καλούμενον, ὅς ἀπέχει τῶν Ἐπιπο- 5 λῶν ἔξ ἢ ἑπτὰ σταδίους, καὶ τοὺς πεζοὺς ἀποβιβάσαντες ταῖς τε ναυσὶν ἐς τὴν Θάψον καθορμισάμενοι ἔστι δὲ χερσόνησος μὲν ἐν στενῷ ἰσθμῷ προύχουσα ἐς τὸ πέλαγος, τῆς δὲ Συρακοσίων πόλεως οὖτε πλοῦν οὖτε ὁδὸν πολλὴν ἀπέχει. καὶ ὁ μὲν ναυτικὸς στρατὸς τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐν τῆ Θάψῳ δια- ²
10 σταυρωσάμενος τὸν ἰσθμὸν ἡσύχαζεν ὁ δὲ πεζὸς ἐχώρει εὐθὺς δρόμῳ πρὸς τὰς Ἐπιπολάς, καὶ φθάνει ἀναβὰς κατὰ τὸν Εὐρύηλον πρὶν τοὺς Συρακοσίους αἰσθομένους ἐκ τοῦ λειμῶνος καὶ τῆς ἐξετάσεως παραγενέσθαι. ἐβοήθουν δὲ οῖ ³ τε ἄλλοι ὡς ἔκαστος τάχους εἶχε καὶ οἱ περὶ τὸν Διόμιλον
15 ἑξακόσιοι στάδιοι δὲ πρὶν προσμεῖξαι ἐκ τοῦ λειμῶνος ἐγίγνοντο αὐτοῖς οὐκ ἔλασσον ἢ πέντε καὶ εἴκοσι. προσπεσόντες 4

unobserved at the place called Leon. The general situation was this: the Athenians use the same ruse as in their first attack upon Syracuse (64-66), that is, they avail themselves of a time to ascend Epipolae when the main force of the Syracusans is engaged outside of the city in the opposite direction. This time they had doubtless information of the intended great review and hence sail during the night preceding the day set therefor from Catana to the point Leon, distant six or seven stades from Epipolae (as 65. 14 ύπο νύκτα ξπλεον έπλ τάς Συρακούσας), land the troops, and ascend Euryelus, while the Syracusans are still on the meadow by the Anapus. On the text, see App. - 4. TXÓVTES: condensed expression for παραπλεύσαντες και σχόντες. For const. σχείν κατά, see on 1. 110. 11. — τὸν Λέοντα: Leon was near the modern Casa della Finanza south of Thapsus. See Holm II, 385 f.: Freeman, Hist. of Sic. III, 211.—6. is την Θάψον: the peninsula northwest of Leon with a roomy harbor for ships. See on 4.5.—7. iν στενῷ ἰσθμῷ . . . πίλαγος: with a narrow istimus, extending into the sea.—8. πλοῦν: understand πολύν from πολλήν. Cf. 92. 17. Measure of distance, as in 2. 38, 49. 19.

9. διασταυρωσάμενος: = σταυροῖς ἀπολαβών (cf. 4. 102. 18). The middle διασταυροῦσθαι is found nowhere else, the act. only in Dio C. 41. 50.—11. κατά τὸν Εὐρύηλον: not far from the westernmost point of Epipolae, near the present Belvedere. See Holm II, 32, 386; Freeman III, 211 f.

14. ὡς ἔκαστος τάχους εἶχε: as in 2. 90. 19. See on 1. 22. 13; 7. 2. 2. — οἰ περὶ τὸν Διόμιλον: cf. 96. 16.—15. προσμείξαι: of approach (1. 46. 8), προσπεσόντες (16) of attack.— ἐγίγνοντο: (to which belongs ἐκ τοῦ χειμῶνος) with

οὖν αὐτοῖς τοιούτω τρόπω ἀτακτότερον καὶ μάχη νικηθέντες οι Συρακόσιοι έπὶ ταις Ἐπιπολαις ἀνεχώρησαν ές την πόλιν και ο τε Διόμιλος αποθνήσκει και των άλλων 20 ώς τριακόσιοι. καὶ μετὰ τοῦτο οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, τροπαῖόν τε 5 στήσαντες καὶ τοὺς νεκροὺς ὑποσπόνδους ἀποδόντες τοῖς Συρακοσίοις, πρὸς τὴν πόλιν αὐτὴν τῆ ὑστεραία ἐπικαταβάντες, ώς οὐκ ἐπεξησαν αὐτοῖς, ἐπαναχωρήσαντες φρούριον ἐπὶ τῷ Λαβδάλῳ ῷκοδόμησαν ἐπ' ἄκροις τοῖς κρημνοῖς 25 τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν ὁρῶν πρὸς τὰ Μέγαρα, ὅπως εἶη αὐτοῖς, ὁπότε προίοιεν ή μαχούμενοι ή τειχιοῦντες, τοῖς τε σκεύεσι καὶ 98 τοις γρήμασιν αποθήκη. καὶ οὐ πολλώ ὖστερον αὐτοις ἦλθον 1 έκ τε Έγέστης ίππης τριακόσιοι καὶ Σικελών καὶ Ναξίων καὶ ἄλλων τινῶν ὡς ἐκατόν καὶ ᾿Αθηναίων ὑπῆρχον πεντήκοντα καὶ διακόσιοι, οἶς ἴππους τοὺς μὲν παρ' Ἐγεσταίων καὶ 5 Καταναίων έλαβον, τους δ' έπρίαντο καὶ ξύμπαντες πεντήκοντα καὶ έξακόσιοι ἱππῆς ξυνελέγησαν. καὶ καταστήσαντες 2

numerals, as in 1.87.10, 107.24; 2. 13.27, 20.11, 98.14; 3.75.22; 4.9.10.

17. αὐτοῖς: τοῖς Αθηναίοις.— τοιούτφ τρόπφ: with ἀτακτότερον. Against the reading of Vat. ἀτακτότεροι might be cited ἀτάκτως καὶ οὐδενὶ κόσμφ προσπίπτοντες 3.108.15; ἀτάκτως προσφερομένους 7.53.8. Cf. however ἀπροσδόκητος προσπεσών 4.103.21, and προσπίπτειν ἀπροσδοκήτως 4.29.17.

20. τροπαίον τε στήσαντες: τε is wanting in Vat.—23. ώς ούκ ἐπεξήσαν αὐτοῖς: Cl. would read, unnecessarily, ώς δ'ούκ ἐπεξήσαν.—24. τῷ Λαβδάλω: (cf. 98. § 2; 7. 3. § 4) on the extreme north ridge (as ἐπ' ἀκροις τοῖς κρημνοῖς means; not on the highest ridge, against which would be 7. 3. § 4), a point at once splendid and dominant (Holm II, 33.387). Cf. Freeman III, 213.—25. ὁρῶν πρός: see on 2.55. 4. It be-

longs to φρούριον, but might have been as well δρώσι with κρημνοῖς.—τὰ Μέγαρα: see on 4.7.—26. προίοιεν: for the inappropriate προσίοιεν of the Mss., conjecture of F. Portus universally accepted.—27. τοῖς χρήμασιν: see on 49. 13.—ἀποθήκη: apparently not found elsewhere in Attic writers.

98. After increasing their cavalry to 650 men they establish at a point called Sykê a circular fort. The Syracusans seek to prevent this, but avoid a general engagement and meet with defeat with a part of their cavalry.—

1. αὐτοῖς ἦλθον: see on 96.2.—2. ἐππῆς τριακόσιοι κτἐ.: the somewhat variant numbers given by Diod. 13. 7 have no authority against Thuc.—3. ᾿Αθηναίων ὑπῆρχον: cf. 94. § 4.—5. ἔλαβον: i.e. with consent (not by force).—6. ξυνελέγησαν: i.e. from the different divisions.

ἐν τῷ Λαβδάλῳ φυλακὴν ἐχώρουν πρὸς τὴν Συκῆν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι, ἴναπερ καθεζόμενοι ἐτείχισαν τὸν κύκλον διὰ τάχους. καὶ ἔκπληξιν τοῖς Συρακοσίοις παρέσχον τῷ τάχει
10 τῆς οἰκοδομίας καὶ ἐπεξελθόντες μάχην διενοοῦντο ποιεῖσθαι καὶ μὴ περιορᾶν. καὶ ἤδη ἀντιπαρατασσομένων ἀλλή- ¾λοις οἱ τῶν Συρακοσίων στρατηγοὶ ὡς ἐώρων σφίσι τὸ στράτευμα διεσπασμένον τε καὶ οὐ ῥαδίως ξυντασσόμενον, ἀνήγαγον πάλιν ἐς τὴν πόλιν πλὴν μέρους τινὸς τῶν ἱππέων
15 οὖτοι δὲ ὑπομένοντες ἐκώλυον τοὺς ᾿Αθηναίους λιθοφορεῖν τε

7. ἐν τῷ Λαβδάλω: Vat. reads ἐπὶ $τ\hat{\psi}$ Λαβδάλ ψ (cf. 97. 24). — πρὸς τὴν Συκήν: the view maintained by some, esp. Letronne, that $\Sigma \nu \kappa \hat{\eta}$ is another name for $T\acute{v}\chi\eta$, the suburb to the westward adjoining Achradina (a suburb unknown to Thuc.), is generally rejected by recent authorities, esp. Holm (II, 387). Sykê (i.e. a place set with fig-trees; see Steph. s.v. Dukal) is "prob. to be located in the middle of the plateau of Epipolae." Here the Athenians built first a circular fort, which later was the starting-point for the wall of circumvallation extending northward toward Trogilus, southward to the Great Harbor. The words ἴναπερ (cf. 4.48.27, 74.3) καθεζόμενοι έτειχισαν τον κύκλον δια τάχους prove the reference cannot be to the circumvallation, for the aor. ἐτείχισαν indicates completion, whereas this was never finished. The art. τ δ ν κύκλον seems to refer, from the point of view of the historian, to the wall as if known to the reader, though just then constructed. Cl. compares άνευ τῶν ἔππων 94. 17, and Holm (II, 387) παρά την πυλίδα 100.17; ἐπὶ την χηλήν 7. 53. 5. Stahl and Boehme-Widmann, following Arnold, explain

it as "the usual circle," ὁ κύκλος being a part of the circumvallation, but itself the kind of fortified enclosure and central point of the whole line from which the walls to right and left usually commenced. But Steup urges that the passages cited by Cl. and Bm.-W. do not refer, as here, to something before nonexistent, and he would prefer the conjecture first suggested by Stahl, later by Gertz, ἐτειχίσαντο κύκλον (cf. for middle 1. 11. 5; 3. 105. 6; 4. 3. 8). This circular fort is mentioned also 99. 2, 14; 101. 1; 102. 5, 8, 14, and possibly 7. 2. 20. See Freeman III, 662 ff.— 10. ἐπεξελθόντες: sc. ol Συρακόσιοι.-11. μη περιοράν: sc. τον κύκλον οίκοδομούμενον. Cf. 7. 6. 3.

πόδη άντιπαρατασσομένων άλλήλοις: when they were already being drawn up against each other. The alignment of the Syracusans was not completed, because their troops on marching out had not kept ranks and hence could not readily be brought into line (hence pres. ptc. ξυντασσόμενον).—15. ἐκάλυον: conative. This attempt to hinder the Athenians was interrupted by (16) καὶ τῶν ᾿Λθηναίων . . . ἐτρέψαντο. — λιθοφορείν τε καὶ ἀποσκίδνασθαι: i.e. for work

καὶ ἀποσκίδνασθαι μακροτέραν· καὶ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων φυλὴ 4 μία τῶν ὁπλιτῶν καὶ οἱ ἱππῆς μετ᾽ αὐτῶν πάντες ἐτρέψαντο τοὺς τῶν Συρακοσίων ἱππέας προσβαλόντες, καὶ ἀπέκτεινάν τέ τινας καὶ τροπαῖον τῆς ἱππομαχίας ἔστησαν.

9 Καὶ τῆ ὑστεραία οἱ μὲν ἐτείχιζον τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων τὸ πρὸς 1 βορέαν τοῦ κύκλου τεῖχος, οἱ δὲ λίθους καὶ ξύλα ξυμφοροῦντες παρέβαλλον ἐπὶ τὸν Τρωγίλον καλούμενον αἰεί, ἦπερ βραχύτατον ἐγίγνετο αὐτοῖς ἐκ τοῦ μεγάλου λιμένος ἐπὶ 5 τὴν ἐτέραν θάλασσαν τὸ ἀποτείχισμα. οἱ δὲ Συρακόσιοι 2 οὐχ ἦκιστα Ἑρμοκράτους τῶν στρατηγῶν ἐσηγησαμένου μάχαις μὲν πανδημεὶ πρὸς ᾿Αθηναίους οὐκέτι ἐβούλοντο διακινδυνεύειν, ὑποτειχίζειν δὲ ἄμεινον ἐδόκει εἶναι, ἢ ἐκεῦνοι ἔμελλον

on the not yet finished κύκλος. λιθοφορεῖν is found only here. — 16. μακροτέραν: comp. of μακράν (3.13.23, 55.5). Cf. Xen. Anab. 7.8.20; Plato, Rep. 263 A. Kr. Spr. 43, 3, 9.

φυλή μία: (borrowed by the military from the civil classification) = $\tau d\xi$ s. See on 3. 90. 10. — 19. της ἱππομαχίας: for const. with $\tau \rho o \pi a \hat{i} o \nu$, cf. 2. 82. 8; 4. 12. 8; 7. 41. 12, 54. 1. Kr. Spr. 47, 7, 3.

99. Hereupon the Athenians commence work on the wall of circumvallation on the north side; but the Syracusans, giving up the combat in open field, begin on the south side a cross-wall starting from the city. -1. ἐτείχιζον . . . τὸ πρὸς βορέαν τοῦ κύκλου τείχος: began to build on the wall north of the circular fort. So generally explained; but Steup, objecting that this wall has not been mentioned before and could not have been assumed by Thuc. to be known to his readers, takes τὸ πρὸς βορέαν τοῦ κύκλου adv. (see 2. 12). - 3. παρέβαλλον . . . alel: (impf. with Vat. for παρέβαλον of the other better

Mss.) wood and stones were being laid along the course by the second division of Athenians. - ἐπὶ τὸν Τρωγίλον καλούμενον: for more exact designation of the direction taken by the wall they were building. Trogilus was a small harbor on the north side of Epipolae. - ήπερ: in which direction. - 4. βραχύτατον κτέ.: the shortest line for the proposed wall of circumvallation went, to northward, by Trogilus. For like const., cf. 101.3, on the southern portion of the circumvallation. - iyiγνετο: Schol. Εμελλεν Εσεσθαι. - 5. την έτέραν θάλασσαν: the sea by Trogilus. - τὸ ἀποτείχισμα: cf. 101. 5 τὸ περιτείχισμα for the same wall.

6. τῶν στρατηγῶν: construed by Cl. and Kr. with Ἐρμοκράτους (cf. 3. 5); but better taken with οὐχ ἦκιστα. Cf. μάλιστα πάντων 1. 139. 5; 4. 27. 10, 86. 12.—ἐσηγησαμένου: see on 90. 2.—7. πανδημεί: used almost as adj. with μάχαις, in battle with their whole force. Cf. ξυσταδὸν μάχαις 7. 81. 25.—8. ὑποτειχίζειν δὲ . . . τὸ τεῖχος: it seemed

άξειν τὸ τεῖχος, καί, εἰ φθάσειαν, ἀποκλήσεις γίγνεσθαι, 10 καὶ ἄμα καί, ἐν τούτῳ εἰ ἐπιβοηθοῖεν, μέρος ἀντιπέμπειν αὐτοὺς τῆς στρατιᾶς καὶ φθάνειν ἄν τοῖς σταυροῖς προκαταλαμβάνοντες τὰς ἐφόδους, ἐκείνους δὲ ᾶν παυομένους τοῦ ἔργου πάντας ᾶν πρὸς σφᾶς τρέπεσθαι. ἐτείχιζον οὖν ἐξελθόντες 8 ἀπὸ τῆς σφετέρας πόλεως ἀρξάμενοι, κάτωθεν τοῦ κύκλου 15 τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐγκάρσιον τεῖχος ἄγοντες, τάς τε ἐλάας ἐκκόπτοντες τοῦ τεμένους καὶ πύργους ξυλίνους καθιστάντες. αἱ 4

better to build across the line where the Athenians were likely to build their wall. ὑποτειχίζειν (lit. to build an underwall, cf. κάτωθεν τοῦ κύκλου τῶν 'Αθηvalue in 14) found elsewhere only in late authors. - 9. καί, εἰ φθάσειαν, ἀποκλήσεις γίγνεσθαι: and, in case they (i.e. the Syracusans) anticipated them, a shutting out would be effected. The inf. depends upon άμεινον έδόκει είναι. άπόκλησις also 4.85.9; elsewhere only in late authors. See App. - 10. kal άμα καί, έν τούτφ εί έπιβοηθοιεν: and at the same time also, if they (the Athenians) should attack them thereby (i.e. while at this work). Only ἐν τούτφ, not (with Cl.) και έν τούτω, belongs with εί έπιβοηθοΐεν. For emphatic position of έν τούτω, see on 14. 10. 5. Kr. Spr. 54, 17, 7; Kühn. 606, 6. — μέρος τῆς στρατιας: opp. to μάχαις πανδημεί (6) and πάντας ἀν . . . τρέπεσθαι (13). — ἀντιπέμπειν αὐτούς: dependent on αμεινον εδόκει είναι. - 11. και φθάνειν αν . . . τρέπεofa: the const. changes and continues as if ήγοῦντο or ήλπιζον had gone before: and they expected that they would be beforehand in fencing off the approaches with palisades, and that those ceasing from the work would all turn against themselves. For text, see App. — 606νειν . . . προκαταλαμβάνοντες: for the

pleonasm and for pres. ptc. see 2.91. 5; 3.83.11.—12. τὰς ἐφόδους: Schol. τὰ βάσιμα λέγει. Grote renders ἐφόδους attacks and is followed by Bl. and Stahl. But σταυροῖς προκαταλαμβάνειν ἐφόδους, vallis impetus praevertere is too strange an expression, for which προκαταλαμβάνειν τῶν πόλεων τὰς ἀποστάσεις 1.57.14 is not a parallel.—13. πάντας ἄν: for repetition of ἄν, cf. 10.14; 11.6; 18.10, 33; 37.13; 64.9, and see on 4.18.17.

14. άπὸ της σφετέρας πόλεως άρξάmevos: i.e. from the wall by which the Syracusans the preceding winter had included the Temenites (the later Neapolis). Cf. 75. § 1. See Holm II, 385. — κάτωθεν τοῦ κύκλου τῶν '**Αθηναίων**: lit. from below the circular fort of the Athenians, i.e. from the point of the city wall nearest to the circular fort (98.8), -15. Eykápolov telkos äyovtes: (cf. 7.4.3, 7.5) i.e. a cross-wall intended to cut off the proposed circumvallation of the Athenians and prevent its completion. See App. - 16. TOO τεμένους: the sanctuary of Apollo Temenites (see on 75.2). Cf. Steph. Byz. Τέμενος τόπος Σικελίας ύπο τας Έπιπολας πρός ταις Συρακούσαις. Some editors write τοῦ Τεμένους. - πύργους ξυλίνους: on top of the wall, to facilitate its defense.

δὲ νῆες τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων οὖπω ἐκ τῆς Θάψου περιεπεπλεύκεσαν ἐς τὸν μέγαν λιμένα, ἀλλ᾽ ἔτι οἱ Συρακόσιοι ἐκράτουν τῶν περὶ τὴν θάλασσαν, κατὰ γῆν δ᾽ ἐκ τῆς Θάψου οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τὰ ἐπιτήδεια ἐπήγοντο. ἐπειδὴ δὲ τοῖς Συρακοσίοις ἀρκούν- 1 τως ἐδόκει ἔχειν ὅσα τε ἐσταυρώθη καὶ ῷκοδομήθη τοῦ ὑποτειχίσματος, καὶ οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι [αὐτοὺς] οὐκ ἦλθον κωλύσοντες, φοβούμενοι μὴ σφίσι δίχα γιγνομένοις ῥᾶον μάχωνται, καὶ τὰ ἄμα τὴν καθ᾽ αὑτοὺς περιτείχισιν ἐπειγόμενοι, οἱ μὲν Συρακόσιοι φυλὴν μίαν καταλιπόντες φύλακα τοῦ οἰκοδομήματος ἀνεχώρησαν ἐς τὴν πόλιν· οἱ δὲ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τούς τε ὀχετοὺς

al δὲ νῆςς... ἐπήγοντο: explaining why the Syracusans in building their counter-wall had nothing to fear from the Athenian fleet. On the matter, cf. 97.§1,2; 101.§3; 102.§3.—18. ἐκράτουν τῶν περὶ τὴν θάλασσαν: (cf. 2. 33. 8; 4. 54. 21) i.e. the Syracusans were still masters of their harbors and of the entrances to them.

100. The Syracusan counter-wall is completed, but a little later is stormed by the Athenians, who destroy also the pipes which brought water into the city. The Athenians, pushing forward after the fleeing Syracusans into the outer city-wall enclosing Temenites, are driven back; but they destroy the counter-wall of the Syracusans .- 1. doκούντως έδόκει έχειν κτέ.: clearly indicating that the Syracusan counter-wall got across the line of the proposed Athenian circumvallation. - 2. doa te έσταυρώθη και ψκοδομήθη του ύποτειγίσματος: τε should come after έσταν- $\rho \omega \theta \eta$. There was probably on both sides of the counter-wall a row of palisades for greater security: the protected space behind these palisades is called

σταύρωμα, and of the whole extension a definite part might be designated by the words τὸ παρά την πυλίδα (16). ὑποτείχισμα (cf. ὑποτειχίζειν 99. 8) designates the whole Syracusan counter-wall. This word, as well as ὑποτείχισις (23), is found only here. — 3. [αὐτούς]: wanting in Vat. and Par. (B, H), and κωλύσοντες is even more forcible without it. - 4. δίχα γιγνομένοις: cf. 1.64. 6.-5. The kad autous meritely is: i.e. the part of the circumvallation now building northward. Cf. 99. §1. - inc. γόμενοι: trans., as 3. 2. 14; 4. 5. 9; 8. 9. 2.—6. φυλήν: of a military division, as with the Athenians 98.16. Holm (II, 418) makes prob. for Syracuse the Doric division into three oulal. From what follows the division left behind λακα: pred. after fem. noun, as 8.61. 10, 73. 29 φύλακες with νηες. - τοῦ οίκοδομήματος: as ὑποτείχισμα (2) of the whole counter-wall. - 7. τοὺς ὀχετούς: with modifier ποτοῦ ὕδατος in the rel. clause. See on 4.12.8. Of the course of these water-pipes nothing certain is known, except that they ran from

αὐτῶν, οἱ ἐς τὴν πόλιν ὑπονομηδὸν ποτοῦ ὕδατος ἠγμένοι ἢσαν, διέφθειραν καί, τηρήσαντες τούς τε ἄλλους Συρακοσί10 ους κατὰ σκηνὰς ὄντας ἐν μεσημβρία καί τινας καὶ ἐς τὴν πόλιν ἀποκεχωρηκότας καὶ τοὺς ἐν τῷ σταυρώματι ἀμελῶς φυλάσσοντας, τριακοσίους μὲν σφῶν αὐτῶν λογάδας καὶ τῶν ψιλῶν τινας ἐκλεκτοὺς ὡπλισμένους προύταξαν θεῖν δρόμῳ ἐξαπιναίως πρὸς τὸ ὑποτείχισμα, ἡ δ' ἄλλη στρατιὰ δίχα, ἡ
15 μὲν μετὰ τοῦ ἐτέρου στρατηγοῦ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν, εἰ ἐπιβοηθοῖεν, ἐχώρουν, ἡ δὲ μετὰ τοῦ ἐτέρου πρὸς τὸ σταύρωμα τὸ παρὰ τὴν πυλίδα. καὶ προσβαλόντες οἱ τριακόσιοι αἱροῦσι ἐχὸ σταύρωμα καὶ οἱ φύλακες αὐτὸ ἐκλιπόντες κατέφυγον ἐς

Epipolae into the city. See Lupus, Die Stadt Syrakus, pp. 259 ff.—8. ὑπονομηδόν: Schol. δια ύπονόμων και σωλήνων (pipes; cf. Hdt. 3.60.8).—9. Typhoavτες ... φυλάσσοντας: there are three objects with participial modifiers, but the guard consisted really of only two divisions (τούς τε άλλους and τούς έν τῶ σταυρώματι), the τινας . . . άποκεχωρηκότας being only a subdivision of rows allows. Of the one division the greater part had betaken themselves at midday to the tents for rest; somehad even, manifestly because of lack of discipline, gone off to the city (cf. 69. 4). — 12. σφων αὐτων: i.e. of the Athenian hoplites, whereas the ψιλοί ἐκλεκτοί for this attack were equipped with hoplite armor (ώπλισμένους). ἐκλεκτός only here in Thuc.; άπόλεκτος only 68.8; ἐπίλεκτος not at all. — 13. θείν δρόμφ: see on 3. 111. 8. For the inf. after $\pi \rho o \dot{\nu} \tau a \xi a \nu$, see on 50. 14. — 15. εί ἐπιβοηθοῖεν: i.e. to oppose any troops that might come to the rescue from the city. - 16. πρὸς τὸ σταύρωμα τὸ παρά τὴν πυλίδα: to that part of the palisade by the postern gate,

i.e. a part thus designated of the Syracusan counter-wall (ὑποτείχισμα in 14), doubtless a part nearer to the city, as Cl. suggested. Just where this postern gate was is not indicated. Cl. suggests that its purpose might have been to connect the σταύρωμα with the προτείχισμα περί τον Τεμενίτην (19). This seems clearly right. The 300 picked men with those selected from the ψιλοί make in advance a sudden attack on the Syracusan counter-wall; of the main body half advance toward the city to ward off any rescuing force, half go against the part of the stockade or counter-wall near the postern gate in the προτείχισμα τὸ περί τὸν Τεμενίτην — the object of these last being to cut off the fugitives in case the attack of the 300 was successful. See App.

17. οἱ τριακόσιοι αἰροῦσι τὸ σταύρομα: here τὸ σταύρωμα = τὸ ὑποτείχισμα (14). — 18. οἱ φύλακες: prob. to be understood of τοὺς ἐν τῷ σταυρώματι ἀμελῶς φυλάσσοντας (11), for of those that were in the tents at the time of the attack (10) many could hardly have

τὸ προτείχισμα τὸ περὶ τὸν Τεμενίτην. καὶ αὐτοῖς ξυνεσέπε20 σον οἱ διώκοντες, καὶ ἐντὸς γενόμενοι βίᾳ ἐξεκρούσθησαν
πάλιν ὑπὸ τῶν Συρακοσίων, καὶ τῶν ᾿Αργείων τινὲς αὐτόθι
καὶ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων οὐ πολλοὶ διεφθάρησαν. καὶ ἐπαναχω- 8
ρήσασα ἡ πᾶσα στρατιὰ τὴν τε ὑποτείχισιν καθεῖλον καὶ
τὸ σταύρωμα ἀνέσπασαν καὶ διεφόρησαν τοὺς σταυροὺς
25 παρ᾽ ἑαυτούς, καὶ τροπαῖον ἔστησαν.

01 Τῆ δ' ὑστεραία ἀπὸ τοῦ κύκλου ἐτείχιζον οἱ 'Αθηναίοι τὸν 1 κρημνὸν τὸν ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἔλους, ὅς τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν ταύτη πρὸς τὸν μέγαν λιμένα ὁρᾶ, καὶ ἣπερ αὐτοῖς βραχύτατον ἐγίγνετο καταβᾶσι διὰ τοῦ ὁμαλοῦ καὶ τοῦ ἔλους ἐς τὸν λιμένα τὸ

taken part in the defense of the σταύρωμα.—19. το προτείχισμα το περὶ τον Τεμενίτην: i.e. the lately erected citywall that took in the Temenites (75. § 1). The word occurs elsewhere only inlater writers.—20. οἱ διώκοντες: not only the 300, but also the part of the Athenian army that had proceeded πρὸς τὸ σταύρωμα τὸ παρὰ τὴν πυλίδα, for among the fallen some Argives are mentioned (21).

23. τὴν ὑποτείχισιν . . . καὶ τὸ σταύρωμα: the two parts of the Syracusan fortification comprehended in ὅσα τε ἐσταυρώθη καὶ ψκοδομήθη τοῦ ὑποτειχίσματος (2), hence τὸ σταύρωμα (the palisade) is used here in a narrower sense than in 11 and 18. On the different parts of the Syracusan fortifications, see Holm II, 389 ff.—24. διεφόρησαν: see on 91. 35.—25. παρ' ἐαυτούς: i.e. to be used for their own circumvallation.

101. Hereupon the Athenians build the southern part of their wall of circumvallation from the circular fort to the bluff of Epipolae which is nearest to the Great Harbor. A second counter-wall entirely in the low grounds, which the Syracusans meanwhile had begun to construct, is attacked by the Athenians and taken; in the stubborn conflicts that occur thereby Lamachus is killed .- 1. oi 'Aθηναίοι κτέ.: the Athenians stop work for the present on the north section of the circumvallation (99. § 1, 100. 5), and turning to the south side fortify next the steep bluff above the marsh looking toward the Great Harbor, at the point nearest to this harbor. It seems clear from the context (9) that the wall now built extended southward from the κύκλος to the edge of the bluff, but the statement is general, and it seems hardly necessary to insert a prep. (έs or πρός οπέπί) before τον κρημνόν. See App. - 2. τοῦ ἔλους: see on 99. 15. — 3. δρά: see on 75. 2. — και ήπερ . . . τὸ περιτείχισμα: cf. 99. 4. ήπερ: where. For rel. continuation of sent., cf. 4. 18, 89. 23. — 4. καταβᾶσι: i.e. when they had come with their wall down from Epipolae. — τὸ περιτείχισμα: the same wall, regarded from

5 περιτείχισμα. καὶ οἱ Συρακόσιοι ἐν τούτω ἐξελθόντες καὶ 2 αὐτοὶ ἀπεσταύρουν αὖθις ἀρξάμενοι ἀπὸ τῆς πόλεως διὰ μέσου τοῦ ἔλους καὶ τάφρον ἄμα παρώρυσσον, ὅπως μὴ οἶόν τε ή τοις 'Αθηναίοις μέχρι τής θαλάσσης αποτειχίσαι. οί ε δ', έπειδή τὸ πρὸς τὸν κρημνὸν αὐτοῖς έξείργαστο, ἐπιχει-10 ροῦσιν αὖθις τῶ τῶν Συρακοσίων σταυρώματι καὶ τάφρω, τὰς μέν ναθς κελεύσαντες περιπλεθσαι έκ τῆς Θάψου ές τὸν μέγαν λιμένα τὸν τῶν Συρακοσίων, αὐτοὶ δὲ περὶ ὄρθρον καταβάντες ἀπὸ τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν ἐς τὸ ὁμαλόν · καὶ διὰ τοῦ έλους ή πηλώδες ήν καὶ στεριφώτατον θύρας καὶ ξύλα πλα-15 τέα ἐπιθέντες καὶ ἐπ' αὐτῶν διαβαδίσαντες αἰροῦσιν ἄμα ἔφ τό τε σταύρωμα πλην όλίγου καὶ την τάφρον, καὶ ὖστερον καὶ τὸ ὑπολειφθὲν είλον. καὶ μάχη ἐγένετο, καὶ [ἐν αὐτῆ] 4 ένίκων οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι · καὶ τῶν Συρακοσίων οἱ μὲν τὸ δεξιὸν κέρας έχοντες πρὸς τὴν πόλιν ἔφευγον, οἱ δ' ἐπὶ τῷ εὐωνύμῳ 20 παρά τὸν ποταμόν. καὶ αὐτοὺς βουλόμενοι ἀποκλήσασθαι

a different point of view, is called in 99. 5 τὸ ἀ ποτείχισμα.

5. ἐν τούτφ: i.e. while the Athenians were thus occupied.— ἐξελθόντες καὶ αὐτοί: coming out themselves also. Cf. 99. 13 (of the Syracusans).—6. ἀρξάμενοι ἀπὸ τῆς πόλεως: as 99. 14, though here from a point of the citywall nearer to the Great Harbor.—7. καὶ τάφρον παρώρυσσον: and dug a ditch alongside, as was easy to do in the low ground.

9. τὸ πρὸς τὸν κρημνόν: the stretch of wall to the edge of the bluff above; see on 1.—10. αδθις: i.e. as they had attacked the ὑποτείχισμα in 100.9 ff.—11. ἐκ τῆς Θάψου: cf. 99. § 4; the execution of the order 102. § 3.—12. περί δρθρον: see on 3.112.9.—13. διὰ τοῦ ξλους: to be connected with ἐπιθέντες.

-14. ή πηλώδες ήν: see on 66.9; 1. 63.10.—15. διαβαδίσαντες: elsewhere only in later writers.—16. και ύστερον: these indispensable words found only in Vat.

17. [ἐν αὐτῆ]: not in Pal.; in Vat. misplaced before καί. The idiom is contrary to Thuc.'s usage (1. 29. 19, 105. 2, 8, 116. 7; 3. 74. 1). νικᾶν ἐν μάχη does not occur in Thuc., who has regularly οὐκ ἔλασσον ἔχειν ἐν μάχη (cf. 1. 105. 23; 2. 22. 12; 3. 5. 7; 4. 25. 20; 8. 61. 17), and once ἐν μάχη εῦ πρᾶξαι (75. 16).—19. ἔφευγον: so better with Vat. (for ἔφυγον), indicating the beginning of the flight, whose further course is stated below.—20. παρὰ τὸν ποταμόν: i.e. along the left bank of the Anapus, "in order to escape across the bridge to the Olympieum" (Holm II, 36). The

της διαβάσεως οι των 'Αθηναίων τριακόσιοι λογάδες δρόμω ηπείγοντο πρὸς την γέφυραν. δείσαντες δὲ οι Συρακόσιοι το (ήσαν γὰρ καὶ των ἱππέων αὐτοις οι πολλοι ἐνταῦθα) ὁμόσε χωροῦσι τοις τριακοσίοις τούτοις, καὶ τρέπουσί τε αὐτοὺς 25 καὶ ἐσβάλλουσιν ἐς τὸ δεξιὸν κέρας των 'Αθηναίων. καὶ προσπεσόντων αὐτων ξυνεφοβήθη καὶ ἡ πρώτη φυλὴ τοῦ κέρως. ἰδων δὲ ὁ Λάμαχος παρεβοήθει ἀπὸ τοῦ εὐωνύμου τοῦ ἑαυτων μετὰ τοξοτων τε οὐ πολλων καὶ τοὺς 'Αργείους παραλαβών, καὶ ἐπιδιαβὰς τάφρον τινὰ καὶ μονωθεὶς μετ' ὀλί-80 γων των ξυνδιαβάντων ἀποθνήσκει αὐτός τε καὶ πέντε ἡ εξ των μετ' αὐτοῦ. καὶ τούτους μὲν οι Συρακόσιοι εὐθὺς κατὰ τάχος φθάνουσιν ἀναρπάσαντες καὶ διαβιβάσαντες πέραν

bridge (cf. 66. 11) had been repaired by the Syracusans. They were prevented from crossing by the 300 λογάδες, who reached the bridge before them (22 ἡπείγοντο πρὸς τὴν γέφυραν).

—21. οἱ τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων τριακόσιοι λογάδες: for position of the part. gen., see on 62. 19. These 300 λογάδες are clearly identical with those mentioned 100. 12.

23. (ἦσαν γὰρ . . . ἐνταῦθα): giving the reason for the following ὁμόσε χωροῦσι (cf. 1. 31. 7, 72. 2; 4. 116. 6); the presence of the cavalry gave them courage to halt and attack the Athenians, ἐσβάλλουσιν referring esp. to the coöperation of the cavalry. - 25. τδ δεξιον κέρας: which the 300 λογάδες, in pursuing the enemy's left wing, had got ahead of. - 26. ξυνεφοβήθη: (pass.) the first division (φυλή for φυλακή correctly restored by Duker, cf. 98. 16) of the Athenian right wing was swept into the flight along with the 300 (τρέπουσιν adrovs). Cf. 3. 108. 5. The compound found elsewhere only in late writers.

28. τοῦ ἐαυτῶν: the pl. in view of the Athenians commanded by Lamachus; cf. 7. 3. 12, 53. 7. — καl . . . παραλαβών: note the correlation with prepositional phrase, $\mu\epsilon\tau\dot{a}$. . . $\tau\epsilon$; cf. 1. 26. 19. Kr. Spr. 59, 2, 3. -29. ἐπιδιαβάς τάφρον τινά: advancing across a ditch (not that of the Syracusan fortification, but prob. one for draining the marsh). - μονωθείς μετ' όλίγων τῶν ξυνδιαβάντων: cf. Hdt. 6. 15. 9 μετ' δλίγων ξυμμάχων μεμουνωμένοι. — 30. άποθνή-**THEL**: acc. to an unlikely statement of Plutarch's (Nic. 18) in single combat with a certain Callicrates, who had challenged him to single combat and who likewise fell. - 32. φθάνουσιν άναρπάσαντες και διαβιβάσαντες: this reading of two Mss. (B, H), for φθάνουσιν άρπάσαντες of the rest of the Mss., Cl. adopts (also Boehme-Widmann), on account of the great authority of Vat. in the later books of Thuc. The only objection that could be made to this form of expression is διαβιβάσαντες applied to corpses, for which διακομίσαντες

τοῦ ποταμοῦ ἐς τὸ ἀσφαλές, αὐτοὶ δὲ ἐπιόντος ἤδη καὶ τοῦ 102 ἄλλου στρατεύματος τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἀπεχώρουν. ἐν τούτῳ δὲ 1 οἱ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν αὐτῶν τὸ πρῶτον καταφυγόντες ὡς ἑώρων ταῦτα γιγνόμενα, αὐτοί τε πάλιν ἀπὸ τῆς πόλεως ἀναθαρσήσαντες ἀντετάξαντο πρὸς τοὺς κατὰ σφᾶς ᾿Αθηναίους, καὶ το μέρος τι αὐτῶν πέμπουσιν ἐπὶ τὸν κύκλον τὸν ἐπὶ ταῖς Ἐπιπολαῖς, ἡγούμενοι ἐρῆμον αἰρήσειν. καὶ τὸ μὲν δεκάπλεθρον 2 προτείχισμα αὐτῶν αἰροῦσι καὶ διεπόρθησαν, αὐτὸν δὲ τὸν κύκλον Νικίας διεκώλυσεν (ἔτυχε γὰρ ἐν αὐτῷ δι' ἀσθένειαν ὑπολελειμμένος) τὰς γὰρ μηχανὰς καὶ ξύλα, ὅσα πρὸ 10 τοῦ τείχους ἦν καταβεβλημένα, ἐμπρῆσαι τοὺς ὑπηρέτας

was to be expected. Stahl defends the vulgate as breviloquentia; and Steup considers the reading of Vat. a not altogether successful explanation of άρπάσαντες, which Thuc. might have used to express at once a hasty taking up (ἀναιρεῖσθαι, 1.54.5 and freq.) and carrying off. - 33. attol &: though referring to the subj. οι Συρακόσιοι, expressed because in the first clause attention has been centered on the bodies of the fallen. - τοῦ ἄλλου στρατεύμα-Tos: from 102. 4 it is clear that a part of the Athenians had been arraved against the Syracusan right wing that fled to the city.

102. Meanwhile the Syracusans with part of their army attack the Athenian circular fort on Epipolae, in which Nicias had been left sick on this day; they take the outworks, but are obliged to withdraw before the fire which Nicias has kindled from the wood heaped up before the main fort. As the Athenian fleet at this very time runs into the Great Harbor, the Syracusans find themselves put upon the defensive.—
2. οἱ πρὸς τὴν πόλιν... καταφυγόντες:

i.e. the right wing of the Syracusans, who $(\tau \partial \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau \sigma \nu)$ after the capture of their stockade had retreated within the city-walls (101.19).—αὐτῶν: part. gen. with ol καταφυγόντες. See on 62. 19.—3. ταῦτα γιγνόμενα: i.e. the successes of the Syracusans described in 101.26 ff.—4. ἀντετάξαντο πρὸς τοὺς ... ᾿Αθηναίους: i.e. against a part of the left wing of the Athenians. See on 101.33.—5. ἐπὶ τὸν κύκλον: see on 98.7.

6. τό . . . προτείχισμα: an outwork of the κύκλος (10 plethra, 1000 feet in extent). - 8. διεκώλυσεν: sc. έλειν. δι' ἀσθένειαν: Nicias was suffering from nephritis 7, 15, 9, -9. τὰς γὰρ μηχανας κτέ.: stating, after the parenthesis, how it was prevented (διεκώλυσεν). At this middle point of the Athenian siege was collected all the apparatus intended therefor (e.g. ladders, scaffolding), as well as the stakes and other wood for the circumvallation (e.g. the σταυροί taken from the Syracusan ὑποτείχισμα, 100. 24).—10. ην καταβεβλημένα: periphrasis of ptc. with elvas. See App. on 1. 1. 5. — τοὺς ὑπηρέτας: i.e. the attendants of the hoplites (see on 3.17.10).

ἐκέλευσεν, ὡς ἔγνω ἀδυνάτους ἐσομένους ἐρημία ἀνδρῶν ἄλλω τρόπω περιγενέσθαι. καὶ ξυνέβη οὖτως · οὐ γὰρ ἔτι προσῆλ- 8 θον οἱ Συρακόσιοι διὰ τὸ πῦρ, ἀλλὰ ἀπεχώρουν πάλιν. καὶ γὰρ πρός τε τὸν κύκλον βοήθεια ἤδη κάτωθεν τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων 15 ἀποδιωξάντων τοὺς ἐκεῖ ἐπανήει, καὶ αἱ νῆες ἄμα αὐτῶν ἐκ τῆς Θάψου, ὥσπερ εἴρητο, κατέπλεον ἐς τὸν μέγαν λιμένα. ἀ ὁρῶντες οἱ ἄνωθεν κατὰ τάχος ἀπῆσαν καὶ ἡ ξύμπασα 4 στρατιὰ τῶν Συρακοσίων ἐς τὴν πόλιν, νομίσαντες μὴ ἄν ἔτι ἀπὸ τῆς παρούσης σφίσι δυνάμεως ἱκανοὶ γενέσθαι κωλῦσαι 20 τὸν ἐπὶ τὴν θάλασσαν τειχισμόν.

103 Μετὰ δὲ τοῦτο οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι τροπαῖον ἔστησαν καὶ τοὺς 1

The guard of soldiers was evidently slight.—11. ἀδυνάτους ἐσομένους: Steup adopted Cobet's conjecture ἀδύνατος ἐσόμενος, approved by v. H.— ἐρημία ἀνδρῶν: through lack of (armed) men. Cf. τὸν Πειραιᾶ ἐρῆμον ὅντα νεῶν 8.96. 12; ἐν ἐρημία φίλων Xen. Mem. 2. 2.14.

12. καλ ξυνέβη ούτως: 80. περιγενέσθαι. Cf. 3. 3. 15 ην ξυμβη ή πειρα. — 13. kal yap: giving the two grounds besides the fire for the retreat of the Syracusans. - 14. τῶν ᾿Αθηναίων ἀποδιωξάντων τους έκει: of the main body of the Athenians, before which the Syracusan left wing had given way (101. 34), a part had, after the pursuit ended (ἀποδιωξάντων), returned from below to bring aid to the threatened fortification. Cl. and others understood the reference here to be to the Athenians mentioned in l. 4. But it is clear from 101. § 6 that the Athenians mentioned above (4) were only a small part of the army; besides, the omission of further mention of the main body would be strange. — 15. τους έκει: sc. τους κάτω, i.e. the Syracusan left wing.—

16. ώσπερ εἴρητο: i.e. as orders had been given 101.11. See on 30.4.

17. of ἄνωθεν: i.e. the Syracusans who had attacked the κόκλος. — κατά τάχος ἀπῆσαν: resumes, after the details given in και γὰρ . . . λιμένα, the ἀπεχώρουν πάλιν above (13).—18. νομίσαντες μὴ ἄν ἔτι . . . ἰκανοὶ γενέσθαι: the idea of fear implied in νομίζειν induces the particle of subjective negation (μή for the more usual οὐ). For similar const. of μή after νομίζειν, cf. 4. 18. 18. GMT. 685; Kr. Spr. 67, 7, 4.—19. ἀπὸ τῆς παρούσης σφίσι δυνάμως: with the force at their disposal. For force of ἀπό, see on 19. 5; 1.91. 28.

103. The Athenians now push forward unopposed their circumvallation, in the form of a double wall, from Epipolae to the Great Harbor. They receive also supplies from Italy, as well as new Sicel allies, and even ships sent to their aid from Etruria. The Syracusans on the other hand, as no help comes to them from Peloponnesus, begin negotiations with Nicias, and choose three new generals in place of

νεκροὺς ὑποσπόνδους ἀπέδοσαν τοῖς Συρακοσίοις καὶ τοὺς μετὰ Λαμάχου καὶ αὐτὸν ἐκομίσαντο. καὶ παρόντος ήδη σφίσι παντὸς τοῦ στρατεύματος, καὶ τοῦ ναυτικοῦ καὶ τοῦ 5 πεζοῦ, ἀπὸ τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν καὶ τοῦ κρημνώδους ἀρξάμενοι ἀπετείχιζον μέχρι τῆς θαλάσσης τείχει διπλῷ τοὺς Συρακοσίους. τὰ δ' ἐπιτήδεια τῆ στρατιᾳ ἐσήγετο ἐκ τῆς Ἰταλίας μανταχόθεν. ἦλθον δὲ καὶ τῶν Σικελῶν πολλοὶ ξύμμαχοι τοῖς ᾿Αθηναίοις, οῦ πρότερον περιεωρῶντο, καὶ ἐκ τῆς Τυρση-10 νίας νῆςς πεντηκόντοροι τρεῖς. καὶ πάντα προυχώρει αὐτοῖς ἐς ἐλπίδας. καὶ γὰρ οἱ Συρακόσιοι πολέμῳ μὲν οὐκέτι ἐνό- 8 μιζον ἀν περιγενέσθαι, ὡς αὐτοῖς οὐδὲ ἀπὸ τῆς Πελοποννήσου ἀφελία οὐδεμία ἦκε, τοὺς δὲ λόγους ἔν τε σφίσιν αὐτοῖς

the former ones, to whose fault they ascribe their ill success. - 2. τοὺς μετά Λαμάχου και αὐτόν: cf. 101. 32. The order is unusual for Λάμαχον και τούς μετ' αὐτοῦ. Kr. would bracket καὶ αὐτόν and Hude adopts the corrected reading of one Ms. (F) καὶ αὐτοί. — 3. ἐκομίσαντο: as 2.79.29, 82.4; 3.7.18; 7. 45. 4. See on 1. 113. 14. — 5. και τοῦ κρημνώδους: and indeed from the cliff, defining more exactly $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ' $E \pi \iota \pi o \lambda \hat{\omega} \nu$. This work continued south or southeast the wall mentioned 101. § 1. -6. ἀπετείχιζον: still the impf., because, with all their energy in building, the goal - the water's edge of the Great Harbor — was not reached; cf. 7.2.§4.

7. τὰ δ' ἐπιτήδεια . . . πεντηκόντοροι τρεῖς: the three points most important for the Athenians: abundant opportunity for provisioning, increase of their allies in Sicily, and reënforcements from a distance; after that follows more naturally καὶ πάντα (as Vat. reads) than the vulgate καὶ τἆλλα προυχώρει, since with the favorable posi-

tion taken up for the land army as well as for the fleet not much more was to be desired: and so (kal) all went forward according to their hopes. Thereto is added in confirmation (και γάρ) the report of the mood prevailing in Syracuse. - ik the Italias maytax obev : cf. Plato, Phaedo 67 c πανταχόθεν έκ τοῦ σώματος. — 9. οι πρότερον περιεωρώντο: for the expression, cf. 93.3; on the matter, cf. 88. §3 ff.— ek the Tuponylas: cf. 88. § 6. - 10. vhey menthroutopol: cf. πεντηκόντοροι, without νηες, 43.5; 1. 14. 4, 12. Cf. Ιππαγωγοί νηες 2. 56. 5; 4. 42. 4, and $l\pi\pi\alpha\gamma\omega\gamma\hat{\varphi}$ alone 43. 16. — 11. is iλπίδας: according to their hopes. Cf. the imitation of Dexippus, wis ouble προυχώρει ές έλπίδας (in L. Dindorf's Hist. Gr. Min. I, p. 190; cf. v. H. Mnem. N.S. VIII, 166). ἐs as in ἐs δύναμιν 4.118.6; 8.27.6. Cl. adopted from Vat. and Lond. ἐs ἐλπίδα, and, comparing és καιρόν, és καλόν, és κέρδος (Soph. Phil. 111), rendered: all went so well as to justify the best hope.

13. ἀφελία οὐδεμία: see on 73. 9.

ἐποιοῦντο ξυμβατικοὺς καὶ πρὸς τὸν Νικίαν · οὖτος γὰρ δὴ 15 μόνος εἶχε Λαμάχου τεθνεῶτος τὴν ἀρχήν. καὶ κύρωσις μὲν 4 οὐδεμία ἐγίγνετο, οἶα δὲ εἰκὸς ἀνθρώπων ἀπορούντων καὶ μᾶλλον ἢ πρὶν πολιορκουμένων, πολλὰ ἐλέγετο πρός τε ἐκεῖνον καὶ πλείω ἔτι κατὰ τὴν πόλιν. καὶ γάρ τινα καὶ ὑποψίαν ὑπὸ τῶν παρόντων κακῶν ἐς ἀλλήλους εἶχον, καὶ τοὺς 20 στρατηγούς τε ἐφ' ὧν αὐτοῖς ταῦτα ξυνέβη ἔπαυσαν, ὡς ἢ δυστυχία ἢ προδοσία τἢ ἐκείνων βλαπτόμενοι, καὶ ἄλλους ἀνθείλοντο, Ἡρακλείδην καὶ Εὐκλέα καὶ Τελλίαν.

104 Έν δὲ τούτφ Γύλιππος ὁ Λακεδαιμόνιος καὶ αἱ ἀπὸ τῆς 1

— τοὺς δὲ λόγους . . . και πρὸς τὸν Νικιαν: and discussions looking to an agreement were begun among themselves and with Nicias.— ἐν σφίσιν αὐτοῖς: = ἐν ἀλλήλοις, as 5. 69. 17; 8. 76. 8. — 14. ξυμβατικοῦς: which occurs also 8. 71. 7, 91. 3 (= ξνμβατηρίους 5. 76. 4, 17), is pred. — οὖτος γὰρ δή: Steup would adopt, with v. H., from Vat. ηδη.

15. κύρωσις μεν ούδεμία έγίγνετο: πο conclusion was reached. κύρωσις, a rare word, is found only here in Thuc.; the verb κυροῦν, 4.125.5; 8.69.1.—16. ola δε είκός: sc. ήν γίγνεσθαι. Cf. 2. 54. 3. -17. μάλλον ή πρίν πολιορκουμένων: more than before pressed by the siege. Hude follows Laur. in omitting $\pi \rho l \nu$. But nothing further happened to the Syracusans than to be besieged; they were, however, besieged now in quite other style than before, when the circumvallation of the Athenians was only just begun. - 18. και γάρ: referring esp. to πλείω ἔτι κατά τὴν πόλιν: increasing suspicion led to much talk. For kal yap καί, see on 61. 6. — 19. ὑπὸ τῶν παρόντων κακών: for ὑπό, by reason of, cf. 1. 49.8; 4.34.17, 80.14. — και τούς στρατηγούς τε: Cl. understood τε and, καί also (see on 44.17), holding that only thus is τούς στρατηγούς properly emphasized, and that και άλλους άνθείλοντο is an independent addition; but Stahl and Steup are clearly right in explaining $\tau\epsilon$ as correlated with κal before άλλους (21). As to these generals, cf. 73. § 1, 96. 14. — 20. i i iv: under whose lead. - 21. τη ἐκείνων: belonging to δυστυχία, as well as to προδοσία. For the order, see 1. 1. 6. — 22. 'Ηρακλείδην: not the son of Lysimachus (73. 3), but apparently the son of Aristogenes (Xen. Hell. 1.2.8). Thuc.'s failure to distinguish this Heraclides from the one mentioned in 73.3, who was deposed, is prob. due to lack of final revision on the part of the author. - Εὐκλέα: Vat. reads Εὐρυκλέα. Xen. (Hell. 1. 2. 8) mentions Eucles, along with Heraclides, as Syracusan gen-

104. Meanwhile Gylippus, with two Laconian and two Corinthian ships, had reached Tarentum. His attempt to sail further failed in consequence of stormy weather. Nicias paid no attention to the approach of so small a fleet.

— 1. Γύλιππος: cf. 93. § 2 f.— αἰ ἀπὸ

Κορίνθου νῆες περὶ Λευκάδα ἦδη ἦσαν, βουλόμενοι ἐς τὴν Σικελίαν διὰ τάχους βοηθῆσαι. καὶ ὡς αὐτοῖς αἱ ἀγγελίαι ἐφοίτων δειναὶ καὶ πᾶσαι ἐπὶ τὸ αὐτὸ ἐψευσμέναι ὡς ἦδη ταντελῶς ἀποτετειχισμέναι αἱ Συράκουσαί εἰσι, τῆς μὲν Σικελίας οὐκέτι ἐλπίδα οὐδεμίαν εἶχεν ὁ Γύλιππος, τὴν δὲ Ἰταλίαν βουλόμενος περιποιῆσαι αὐτὸς μὲν καὶ Πυθὴν ὁ Κορίνθιος ναυσὶ δυοῖν μὲν Λακωνικαῖν, δυοῖν δὲ Κορινθίαιν ὅτι τάχιστα ἐπεραιώθησαν τὸν Ἰόνιον ἐς Τάραντα, οἱ δὲ Κορίνθιοι πρὸς ταῖς σφετέραις δέκα Λευκαδίας δύο καὶ ᾿Αμπρακιώτιδας τρεῖς προσπληρώσαντες ὕστερον ἔμελλον πλεύσεσθαι. καὶ ὁ μὲν Σ Γύλιππος ἐκ τοῦ Τάραντος ἐς τὴν Θουρίαν πρῶτον πρεσβευσάμενος καὶ τὴν τοῦ πατρὸς ἀνανεωσάμενος πολιτείαν καὶ οὐ

της Κορίνθου νης: 93. § 3. - 2. περί Λευκάδα: near the city Leucas, which also at 2.80. § 2 appears as a collecting point for a fleet. — 4. ἐφοίτων: mostly personal; here of άγγελίαι, as 8.18.5 οί χρήματα. - δειναί καί . . . έψευσμέναι: as pred. more forcible. — ήδη παντελώς: as was not the case, acc. to 7.2. § 4.-6. την δέ Ίταλίαν . . . περιποιήσαι: since at Sparta information was given through Alcibiades of the plans of the Athenians; cf. 90. §§ 2, 3. — 7. $\Pi \upsilon \theta \dot{\eta} \nu$: cf. 7.1.1, 70.8; Diodorus (13.7.2) writes incorrectly Πύθης. — 8. δυοίν δὲ Κορινθίαιν: apparently the two Corinthian ships which Gylippus, acc. to 93. § 3, had first ordered to Asine. — 9. Tov 'Ιόνιον: see on 30. 5. — ές Τάραντα: apparently directly across the open sea. -11. προσπληρώσαντες: for the pleonasm (προσ-) after πρὸς ταῖς σφετέραις, cf. προσπληρώσαντες έτι 7. 34. 5; άλλας προσπληρώσαντες 8. 10. 13.

12. ἐς τὴν Θουρίαν: see on 61. 28. πρεσβευσάμενος: sending ambassadors, hardly "going as ambassador," as some

explain. If Gylippus had gone as ambassador to Thurii, there could hardly have been, after speaking of the embassy, a mere mention of the departure from Tarentum (with apas must certainly be supplied ek του Τάραντος). - 13. και την του πατρός άνανεωσάμενος πολιτείαν: this reading of Vat. and Par. (followed also by Valla) gives welcome light on this matter known in general only from Plut. Pericl. 22. Cleandridas, father of Gylippus (93. § 2), had after his banishment from Sparta, 444 B.C., joined the colony sent at this time from Athens to Thurii; the right of citizenship obtained by him Gylippus now claims, and bases thereon his unsuccessful demands upon his ostensible mother-city. So Cl. reads with Bk., Kr., Bm., Stahl, Müller, and the Oxford text; but Steup, with Hude, Marchant, and Spratt, follows the rest of the Mss., κατά την τοῦ πατρός ποτε πολιτείαν, justifying the form of expression as Thucydidean by comparing 75. 11 πυνθανόμενοι τούς 'Αθηναίους ές την δυνάμενος αὐτοὺς προσαγαγέσθαι ἄρας παρέπλει τὴν Ἰτα15 λίαν, καὶ άρπασθεὶς ὑπ' ἀνέμου [κατὰ τὸν Τεριναῖον κόλπον],
δς ἐκπνεῖ ταύτη μέγας κατὰ βορέαν ἑστηκώς, ἀποφέρεται ἐς
τὸ πέλαγος, καὶ πάλιν χειμασθεὶς ἐς τὰ μάλιστα τῷ Τάραντι προσμίσγει· καὶ τὰς ναῦς ὄσαι ἐπόνησαν ὑπὸ τοῦ
χειμῶνος ἀνελκύσας ἐπεσκεύαζεν. ὁ δὲ Νικίας πυθόμενος 8
20 αὐτὸν προσπλέοντα ὑπερεῖδε τὸ πληθος τῶν νεῶν, ὅπερ καὶ

Καμάριναν κατά την έπι Λάχητος γενομένην ξυμμαχίαν πρεσβεύεσθαι, εί πως προσαγάγοιντο αὐτούς. He adds that την πολιτείαν ἀνανεωσάμενος means not claiming, but actually renewing, citizenship, and with the reading of Vat. the sent. must continue not copulative (και οὐ δυνάμενος), but adversative; further that this is not in accord with § 3 & de Niklas . . . δπερ καὶ οἱ Θούριοι ἔπαθον. — 14. προσαyayéobai: to bring over, as 2.30.7; 3. 91. 7; 4. 86. 3; 7. 7. 8. — παρέπλει τὴν 'Iταλίαν: see on 47.7. For 'Ιταλία as used in Thuc., see on 2. 19. - 15. [katà τον Τεριναίον κόλπον]: the gulf of Terina is on the west coast of Bruttium ('Irahla in Thuc.), which Gylippus could reach only by sailing round through the strait. As that is out of the question, most editors, following Goeller, rightly regard the words as a gloss to ταύτη. But Steup objects that such a gloss is improbable, since this gulf is rarely mentioned by the ancients (elsewhere only in Pliny, N.H. 3. 5. 10, 10. 15); further that bracketing the words makes unintelligible the following ἐκπνεῖ (cf. 2. 84. 8 εἰ ἐκπνεύσειεν έκ τοῦ κόλπου τὸ πνεῦμα), unless, with Badham and v. H., that be changed to πνεί. So Steup adopts Pp.'s conjecture Ταραντίνον, as quite in accord with the fact that Gylippus, after the storm,

returned to Tarentum, whence he had started. - 16. Taith: in that quarter, for one sailing from Tarentum along the west coast of the gulf. - uéyas: violently, pred., as 1.23.4. — κατά βορέαν έστηκώς: standing in the north, i.e. blowing steadily from the north. Cf. ην αίει κατά πρύμναν ιστήται το πνευμα 2. 97. 4; οι ετήσιοι ανεμοι τη ώρα εκείνη κατά νότον μάλιστα άνεμον ζστανται Arr. Anab. 6. 21. 1. — 17. πάλιν χειμασθείς ές τὰ μάλιστα: and driven by a most violent storm back, i.e. in the opposite direction. So Cl. and Stahl explain; but Steup says, before all the return to Tarentum is to be expressed, and to connect πάλιν with τῷ Τάραντι προσμίσγει has the further advantage that with ἀποφέρεσθαι ές τὸ πέλαγος, which was the effect of a steadily blowing wind acc. to Thuc., we do not have to think also of a sudden storm. For és τὰ μάλιστα, cf. πατρικὸς ές τὰ μάλιστα ξένος ων 8.6.17, and ές τὰ μακρότατα 31. 24. — 18. ἐπόνησαν: of ships, as 7. 38. 11. Vat. has δσαι μάλιστα ἐπόνησαν. - 19. energe valer: set to repairing (impf.); the completion (aor.) is mentioned 7.1.1.

20. προσπλέοντα: so Vat.; the rest of the Mss. wrongly πλέοντα. — τὸ πλήθος: of the small number, as 4.10.22, and likewise with ὑπερορῶν 5.6.15. —

οί Θούριοι έπαθον, καὶ ληστικώτερον έδοξε παρεσκευασμένους πλείν καὶ οὐδεμίαν φυλακήν πω ἐποιείτο.

105 Κατὰ δὲ τοὺς αὐτοὺς χρόνους τούτου τοῦ θέρους καὶ Λακε- 1 δαιμόνιοι ἐς τὸ ᾿Αργος ἐσέβαλον αὐτοί τε καὶ οἱ ξύμμαχοι καὶ τῆς γῆς τὴν πολλὴν ἐδήωσαν. καὶ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ᾿Αργείοις τριάκοντα ναυσὶν ἐβοήθησαν, αἴπερ τὰς σπονδὰς φανερώ- 5 τατα τὰς πρὸς τοὺς Λακεδαιμονίους αὐτοῖς ἔλυσαν. πρό- 2 τερον μὲν γὰρ ληστείαις ἐκ Πύλου καὶ περὶ τὴν ἄλλην Πελοπόννησον μᾶλλον ἢ ἐς τὴν Λακωνικὴν ἀποβαίνοντες μετά τε ᾿Αργείων καὶ Μαντινέων ξυνεπολέμουν, καὶ πολλάκις

21. ληστικώτερον: rather in a piratical fashion. See on 1.10.32. Kühn. 540, N. 7. - 28084: arbitratus est; see on 4. 62. 4. — παρεσκευασμένους: referring to the whole expedition of the four ships and their crews. Cf. 1. 110. 11, 12. Hude's παρεσκευασμένος would be worthy of consideration if Nicias were not again subject in the last clause .-22. ούδεμίαν φυλακήν πω έποιείτο: has reference to the later dispatch of four Attic ships (7.1.§2). Vat. has οὐδεμίαν πως φυλακήν έποιούντο. But πως is out of place here, and that Nicias alone can be meant is clear from the foregoing and from 7.1.§2.

105. About the same time the Lacedaemonians again invade Argos; the Athenians, who come with 30 ships to the aid of the Argives, for the first time since the conclusion of the peace make an unmistakable attack upon Laconian territory.—1. Λακεδαιμόνιοι ές τὸ "Αργος ἐσέβαλον κτέ.: repetition of the unsuccessful campaign of 95. § 1.—2. ἐς τὸ "Αργος: into the territory of Argos. Cf. ἐκ τοῦ "Αργους 16; ἐξ "Αργους 5. 63. 1, 65. 7; ἐν "Αργοι 4. 133. 5; ἐς 'Επίδαυρον 12. See on 2. 18. 2; 3. 91. 11;

4. 118. 25. — 3. The yhe thu moddin: for assimilation of the articular adj. to the gender of the part. gen., see on 1.3. 12; 7.3.15. G. 1090; H. 730e; Kr. Spr. 47, 28, 9; Kühn. 405, 5 c.—4. αίπερ ... ξλυσαν: on this breach of the peace on the part of the Athenians, cf. 7.18. § 2 f., and see App. on 5. 25. 11. Besides, the Athenians, acc. to 5. 56. § 3, in the winter of 419-418 had already had inscribed at the bottom of the Laconian pillar of peace: ou our eveμειναν οί Λακεδαιμόνιοι τοῖς δρκοις. - 5. τας πρός τούς Λακεδαιμονίους: so Vat.; all the rest of the Mss. omit rás, most roús. But rás is necessary, and roós doubtless correct, as on the third and fourth (13, 16) mention of the Lacedaemonians the art. is added. — айтоїs: dat. in free relation, as 1.6.8.— Thuray: broke. See on 14.35.1.

6. ληστείαις ἐκ Πόλου: as related 5. 115. § 2. Cf. also 5. 56. § 3.— καλ . . . άποβαίνοντες: second modifier after the dat. ληστείαις ἐκ Πύλου. Cf. 1. 80. 4; 4. 36. 18. Such landings, not mentioned by Thuc., had prob. occurred in the Epidaurian war (5. 53 ff.).— 8. μετά τε 'Αργείων καλ Μαντινίων ξυνεπολέμουν:

'Αργείων κελευόντων ὅσον σχόντας μόνον ξὺν ὅπλοις ἐς τὴν 10 Λακωνικὴν καὶ τὸ ἐλάχιστον μετὰ σφῶν δηώσαντας ἀπελθεῖν οὐκ ἤθελον· τότε δὲ Πυθοδώρου καὶ Λαισποδίου καὶ Δημαράτου ἀρχόντων ἀποβάντες ἐς Ἐπίδαυρον τὴν Λιμηρὰν καὶ Πρασιὰς καὶ ἄλλα ἄττα ἐδήωσαν τῆς γῆς, καὶ τοῖς Λακεδαιμονίοις ἤδη εὐπροφάσιστον μᾶλλον τὴν αἰτίαν ἐς τοὺς 'Αθηναίους τοῦ ἀμύνεσθαι ἐποίησαν. ἀναχωρησάντων δὲ ετῶν 'Αθηναίων ἐκ τοῦ *Αργους ταῖς ναυσὶ καὶ τῶν Λακεδαιμονίων οἱ 'Αργεῖοι ἐσβαλόντες ἐς τὴν Φλειασίαν τῆς τε γῆς αὐτῶν ἔτεμον καὶ ἀπέκτεινάν τινας, καὶ ἀπῆλθον ἐπ' οἴκου.

(only Vat. has Eur-) indicating doubtless both the alliance and the participation in the hostilities; see on 2.67. 33. - 9. δσον σχόντας μόνον . . . ἀπελθείν: (dependent on κελευόντων) only so much as to land with arms in Laconian territory, devastate with them ever so small a part, and then go away. For the restrictive force of δσον μόνον with ptc., cf. oa un with ptc., 1.111.5; 4.16.12. Cf. Ar. Vesp. 1288; Lys. 732. The chief stress is on the ptc.; see on 82. 11; 1.82.10. — σχόντας ές: see on 7. 1. 14. - ξὺν ὅπλοις: see on 5. 50. 9. This was an unmistakable breach of the stipulation of the treaty: ὅπλα δὲ μη έξέστω έπιφέρειν έπι πημονή κτέ. (5. 18. § 4). — 11. Πυθοδώρου . . . άρχόν-Twv: of the generals Pythodorus, Cl. thinks, was prob. the one mentioned 3.115.6; 4.2.7, 65.11; but see Busolt, Gr. Gesch. III, p. 1351. Laespodias is mentioned again 8.86.44. For him, see J. Kirchner, Prosopographia Attica II, 1, and Busolt, ibid. 1350 f. Of Demaratus nothing further is known. --12. ές Επίδαυρον την Λιμηράν: see on 4. 56. 10.—13. Πρασιάς: on the same east

coast of Laconia; cf. 2. 56. 16. — Δλλα άττα: with Vat. (cf. άλλα άττα χωρία 1. 113. 3; 2. 100. 12) for δσα άλλα of the rest of the Mss., which most recent editors prefer. Cf. 7.18.20. - The yes: part. gen. with δηοῦν, as with τέμνειν below (17) and freq. See on 1.30.8. G. 1097, 1; H. 736; Kühn. 416, N. 2. - και τοις Λακεδαιμονίοις . . . Εποίηcav: and they brought it about that the Lacedaemonians with so much better pretext now had cause to defend themselves against the Athenians. Decelea is hinted at. εὐπροφάσιστον found elsewhere only in late writers. τοῦ ἀμύνεσθαι depends On The altlar. es toùs Abnealous for es $\sigma\phi\hat{a}s$, as if expressing a complaint of the Lacedaemonians. Stahl brackets the words, but comparison with 1.55. 13; 66.2, 5 makes likely the designation of the state against which there is αίτια τοῦ ἀμύνεσθαι.

16. ἐκ τοῦ "Αργους: (Vat. ἐξ "Αργους) would have been expected after Λακεδαιμονίων, for the Athenian fleet was last mentioned on the Laconian coast (12).—17. ἐς τὴν Φλειασίαν: as 5.83.11, 115.2.

ABBREVIATIONS OF EDITORS' NAMES: Arn., Arnold; Bk., Bekker; Bm., Boehme-Widmann; Cl., Classen; Kr., Krueger; M., F. Mueller; Pp., Poppo; St., Stahl; V. H., Van Herwerden

APPENDIX

19 διείργεται τὸ μὴ ἤπειρος είναι: Sh., Badham, v. H., and Mueller-Strübing (Polem. Beitr. z. Kritik d. Thuk. p. 26 = Ztschr. f. d. östr. Gymn. 1879, p. 586) consider the correct reading to be not ἤπειρος εἶναι, as Demetrius (περὶ ἐρμην. p. 279, 5 Sp.) cites from our passage, and Polyaenus (cf. 2. 2. 4) and Procopius (who imitates this passage four times) read, but ἡπειροῦσθαι. Cl. remarked that acc. to 2. 102. 21 it must have been in that case at least ἡπειρῶσθαι. But neither "to become mainland" nor "to have become mainland," but only "to be mainland," suits the context.—L. Herbst (Zu Thuk. 2. 64 ff.) defends ἤπειρος οὖσα, here too (see App. on 4. 63. 2) accepting τό without influence on the const. But, apart from the fact that Herbst's theory of this τό is impossible, we should not, even omitting the τό of nearly all Mss., have a text which could be ascribed to Thuc. Greek usage does not justify διείργεται with ptc., and if one should take μὴ ἤπειρος οὖσα simply as modifier of the subj., the sent. would be ambiguous. Besides, as Marchant rightly observes, οὐ, not μἡ with the ptc., would be expected. J.S.

2-5 Ed. Wölfflin, in his monograph "Antiochus von Syrakus und Coelius Antipater" (Winterthur, 1872), has by a careful examination confirmed and amplified Niebuhr's conjecture that Thuc. in his survey of the ancient history of Sicily followed mainly his older contemporary Antiochus of Syracuse. He assumes with good reason that not only in the statements of the above chapters about the ethnographic and colonial relations of the island, but also in his geographical data (3. 88, 116; 4. 24), and in his narrative of earlier military events in Sicily (3. 86, 88, 90, 103, 115; 4. 1, 24, 25, 46-48, 58-65), Thuc. used the Σικελιῶτις συγγραφή (Paus. 10.2) of Antiochus, which reached from the earliest period to the Peace of Gela, 424 в.с. (Thuc. 4. 65). To the influence of Antiochus he rightly attributes especially the fact that Thuc. several times dates from the founding of Syracuse (3. 10; 4. 16; 5. 8. 10), without further determination; as also the mention of constitutional relations in the newly founded Hellenic cities (4. § 4; 5. § 1), since Dion. H. expressly testifies to the interest of Antiochus in such matters, namely, 1. 12 ον τρόπον έπολυτεύοντο.

It is more difficult to determine how far Wölfflin is right in his argument that the traces of the influence, possibly unconscious, of his Ionic source are to be found even in the language of Thuc. in the passages, esp. 2-5, where Antiochus was followed. Especially noteworthy in this respect is 3.3 $\beta \omega \mu \delta \nu$, $\delta \sigma \tau is \nu \partial \nu \xi \omega \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau i\nu$, for it is the only place in all Thuc. where $\delta \sigma \tau is$ introduces

an attributive modification of a concrete noun, and remarkably enough we find in a fragment of the 'Ιταλίας οίκισμός of Antiochus (cited by Dion. H. ibid.) the same const.: την γην ταύτην, ήτις νῦν Ίταλία καλεῖται. It is quite possible then that the remark about the Apollo altar in Naxos is taken from Antiochus; and in 2.31 βορράν as in 2.34 ἔνεκεν (of all the Mss.) may be due to the Ionic. It is noteworthy, further, as Wölfflin observes, that Thuc. only in these chapters uses with a date έγγύς or έγγύτατα, about (2.29; 4.19; 5.8, 10), everywhere else μάλιστα. This is hardly Ionic influence, however, since Hdt. never uses έγγύς, but only the corresponding άγχοῦ, ἄγχιστα, and άγχοτάτω, and even these never in temporal but only in local or qualitatively comparative meaning. where in Thuc., for this too is at least foreign to Hdt. The form παλαιότατος (2.3), for παλαίτατος, cannot be considered unusual, since Thuc. varies elsewhere in the comparison of this word (see on 1.1.11). The twice occurring $\kappa \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon ls$ is, however, not the same as καλούμενος (of the usual name of a person or place), but always implies, in accordance with the character of the aorist, a name given under definite conditions; so 4. 8 τους 'Υβλαίους κληθέντας, who received in Sicily the surname Hyblaean; 4. 28 δυομα το μέν πρώτον Ζάγκλη ήν ύπο των Σικελών κληθείσα, which name the Sicels had given, ότι δρεπανοειδές την Ιδέαν το χωρίον έστί · το δὲ δρέπανον οι Σικελοι ζάγκλον καλοῦσι. Just so we have in 1.3.19 οι ώς ξκαστοι . . . και ξύμπαντες υστερον Ελληνες κληθέντες, of the gradual spread of the name; and 4. 24. 17 ή Χάρυβδις κληθείσα means the part of the strait (τοῦτο) which received the name of Charybdis.

If we now on the other hand consider that Thuc. in matters of fact does not always agree with Antiochus (e.g. in the account about the immigration of the Sicels, cf. Dion. H. 1. 22; and in the history of the settlement of Zancle-Messene, cf. Holm I, 391), while agreeing with Wölfflin's conclusion "that the summary in cc. 2-5 is to be regarded as a free excerpt from the work of the Syracusan historian" (p. 7), we shall still acknowledge in Thucydides in details that independence which was to be expected not only from his character as historian but from his personal knowledge of land and people.

So far Classen; Steup adds: Wölfflin's views have found wide acceptance, but also much opposition, cf. e.g. Busolt, Griech. Gesch. 2 I, 366 N. For a would-be exhaustive refutation, see O. Boehm, Fontes rerum Sicularum quibus Thuc. usus sit examinantur, Rostock diss., Ludwigslust, 1875. It is not improbable that Antiochus was for Thuc. in Sicilian matters a chief source, but convincing proof therefor has so far not been given and with our defective knowledge of the earlier literature about Sicily cannot be given. $^{\lambda}\pi^{\delta}\lambda\lambda\omega^{\rho}$ S $\beta\omega\mu\delta\nu$, $\delta\sigma\tau\iota$ s in Thuc. fits not ill to $\tau\dot{\eta}\nu\gamma\dot{\eta}\nu$ $\tau\dot{a}\dot{\nu}\tau\eta\nu$, $\ddot{\eta}\tau\iota$ s, but in reality it points only to an *Ionic* source, which, as has been rightly observed, might also have been

¹ In 2.48.12; 3.38.16, 57.13; 4.18.2, 120.15 5orts or otties, though referring to definite nouns, has always a causal or qualitatively defining force.

Hippias of Rhegium or Hellanicus of Mytilene. Some further linguistic peculiarities only make more likely the assumption, even without this scarcely to be doubted, that Thuc. in Sicilian matters used written sources. This applies to έγγύς, έγγύτατα, and έχόμενος. As to κληθείς, it seems beyond doubt that indeed κληθείs in 4.20, as in 1.3.20, means received a name, whereas in 4.8 and 4.24. 17 it is κληθείs and not καλούμενοs because Thuc, would signify that it was a matter of designations belonging to the past; for the settlement of the Hyblaean Megarians had disappeared long before Thuc. wrote, and the Sicilian strait, whose terrors were no longer deemed so fearful, was not then generally called Charybdis. Further the forms βορράν (2.31) and ἔνεκεν (2.34) are not Ionic. Considering without prejudice, one can hardly doubt that v. H. has rightly restored βορέαν and ξνεκα. Both forms are foreign to the Attic of the fifth century, acc. to inscr. (cf. Meisterhans, Gram. der att. Inschr. pp. 100, 124, 216), and the tradition of Thucydidean Mss. is elsewhere only in 1.68.8 decidedly for Evenev, and nowhere else for Boppas (cf. 99.2, 104.16; 2.96.19, 101. 11; 3.4.17, 23.24). Finally, the fact that Thuc. has not more exactly determined the time of the founding of Syracuse, from which he freq. dates, does not justify any inferences as to the source used by him. He reckons also from the capture of Troy (1.12.§3) and from the thirty years' truce (1.87.§6) without fixing these events chronologically - he simply assumed certain chief dates as known. For the use of Antiochus by Thuc, there is then only the general consideration that the former was one of the chief historians who before Thuc. wrote about Sicily. On the paper of H. Stein, Zur Quellenkritik des Thukydides (Rh. Mus. N.S. LV, 531 ff.), whose conclusions are by no means to be accepted, see Steup, Thukydides, Antiochus, und die angebliche Biographie des Hermokrates (Rh. Mus. LVI, 443 ff.). J.S.

2 1 ψκίσθη δὲ ὦδε τὸ άρχαῖον κτέ.: ὧδε, which is Bk.'s conjecture, — the better Mss. reading $\tilde{\eta}\delta\epsilon$, $\tilde{\eta}\delta\epsilon$, or $\tilde{\eta}\delta\epsilon$, — has been adopted by most editors and is supported by οὖτως at the end of the chapter. Steup follows Haack in writing ἤδη for the following reasons: "As Cl. showed, βάρβαροι τοσοίδε does not answer to τοσάδε ξθνη τὰ ξύμπαντα; not till 6.1 is the latter resumed in τοσαῦτα ξθνη Έλλήνων καὶ βαρβάρων. Further τὸ ἀρχαΐον (see on 4.3.18) makes it unlikely that Thuc. would have announced the following account by both wide and rordde in successive members of the same sentence, $\tau \delta$ degrator cannot belong also to the second member; for if Thuc, had meant to emphasize that the settlements mentioned had all been made in olden times, he must have made clear that only in olden times had settlements been made in Sicily. Acc. to 1. § 1 and 6. § 1 his real object was to give information about the Hellenes and barbarians who occupied the island when the Athenians began anew to lust after the conquest of Italy. But if 7d $d\rho \chi \alpha \hat{\omega} \nu$ be taken only with the first member, with the reading $\hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon$, the universal ξθνη τὰ ξύμπαντα is not a suitable antithesis to τὸ ἀρχαῖον. Nor in what follows is there a question of an earlier and a later period, but of first barbarian, then Hellenic settlements. The objections to $\delta\delta\epsilon$ apply also to Cl.'s conjecture $\tau \hat{\eta} \delta\epsilon$, which, moreover, Thuc. uses as adv. elsewhere only in 21. 10, and there in local sense, which is here inadmissible. Acc. to H. J. Müller (Ztschr. f. d. Gymn. XXXVIII, 355 f.) and Widmann, the Mss. readings are due to dittography from $\dot{\psi}\kappa l\sigma\theta\eta$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$. But the statement, 'It was settled however in olden times,' says too little; and not less easy than dittography would be the assumption that the second η of $\eta\delta\eta$ was carelessly copied after $\dot{\psi}\kappa l\sigma\theta\eta$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}$ into $\dot{\epsilon}$.'' J.S.

- 2 7 ενοικισάμενοι: Wölfflin (ibid. 5 f.) favors ενοικησάμενοι, because "Thuc. uses of barbarians only oikeîr, allowing only the Greeks to claim the glory of olκίζειν." Ullrich had already (in his Beiträge zur Kritik des Thuk. III, 10 f.) made the same distinction, but remarks on our passage that "the settlement of the Sicanians following immigration is indicated by the certainly genuine evolution σάμενοι." St. remarks, without doubt correctly (Adn. Crit. 1. 8. 3), "Cum in aoristis olκίσαι et olκήσαι propter itacismum nulla fere codicum sit auctoritas, quid quoque loco scribendum sit, totum ex sententia pendet." But the decision as to what sententia calls for in every particular case depends always on the fundamental meaning of olkeiv, dwell, and olkizer, make a dwelling, colonize, with object now of people (4.8; 1.12.14), now of places (1. 13, 31; 4. 102, 19; 5, 116, 15, etc.), or whole regions (1, 12, 8, 98, 4). The aor. olknown might, it is true, be ingressive, make an abode, settle, and so not far in meaning from olkloat, found an abode, colonize; as, however, with the latter meaning usually a state establishment is connected, there can seldom be a doubt as to the form required; see on 1.8.3, 98.4. Just herein lies the reason why olklogi is esp. applied to the state-founding advance of Hellenic colonization. That, however, this is not unconditionally the case is shown by $\dot{\varphi} \kappa i \sigma \theta \eta 2.1$, which includes the barbarian as well as the Hellenic settlements in Sicily. For ένοικισάμενοι here, however, probably only the usage indicated in the note on the text can decide.
- 4 11 οlκήσαι: Cod. Laur. reads οlκίσαι, which is preferred by Ullrich (Beitr. z. Krit. III, 10), Stahl, and others. But it seems strange, in order to get an obj. for οlκίσαι, to find the subj. of κτίζουσι still in οί άλλοι of l. θ, and then either to understand αὐτούς (as obj. accus.) of the Hyblaean Megarians, or as obj. of αὐτοὺς οἰκίσαι to supply Μεγαρέας τοὺς Ὑβλαίους κληθέντας.
- 6 11 την γενομένην ἐπὶ Λάχητος καὶ τοῦ προτέρου πολέμου Λεοντίνων. . . ξυμμαχίαν: Cl. bracketed Λεοντίνων, with the approval of most recent editors. His chief ground was that it made impossible the correct understanding of γενομένη . . . ξυμμαχία, besides being awkwardly placed. St. too urges the position, against many commentators who connect Λεοντίνων with ξυμμαχίαν. But separation of related words is not so uncommon in Thuc., and ξυμμαχία esp. we find away from its gen., e.g. 3.63.8, 86.8. Cl. thought ξυμμαχία indicated here not a formal compact concluded on certain conditions, but an allied relation continuing for the whole duration of the compact, and that the alliance between Athens and those Siceliote cities which had joined the Leontines against Syracuse (3.86.§ 2) was esp. meant. But as in the remaining passages of Thuc. where ξυμμαχία

γίγνεται occurs (75. 12; 1. 102. 19; 2. 68. 24; 3. 10. 7, 63. 8; 5. 22. 14, 24. 9, 27. 2, 40. 4, 48. 1, 6, 80. 1; 8. 17. 18, 19. 1) the question is of the conclusion of a formal alliance, so must it be here of an alliance concluded at the time of Laches' command. It is further clear from the context that the Egestaeans, in order to lay claim to the help of the Athenians, must speak here of their own connections and relations, and not merely emphasize former interference of the Athenians in Sicilian affairs. For the argument beginning with εἰ Συρακόσιοι in 15 is intelligible only if the Egestaeans are included in τοὺς λοιποὺς ἔτι ξυμμάχους αὐτῶν (16); and this they can be only if they have already been mentioned as of these ξύμμαχοι.

Acc. to the traditional text, then, the alliance here spoken of must be an alliance of the Egestaeans with the Leontines, and αὐτῶν (16) must refer to the Leontines. These things are, however, by no means in disagreement with the rest of c. 6 and what Thuc, elsewhere communicates about Egesta. That in Thuc.'s account of the $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma$ s $\pi\delta\lambda\epsilon\mu\sigma$ s of the Athenians in Sicily no mention is made of an alliance of the Egestaeans and the chief opponents of the Syracusans, i.e. the Leontines (3.86. § 2 f.; 4.25. § 9 f.), is not strange, when one considers the conciseness of that narrative in general and esp. as to purely Sicilian affairs (cf. esp. 3. 90. § 1; 4. 25. § 12). Nor can objection be made to the emphasis, with which, acc. to the traditional text, the Egestaean ambassadors assert that the destruction of Egesta and other allies of the Leontines - some former allies of the Leontines might very well meanwhile have already lost likewise their independence — would be dangerous for Athens, since then Syracuse, which was Dorian and colonized from Corinth, would be at the head of all Sicily. On the other hand, the rest of c. 6 is full of difficulties if, Acortlywy being omitted, the question must be here of an alliance of Egesta with Athens, as St. rightly observes. If the Egestaeans refer to an alliance of their state with Athens, αὐτῶν (16) must mean the Athenians. Whether or not in this case one would miss in και τούς λοιπούς έτι ξυμμάχους αὐτῶν διαφθείροντες some mention of Sicily, certainly the destruction of the remaining Sicilian allies of the Athenians would form a very strange contrast for the και την έκεινων (των 'Αθηναίων) δύναμιν ξυγκαθελείν to be feared later. Did not the Sicilian allies of the Athenians belong also to this δύναμις? Further, is the language of the ambassadors, considered in general, in accord with the assumption of an existing alliance between Egesta and Athens? If such an alliance existed, the Egestaeans could hardly have indicated (by the words ἀτιμώρητοι γενήσονται in 15) only the action of Syracuse against Leontini as a wrong done to the Athenians; and surely they would then, as do the Λεοντίνων φυγάδες in 19. § 1, have referred to the oath sworn in confirmation of the alliance.

From the fragments of two inscriptions (IG. IV, 22 k, p. 58, and IV, 20, p. 139; cf. Busolt, Gr. Gesch. III, 1,521, and v. Scala, Die Staatsverträge d. Altert. I, 41 f.) we know that in the year 454-453 a treaty was made between Egesta and Athens; but we do not know its contents, nor anything of its practical results. We have neither in Thuc. nor elsewhere definite authority for an Egestaean and Athenian alliance concluded at the time of the first Sicilian war and

still standing in 416-415. If Nicias in c. 10. § 5 names the Egestaeans as allies —adding, it is true, an ironical $\delta\eta$ —of the Athenians, this might be when strictly the Egestaeans were only allies of the Leontines, who unquestionably belonged, as also the Camarinaeans (88. § 2), to the alliance of the Athenians. For real allies the answer which Nicias wishes given (13. § 2) to the Egestaeans—of Leontines he does not speak distinctively in his whole first speech—is little appropriate. Then, too, that Alcibiades in 18. § 1 speaks of Sicilian allies and these sworn oaths, may be explained by the fact that the Leontines too came into consideration in connection with the Sicilian expedition. Nor for the actual state of the relations of Egesta to Athens before the great Sicilian expedition can anything more be inferred from the fact that Hermocrates makes the Athenians come $\pi \rho b \phi a \sigma \iota \nu$ $\xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi \iota q$ (see on 33.9) and names as an object of theirs Έγεσταίων $\xi \nu \mu \mu a \chi \iota q$ (see on 33.9) and names as the Athenians, after once concluding to support the Egestaeans, should represent them as their allies.

8 12 ξυγκατοικίσαι δὲ καὶ Λεοντίνους, ἥν τι περιγένηται αὐτοῖς τοῦ πολέμου: Steup substitutes περιγένηται for περιγένηται, and renders: but also to join in restoring the city of the Leontines, in case any part of these shall have survived the war (with the Syracusans). He understands αὐτοῖς of the Leontines, whereas hitherto commentators have referred it to the Athenian commanders. To Cl.'s rendering: "if anything from the war (the course of the war) should be of advantage to them," he objects that περιγίγνεσθαι does not mean "be of advantage." Against Pp.'s explanation, si quid ex bello commodi nacti essent, he remarks that this would require ἐκ τοῦ πολέμου, as v. H. proposed. Against the rendering of others—"in case the war to be waged for Egesta should leave them time and means"—he insists that τι τοῦ πολέμου περιγίγνεται can mean only "a part of the war remains."

In a material sense, then, all explanations proposed make the Athenians too little confident as to the prospects of the proposed undertaking. Besides, in the further account the restoration of Leontini is nowhere represented as dependent on the war to be waged for the Egestaeans. Indeed, as in c. 47, in agreement with the present passage, the undertaking against Selinus is designated as the first task of the generals, so in other passages the restoration of Leontini appears equally with the support of Egesta as the aim of the Athenian expedition (33. § 2, 48, 77. § 1), and repeatedly it is mentioned even alone as purposed (44. § 3, 50. § 4, 63. § 3, 76. § 2). Further, with the ordinary interpretation there is no indication of the force of ξυν-. After the remark in 6.15 εl Συρακόσιοι Λεοντίνους άναστήσαντες άτιμώρητοι γενήσονται, Thuc, could hardly regard an explanation as superfluous here, if he meant Eur- to refer to that part of the Leontines which acc. to 5.4. § 4 had returned to the territory of the city. Besides, this part of the Leontines seems meanwhile to have waged war unhappily against the Syracusans (ἐκ τῶν τειχῶν ἐπολέμουν 5. 4. 16), for in 19. 2 mention is made only of Λεοντίνων φυγάδων, and support of the Athenian expedition by Leontines is not mentioned anywhere later.

Steup thinks his view not only explains ξυγκατοικίσαι, but confirms the unfortunate end of the war waged by the Leontines from Phocaea and Bricinniae against the Syracusans (5.4.§4). For the slight textual change (περιγένηται), cf. 8.86.10, where all Mss. except C offer for γένοιτο a pres. form, and 8.98.8, where C has γινομένην for γενομένην.

9 12 οὖτε νὖν, ἀλλὰ ἢ ἄν γιγνώσκω βέλτιστα ἐρῶ: most editors retain the Mss. reading here, supplying with οὖτε νὖν either λέγω, as Cl. does, or παρὰ γνώμην ἐρῶ, with Pp. But Steup, Stahl, and Hude adopt Reiske's and Madvig's conjectural reading of the passage: οὖτε νὖν ἄλλα ἢ ἃ ὰν γιγνώσκω βέλτιστα ἐρῶ. Steup doubts that a parallel could be found in Thuc. for supplying the verb in the second member of a sent. of this kind, and agrees with Stahl that to supply λέγω fits ill with the following ἐρῶ.

11 5 Σικελιώται δ' αν μοι δοκούσιν, ώς γε νύν έχουσι, και έτι αν ήσσον δεινοί ήμεν γενέσθαι, εί ἄρξειαν αὐτῶν Συρακόσιοι: the Schol. seems to have had about the same view as Valla: οὖ τε νῦν είσι δεινοί, καὶ ἔτι ἦσσον ἔσονται δεινοί, ἀν ὑπὸ Συρακοσίοις πάντες γένωνται, $\ddot{\eta}$ ώς διάκεινται ὑπὸ τοῦ πολέμου. There is then an ellipsis after *Eyovo*, of certain words, which must be supplied in thought, or which may have dropped out of the text. Cl. thought the ellipsis — οὐ δεινοί εἶναι -might be supplied in thought and this view is supported by L. Herbst, Zu Thuk, II, 81 ff. Steup accepts Cl.'s view that ως γε νῦν ἔχουσι is opp. to εἰ ἄρξειαν αὐτῶν Συρακόσιοι, but objects that no certain examples have been adduced of such ellipses to be supplied in thought as Cl. assumes; and he does not accept St.'s rendering of ws . . . *xovot, "in comparison at least with their present condition," which would relieve the necessity of assuming either an ellipse or a lacuna. As de is a fatal objection to supplying ou deivol elvai — the thought demanding not "they would not be formidable," but "they are not formidable" - Steup thinks the const. of the words to be supplied participial and for the lacuna after έχουσι he conjectures οὐ δεινοί δντες ἡμῖν ἡσυχάζουσι. Thus ἄν would be construed only with γενέσθαι. For a different view of this passage, see Marchant, Cl. Rev. IX, 309 f.

12 4 δίκαιον ἐνθάδε εἶναι: Cl.'s rendering of ἐνθάδε εἶναι is innerhalb der Grenzen unsrer Machtsphäre, Steup's im hiesigen Gesichtskreise, and the latter comments: "The apparently pleonastic εἶναι, which indicates the sphere under consideration (see on ὡς παλαιὰ εἶναι 1.21.8; ὡς ἐκὼν εἶναι 2.89.29; τὸ ἐπὶ σφᾶς εἶναι 4.28.5), is in an unusual manner connected with a nonarticular adv. Cf. τὴν πρώτην εἶναι Hdt. 1.153.18; κατὰ δύναμιν εἶναι Isae. 2.32; εἰς δύναμιν εἶναι Plato, Polit. 300 c; κατὰ τοῦτο εἶναι Plato, Prot. 317 λ. The omission of εἶναι in Laur. can be due only to a copyist's slip." Cl. has, further, the following critical note: "H. Usener, N. Jahrbb. CV, p. 744 f., having learnedly and acutely proved for Attic prose a contract ptc. δεῖν for δέον, as πλεῖν for πλέον, thinks that neglect of this fact has led to a copyist's mistake, so that Thuc.'s ἕνθα δεῖν (i.e. δέον) has become the vulg. ἐνθάδε εἶναι. Tempting as this conjecture is, it is not necessary. Against Usener's doubt about ἐνθάδε after ὑπὲρ ἡμῶν, is to be considered that

È

ένθάδε by no means refers only to Athens and the immediate vicinity, but to the whole sphere of the Attic hegemony, and hence gives to $\dot{\nu}\pi\dot{\epsilon}\rho$ $\dot{\eta}\mu\dot{\omega}\nu$ a very appropexpansion. On the other hand $\dot{\epsilon}\nu\theta a$ $\delta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\nu=\delta\epsilon\sigma\nu$ after $\delta l\kappa a\omega\nu$ would be weak tautology: 'It is right to expand our means there where it is right.' Besides it would be hard to explain why Thuc. out of a number of passages where $\delta\epsilon\sigma\nu$ occurs (4.17.8; 5.53.3, 66.12; 6.23.9; 7.15.7; 8.6.32, 94.11) should have preferred $\delta\epsilon\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ only in this one passage.''

12 4 και μη ύπερ άνδρων φυγάδων τωνδε έπικουρίας δεομένων κτέ.: Usener (ibid. 745) objected to φυγάδων and proposed φοιτάδων, tramps. Now it is indisputable that the Egestaean ambassadors, who are here designated in the traditional text as φυγάδες, in reality were not fugitives. But the question is whether Thuc. may not have let them be so called. In the foll, rel. sent, the words $\tau \hat{\phi}$ $\tau o \hat{v}$ $\pi \hat{\epsilon} \lambda a \hat{s}$ κινδύνω, αὐτῶν λόγους μόνον παρασχομένους indicate that the Egestaeans, in case the Athenians should go to Sicily, would themselves run no risk and contribute nothing to the war. These statements were in so far not confirmed by the later actual events as described by Thuc., as we read in 62.13, 98.2 of Egestaean cavalry who joined the Athenians, in 7.57.60 of Egestaeans among the allies of the Athenians before Syracuse, in 62.17 of 30 talents received by Nicias in Sicily from the Egestaeans. But the words of Nicias are also in conflict with a fact known at the time. Acc. to 8.3 the Egestaean ambassadors had appeared at Athens with 60 talents, and this sum was doubtless present not merely $\lambda \delta \gamma \varphi$. If then Nicias in the rel. sent., with regard to the Egestaeans, purposely omitted reference to an undoubted fact, he might have called the Egestaeans here, in spite of the truth, $\phi \nu \gamma d\delta \epsilon s$. For both deviations from the truth occasion was offered by the presence, otherwise surely unwelcome to Nicias, of Leontine φυγάδες (19 2), of which no direct mention is made anywhere in the speech. Besides, for Thucydidean orators to allow themselves prevarications is by no means unheard of. See the false assertions of Brasidas 4.85. § 7, to which St. refers, and cf. the untrue statement of the Corinthians about Epidamnus 1.38. § 6. So we need neither doubt the correctness of the traditional reading here, nor see, with Cl., in φυγάδων a reminder of the Trojan origin of the Elymians (2. 13 των Τρώων τινές διαφυγόντες 'Αχαιούς). J.S.

15 16 και δημοσία κράτιστα διαθέντι τὰ τοῦ πολέμου ιδία ἔκαστοι τοις ἐπιτηδεύμασιν αὐτοῦ ἀχθεσθέντες κτέ.: Cl. suggested διαθέντος (gen. abs.) for διαθέντα. Schütz and others have suggested the loss after τὰ τοῦ πολέμου of a ptc. or fin. verb (e.g. ἀγασθέντες, καταπαύσαντες τῆς ἀρχῆς, ἀφελόμενοι, κατέπαυσαν) governing διαθέντα, but this is unlikely. How easily διαθέντι τὰ τοῦ πολέμου could be miscopied as διαθέντα τὰ τοῦ πολέμου, and how little need there was by the side of πολέμιοι καθέστασαν and ἄλλοις ἐπιτρέψαντες τὴν πόλιν for express mention of the deposition of Alcibiades, scarcely requires remark.

H. Schütz (Ztschr. f. d. Gymn. XXXIII, 88) was the first to recognize that τὴν πόλιν, not (with the Schol.) τὰ τοῦ πολέμου, must be understood as object with ἄλλοις ἐπιτρέψαντες. Besides the difficulty in the separation of τὰ τοῦ πολέμου from

έπιτρέψαντες, to supply that object would limit άλλοις to the other generals in Sicily. J.S.

17 1 και ταθτα ή έμη νεότης . . . ώμιλησε και . . . έπεισε: Steup substitutes κάνταῦθα for και ταῦτα and in a critical note expresses himself substantially as follows: It is now generally agreed that καὶ ταῦτα . . . ώμίλησε cannot be taken, with Arn., as = και ταῦτα ὁμιλήσασα ἔπραξε. Against St.'s view ταῦτα ώμιλησε = ταύτην την όμιλιαν ωμίλησε there is no gram, objection, and the addition of the instrum, dat. λόγοις πρέπουσιν would be permissible. But in a sent, containing a summary of what Alcibiades had accomplished — and ταῦτα ἔπεισε could mean only: hereto, i.e. to this alliance with you and this hostility to Sparta, has persuaded, induced — the coordination of ὁμιλεῖν with the far more important πείθειν is incredible. Cl. was inclined to strike out ωμίλησε, as was also L. Herbst (Zu Thuk. II, 86 ff.). But besides the fact that in Bekker's Anecd. I, 110 (Θουκυδίδης ξκτω· και λόγοις ωμίλησα τρέπουσιν (sic) άντι τοῦ συνεγενόμην) there is a manifest reference to our passage, it would be hard to explain how ωμίλησε got into the text. Besides ές την Πελοποννησίων δύναμιν, which taken with ωμίλησε offers no difficulty, could hardly be explained without it, for "against the power of the Peloponnesians" could hardly be expressed by simple ¿s. Nor would it be easy to show why Alcibiades should use about his activity melber and not some general expression like πράσσειν οτ διαπράσσεσθαι.

Bearing in mind that Thuc. uses here the aor. of δμιλεῖν, we have, Steup thinks, choice only between changing (with v. H., following Badham's conjecture) ωμίλησε into δμιλήσεσα, or καὶ ταῦτα into κάνταῦθα. The latter is the more prob. The former corruption is not so simple a matter, whereas κάνταῦθα was miscopied into καὶ ταῦτα in 3.66.14, as κάν τούτψ into καὶ τοῦτο 3.45.14. Besides, by restoring κάνταῦθα we get the advantage that Alcibiades then in our sent. merely speaks of his deportment in securing the aforementioned results without referring thereto by the strange expression ταῦτα ἔπεισε. The Athenians acc. to 16.28 should test the matter whether the orator manages τὰ δημόσιά του χεῖρον. It is therefore quite proper that Alcibiades goes into the matter of his action against the states within the jurisdiction of Sparta and emphasizes the fact that in spite of his youth and the folly ascribed to him he has known how to negotiate λόγοις πρέπουσιν with these states and to bring them δργŷ πίστιν παρασχομένη over to the Athenians.

17 11 δ τι δὶ ἔκαστος... ταῦτα ἐτοιμάζεται: H. Usener (Rh. Mus. XXV, 587) conjectures ὅτι for ὅ τι and σχεδιάζεται for ἐτοιμάζεται. For σχεδιάζεται he cites Photius, Lex. 1. p. 219 Nab. ἐσχεδίαζον: ἡτοίμαζον. ταῦτα in his view is the "apparatus belli pacisque" of the preceding sent. Cl. and St. both accept ὅτι, and the former thinks ταῦτα should be changed to τοῦτο. See Herbst, Zu Thuk. II, 88 ff. J.S.

17 17-21 και μην... ώπλίσθη: besides Cl.'s chief reason for suspecting this passage, namely that $\mu \delta \lambda is$ έν $\tau \hat{\psi} \delta e$ $\tau \hat{\psi}$ πολέμ ψ is out of place in a speech of the youthful Alcibiades, Steup would emphasize the foll. three additional points:

(1) That to call the land of the άλλοι Ελληνες as contrasted with the Siceliotes, i.e. the Hellenic mother country, ή Έλλάς, is against Thucydidean usage, with whom h'Ellenes (see on 14.2. 1). (2) Even if Thuc, from a certain period (see Einl zu B. 14, p. xxxii) on regarded the ten years' war, the succeeding interval, the Sicilian expedition, and the Decelean war as a single great war, it was impossible, though elsewhere he might let a speaker say τὰ δέοντα (1.22.6), here to put into Alcib.'s mouth ἐν τῷδε τῷ πολέμω. In the spring of 415 no one could speak of a continuance of the "war of the Peloponnesians and Athenians." See Ullrich, Beitr. 57 f. (3) After the words in § 3 και ούδεις... ουτε τὰ περί τὸ σωμα ὅπλοις ἐξήρτυται and in § 4 και ούκ είκδι τον τοιοῦτον δμιλον κτέ., it is strange to find again in § 5 the hoplites of the Siceliotes discussed, and indeed in a less derogatory manner. - Besides this use of ή Έλλάς, the language of the passage offers other peculiarities, though these are of less moment for the question of genuineness. κομπεῖν is not found elsewhere in Attic prose; the transition from οὖτ' ἐκείνοις (ὁπλῖταί είσιν) to οὖτε οἰ ἄλλοι Ελληνες τοσοῦτοι (ὁπλῖται) ὄντες is strange (Badham conjectured οὕτ' ἐκεῖνοι): for όπλίτας ψεύδεσθαι, falsified hoplites, the only parallel cited is Xen. Anab. 5. 6.35; and finally the expression ή Ελλάs Ικανώς ωπλίσθη, Hellas was sufficiently provided with hoplites, is unusual. The reading of the Mss. δσοί ξκαστοι σφας αὐτοὺς ἡρίθμουν, not ὄσους κτέ., is doubtless a slip due to the preceding ὅσοιπερ, and not, with Cl., to be attributed to the imperfect expression of the interpolator. The occasion for the interpolation Cl. prob. rightly found in the remark 5.68. § 2 about the uncertainty of numerical statements διά τὸ ἀνθρώπεων κομπώδες. J.S.

18 15 δια τὸ ἀρχθηναι ᾶν ὑφ΄ ἐτέρων [αὐτοῖς κίνδυνον είναι], εἰ μὴ αὐτοὶ ἄλλων ἄρχοιμεν: against Cl., Steup follows Usener. (Rh. Mus. XXV, 587), with St. and others, in bracketing αὐτοῖς κίνδυνον είναι. Cl. made ἀρχθηναι ᾶν depend on κίνδυνον είναι, but there is no parallel for this const., since the inf. with ᾶν can thus depend only on verba dicendi vel putandi. See St., Qu. Gr.² p. 4. The position is very much against taking ᾶν with κίνδυνον είναι, rather than with ἀρχθηναι. Besides, as St. shows, Thuc. nowhere else has κίνδυνον είναι τισι for κινδυνεύειν. Further, the juxtaposition of αὐτοῖς κίνδυνον είναι and εἰ μὴ αὐτοὶ ἄλλων ἄρχοιμεν is scarcely to be paralleled elsewhere.

19 2 και Λεοντίνων φυγάδων, οι κτέ.: in favor of construing Λεοντίνων as gen. dependent on φυγάδων is the fact that Thuc. puts names of peoples regularly in the gen. with φυγάδες and φεύγοντες (7.5, 17, 64.12; 1.26.13, 113.9, 10, 14; 3. 85.4; 4.52.3, 75.8, 76.13; 7.57.42; 8.100.13). The only exception to this rule, 43.15 και Μεγαρεῦσι ψιλοῖς φυγάσιν είκοσι και ἐκατόν, and with 120 light-armed fugitive Megarians (for φυγάς as adj., cf. 12.4; 4.76.7), is easy to explain, if one assumes that Thuc. had in mind at first to speak only of light-armed Megarians and then added as an afterthought a further epithet (φυγάσιν). At any rate 43.15, by reason of its exceptional character (in the added ψιλοῖς), proves nothing against the thirteen passages cited. Hence we must here, as well as in 1.113.1 and 4.1.12, make the gentile names depend on φυγάδων and τῶν φευγόντων, and

in 59.17, where we have a patronymic instead of a gentile, the const. is the same. That Thuc. did not avoid the juxtaposition of gens. in different const. is clear from the examples cited by Steup, Rh. Mus. LVI, 450 (1.45.8, 50.9, 53. 16, 100.16, 141.15; 3.109.12). Stahl suggests the insertion of τινῶν after Λεοντίνων, but there is no τινῶν in 64.12 or 4.1.12. J.S.

19 7 παρελθών αὐτοῖς αὖθις ἔλεγε τοιάδε: A. Weidner (Parerga Din. et Thuc. p. 14) commends, with Bk., the bracketing of αὐτοῖς. But all the better Mss. read αὐτοῖς, and it is in no wise objectionable, even though not necessary. αθθις is placed after for emphasis. For αὐτοῖς with παρελθών, see on 15.19. Cl. called attention to the fact that Thuc. introduces direct speeches with ἔλεξε, ἔλεγε, εἶπε, etc. (see on 2.71.6) without dat., the only exception being 3.29.11. Also modifying prep. phrases (with ἐν οτ ἐς) are exceptional, e.g. only 1.85.15; 4.58. 9. παραινεῖν Thuc. uses, in introducing direct speeches, with dat. in 8.21, without dat. 15.19, 32.20; 1.139.25; 2.10.11; παρακελεύεσθαι with dat. 67.18; 4.125. 23; without dat. 4.9.24. J.S.

20 7 τό τε πλήθος, ὡς ἐν μιᾶ νήσφ, πολλὰς τὰς Ἑλληνίδας: Steup's critical note is as follows: It is strange that Kr.'s rejection of τὰς Ἑλληνίδας has not been accepted by a single editor or commentator, though there are grave objections to the traditional reading. That Nicias, as has been supposed, has had occasion to emphasize esp. the Hellenism of the Sicilian cities with which Athens would have to contend, cannot be admitted. Alcibiades had found no fault on this point, certainly not in 17.7 δχλοις ξυμμείκτοις πολυανδροῦσιν αὶ πόλεις, for nothing is against holding that in these words he referred solely to the mixture of population in the Sicilian cities out of various Hellenic components. Certainly in passages like 4.33 ᾿Αναξίλας . . . τὴν πόλιν αὐτὸς ξυμμείκτων ἀνθρώπων οἰκίσας Μεσσήνην ἀντωνόμασεν and 4.106.3 βραχὸ μὲν ᾿Αθηναίων ἐμπολιτεῦον (ἐν τῷ ᾿Αμφιπόλει), τὸ δὲ πλεῖον ξύμμεικτον no one thinks of barbarian constituents. Besides if Nicias had really wanted to emphasize Hellenism among the characteristics of the Sicilian cities he must have done this by means of a further epithet (as μεγάλας etc.), not by a supplementary restrictive appositive.

In the traditional text τὰs Ἑλληνίδας can signify gram. only "the Greek (cities), namely." With this interpretation L. Herbst (Philol. XVI, 333 ff.) maintains that our whole sentence, as far as the thought is concerned, means: "for the Hellenic cities, against which we purpose marching, are large, internally quiet, and numerous." But Herbst does not explain why Thuc did not say simply that, why he put τὰς Ἑλληνίδας as appos. at the very end. To assert that τὰς Ἑλληνίδας at the beginning of the sentence would be misplaced is only an attempt to slip out of the difficulty, and the further view that these words at the end form a transition to καl παρεσκευασμέναι τοῖς πᾶσιν ὁμοιοτρόπως μάλιστα τῷ ἡμετέρα δυνάμει cannot be accepted. Even without τὰς Ἑλληνίδας nobody would think here of other cities than Hellenic. There would be no sense, therefore, in a transition to the words cited, which, further, do not follow immediately. The Λthenians had no other than Hellenic opponents to expect in Sicily, nor could Nicias represent

others as to be reckoned with; for the Sicilian subjects of the Syracusans did not enter seriously into consideration, in fact Nicias actually mentions them only incidentally (16) $\sum \nu \rho \alpha \kappa \sigma \sigma t_{ois} \delta \hat{\epsilon} \kappa a \hat{\epsilon} d\pi \hat{\sigma} \beta \alpha \rho \delta \rho \omega \nu \tau \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \hat{\epsilon} \pi^* \hat{\sigma} \rho \chi \hat{\gamma}_i \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\sigma} \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \tau_{ai}$. There is, then, as little discrimination between Hellenic and non-Hellenic races as stressing the Hellenism of the Sicilian cities.

Impossible, finally, is Pp.'s view, namely, τds 'Ellands: Graecas dico, i.e. quamvis solas Graecas, quae in illa insula sunt, civitates hic spectemus. Not only gram is it inadmissible to tack τds 'Ellands on to the last member of our sent. τd $\tau \epsilon$ $\pi l \eta \theta os$. . . $\pi o l l$ but in a material point of view it must be again emphasized here that Nicias thinks only of Hellenic antagonists of the Athenians, and hence stress upon this circumstance, even in mentioning the large number of Sicilian cities, must seem strange. A reader or commentator, however, might well feel called upon to note expressly that Nicias had in mind only the Hellenic cities of Sicily. J.S.

20 16. Συρακοσίοις δὲ καὶ ἀπὸ βαρβάρων τινῶν ἀπ' ἀρχῆς φέρεται: this reading of Ms. G— ἀπαρχῆς the reading of ABCEFM being prob. a slip for ἀπ' ἀρχῆς— is accepted by Pp., Bm., Jowett, and adopted in Hude's text.

Bk., Kr., Bl., St., Cl. retain the vulg. — άπο βαρβάρων τινών άπαρχη έσφέρεται — which has the support of none of the best Mss. St. explains: "άπαρχὴ ἐσφέρεται, 8C. των έκ της γης. Cf. Plato, Legg. 806 D γεωργίαι δὲ ἐκδεδομέναι δούλοις $d\pi a\rho\chi \dot{\eta}\nu \tau \hat{\omega}\nu \dot{\epsilon}\kappa \tau \hat{\eta}s \gamma \hat{\eta}s d\pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\nu} \sigma \iota \nu$, where the question is about the Helots. And so the Syracusans had permitted the land of some region of which they had become possessed to be held and enjoyed by the Sicels on condition that for revenue they pay in a certain proportion of the products. The passage of Plato shows that there is no reference to first fruits offered to gods or ancestors. $\epsilon\sigma\phi\epsilon$ pew has the general sense of bring in, as 8. 45. 31." Cl. further suggests making $d\pi a \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s$ depend on $d\pi \delta$: "and to the Syracusans a tax is paid out of the first fruits of some barbarians." Steup brackets the first dπ6 and renders, "to the Syracusans, however, money is paid also in consequence of their rule over certain barbarians." For the order βαρβάρων τινών ἀπ' ἀρχής, the misunderstanding of which he thinks led to the interpolation of the first $d\pi b$, he compares $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s Ποτειδαίαs ξνεκα ἀποστάσεως 1.57.10; των Ρηγίνων κατὰ ξχ θ ραν 4.24.5; τοῦ ἀφανοῦς τούτου διὰ τὸ ἀτέκμαρτον δέος 4.63.1. For ἀπό, in consequence of, cf. 1.68.3; 2.49.4, 63.1.

As against the unsupported vulgate reading Steup adds that, apart from $\ell\sigma \phi \ell \rho \epsilon \nu$ not being usual of revenues paid in by subjects, the mention of $d\pi a \rho \chi \eta$ accruing to the Syracusans does not fit the context, since $d\pi a \rho \chi \eta$ means only a tribute in kind, i.e. first fruits from the field (cf. the passage cited above from Plato), whereas here the question is still of the $\chi \rho \eta \mu a \tau a$, not yet of the $\sigma \hat{\iota} \tau o s$ (18). That $d\pi a \rho \chi \eta$ never = $\phi b \rho o s$, but always designates a part taken from a whole, and so with reference to tribute can only mean "tribute quota" — which would not suit here — is shown by Boeckh, Stsh. II⁸, 382 ff.

21 10 και ούκ ἐν τῷ ὁμοίφ στρατευσόμενοι και ὅτε τοῖς τῆδε ὑπηκόοις ξύμμαχοι ἤλθετε ἐπί τινα: after στρατευσόμενοι the Mss. read και οὐκ ἐν. That this makes no

sense and is a case of dittography after the preceding Kal our is generally recognized, but the remedies proposed differ widely. G. Hermann (Zu Vigerus 4. p. 772), Bk., and Bm. would add nothing after striking out ouk év, but no parallel has been cited to support ral alone in such a const. Cl. adopted Goeller's conjecture καl el (omitting έν, which Goeller retained); but Stahl is prob. right in his objection that $\ddot{\eta}\lambda\theta\epsilon\tau\epsilon$ is historical, not hypothetical, since in a condition we should expect impf., not aor. ov, proposed by L. Herbst (Philol. XVI, 337 ff., and Zu Thuk. II, 93 ff.), is objectionable as a local designation by the side of τοῖς τηθε ύπηκόοις ξύμμαχοι. For these reasons Steup adopts, with Stahl and Hude, Portus' conjecture ότε, omitting οὐκ έν. His objection to έν τοῖς τηδε ὑπηκόοις is that this would mean "in the territory of your allies here" (cf. δια τούτων, through (the territory of) these, 3. 101. 3, and see on 3. 92. 2); for Stahl's view that τοις ύπηκόοις is neut, is without support in Thucydidean usage. By the const. assumed in the text τοις τηθος ύπηκόοις gives sufficient support to ξύμμαγοι, which would otherwise stand too much alone, and all ground is removed for Stahl's contention that ξύμμαχοι is a gloss to ὑπηκόοις. Nicias could, however, hardly expressly oppose to the Sicilian expedition help sent by the Athenians in the territory of their near-by dependents; there would be no object in entirely excluding attacks upon the territory of enemies of subjects of the Athenians. What Nicias would set against each other is manifestly, on the one hand, help for subject-states at points whence all that was found further requisite for warfare could be got easily out of friendly territory, and, on the other hand, the expedition planned in the interest of the Egestaeans into a strange country, from which the procuring of further necessaries would be incomparably more difficult. The parallels cited in the text note for boev referring to Tiva are sufficient, so that there is no need here to render δθεν "from a point where," though 1.89.15 (διεκομίζοντο δθεν ὑπεξέθεντο παίδας και γυναίκας) shows that sense to be possible.

21 13 έξ ής μηνών οὐδὲ τεσσάρων τών χειμερινών ἄγγελον ράδιον έλθειν: besides the fact that the position of οὐδέ is opp. to taking it with ἄγγελον (see text note), Steup approves the objection of W. E. Heitland (Jour. of Philol. XXIV, 4 f.) to the thought thus yielded. It is hard to see why in winter it should have been so much less possible for reënforcements to reach Sicily from Athens, than for a messenger to come from Sicily to Athens. Steup thinks the commentators have generally construed οὐδέ not with μηνῶν τεσσάρων, but with ἄγγελον because they have universally taken $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ as epexegetical, which const. he holds to be impossible if οὐδέ is taken with μηνῶν τῶν χειμερινῶν. He formerly (see Thuk. Stud. 78 ff.) thought the passage corrupt, but now regards the difficulty removed by taking τῶν χειμερινῶν as part. gen., "from which not even in four months of the winter (months, i.e. in winter not even in four months)," supplying μηνῶν with the adj., as 1. 10. 9 (τῶν πέντε τὰς δύο μοίρας); 4. 57. 5 (φρουρὰ μία τῶν περί την χώραν); 5.60.5 (ένι άνδρι των έν τέλει ξυστρατευομένων). For juxtaposition of gens. in different const., see App. on 19.2. Steup argues that the passage cited from Hdt. (2.68.1) implies that there were more than four χειμέριοι μηνες

and that his (part. gen.) const. removes all contradiction of our passage with 5. 20. § 3, from which latter passage he is convinced that Thuc. treated summer and winter as half years. See Thuk. Stud. II, 76 ff. and Einl. 4 p. ly f.

While the part. gen. const. is possible here, it seems neither necessary nor natural; on the other hand there seems to be no impossibility in taking $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \chi \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon \rho \nu \hat{\omega} \nu$ as epexegetical even if $ob\delta \epsilon$ is read just where it stands.

23 2 πλήν γε πρὸς τὸ μάχιμον αὐτῶν, τὸ ὁπλιτικόν: Jowett's explanation is satisfactory: "It is better to suppose the words, though where they stand they may be rhetorically faulty, to be a qualification introduced somewhat out of place by Nicias, and in harmony with the general spirit of his speech. 'Except indeed as regards the number of hoplites which they can put into the field.' 'For in that respect equality is impossible ' is the suppressed premise. While exhorting the Athenians, he is secretly discouraging them. 'You must do all you can to be a match for your opponents ' is the general drift of the previous chapter, and yet he throws in by the way, 'but in the great arm of war you cannot be a match for them.' This explanation is in some degree confirmed by the parallel sentiment uttered from the Syracusan point of view, 6.37.5, οἶs γ' ἐπίσταμαι οὕθ' ἴππους ἀκολουθήσοντας . . . οὕθ' ὁπλίτας Ισοπλήθεις τοῖς ἡμετέροις.''

Cl. also retained the Mss. reading, explaining, "there can be no question of our opposing to their whole fighting force an equally numerous body of hoplites"; and he understands with τὸ ὁπλιτικόν, from the context, ἀντίπαλον παρασκευάζεσθαι οὐχ οἰόν τέ ἐστιν.

Steup considers the traditional text incapable of satisfactory explanation, and adopts with Stahl the conjecture of Urlichs (Philol. XVII, 347) $\tau \delta l\pi\pi\iota\kappa\delta\nu$. He urges esp. that Nicias cannot possibly have admitted, "of course we cannot hope to rival them in the number of their hoplites"; for Nicias had spoken before (20.13) merely of $\pi o \lambda \lambda o l \delta \pi \lambda i \tau a \iota$ of the Siceliotes, not of superiority in this respect, and the necessity of $\pi e \zeta \delta s \pi o \lambda \delta s$ (21.2) was based not on the many hoplites, but on the numerous cavalry of the Siceliotes. If now in addition to the unquestioned superiority of the Siceliotes in cavalry (20. § 4, 21. § 1) were added also superiority in hoplites, the expressions $\mu \dot{\eta} d\nu \tau l\pi a \lambda o \nu \mu \delta \nu \sigma \nu$ and $\dot{\nu} \pi e \rho \delta d \lambda \lambda o \nu \tau e s$ $\tau o i s \pi a \sigma \iota$ would be simply unintelligible.

The objection that has been urged against τδ lππικόν for τδ δπλιτικόν, namely that Nicias advised (22.4) taking along τοξότας πολλούς και σφενδονήτας, δπως πρός τδ έκεινων lππικόν άντέχωσι, Steup thinks unwarranted. For thereby the Athenians would by no means necessarily be άντίπαλοι πρός τδ έκεινων lππικόν, esp. as the Siceliotes too had many archers (20.13). But as μάχιμων is unsatisfactory on formal grounds with τδ iππικόν as well as with τδ δπλιτικόν, Steup proposes the sup. τδ μαχιμώτατον αὐτῶν, τδ iππικόν, "their best fighting branch, the cavalry." For similar change of positive (in the Mss.) to superlative, cf. 14.13.22.

~ 31 3 όμως δὲ τῆ παρούση ῥώμη διὰ τὸ πλήθος ἐκάστων ὧν ἐάρων τῆ ὄψει ἀνεθάρσουν: Cl. thought the traditional text, which was first decidedly objected to by St., could be retained if we rendered: "still in the feeling of superiority due to

the multitude of all that they saw before their eyes they recovered courage." In support of his rendering of δώμη Cl. maintained that the noun, like the corresponding verb (2.8.1, 14; 4.72.5; 8.78.5), never means in Thuc. organic strength alone, but courage or confidence (4. 29. 9; 7. 18. 8, 42. 14; even 1. 49. 12; 2. 43. 30; and 5. 15. 7; 6. 85. 5; 7. 63. 23, 77. 5). But Steup perhaps rightly holds that such a meaning is forced in passages like 4.18.9 and the last four of those cited immediately above. Steup, however, objects chiefly to the intolerable circumstantiality of expression in τη ρώμη ἀνεθάρσουν, with Cl.'s interpretation. To St.'s change in the text — namely to bracket διά τὸ πλήθος . . . ἐώρων and change $\tau \hat{\eta}$ παρούση $\dot{\rho}\dot{\omega}\mu\eta$ to $\tau \hat{\eta}s$ παρούσης $\dot{\rho}\dot{\omega}\mu\eta s$ — he objects that the meaning military force or army, which must be assumed for $b\omega\mu\eta$ under these circumstances, occurs nowhere else in Thuc. Steup would bracket τη παρούση ρώμη as a marginal explanation of διά τὸ πλήθος δψει. With Classen, he takes τη δψει with έώρων as emphasizing the actuality of the causes of the ἀναθαρσεῖν in the face of vague fears. Against St.'s objections to this form of expression, Steup cites 3. 112. 15 οὐ καθορωμένους τη δψει and 4. 34. 22 ἀποκεκλημένοι τη δψει τοῦ προοράν.

31 6 παρασκευή γὰρ αὕτη [πρώτη] ἐκπλεύσασα μιᾶς πόλεως δυνάμει Ἑλληνικῆ πολυτελεστάτη δὴ καὶ εὐπρεπεστάτη τῶν ἐς ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον ἐγένετο: the passage is probably corrupt. Cl. suspected not only πρώτη but πρώτη . . . Ἑλληνικῆ, all which v. H. brackets. But the words ἐκπλεύσασα μιᾶς πόλεως δυνάμει Ἑλληνικῆ fit in, materially, with 30. 6 αὐτοὶ δ' ᾿Αθηναῖοι . . . ἐπλήρουν τὰς ναῦς, and the restriction of the main thought which they contain seems even necessary when we think of the Persian wars and the fleet of Xerxes. Nor is there any serious formal objection to the addition of these words to παρασκευή. But it is little probable that Thuc. should have called this παρασκευή, as compared with that which later sailed for Sicily under Demosthenes (7. 20. § 2), ἡ πρώτη. He uses ἡ πρώτη παρασκευή in 44. 1 of the total of forces which united at Corcyra, whereas here παρασκευή, while designating the most important part, was still only a part of the forces. Hence we must not insert ἡ before πρώτη, but bracket πρώτη, with Λ. Hauvette (Extraits de Thucydide, Paris, 1898).

Further it is to be observed that in the words πολυτελεστάτη δη καὶ εὐπρεπεστάτη τῶν ἐς ἐκεῖνον τὸν χρόνον, Thuc. has in mind, as is shown by what follows, the whole armament, and not exclusively the number of triremes and hoplites. The following sentences are to show that the assertion made is valid even in spite of the ἀριθμῷ νεῶν καὶ ὁπλιτῶν οὐκ ἐλάσσων παρασκευή, with which once Pericles had sailed against Epidaurus and Hagnon against Potidaea. Possibly the expedition in question is cited in comparison esp. for the large number of Athenian hoplites then levied; at any rate this was its chief distinction as against the παρασκευή of 2. 23. § 2, 25. § 1. Unfortunately Thuc. has not entered into details of the Athenian παρασκευαί of the period before the Peloponnesian war, and esp. not of the fleet fitted out by them for war with Xerxes (1.74. § 1; cf. 1. 14. § 3). J.S.

32 15 άλλα και γενομένης έκκλησίας έλέχθησαν τοιοίδε λόγοι άπό τε άλλων [τῶν μέν πιστευόντων τὰ περί της στρατείας της των Αθηναίων, των δὲ τὰ ἐναντία λεγόντων] και Έρμοκράτης κτέ.: against the common view that τοιοίδε λόγοι refers to the speeches of Hermocrates and Athenagoras, Steup argues: not only does Thuc. nowhere else unite two speeches thus in one announcement, but also we get in this way no proper connection of our sent. with the preceding, and no proper antithesis between άπό τε άλλων κτέ, and και Έρμοκράτης κτέ. Further with this view of τοιοίδε λόγοι the transition in c. 35 from the speech of Hermocrates to that of Athenagoras is unsatisfactory; for the circumstance that speeches like those of Hermocrates and Athenagoras were made could not possibly be opposed by means of all to the remark that the reports coming into Syracuse about the expedition of the Athenians were discredited (cf. 45.4 οὐκέτι ἡπίστουν), since Athenagoras belonged to the incredulous majority. If τοιοίδε λόγοι had the meaning assumed, to the contrary speeches of others must be opposed not the one speech of Hermocrates, but also that of Athenagoras. Besides, if the speech also of Athenagoras were included under τοιοίδε λόγοι, we should have expected in c. 35 after the words καὶ ὁ μὲν Ἑρμοκράτης τοσαῦτα εἶπε an immediate transition to the speech of Athenagoras (cf. 1. 36. § 4; 6. 81), and not that there would be first several sentences about the effect of Hermocrates' speech.

Cl. explained τοιοίδε λόγοι, "as indicated in the intervening clause τῶν μὲν πιστευόντων... τῶν δὲ τὰ ἐναντία λεγόντων." But such a reference of τοιοίδε seems hardly possible and a proper connection of our sent. with the preceding is not won thereby. Also with St.'s bracketing of τοιοίδε the lack of connection with the preceding sent. remains. That speeches were made in a mass meeting by others as well as by Hermocrates cannot be regarded as a proper antithesis to what is said before. There is a further difficulty, hitherto unremarked it seems, not touched by St.'s conjecture. It is strange that after the statement concerning the rumors reaching Syracuse, οὐ μέντοι ἐπιστεύετο ἐπὶ πολῦν χρόνον οὐδέν, the credulous Syracusans and the incredulous are both mentioned just alike. Consideration of this point leads to the conclusion that the trouble is not with τοιοίδε, but with τῶν μὲν πιστευόντων ... λεγόντων, as a gloss due to a misinterpretation of τοιοίδε. These words being bracketed, we understand under τοιοίδε λόγοι speeches which like that of Hermocrates are opposed to the dominant sentiment of the Syracusans.

34 5 ξυμμαχίαν ποιώμεθα [ἡμῖν]: St., followed by Bm., brackets ἡμῖν, on the ground that procure an alliance for ourselves would be ξυμμαχίαν προσποιώμεθα or ξυμμαχίαν ποιώμεθα αὐτοῖς. He thinks ἡμῖν a copyist's error induced by the following ἡ μή, or a marginal explanation to ώς κοινὸς ὁ κίνδυνος, that crept into the text at the wrong place. Cl. regarding ποιώμεθα as assimilated by a copyist to πειρώμεθα (3) wrote with Stephanus ποιώνται, on account of the intolerable ἡμῖν (for ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς) — which, however, Pp., Bl., and Kr. retain — and to avoid change of subject. Steup, who adopts, with Hude, the conjecture of Koraes, ξυμμαχίδα ποιώμεθα

ἡμῖν, that the reference to Italy may be quite clear, cites 2.7. 7 πόλεις ξυμμαχίδας ποιούμενοι. For ἡμῖν (added as antithesis to 'Αθηναίους) = ἡμῖν αὐτοῖς, he compares 2.71.8 ὑμῶν = ὑμῶν αὐτῶν, and refers to the corresponding use of σφίσι, σφῶν, and σφᾶς (see his note on 4.28.27). With all the changes proposed the general sense reached is the same, so that we are at any rate sure of Thuc.'s meaning.

34 21 περί της Σικελίας κτέ.: Steup reads ὅτι οὐ περί της Σικελία πρότερον ἔσται ὁ ἀγὼν η [τοῦ] ἐκείνους περαιωθήναι τὸν Ἰόνιον and renders, that not on the coasts of Sicily will the contest be before they have crossed the Ionian sea, i.e. they cannot show themselves on our coasts until they have effected the passage of the Ionian sea, in which they will meet with resistance. For περί with dat. in a local sense, cf. Hom. Σ 453 μάρναντο περί Σκαιῆσι πύλησιν. For πρότερον η with inf., cf. 1.69. 21; 2.40.11; 6.58.2; 8.45.29.

Steup contends that from the manner in which the orator has begun to explain his plan and in which he continues (note esp. καταπλαγέντας τῷ άδοκήτψ § 6, and el δ' ίδοιεν παρά γνώμην τολμήσαντας § 8), it is clear that in the sent. before us Hermocrates does not refer to the sequence of two combats, but means that the Athenians must fight for the passage of the Ionian sea, for the way to Sicily. But to get this thought, he contends that $\tau \circ \hat{v}$, which hinders the connection of η with περαιωθήναι, must be removed. Bauer had already suggested bracketing either τοῦ or η, but it can only be τοῦ, since the const. οὐ πρότερον τοῦ does not occur in Thuc. The remark of the Schol. on $\pi\rho\delta\tau\epsilon\rho\sigma\nu$... $\ddot{\eta}$ in 1.69.21 — $\tau\delta$ $\ddot{\eta}$ άρτι τοῦ ήπερ — shows that it might have occurred to a commentator to add something to explain $\ddot{\eta}$ here. The $\tau o \hat{v}$ being removed, there is no longer any need to write $\pi \epsilon \rho i \ \tau \hat{\eta} s \ \Sigma_i \kappa \epsilon \lambda i \alpha s$. For the thought indeed it is better if even in the first member the question is of the place of conflict. The fate of Sicily could be decided even at the Iapygian promontory, so that the mention here of a prize of conflict would be inappropriate. As to περί Σικελία (local), see for other peculiarities of speech in orations of Hermocrates notes on 79.14 and 4.59.3.

36 6 οί γὰρ δεδιότες ἰδία τι βούλονται τὴν πόλιν ἐς ἔκπληξιν καθιστάναι, ὅπως τῷ κοινῷ φόβῳ τὸ σφέτερον ἐπηλυγάζωνται · καὶ νῦν αὖται αἱ ἀγγελίαι τοῦτο δύνανται: Steup recognizes that Cl.'s τὸν σφέτερον gives a formally correct text, but argues that the material difficulty is not touched, since neither here nor in the further course of the speech is the slightest explanation given as to the nature of the personal fear on the part of the spreaders of the reports. So, considering that there are repeated references further on in the speech to plans of these people that menace the state, esp. 38. § 2, where the very form of expression recalls our passage (οὐς ἐγὼ νῦν πρῶτον, ἀλλ' αἰεὶ ἐπίσταμαι . . . βουλομένους καταπλήξαντας τὸ ὑμέτερον πλῆθος αὐτοὺς τῆς πόλεως ἄρχειν), he suggests that the trouble comes from the puzzling expression δεδιότες, which the copyists miswrote for διώκοντες or διανοηθέντες. With this change the difficulty of τὸ σφέτερον is removed, and Athenagoras would say: "Those who have a private end in view wish to put the state into a panic, that by the general fear they may cover up their own design." Quite naturally would follow then καὶ νῦν αὖται αὶ ἀγγελίαι τοῦτο δύνανται,

"and so now this is the meaning (object) of these reports," i.e. the spreaders of the reports have an object in view and would by causing a general panic hide their designs.

Jowett suggests that with $\tau \delta$ $\sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \sigma \nu$ we must not supply $\delta \epsilon \sigma$ from $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ $\kappa o \iota \nu \hat{\varphi}$ $\phi \delta \rho \varphi$, but understand it as "the state of their own mind" or "their own consciousness of guilt."

37 9 τήν τε άλλην παρασκευήν δσην δεί ἐπὶ πόλιν τοσήνδε πορισθήναι οὐκ ὀλίγην οδσαν: the view adopted in the text is Cl.'s. Steup urges that this clause cannot be taken as third member, correl. with the preceding; for since the άλλη παρασκευή, however understood, could be brought to Sicily only by ship, it would not be appropriate to put alongside the difficulty of the passage of ships without lading the difficulty of transport of the άλλη παρασκευή. Further, the third member would have to be about something in which the Athenians, if they should really come, would be ill off, not about something in general needful in such undertakings. Hence, before οδσαν, ούκ οι ούκ άν must have dropped out.

38 14 τους δε τὰ τοιαθτα μηχ ανωμένους κολάζων κτέ.: such is the reading of the Mss. H. Weil (Revue de Philol. N.S. II, 1878, 92) objects that κολάζων makes the orator assume a responsibility that belongs to the sovereign people, hence, bracketing δέ, he changes κολάζων to κολάζειν. Against this Cl. argues: Athenagoras had just referred to the unrest of the Syracusan state, the freq. Tupappildes. as well as the δυναστεΐαι άδικοι consequent upon the στάσεις πολλαί και άγωpes, the former to be feared from successful attempts of democratic leaders, the latter from secret plots of oligarchic factions. Designs upon the tyranny must be met by personal opposition; he must justify his course before the people, but he must himself have authority to punish with all its consequences. The one is expressed concisely and clearly in ὑμᾶς μὲν τοὺς πολλοὺς πείθων, the other in τους δε τα τοιαθτα μηχανωμένους κολάζων. κολάζειν indicates not so much the act of punishing as bringing to account with all the necessary procedure. After a circumstantial statement of the course to be taken against such democratic attempts, emphatic transition must be made to oligarchic matters by rods δ' αδ όλίγους (19).

Steup considers both Cl.'s and Pp.'s explanations untenable. He argues that τ 00's τ \partial τ 010's τ 01

Stahl and Hude also adopt Weil's conjecture.

40 6 ήπερ τό τής πόλεως: Kr.'s rejection of these words has been approved by all the leading editors except Classen-Steup and Boehme-Widmann. ήπερ, which is rare in Attic prose (cf. O. Schwab, Beiträge zur hist. Syntax d. gr. Sprache

IV, 1, p. 27), is found, outside of Homer, esp. in Hdt. (e.g. 6. 123. 7; 8. 144. 14; 9.26.39). For general similarity of the speech of Thuc. to that of Hdt., see O. Diener, De Sermone Thuc. quatenus cum Herodoto congruens etc., Leipz. 1889). As to Kr.'s further objection that Thuc. uses τὸ τῆς πόλεως πλῆθος elsewhere only in 3.47.5 (της άντικαθισταμένης πόλεως το πλήθος ξύμμαχον έχοντες). which he claims is of a different character, the difference is not easy to see; nor can we understand why Thuc., who in speeches uses τὸ ὑμέτερον πληθος (38, 6: 3.37.28) and $\tau \delta \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \theta \sigma s \dot{\nu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ (3.66.7), in a passage where the people could not be addressed, might not once let an orator say τὸ τῆς πόλεως πληθος. Athenagoras would seem by adding $\tau \hat{\eta} s \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ esp. to emphasize the fact that the people belong to the πόλις, for he is giving an explanation of το της πόλεως ξύμπασι κοινόν (4), whose furtherance he wishes to bring home strongly to the oligarchs. If $\tau \hat{\eta}$ s πόλεως be added for this reason, its attrib, position (see Kr. Spr. 50, 8, 7) is easily explained; and Thuc., it must be remembered, sometimes places even a part. gen. between art. and noun (see on 62.19). Furthermore, without these words, καὶ ἴσον καὶ πλέον requires some explanation.

41 7 οὐδεμία βλάβη τοῦ γε τὸ κοινὸν κοσμηθήναι . . . (τὴν δ' ἐπιμέλειαν καὶ ἔξέτασιν αὐτῶν ἡμεῖς ἔξομεν), καὶ τῶν πρὸς τὰς πόλεις διαπομπῶν ἄμα ἔς τε κατασκοπὴν καὶ ἥν τι ἄλλο φαίνηται ἐπιτήδειον. τὰ δὲ καὶ ἐπιμεμελήμεθα ῆδη καὶ ὅ τι ᾶν αἰσθώμεθα ἐς ὑμᾶς οἴσομεν: Steup argues that τὴν δ' ἐπιμέλειαν . . . ἔξομεν as a parenthesis would be intelligible only if, in the view of the orator, the care for the procuring of horses, arms, etc., but not for the διαπομπαί, belonged to the strategi. But against that are not only the words τὰ δὲ καὶ ἐπιμεμελήμεθα ῆδη but also the following καὶ δ τι ᾶν αἰσθώμεθα ἐς ὑμᾶς οἴσομεν, for the former must be understood of a part of the διαπομπαί, the latter of all the διαπομπαί. Further if καὶ τῶν . . . διαπομπῶν be correlated with τοῦ γε τὸ κοινὸν κοσμηθῆναι, there is a lack of connection between τὰ δὲ καὶ ἐπιμεμελήμεθα ῆδη and what goes before. Before mentioning that part of the διαπομπαί had been cared for by the strategi, it should be stated, in correspondence with the remark about the care for procuring horses, arms, etc., how the chief part of the διαπομπαί was managed.

46 14 α όντα άργυρα πολλφ πλείω την όψιν άπ' όλίγης δυνάμεως χρημάτων παρείχετο: Meineke (Hermes III, 372) conjectured έπάργυρα, silver-plated, and Naber (Mnemos, N.S. XIV, 327f.) and W. H. Roscher (N. Jahrbb. CXXXIX, 20ff.) propose ὑπάργυρα, of gilded silver. But if the envoys may be supposed to have mistaken silver-plated vessels for silver, or silver-gilt vessels for golden, they might very well, acc, to the traditional reading, have wrongly estimated the value of the silver vessels. Besides, against $\epsilon \pi d\rho \gamma \nu \rho a$ the objection may be urged that the assumption that the envoys had seen only silver-plated vessels is for material reasons improbable (cf. A. Philippi, N. Jahrbb. CXXIII, 95, and Roscher, ibid. 22 ff.). Roscher (p. 21) urges against the traditional reading that there is nothing about an artful deception on the part of the Egestaeans; but, whether έπ άργυρα or ὑπ άργυρα be written, the point is not that the Egestaeans had given out the vessels for anything but what they were. The deceiving of the envoys consisted simply in exciting the belief that there was in Egesta very great riches. For this purpose served as well the exhibition of the treasures really belonging to the temple of Aphrodite at Eryx as the entertainment of the crews in private houses with the help of borrowed tableware. J.S.

49 17 και έφόρμησιν τα Μέγαρα έφη χρήναι ποιείσθαι: Bm.'s certain correction for έφορμηθέντας Μέγαρα of all the Mss. As this ptc. cannot be derived from the strictly active έφορμεῖν, and έφορμᾶσθαι seemed not to belong here. Poppo and Haacke wrote έφορμισθέντας, which, taken with έπαναχωρήσαντας, Poppo explained: reversos et in portum ingressos or cum se recepissent et ad portum appulsi essent. But, apart from the arbitrary change and the unusual aor. pass., the meaningless tautology is unendurable. Kr. keeps έφορμηθέντας and explains — deriving it evidently from έφορμᾶσθαι, though without any proof of this meaning - after, they had betaken themselves thither: which would be almost more unmeaning than έφορμισθέντας. Stahl sought in another way to explain ἐφορμηθέντας (Rh. Mus. XXV, 444 ff.). Though recognizing the relation assumed by me between the advice of Alcibiades in regard to Messene and that of Lamachus in regard to Megara, only that the former referred to a transitory stop (λιμήν), the latter to a permanent arrangement (ναύσταθμον), he derives ἐφόρμησις (48.8, as also 2.89.42) from ἐφορμᾶν, understanding it as "a station from which an attack is undertaken." I cannot accept this, but derive έφδρμησιs in both these passages, as well as the others (3.33.17; 8.15.14,18) from έφορμεῖν, in the sense given at 48.8, i.e. the practice of έφορμεῖν, namely, to observe every hostile movement, and if possible to hinder it. That might be by a blockade of a hostile harbor, but also by watching one's own (as e.g. 8.15), and by an otherwise favorable position with wide view around (as 6.48 at Messene). If now for έφδρμησις the derivation from έφορμῶν is disposed of, it can still less be maintained for εφορμηθέντας. For not only in Thuc. — apart from this doubtful passage — but in all Attic prose and Hdt., neither έφορμῶν nor έφορμᾶσθαι occurs. They seem to be confined to Homer, Pindar, and a few passages of the tragedians. See Steph. Thes. s.v.

Besides this linguistic difficulty of έφορμηθέντας, there is the still greater material one in Stahl's explanation: "after one had started for the attack." After explaining ἐπαναχωρήσαντας, cum eo (in portum) se recepissentif I rightly understand, of the landing and attack upon Syracuse - he summarizes: "Lamachus suggested that Megara, after they have withdrawn thither and (from there) set out for the attack, must be made a ship-station (hence the inf. pres. ποιείσθαι, to express continuance)." In confirmation Stahl adds, "with this explanation, έπαναχωρήσαντας and έφορμηθέντας exactly answer to λιμένα καί έφδρμησιν in c. 48." Against this whole view of the passage I raise only the one question: Is it possible to tear away the aor. ptc. έπαναχωρήσαντας from its connection with the foregoing proposal of a sudden attack upon Syracuse? If that is impossible, έπαναχωρήσαντας can only mean that after the anticipated victory of the Athenians and the assumption of a firm position under the walls of the city $(\hat{\eta}\nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho a \tau i \hat{a} \pi \rho \delta s \tau \hat{\eta} \pi \delta \lambda \epsilon i \kappa \rho a \tau o \hat{v} \sigma a \kappa a \theta \dot{\epsilon} \xi \eta \tau a i)$, the superfluous troops with the ships return to the nearest convenient harbor (that of Megara), and arrange this as a ναύσταθμον (in the way set forth 3.6. § 2) and ξφόρμησις, watchstation, for all hostile movements. By the assumed meaning the word-order esp. common in Thuc, of separating two words belonging together (ναύσταθμον καὶ ἐφόρμησιν) by a third (ἐπαναγωρήσαντας) comes to its rights. Stahl (edition 1880) has accepted Boehme's conjecture and my explanation. Cl.

54 14 και έν τούτω ό "Ιππαρχος ώς αθθις πειράσας οὐδεν μαλλον έπειθε τον 'Αρμόδιον, βίαιον μεν οὐδεν εβούλετο δράν κτέ.: there is no trouble with the text in itself, but what can be meant by $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu d\lambda \eta \nu d\rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$ (18) in this context? $d\rho \chi \dot{\eta} =$ τυραννίς necessarily, as in 7 above , but in § 2 Thuc. has, in emphatic contradiction of the general opinion, designated Hippias, not Hipparchus, as the successor of Peisistratus in the tyranny. What does he mean then by the $d\rho\chi\eta$ of Hipparchus? Prob. he means what we call the tyranny of the Peisistratidae (cf. 53. 15 ή Πεισιστράτου και των παίδων τυραννίς), and the word τύραννοι in 4 and 19 and 55. 5 would refer to the Peisistratidae in general; while only Peisistratus and Hippias actually had the sovereignty. Some commentators have seen the difficulty, but no satisfactory solution has been proposed. Hude suggests in § 5 έπαχθεις ήσαν and κατεστήσαντο, but this seems little probable, though 53. § 3 and 59. § 2 might seem to favor it. Perhaps the fault lies not in § 5 but in the preceding sent., where after Iππαρχος words like την ένιαυσίαν άρχην άρχων (cf. 25) may have dropped out; and to this annual archonship of Hipparchus would refer also the words $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu$ and $\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \dot{\rho} \chi \dot{\eta} \dot{\nu}$ in 18 (cf. 33 $\dot{\eta} \dot{s} \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \dot{s}$). J.S.

55 6 ἐν ἢ Θεσσαλοῦ μὲν οὐδ' Ἱππάρχου οὐδεὶς παῖς γέγραπται, Ἱππίου δὲ πέντε κτέ.: Thuc. here (more definitely than in 1.20. § 2) designates Thessalus, Hipparchus, and Hippias as legitimate sons of Peisistratus; but in Aristotle (Ath. Pol. 17. § 3) we read: τελευτήσαντος δὲ Πεισιστράτου κατεῖχον οἱ υἰεῖς τὴν ἀρχήν . . . ἢσαν δὲ δύο μὲν ἐκ τῆς γαμετῆς, Ἱππίας καὶ Ἱππαρχος, δύο δ' ἐκ τῆς ᾿Αργείας, Ἰοφῶν καὶ Ἡγησίστρατος, ῷ παρωνύμιον ἢν Θετταλός. From Thuc.'s words μόνω τῶν γνησίων ἀδελφῶν we must infer that he knew also of at least one full brother;

and the conclusion cannot be avoided that acc. to Thuc, at least one illegitimate brother had descendants. For Thuc., however, there was no occasion to go further and give names, since the legitimacy of Hippias and Hipparchus was universally recognized, and opinions varied only as to which of these two was the older son. Thuc,'s words leave uncertain also whether on the $\sigma\tau\eta\lambda\eta$ mentioned by him the illegitimate descendants of Peisistratus were not inscribed too (cf. the judgment on Archeptolemus and Antiphon, Vita Antiph. 24 και άτιμον είναι 'Αρχεπτόλεμον και 'Αντιφώντα και γένος το έκ τούτοιν, και νόθους και γνησίους). So much, however, is certain from Thuc., that the manner in which Hippias, Hipparchus, and Thessalus are named on the στήλη at least does not prevent our recognizing with Thuc, in these three brothers the legitimate sons of Peisistratus; prob. it is positive testimony therefor. Since further Thuc. beyond doubt had made a thorough investigation as to the sons of Peisistratus, and in 55. § 1, on the question who was the eldest of the legitimate sons, says, είδως μέν και ἀκοῆ ἀκριβέστερον άλλων, only incontrovertible grounds should induce us to reject his testimony. Such a ground is not Aristotle's (ibid.) exclusion of Thessalus from the legitimate sons of Peisistratus; and this the less since Aristotle unintelligibly gives Hegesistratus as the real name and Thessalus only as by-name. And there is no occasion, in order to lessen the divergence between Thuc. and Aristotle, for bold conjectures, e.g. B. J. Töpfer, Hermes XXIX, 463 ff. (= Beitr. z. gr. Altertumswiss. p. 251 ff.), that the νόθος Hegesistratus-Thessalus was later legitimized; or O. Müller, Jahrbb. f. kl. Philol. Suppl.-bd. XXV, 834 ff., that at the time of the erection of the column there was complete legal equality between the sons of foreign women and of Athenian women. Certain it is that Aristotle followed other sources than Thuc., and prob. poorer sources, since he confuses the γνήσιος Thessalus with the νόθος Hegesistratus (cf. Hdt. 5. 94). See Busolt, Gr. Gesch. II², 51.

With Thuc., too, Hipparchus is to be regarded as the cause of the conspiracy of Harmodius and Aristogeiton, and not Thessalus, as Aristotle says—for it must be accepted that, acc. to Aristotle, Thessalus was the occasion of the conspiracy, in spite of the various attempts, by punctuating or rejecting, to make the text of Ath. Pol. 18.1f. agree with that of Thuc.; e.g. Kenyon, v. Herwerden, J. Rohrmoser (Ztschr. f. d. östr. Gymn. XLIV, 974), Stahl (Rh. Mus. L, 382 ff.), U. Wilken (Hermes XXXII, 478 ff.). Cf. P. Corssen, Rh. Mus. LI, 226 ff., J. Plathner, Ztschr. f. d. Gymnasialw. LI, 462 f., and F. Köpp, N. Jahrbb. f. d. kl. Alt. IX, 628 ff. J.S.

57 2 ἔξω ἐν τῷ Κεραμεικῷ καλουμένφ: Kr.'s brief comment here is ἐν τῷ ἔξω Κεραμεικῷ. Cl. suggests several grounds in favor of Kr.'s conjecture. First, as Κεραμεικόs belonged to the city demes of Athens, the order, as it were ἔξω = ἐν τῷ Κεραμεικῷ, is surprising. But there is no question of equivalence here; rather ἔξω is explained by ἐν τῷ Κεραμεικῷ καλουμένῳ. That Thuc. designates here with the simple name Ceramicus a suburban district is in accord with 58. 1 (ἀγγελθέντος Ἱππίᾳ ἐς τὸν Κεραμεικὸν), from which passage it is clear that the district of

Leokorion in which Hipparchus was murdered, and which was included by later writers in the "inner Ceramicus," for Thuc. did not belong to the Ceramicus. So in Ar. Eqq. 772 and Aves 395 only the κάλλιστον προάστειον (2.34.13) northwest of the city is called Ceramicus; and in complete agreement with the traditional reading here is Plato, Parmen. 127 Β καταλύειν δὲ αὐτοὺς ἔφη παρὰ τῷ Πυθοδώρῳ ἐκτὸς τείχους ἐν Κεραμεικῷ. Cl. objects further to καλουμένῳ. But why should Thuc. not add the term to the name of a suburban district which he mentions? Cf. καλούμενος with Πνύξ 8.97.3, Πελαργικόν 2.17.6, Πάραλος γῆ 2.55.2. Finally, in view of the parallel instances of ἔξω cited in the notes, it is not to be conceded that "the isolated ἔξω," = ἔξω τῆς πόλεως, is strange.

62 15 αἱ δὲ νῆες περιέπλευσαν τὰ ἀνδράποδα ἄγουσαι. Νικίας δὲ εὐθὺς ἔξ Ύκκάρων έπι Έγέστης παραπλεύσας και τάλλα χρηματίσας και λαβών τάλαντα τριάκοντα παρήν ές τὸ στράτευμα: Steup understands πάλιν (13) to belong to the next clause also (al δε νη̂ες περιέπλευσαν κτέ.), and that the ships with the captives sailed not southward round the island, as Cl., St., and most editors understand, but back again to Catana, the land force going through the interior back thither; while Nicias, with a small force, sailed from Hyccara along the north coast as far as Egesta, carrying out at least a part of the objects had in view by the Athenians in the whole undertaking. Steup rejects Cl.'s view that the sent. Νικίας δε . . . παρην ες το στράτευμα is a parenthetical explanation (introduced by epexegetical $\delta \ell$), and finds no need for Cl.'s emendation $\pi \rho o$ πλεύσας (16) — which emendation, by the way, has not been adopted by any other editor. Steup finds it strange that just Niκίας δέ (16), without αὐτός (see on 1.139.16), is opp, to the land force of the Athenians ($\tau \hat{\varphi} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \pi \epsilon \langle \hat{\varphi} | 14 \rangle$, which returned from Hyccara through the interior, and to the fleet (al dè vies 15), which sailed along the coast back to Catana. It is further remarkable, he thinks, that εὐθὸς έξ Ὑκκάρων is said of Nicias, though the whole account of the land troops and the fleet begins with their stop at and near Hyccara; and that for Nicias' reunion with the rest of the army we have only $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ is $\tau \delta$ $\sigma \tau \rho \delta \tau \epsilon \nu \mu a$. He suggests, therefore, that after ' $\Upsilon_{KK} \delta \rho \omega \nu$ perhaps $\alpha \dot{\nu} \tau \delta s$ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \delta \nu \tau \omega \nu$ has been lost, and before $\pi a \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ the word $\pi \delta \lambda \nu$. He supposes that Nicias did not wait at Hyccara till all was arranged about the captives and the captured town, but right after the capture proceeded to Egesta, whence he returned, by the same way that he had gone, to Catana, the main fleet having sailed back thither by way of the promontory of Pelorus.

62 20 περιέπλευσαν: Cl. wrote περιέπεμπον (cf. 45. 4; 3.73.2) on the ground that the Sicels lived, in large part, in the interior (cf. 2. § 5; διά τῶν Σικελῶν 14; 5.4.25). On the same ground St. changes to περιέπεμψαν, as nearer to the traditional reading, and this also Steup, Mueller, and Hude adopt. But there seems to be no absolutely valid reason for the change; since it may be understood that they "sailed round to the points of the coast which were nearest to the Sicel settlements," as Jowett explains. Bm., Kr., Bl., Marchant, Spratt, and the Oxford text keep περιέπλευσαν. — Cl. calls attention to the variety of interpretations to which Thuc.'s account of the return of the expedition to Catana (§ 4) has given rise. Thirlwall (III, 399) seems to understand that the return was made in three divisions: "The fleet sailed back to Catana, while the army returned by land through the country of the Sicels. Nicias himself proceeded to Segesta," Grote (VII, 217) says that Nicias, after his visit to Egesta, "conducted the Athenian land force across the center of the island, through the territory of the friendly Sikels to Catana; making an attack in his way upon the hostile Sikel town of Hybla, in which he was repulsed. At Catana he was rejoined by his naval force." Holm (Sizil. II, 27) lets Nicias go with a few ships to Egesta, then return to the army at Catana (παρῆν ές τὸ στράτευμα). The greater division of the fleet brought the captives from Hyccara to Catana, and the land troops were conducted back thither through the interior. Retaining περιέπλευσαν (20) Holm understands two undertakings made now from the camp at Catana, one with a division of the fleet to the Sicels of the north coast to call for troops, the other with land troops against Hybla. Cl. thought the whole chapter wanting in precision of expression and exactness of narration, indicating prob. lack of final revision on the part of the historian.

64 4 είδότες οὐκ αν ὁμοίως δυνηθέντες [και] εί ἐκ τῶν νεῶν πρὸς παρεσκευασμένους ἐκβιβάζοιεν ἢ κατὰ γῆν ἱόντες γνωσθεῖεν: Cl. retained καί and adopted λυπηθέντες (cf. 66. 5; 4. 53. 13) for δυνηθέντες of the Mss., and ἐκβιάζοιεν of Vat. and other good Mss. (cf. 5. 98. 3) for the vulgate and Laur. ἐκβιβάζοιεν. The sense, with Cl.'s text, would be: "knowing that they would not be harassed (by the enemy) in like manner as if from the ships they should force their way out against men prepared, or should be recognized going by land." Steup supports Cl.'s text and view; the other editors are practically all against Cl. ἐκβιάζειν, the reading of all the better Mss. except Laur. here and in 5. 98. 3, is not found elsewhere except in late authors. [καί] is deleted by Reiske, followed by Poppo, St., Kr., Bm., Bl., Mueller, Hude. It seems to have been read neither by the Schol.,

είδότες ότι ούχ όμοίως οι 'Αθηναΐοι δυνήσονται στρατόπεδον καταλαβεΐν, οὕτ' εί κατά θάλασσαν φανερώς και προησθημένων τῶν Συρακοσίων ἐπιπλέοιεν (χαλεπὴν γὰρ ἔσεσθαι τὴν ἀπόβασιν ἀντιταχθησομένων τῶν ἐναντίων καὶ οὐκ ἀπαρασκεύων ὅντων) οὕτ' εί κατὰ γῆν ἐπίοιεν ἐκ τοῦ φανεροῦ, nor by Valla, non perinde se valituros, si aut... descenderent aut... cognoscerentur.

- 69 4 & πεληλύθεσαν: only two Mss. (Paris. d and Bekker's i) read thus; but it is clearly required by the context, and Valla certainly so read: a bierant. All the rest of the Mss. have $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\nu}\theta \epsilon \sigma a\nu$, which Cl. retained, rendering, "had gone thither," i.e. to the city. Steup, who misses with $\dot{\epsilon}\pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\nu}\theta \epsilon \sigma a\nu$ something to fix the relation to the city, as well as with $\dot{a}\pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\nu}\theta \epsilon \sigma a\nu$ some reference to the goal or the direction—to supply, with the Schol., $\dot{\epsilon}s \tau \dot{\eta}\nu \pi \dot{\epsilon}\lambda \iota\nu$ from $\dot{\epsilon}\gamma\gamma \dot{\nu}s \tau \dot{\eta}s \pi \dot{\epsilon}\lambda \epsilon \omega s$ seeming to him not so simple—suggests that Thuc. possibly wrote $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ οίκου $\dot{a}\pi \epsilon \lambda \eta \lambda \dot{\nu}\theta \dot{\epsilon}\sigma a\nu$ (cf. $\dot{\epsilon}\pi'$ οίκου 2.31.5, 33.10; 4.90.18).
- 69 10 δμως δὲ... ἀντεπῆσαν: Steup renders: "nevertheless taking up their arms straightway they advanced in turn, obliged even ($\kappa a \ell = sogar$) quickly to defend themselves, although they were not expecting that the Athenians would attack first." St. brackets the words οὐκ ᾶν οἰδμενοι σφίσι τοὺς Αθηναίους προτέρους ἐπελθεῦν καί as an interpolation added to explain δμως δέ, on the grounds, partly, that these words are already expressed in (2) ἀπροσδόκητοι... μαχούμενοι, partly, that they, being concessive, cannot be connected by καί with the foll. ptc. clause (διὰ τάχους ἀναγκαζόμενοι ἀμύνασθαι) which is causal.
- 69 26 ξυγκαταστρεψάμενοι ράρον αὐτοῖς ὑπακούσονται: Cl. and St. followed by Boehme-Widmann have rightly adopted Haacke's conjecture (for ξυγκαταστρεψαμένοις οι ξυγκαταστρεψομένοις . . . ὑπακούσεται of most of the Mss.). See Classen, Symbolae Crit. (Progr. Frankfurt a. M. 1859), p. 17 f. As ὑπακούσεται cannot be pass., the last verb belonging to the subj. τὸ ὑπήκοον must, after κρατώσι and εἶχον, be plural. The Schol. clearly read so, for he concludes his explanation with τοῦτο γὰρ ἔστι τὸ ῥαδίως ὑπακούσονται.
- 72 1 & The Náfor kal Katárhe Staxehágeres: only Cl. explains as given in the text. Steup and Fr. Müller follow Stahl in bracketing these words. Steup argues that the traditional text is at variance with what Thuc. states later of the conduct of the Athenians in the winter of 415-414 B.c. Acc. to 74. § 2, 75. § 2, 88. § 3, 5, the Athenians spend a considerable part of the winter in Naxos, and, acc. to 88. § 5, 94. § 1, the rest of it in Catana. But the two winter sojourns of the Athenians cannot, on account of the considerable length of the stay in Naxos, be comprised in the words of the text, and the occurrences which fell between the withdrawal of the Athenians from Syracuse and their sojourn cannot be overlooked. Acc. to 74 the Athenians, after withdrawing from Syracuse to Catana, immediately ($\epsilon \delta \theta \delta s$) make from Catana a vain attempt to bring Messene over to their side, remaining about thirteen days in the territory of Messene, and it seems incredible that Thuc., though he narrates these things in 74, should have spoken here merely of a withdrawal of the Athenians to Naxos and Catana to spend the winter. Besides, the initial words of 74, $\tau \delta$ δ $\epsilon \nu$ $\tau \hat{\eta}$

Katávy στράτευμα τῶν 'Αθηναίων ἔπλευσεν εὐθὺς ἐπὶ Μεσσήνην κτὲ., fit in well with 71.8, but by no means with our passage. Acc. to 72.§ 1 further report about the Athenians should have followed their stay in Naxos and Catana; but in 74. § 1 mention is made merely of the army of the Athenians in Catana, meaning unquestionably all the Athenian forces present in Sicily. The voyage from Catana to Messene lay by Naxos; but we read nothing of taking on Athenian troops stationed there. The Athenians withdrew from Messene to Naxos; but again we do not hear that Athenians were already there. εὐθύς of 74.1 would be unintelligible if we assumed a division of the Athenian army. Steup thinks, then, that the words of the text are perhaps some observant reader's marginal note explanatory of dπέπλευσαν, made on the basis of later statements of the historian about the winter sojourns in Naxos and Catana.

But the insertion in the text of this marginal explanation led, Steup thinks, to further change. At the end of 71 are enumerated the conditions on which, in the view of the Athenian generals, depended the regular attack upon Syracuse from some neighboring point, i.e. τον πόλεμον αὐτόθεν ποιεῖσθαι. When it is said there that the Athenians must before such an attack procure cavalry, money, provisions, etc., ως ές τὸ έαρ έπιχειρήσοντες ταις Συρακούσαις, these words do not fit the context. For the time of the attack the securing of cavalry etc. should be determinative, and a remark about the purpose of the Athenians to proceed to the attack in the spring has no place in an explanation why the attack could not yet be made. But these words would be in place after $d\pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma a \nu$ in 72.1. The words of 71.8 ἀπέπλευσαν εs Κατάνην, they sailed back to Catana (cf. 51. § 3. 52. § 2, 62. § 3, 63. § 2), would then be so understood, that immediately information was given about the further purposes of the Athenians, to which no objection could be made. So it seems that the insertion in the text of the marginal explanation has forced the words now found at the end of 71 out of their original place.

72 9 ἄλλως τε καὶ τοῖς πρώτοις τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐμπειρία ἰδιώτας, ὡς εἰπεῖν, χειροτέχναις ἀνταγωνισαμένους: in opposition to the great majority of the editors, Cl. defended the reading χειροτέχνας, which indeed has much better Mss. authority than χειροτέχναις, for the dat. occurs in two only of the better Mss., and there only as a correction. Cl. translated: "especially, as they, so to speak as mere tyros practiced in handicraft, had opposed in conflict the first (most excellent) of all the Hellenes in military experience." But it is impossible that Hermocrates should have represented all Syracusans, even though with such a qualification as ώς εἰπεῖν, as handicraftsmen, and it is very improbable also that in the use of weapons inexperienced χειροτέχναι should be designated as ιδιῶται, a word hard to take except as antithesis to χειροτέχναι. Cl. not improperly urged against some commentators that χειροτέχναι nowhere else occurs in the sense of a virtuoso skill in an industry or craft. But such a sense need not be assumed in taking χειροτέχναι and ιδιῶται as antithetical. For that it is enough if χειροτέχναι be taken to mean "those who possess the qualities necessary for a craft," or, in short,

"skilled handworkers," and this meaning can certainly be expressed by it. There is nothing strange—with χειροτέχναιs as the reading—in comparing knowledge and ignorance in war with that in handicrafts, esp. as Hdt. 2. 167. 10 says of Corinth, the mother-city of Syracuse, ηκιστα δὲ Κορίνθιοι δνονται τοὺς χειροτέχναι — though the χειροτέχναι were as a rule not very highly esteemed in Greek antiquity (cf. Plato, Rep. 3. 405 A). The reading χειροτέχναιs is further strongly supported by the testimony of Pollux 1. 156 ἐμπειροπόλεμοι γὰρ ἐπαχθές, πολέμου δὲ χειροτέχναι στρατιώτας είρηκε Θουκυδίδης, and by the imitation of Dio C. 50. 16. 1 παντὸς είδους μάχης χειροτέχναι ἐστέ.

One difficulty is connected with the reading χειροτέχναιs, in that the Athenians, without even the addition of a copulative particle, are designated not only as πρῶτοι τῶν Ἑλλήνων ἐμπειρία, but also as χειροτέχναι, whereas for the Syracusans only ἰδιῶται is used. This seems to point to a lacuna after ἐμπειρία which might be filled by ἀπείρους καί. Dobree had already suggested καί. J.S.

74 10 άπελθόντες ές Νάξον και δρια και σταυρώματα περί το στρατόπεδον ποιησάμενοι: the Mss. have in place of δρια καὶ the word Θρᾶκας or Θρᾶκας. But in M (acc. to Hude) is written δρια περί τα στρατόπεδα ποιησάμενοι γρ. Fr. Portus' conjecture that $\Theta \rho \hat{a} \kappa as$ or $\Theta \rho \hat{a} \kappa as$ was due to miscopying $\gamma \hat{a} \rho a \kappa as$, and that this was a gloss for σταυρώματα, met with general acceptance for a long time, so that in many editions Θράκας (or Θράκας) was simply dropped. But Pluygers, Mnemosyne XI, 92 f., on the basis of a scholium (σταυρώματα ποιησάμενοι: δρια περί τδ στρατόπεδον ποιησάμενοι. οι μέν τα νεώρια ήκουσαν ακουλουθήσαντες 'Ομήρω είποντι (B 153) οὐρούς τ' ἐξεκάθαιρον· οἱ δ' ἀποθήκας) and two glosses of Hesychius (δρια, τὰ νεώρια, ένιοι ἀποθήκας. καὶ Ῥωμαῖοι ὅρεα (horrea) καλοῦσι, and ὅριον, τείχισμα, φραγμόν) conjectured that the Mss. reading was a corruption of δρια καί (OPAIKAZ < OPIAKAI). This conjecture is confirmed by the old scholia of Patmos, published in the Revue de Philologie N.S. I, 182 ff., which give the reading ὅρα (sic) καὶ σταυρώματα, and by the above-mentioned marginal notice of Codex M, and has recently found general acceptance. But the further conjecture of Pluygers that σταυρώματα (οr σταύρωμα, as Codex Laur. reads) is a gloss of open, and so to be dropped with the preceding ral, has been rightly rejected as unnecessary by Cl. and others. J.S.

76 18 περί δε οἱ μὲν σφίσιν ἀλλὰ μὴ ἐκείνῷ καταδουλώσεως, οἱ δ' ἐπὶ δεσπότου μεταβολῆ οὐκ ἀξυνετωτέρου, κακοξυνετωτέρου δέ: a remarkable example of the freedom which Thuc. allows himself in the use and position of words, in order to reach with the utmost brevity the most exact correspondence of the expression with the thought (see Einl. zu B. 1⁴. lxiv). The orator wishes to say that the Athenians used the Persian war only to make the rest of the Hellenes subject not to the Persians, but to themselves; that the Hellenes, however, gained nothing thereby except a new master as cunning, but much harder. This object of the Athenians is gained by following up the preceding negative phrase οὐ περὶ ἐλευθερίας with περὶ and a verbal noun (καταδουλώσεως) representing the action; but as acc. to the first plan of the sent. περὶ is to cover both members,

between περί and καταδουλώσεως are added not only the first subj. that is to be emphasized, οἱ μέν (sc. ᾿Αθηναῖοι), but also the more distant obj. of καταδουλώσεως — construed as the kindred verb καταδουλοῦσθαι (cf. 1. 92. 3; 3. 66. 17; 4. 23. 4; 5. 35. 6) — and this obj. affirm. as well `as neg. expressed, σφίσιν ἀλλὰ μὴ ἐκείνψ. As then the περί const. no longer suffices to express the object ascribed to the other Hellenes, the second subj. οἱ δέ comes naturally to the head of the clause and the stronger ἐπί with dat. is in its proper place; whereupon then finally, in order to make the Athenians the bitterest reproach in the most effective way, the epithets that are esp. hateful by reason of the comparison with the Persians, οὖκ άξυνετωτέρου, κακοξυνετωτέρου δέ, are placed with strong emphasis at the end. With all that, it is to be observed that the whole aggregation of words is tacked on without a new verb to the preceding ἀντέστησαν, and that in spite of the intricate arrangement of the individual words there is no obscurity, thanks to the balancing by means of οἱ μὲν . . . οἱ δέ. Cl.

80 2 léval és τὴν ξυμμαχίαν προθυμότερον: Cl. emends to léval és τὸ ξυμμαχεῖν προθυμότερον, take part in the alliance more zealously, comparing léval és τὰ παραγγελλόμενα 1.121.5; 3.55.13, and léval és τὴν πεῖραν 7.24.22. Steup objects that Cl.'s citations do not prove his case, since no és with art. inf. is cited, and takes τὴν ξυμμαχίαν to mean allied territory, as in 4.118.17, 28; 5.33.10. προθυμότερον with this view of the sent. would be used abs., as in 6.6, 77.7.

82 5 ήμεις γάρ "Ιωνές δντές Πελοποννησίοις Δωριεύσι και πλείοσιν ούσι [και] παροικουντες έσκεψάμεθα ότφ τρόπφ ήκιστα αὐτῶν ὑπακουσόμεθα: the reading of the Mss. is ημεις γάρ Ιωνες δντες Πελοποννησίοις Δωριεύσι και πλείοσιν οδσι και παροικοῦσιν ἐσκεψάμεθα κτέ., and this many editors accept (Kr., Goell., Pp., Bm., Arn., Bl., Marchant, Spratt), making Πελοποννησίοις depend on ὑπακουσόμεθα, and αὐτῶν pleonastic after Πελοποννησίοις, as αὐτῷ after Ἱπποκράτει 4.93.7. So also Jowett explains αὐτῶν, but takes Πελοποννησίοις as dat. of relation and not governed by ὑπακουσόμεθα ("For we Ionians, having regard to the Peloponnesians" etc.). Hude, with v. H., brackets αὐτῶν and sets off καὶ πλείοσιν οδσι καὶ παροικοῦσιν by commas. Cl. emends to παροικοῦντες, thinking the dat. a copyist's slip due to assimilation to the preceding dats., and transposes the καί, putting it before Πελοποννησίοι. Acc. to Cl.'s view, then, Πελοποννησίοιs depends on παροικοῦντες, αὐτῶν on ὑπακουσόμεθα, and to ήμεις and Πελοποννησίοις are added two modifiers each (to the former "Ιωνες δυτες and Πελοποννησίοις παροικούντες, to the latter Δωριεύσι και πλείοσιν οδσι). St. accepts παροικοῦντες, but brackets καί before it; so also M. Steup accepts Cl.'s παροικοῦντες, but puts the καί not before Πελοποννησίοις, but before Δωριεῦσι. In έχει δὲ καὶ οὕτωs also Steup argues that the adv. looks forward, on the ground that, if it refers back to the assertion of Hermocrates, we have here merely a confirmation of a fact about which there was no dispute or doubt, and in the foll. sent. (ἡμεῖς γὰρ κτέ.) not a proof of the constant hostility between Ionians and Dorians, but an account and justification of the rise of Athenian rule. With this view και before ούτως makes a difficulty and Steup transposes it to a position before Πελοποννησίοις. Rather than violently transpose καί as Cl. and Steup do.

it seems preferable, with St., simply to bracket καί before παροικοῦντες as a correction that naturally crept into the text after that ptc. became παροικοῦσιν. Herbst (Zu Thuk. II, 106 f.) explains that πολέμιοι continues through the interposed clause (ἔχει δὲ καὶ οὕτως). So Schütz would supply πολέμιοι from above.

82 19 δουλείαν δὲ αὐτοί τε ἐβούλοντο καὶ ἡμῖν τὸ αὐτὸ ἐπενεγκεῖν: Cl.'s view that, as βούλεσθαι is not found elsewhere with a simple noun object, in the first clause βούλεσθαι μαλλον seems untenable, since it must surely be understood alike in both clauses. Herbst first (Ueber Cobet, p. 32 f.) explained the sent. as zeugmatic compression for αὐτοί τε εἴλοντο καὶ ἡμῖν ἐβούλοντο τὸ αὐτὸ ἐπενεγκεῖν. Later (Zu Thuk. II, 108) he understands in the first clause ἐνεγκεῖν from ἐπενεγκεῖν. Steup considers both explanations to be of unheard-of harshness, and thinks that, as continuing slavery is in question, both εἴλοντο and ἐνεγκεῖν are inappropriate. He thinks something has dropped out of the first clause (possibly καὶ διατελεῖν ἔχοντες). Tempting is the conjecture of Reiske and Cobet δουλεύειν for δουλείαν, esp. in view of τὸ αὐτὸ.

86 11 οίδε δε ού στρατοπέδω, πόλει δε μείζονι της ήμετέρας παρουσίας έποικοῦντες ὑμιν αίει τε ἐπιβουλεύουσι κτέ.: against the view adopted in the text — that of Cl. and nearly all recent editors — comparing the size of the city of Syracuse with that of the Athenian army in Sicily, Steup agrees with v. H. (Mnem. N.S. VIII, 162): recte comparatur urbs cum urbe, non cum exercitu. Esp. would such a comparison be out of place, Steup thinks, where menace by a city is contrasted with menace by an army. Van H., recognizing that the orator wished to represent Syracuse as larger than Athens and that mapourlas is in the way of completing $\tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \dot{\epsilon} \rho a s$ by $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \omega s$, thought the corruption lay in $\pi a \rho o \nu \sigma l a s$. Considering the remark of Thuc. about Syracuse 7. 28. § 3 πόλιν οὐδὲν ἐλάσσω αὐτήν γε καθ' αὐτὴν τῆς 'Αθηναίων, a statement like πόλει μείζονι τῆς ἡμετέρας, esp. in our context, would not seem amiss in the light of the conclusions of B. Lupus (Die Stadt Syrakus im Alt. p. 48 n.). Acc. to Lupus the superficial area of Athens and the Peiraeus, with the interval between the two long walls, was eight and three-fourths square kilometers, whereas at Syracuse, after the extension by the wall-building in 75. § 1, it was about 10 square kilometers. Steup conjectures that Thuc. wrote οίδε δε ού στρατοπέδου παρουσία, πόλει δε μείζονι της ήμετέρας εποικούντες ύμεν κτέ., and he explains that the vulgate arose through παρουσία slipping in a Ms. into the foll. line. The words οὐ στρατοπέδου παρουσία would be comparable with δυνάμει μείζονι πρὸς τὴν τῶνδε ἰσχύν πάρεσμεν (5) and parallel to the ptc. ἐποικοῦντες. It would be nothing unusual that they, like the traditional στρατοπέδφ, should be only a modifier of the first modifier of the first member, even though alel ἐπιβουλεύουσι and οὐκ ἀνιᾶσιν are correlated by τε... καί (see on 2.46.1).

87 21 ἀλλ' ἐξισώσαντες τοῖς ἄλλοις μεθ' ἡμῶν κτέ.: Steup rejecting the view of Cl., as well as of St., considers the passage corrupt. Against Cl., while admitting the intr. force of ἐξισοῦν in 5.71.17 and Soph. El. 1194, he objects, first, to the very unusual form of expression here where only "acting like the rest" would be expected; then, to τοῖς ἄλλοις here in a different sense from οἱ ἄλλοι above (2)

= the more or less neutral Siceliotes; finally, that $\mu \epsilon \theta' \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$, on Cl.'s interpretation, is without force. Against St. he holds that $\tau o \hat{i} s$ address $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ $\delta \lambda o \hat{i} s = \tau o \hat{i} s$ with St.'s view is as meaningless as with Cl.'s.

Badham conjectured ἐξ Ισου στάντες, but Steup objects that as τοῖς άλλοις cannot refer to the allies of the Athenians, we should expect not "in like manner as the rest," but "together with the rest," and proposes άλλα ξυστάντες τοῖς άλλοις μεθ' ἡμῶν antith. to ξυστῆναι πρὸς αὐτούς (τοὺς Συρακοσίους) in 85. 16. For ξυνίστασθαί τινι, cf. Xen. Hell. 2. 1. 1; Dem. 57. 13.

89 24 έπει δημοκρατίαν γε και έγιγνώσκομεν οί φρονοθντές τι και αύτος ούδενος αν χείρον, δσφ και \dagger , λοιδορήσαιμι: the Schol, on this passage remarks: $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\epsilon l$ οι γε φρόνιμοι ζσασιν όποιδν τί έστι δημοκρατία (τουτέστιν ώς πονηρόν), και αύτος αν έγω ούδενδι ήττον λοιδορήσαιμι αὐτήν, ὄσφ καὶ μέγιστα ὑπ' αὐτῆς ἠδίκημαι, hence he must have had before him the sent. in completer form. Valla's translation, too, points to the same: tum vero ipse, quo maiore iniuria affectus sum, eo magis vitupero. Hence Steup is prob. right in supplying μέγιστ' ήδίκημαι (cf. μέγιστ' ἄν ώφελήσειεν 5.9.16). Bm. supplied, from the Schol., μέγιστα ὑπ' αὐτῆς ήδίκημαι. Cl. thinks a perfectly satisfactory restoration of the corrupt passage has not yet been found. St. indicates a lacuna, and Rauchenstein (Philol. XXXVI, 244 f.) would bracket $\delta\sigma\omega$ as the introduction to an explan. remark. Pp. explains the traditional text by understanding γιγνώσκοιμι after οὐδενὸς Δν χείρον and αν with δοφ και λοιδορήσαιμι, rendering, et ego non minus quam alius quisquam intelligam, quo magis vel obiurgem, i.e. quo iustiorem causam obiurgandi habeam. Junghahn (N. Jahrbb. CXIX, 358) would take οὐδενὸς ἄν χεῖρον with the rel. clause.

91 19 και τὰ ἐνθάδε χρη αμα φανερώτερον ἐκπολεμεῖν: St. adopts ἐκπολεμοῦν here, referring to 77. 15; 8. 57. 5. But in both passages cited there can be no doubt as to the trans. force of the verb; besides, in 77.15 the form in $-\delta\omega$ is in all Mss., in 8.57.5 in several good Mss. In the other passages cited by St. (Xen. Hell. 5. 4. 20; Polyb. 15. 6. 6; Dionys. H. Antiq. 9. 16), to which should be added Dem. 1.7 and 3.7, the Mss. vary between the two forms or offer only that in $-\epsilon \omega$. Still in view of the manifestly trans, force (bring into, excite to, hostility), Cl. would, in all these passages, prefer ἐκπολεμοῦν, though Voemel adopts, on Mss. authority, ἐκπολεμῆσαι in Dem. 1.7 and 3.7, and the uncertainty of the ancient grammarians as to the two forms is shown by the remark of Harpocration s.v.: ἐκπολεμῶσαι, Δημοσθένης Φιλιππικοῖς ἀντὶ τοῦ είς πόλεμον καταστήσαι, ώς και παρά θουκυδίδη πολλάκις. ἐν μέντοι τοῖς Αττικιανοῖς διά τοῦ η γράφεται, ώς και παρά Ξενοφώντι. Hence the Xen. passage (Hell. 5. 4. 20) is generally written ζν' έκπολεμήσειε τους 'Αθηναίους πρός τους Λακεδαιμονίους. Το the above Steup adds: opposed to St.'s conjecture is the fact that ἐκπολεμοῦν does not express any really active participation of the Lacedaemonians in the war, whereas acc. to 73. § 2, 88. § 8, 93. § 1, 2 one would expect to find indicated here a direct participation. St. objects to Cl.'s view of ἐκπολεμεῖν as an emphasized πολεμεῖν, "at bellum, quod acriter geritur, non potest non in

aperto geri." But certainly such pleonasms are common enough in Thuc. (see on 1.23.21). The previous conduct, too, of the Lacedaemonians (cf. 5.25. § 3, 115. § 2) had certainly not consisted in a less open els $\pi \delta \lambda \epsilon \mu o \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \sigma a \iota$, but in a less open $\pi o \lambda \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\nu}$.

91 33 ἀπὸ γῆς καὶ δικαστηρίων: the view of the Schol., substantiated by Boeckh, as to the loss of revenue from the courts has been generally accepted. But Meineke (Hermes III, 359) raises a doubt: "How in a war the court revenues could be lost is not easy to see; on the other hand, it is strange that no mention is made of loss of revenues from duties." He conjectures, therefore, δεκατευτηρίων, i.e. the places where the tithe is exacted; but suggests δεκαστηρίων (cf. Hesychius, δεκάζειν = δεκατεύειν). Madvig, too, remarks (Adv. I, 328): in urbe iudicia omnia maiora fiebant nec ea obsidione ut statim intermitterentur, Alcibiades dicere potuisse videtur. In mentem venit, Thucydidem fortasse δεκατευτηρίων scripsisse, eoque nomine omnia significasse loca, ubi aliquid publici iuris et τὰ τέλη exigerentur; nam quae proprii δεκάται appellantur, eorum exigua in Attica vestigia sunt. The agreement of these two eminent critics has induced St. to adopt in his text edition δεκατευτηρίων, which he supports by reference to Poll. 8. 182, 9. 28, where the word is used of sea- and harbor-tolls.

As to Meineke's first objection, in which he coincides with Madvig - as to the loss of income from the courts held in the city—it is clear that in courts composed of Athenian citizens, under conditions like those described 7.28. § 2 (πρὸς τη ἐπάλξει την μὲν ἡμέραν κατὰ διαδοχήν οἱ ᾿Αθηναῖοι φυλάσσοντες, την δὲ νύκτα και ξύμπαντες πλην των Ιππέων, οι μέν έφ' δπλοις που, οι δ' έπι του τείχους, και θέρους καί χειμώνος έταλαιπωρούντο), there would be, if not complete cessation, at least great interruptions, with consequent loss of public revenues. - As to Meineke's second objection — that it is strange loss of income from duties is not mentioned he seems to have overlooked the fact that the Peiraeus, and so intercourse by sea, remained open even after the occupation of Decelea, and that even Alcibiades proposes no measures against that. So it happens, then, that the Athenians in their stress two years later (Ol. 91, 4; 7.28. § 4) impose upon their subject-allies, for the yearly φόρος, an import-tax of five per cent (είκοστήν), πλείω νομίζοντες άν σφίσι χρήματα ούτω προσιέναι. Hence Alcibiades could not speak of loss of tolls, least of all from δεκατευτήρια; for where mention is made of these tithing stations or houses, as Boeckh observes (ibidem p. 443), sea-tolls are always meant, and in the only passage of a historian where the δεκατευτήριον is mentioned (Xen. Hell. 1.1.22), Alcibiades states that on the Asiatic side of the Βοsporus δεκατευτήριον κατεσκεύασε και την δεκάτην έξέλεγε τῶν ἐκ τοῦ Πόντου πλοίων. After all this it can hardly be doubted that Thuc. could allow Alcibiades, though with the exaggeration appropriate to the agitator, to say και ὄσα ἀπὸ γῆς και δικαστηρίων νῦν ώφελοῦνται, εὐθὸς ἀποστερήσονται.

St. retains in his text and note edition $\delta \iota \kappa a \sigma \tau \eta \rho l \omega r$. But his note is against the idea of court revenues, and he comes to the conclusion: no bis probabilitatem

videtur habere Meinekii et Madvigii coniectura δεκατευτηρίων. Volumus autem haec esse portitoria (τελώνια), ubi decumae, quae ex locatis agris publicis redibant, solvebantur. Müller-Strübing (Thuk. Forsch., Vienna, 1881, p. 6 ff.) suggests, as had already been done by Kr. and Badham, ἐργαστηρίων, understanding thereby all buildings in the level country for working up the raw material from the land.

To the above note of Cl.'s, Steup adds: Naber conjectures (Mnem. N.S. XIV, 331 ff.) $\kappa\eta\rho\iota\omega\nu$, L. Herbst (Zu Thuk. Nachlass II, 31) $\delta\iota'\epsilon\pi\alpha\kappa\tau\hat{\omega}\nu$. St., in a later discussion of the passage (Gött. Gel. Anz. 1882, I, 78 f.) declares against $\epsilon\rho\gamma\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\omega\nu$ and denies that the mention of a truce in Lys. 17.3—cited by v. H. in his Preface to Vol. IV—proves anything for the Decelean war. M. Fränkl admits (in Boeckh II³, 80) the justness of doubts of the correctness of the text, but considers $\delta\epsilon\kappa\alpha\tau\epsilon\nu\tau\eta\rho\iota\omega\nu$ inadmissible and $\epsilon\rho\gamma\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\omega\nu$ not proved. So it seems better, till a satisfactory emendation for $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\omega\nu$ is found or till it has been made probable that $\kappa\alpha\iota$ $\delta\iota\kappa\alpha\sigma\tau\eta\rho\iota\omega\nu$ is an interpolation, to make no change in the text, esp. as the prediction of Alcibiades was not obliged to be absolutely fulfilled afterwards.

91 35 διαφορουμένης: v. H. brackets ήσσον διαφορουμένης; Madvig proposes διακομουμένης, and for ol would substitute el; Hude writes αν φορουμένης.

96 8 ἐξήρτηται γὰρ τὸ ἄλλο χωρίον: Stahl considers ἐξῆρται necessary both here and in Strabo 290 c, maintaining that the explanation of the Schol. applies not to ἐξήρτηται, but to ἐξῆρται. But Classen stands by his view that ἐξηρτῆσθαι in both places means a slope rising from a level ground-line up to a certain point, and explains the words of the Schol.: "(ἐξήρτηται) must not be understood of being raised and lifted up," i.e. not of an extended plateau, but of an elevation sloping from below up to a point. The two sides of the gradually ascending triangle would consequently, except at certain points (the προσβάσειs), be declivitous. The present condition of the ground suits exactly Thuc.'s description.

97 1 of $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ Aθηναίοι ταύτης τῆς νυκτὸς, $\langle \hat{\eta} \rangle$ τῆ ἐπιγιγνομένη ἡμέρα ἰξητάζοντο, [καὶ] ἔλαθον αὐτοὺς κτέ.: Cl.'s crit. note is in substance as follows: Acc. to the vulgate the Athenians would likewise hold a review on the day succeeding that of the Syracusans described in the preceding chapter and after that secretly land at Leon and ascend Epipolae. And yet the Syracusans would have, at the time of their review held the day before, information of this intention of the Athenians long enough beforehand to make a vain attempt to prevent it. That something is wrong in the narrative most commentators are agreed. A step was taken toward a correct understanding when it was seen that ἐξητάζοντο could not refer to the Athenians, who knew their own forces well enough; but to assign it to the Syracusans it was necessary to assume that $\hat{\eta}$ had fallen out before $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ἐπιγινομένη ἡμέρα. Pp. suggested this correction in his first editio major (III, 4, p. 334, anno 1838), but found little support. Kr., Bétant, Bm. noted the conjecture, but did not accept it. It was approved most decidedly by Holm (II, 413) on account of the historical connection, and Grote

(VII, 247) accepts it without mention: "Nicias and Lamachus, putting their troops on board at Catana, had sailed during the preceding night to a landingplace not far from a place called Leon. They here landed their hoplites and placed their fleet in safety before day and before the Syracusans had any intimation of their arrival." The time designation "before day" comes not from Thuc, but is transferred prob. from the preceding expedition (65.15 of 'Αθηναΐοι ἄμα ἔ ψ ἔξέβαινον). At this landing the time of day was prob. later. But Pp. had left a still unsolved difficulty, namely καί before ξλαθον, which Madvig (Adv. I, 328) removed by bracketing it, correctly remarking "omisso errore # (after # had been dropped by mistake) additum est kal." So Stahl writes $\langle \mathring{\eta} \rangle \tau \mathring{\eta} \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \pi \imath \gamma \imath \gamma \nu o \mu \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \nu \eta \stackrel{.}{\eta} \mu \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \rho q \stackrel{.}{\epsilon} \stackrel{.}{\xi} \eta \tau \stackrel{.}{\alpha} \stackrel{.}{\zeta} o \nu \tau o \quad [\kappa al] \stackrel{.}{\xi} \lambda \alpha \theta o \nu$. Cl. has gone a step further in the restoration of the passage and introduced into the text the conjecture offered in the thesis at the end of his dissertation (De Gram. Graec. Primordiis, Bonn, 1829): Thuc. 6. 97 necessario legendum est: οἱ δὲ ᾿Αθηναῖοι ταύτης τῆς νυκτός ή τη ἐπιγιγνομένη ἡμέρα ἐξητάζοντο ἐκείνοι ἔλαθον. Madvig is certainly right in saying that καί is impossible before ξλαθον and was not introduced till ή had been lost; but it is prob. also responsible for the disappearance of excipot, which had now become unintelligible. Certainly it helps very much to distinguish the subjs. of the two verbs έξητάζοντο and έλαθον. G. Hermann, to whom the conjecture was shown in 1826, approved it. Steup approves the insertion of $\hat{\eta}$ as well as Cl.'s conjecture of ἐκεῖνοι. He cannot follow Kr. and v. H. in expunging τη έπιγιγνομένη ημέρα έξητάζοντο as due to a gloss, since ταύτης της νυκτός cannot refer to ἄμα τη ἡμέρα in 96.13, the point designated by ἄμα τη ἡμέρα belonging unquestionably no longer to the νύξ but to the ἡμέρα.

99 8 ύποτειχίζειν δε άμεινον εδόκει είναι, ή έκεινοι εμελλον άξειν το τείχος, καί, εί φθάσειαν, άποκλήσεις γίγνεσθαι, καὶ άμα καὶ . . . τρέπεσθαι: Steup's crit. note is in substance as follows: There are several difficulties in this passage. First as to the const. of ἀποκλήσεις γίγνεσθαι. Cl. and Pp.-St. make it dependent on ξμελλον in the rel. sent., while Kr. and Bm. follow the Schol. in taking it with αμεινον έδόκει είναι, whereas Goeller, Arn., and Bl. consider αποκλήσεις as nom. and would supply έδδκουν or ξμελλον. To the first explanation is opposed not absolutely the difference in the tenses of dξειν and γίγνεσθαι (see on 42.4), but certainly the unmeaningness of the words if taken with † ξμελλον. For it was by no means a matter of course that the Athenians, in case their own wall was completed, would have shut in the Syracusans. Nor would the restoration adopted by v. H. and Cl. ($d\pi \delta \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota s$) help the weakness of the thought. Acc. to the Schol. the subj. of $\phi\theta d\sigma \epsilon i a \nu$ is the Syracusans, and those who would, in the case supposed, be cut off are the Athenians. The thought thus secured is far more acceptable, for ἀποκλήσεις γίγνεσθαι might very well be represented, along with ὑποτειχίζειν, as a further purpose of the Syracusan generals. The pl. is in so far intelligible as one may think of the shutting off, right and left of the Syracusan cross-wall, of Athenian forces, already present or appearing later. The Schol.'s explanation has the further advantage of giving the same subj.

to φθάσειαν and φθάνειν (11). (See H. Schütz, Ztschr. f. dr Gymnasialwesen XXXIII, 104.)

αὐτούς (10) Bk. would change to αὐτοῖς (referring to the Athenians). Arn., followed by Bl., conjectured ἀντιπέμπειν αὐτοί, supplying διενοοῦντο or a similar word. As to Bm.'s view, adopted in the text, making άντιπέμπειν αὐτούς dependent on αμεινον εδόκει είναι, Steup cannot conceive of this as a third measure purposed by the Syracusan generals. Rather it would be a consideration by which the generals would be guided in their determinations; but then not only αὐτούς, but also καὶ ἄμα καί, would be unintelligible. Keeping in mind the latter point, and considering further that the explanatory remark και φθάνειν αν κτέ. would come better directly after καί, εἰ φθάσειαν, ἀποκλήσεις γίγνεσθαι, further that the clearly intended antithesis between μέρος αντιπέμπειν της στρατιάς and πάντας αν πρός σφας τρέπεσθαι has little force in the vulgate text, Steup thinks that the order of the clauses has become confused through the negligence of copyists and that originally και άμα και, . . . στρατιᾶς came after και φθάνειν . . . έφόδους. Thus Hermocrates and his colleagues would have reflected that the Syracusans would have fenced off with palisades the intersection of their counter-wall and the proposed Athenian wall before the Athenians could have reached that point with their wall, and further that the Syracusans, in case the Athenians should seek to hinder the building of the counter-wall, by reason of the protection offered by this counter-wall would need to expose only a part of their army to the danger, whereas the Athenians, with the interruption of work on the northern part of the circumvallation must turn in a body against the Syracusan counter-wall.

With the proposed transposition of clauses $a\dot{\nu}\tau ol$, which is offered by Vat. alone, and adopted by Stahl, becomes absolutely necessary; but likewise indispensable is $d\nu$ (wanting in Vat.) after $\phi\theta d\nu e\iota\nu$ (i.e. $\phi\theta d\nu e\iota\nu$ $d\nu$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau ol$ $\tau o\hat{\nu}s$ $\sigma\tau a\nu\rho o\hat{\nu}s$, which Cl. preferred). Whether $\tau o\hat{\nu}s$ $\sigma\tau a\nu\rho o\hat{\nu}s$ should come (with Vat.) after or (with the rest of the Mss.) before $\pi\rho o\kappa a\tau a\lambda a\mu\beta d\nu o\nu\tau es$ is not easy to decide. Stahl is hardly right in rejecting $\tau o\hat{\nu}s$, for from the designation of the third counter-wall of the Syracusans as $\tau e\hat{\nu}s$ os $d\pi\lambda o\hat{\nu}v$ (7.4.3, 11.14) it by no means certainly follows that this $\tau e\hat{\nu}s$ had no $\sigma\tau a\nu\rho ol$ and that $\sigma\tau a\nu\rho ol$ did not necessarily belong to such $\tau el\chi\eta$. With $d\nu\tau\iota\pi\dot{e}\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$ one must supply in thought from the preceding clause $d\nu$ $a\dot{\nu}\tau ol$, and between $d\nu\tau\iota\pi\dot{e}\mu\pi\epsilon\iota\nu$ and $a\dot{\nu}\tau o\dot{\nu}s$ it would probbe best to insert $\dot{e}\pi$, with Badham, v. H., and H. J. Müller (Ztschr. f. d. Gymn. XXXVIII, 669).

99 12 ἐκείνους δὲ ᾶν παυομένους τοῦ ἔργου πάντας ᾶν πρὸς σφᾶς τρέπεσθαι: Vat. has not the second ἄν (which Stahl brackets), but reads ἀναπαυομένους for ᾶν παυομένους, hence Cl. was inclined to write ἀναπαυομένους. . . πάντας ᾶν . . . τρέπεσθαι. But Thuc. uses ἀναπαύεσθαι elsewhere only in the sense of rest.

99 15 ἐγκάρσιον τεῖχος ἄγοντες: Steup, finding it strange that the traditional text says nothing of the direction of this counter-wall, thinks that some words have been lost, possibly ἐπὶ τὸ ἔλος after τεῖχος. That the counter-wall did not,

as Stahl and others think, run northwest, but, as Holm, Cl., and others assume, south or rather southwest, seems certain for two reasons. (1) Acc. to 100. § 1 the Syracusan wall was brought to an end, i.e. crossed the line of the proposed Athenian circumvallation, without any collision with the Athenians, the latter being unwilling to divide their forces and preferring to push the work on their own wall. This whole account would be unintelligible if the Syracusan wall ran northwest. As the Athenians beyond question were building on the northern circumvallation, they would, in the case assumed by Stahl, in attempting to hinder the wall of the Syracusans, not have needed to divide their forces, and it would have been a very strange way to hasten την καθ' αὐτοὺς περιτείχισιν (100.5) quietly to let the Syracusan counter-wall cross their own line, whereby all circumvallation would have been precluded. (2) The remark in § 4, that the Athenian ships had not yet sailed into the Great Harbor and the Syracusans were still masters $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ περί την θάλασσαν, would have no meaning if the Syracusan counter-wall ran northwest, but would be quite intelligible if it ran southwest and reached a point not very far from the Great Harbor. In that quarter lay the marsh mentioned in 101. § 1-3 (Λυσιμέλεια 7. 53. 10) at which the first counter-wall might have ended, whereas the second was carried through it.

100 16 ή δε μετά του έτέρου πρός τό σταύρωμα τό παρά την πυλίδα: Steup's crit, note is substantially this: The above is the text of the great majority of the Mss. and of all recent editions; Vat. omits the second $\tau \delta$. The stumbling-block is in the words $\tau \delta \pi \alpha \rho \delta \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \nu \lambda i \delta \alpha$. If one connects these words, as all editors do, with τὸ σταύρωμα, there arises at once the difficult question, what is one to understand the stockade or fortification so designated to be? τὸ σταύρωμα in 11 and 16 means undoubtedly the counter-wall of the Syracusans, which is called τὸ ὑποτείχισμα in 2 and 14, τὸ οἰκοδόμημα in 6, whereas in § 3 a distinction is made between ὑποτείχισις and σταύρωμα. That the division of the Athenian army designated in the words here under consideration was to go in fact against the Syracusan counter-wall is indicated first by προύταξαν (13) applied to the 300 picked Athenians and the selected $\psi_i \lambda_{ij}$ that were equipped with heavy armor; for this advance body, which was to rush in assault πρὸς τὸ ὑποτείχισμα, must of course be followed by others, who were likewise to proceed against the Syracusan counter-wall, while the third division of the Athenian army was beyond question to go not against the ὑποτείχισμα, but against the city. From the mention of Argives in 21 it is clear that along with the advance attacking force members of another division of the army broke into the city, and this other division can be only that designated by the words under discussion. But it is impossible that Thuc, could have designated the counter-wall of the Syracusans, which he is describing from 99, § 3 on, by το σταύρωμα το παρά την πυλίδα. A distinguishing designation did not come into question, since there is no mention of any other Syracusan fortification. Further, it is nowhere stated heretofore that the Syracusan counter-wall was by a little gate; but if this was really the case and the designation was a reminder of this, such a reminder was certainly to be expected at the beginning of the account. Besides, the gate should have been more nearly designated; for beyond doubt Syracuse had at that time not merely a single gate.

As to Cl.'s view, followed in the text, Steup objects esp. to taking το σταύρωμα in the sense of a part. gen. Likewise unacceptable he finds Stahl's view that το σταύρωμα το παρά την πυλίδα designates a special part of the Syracusan counterwall which was to cover the exit of the gate, which acc. to a rule well understood for such structures led through the fortification. Apart from the fact that such a gate has two exits, which would have to be covered, Thuc. could not have used σταύρωμα, which in the foll. sent. (και προσβαλόντες . . . το σταύρωμα) unquestionably means the whole Syracusan counter-wall, to designate here a small by-work. We should also hear nothing of the capture of this by-work, whereas in fact members of the division which acc. to Stahl proceeded against the by-work, entered with the advance force into the city. Since still less can there be reference to a fortification having nothing to do with the Syracusan counterwall under consideration from 99. § 3 on, the connection of το παρά την πυλίδα with το σταύρωμα must be regarded as impossible.

To construe $\tau \delta \pi a \rho \dot{a} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \nu \lambda i \delta a$ adv., "on the side by the little gate" is gram. possible (see Steup's view on 99.1); but with this const., acc. to which $\sigma \tau a \dot{\nu} \rho \omega \mu a$ would have the same meaning as in 11 and 18, the words $\tau \dot{a} \pi a \rho \dot{a} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \nu \lambda i \delta a$ arouse strong suspicion. In the first place one could not understand why in the case of the first division of the Athenians simply the Syracusan counter-wall is designated as the object of attack, but with the third division a specific part of this wall. Then, while it might very well be accepted that quite near the point of the city-wall from which the northern row of palisades of the counter-wall started was a little gate, it would be strange for this gate to be assumed to be known and from it $\tau \delta \pi a \rho \dot{a} \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \pi \nu \lambda i \delta a$ to be said of the northern side of the counter-wall. Besides, near the gate in question the second division of the Athenians, which was to oppose any rescuing force of Syracusans from the city, must have had position, although the second and third divisions have different tasks.

So then the words under discussion ($\pi\rho\delta s$ $\tau\delta$ $\sigma\tau\alpha\delta\rho\mu\mu\alpha$ $\tau\delta$ $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\pi\nu\lambda\delta\delta\alpha$) cannot be explained; nor is it better with the reading of Vat. The original text has prob. undergone considerable change. If it might be assumed that fortifications like the Syracusan counter-wall had always at the forward end a small gate, one might conjecture that the words $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\pi\nu\lambda\delta\delta\alpha$ stood originally after kal $\pi\rho\sigma\sigma\beta\alpha\lambda\delta\nu\tau\epsilon s$ of $\tau\rho\iota\alpha\kappa\delta\sigma\iota\omega$. But it is more prob. that $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\pi\nu\lambda\delta\delta\alpha$ was originally a marginal explanation of $\pi\rho\delta s$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\pi\delta\lambda\iota\nu$, ϵl $\epsilon\pi\iota\beta\sigma\eta\theta\sigma\delta\epsilon\nu$, $\epsilon\chi\omega\rho\sigma\nu\nu$ (15). On either assumption Vat. would be nearer the original text than the other Mss., in which $\tau\delta$ would seem to have been inserted to make $\pi\alpha\rho\delta$ $\tau\eta\nu$ $\pi\nu\lambda\delta\delta\alpha$ attrib. to $\tau\delta$ $\sigma\tau\alpha\delta\rho\omega\mu\alpha$.

101 1 τῆ δ' ὑστεραία ἀπὸ τοῦ κύκλου ἐτείχιζον οἱ 'Αθηναίοι τὸν κρημνὸν τὸν ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἔλους: against Cl.'s view that ἀπὸ τοῦ κύκλου designates only the middle point from which the works for the circumvallation of the city started, not

implying direct connection, Steup objects that if the Athenians had left a gap between their circular fort and the new fortification, $d\pi\delta$ τοῦ κύκλου, from the circular fort, would be meaningless; besides, the assumption that the wall did not join on to the κύκλος is improbable. Further, Stahl is right, he thinks, in urging that, acc. to (9) ἐπειδη το προς τον κρημνόν αυτοῖς ἐξείργαστο, the end of the fortification in question must have been the κρημνός. (Cf. also 103. 5 ἀπο τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν καὶ τοῦ κρημνώδους ἀρξάμενοι ἀπετείχιζον . . . τοὺς Συρακοσίους.) Stahl conjectured ⟨ἐς⟩ τὸν κρημνώς; A. Philippi (N. Jahrbb. CXXIII, 97 f.), ⟨προς⟩ τὸν κρημνόν. Steup, comparing 2.97.8 ἀπὸ Βυζαντίου ἐς Λαιαίους καὶ ἐπὶ τὸν Στρυμόνα, suggests inserting ἐπί, or rather — in view of the fact that the words τῶν Ἐπιπολῶν ταύτη must in the vulgate refer back to ὑπὲρ τοῦ ἔλους, whereas the position of the marsh is not determined — τὸ πρὸς νότον (on the side toward the south; see on 99.1) ἐπί.



GREEK INDEX

References to the Greek text are by chapters and thirds of chapters; to the notes, by chapter and line of text annotated: e.g. 59 b refers to the Greek text in the second third of c. 59; and 4. 20 refers to the note on line 20 of c. 4

ά-: ἀπερισκέπτως, 57, 12, 65. 4; ἄπλοια, 22. 9; άπροσδόκητος, 69. 2; άπροφασίστως, 72, 25 αyειν, 64.2; = ὑπάγειν,73.8 åδεές, 87, 18 άλκή, 34.54 άλλο τι ή, 11. 23 άλλόφυλος, 9.5 **ă**µa **8**€, 83, 14, 89, 16 av, repeated, 10.14, 64.8 αν, 13. 4, 18. 34 άνα-: άναπείθεσθαι, 89. 12; ἀναρπάσαντες, 101. 32; ἀναψηφίζειν, 14.4; άνέλπιστος, 17. 29; άνέχειν, 86. 15; άνέχεσθαι, with suppl. ptc., 16.20 άνηρ τύραννος, 85.1 άντι-: άντεπιβουλεῦσαι, 87. 23; ἀντικρούω, 46. 5; αντικρυς, 49.1; αντιπαρασκευάζειν, 86.17 άπό: (away from) 64.18; άπὸ τοῦ άδοκήτου, 47. 11; άπὸ τοῦ άληθοῦς, 34. 50; ἀπὸ τῶν εἰκότων, 23. 11; πράττεσθαι άπό, 61. 5 άπο-: άπέδοσαν (sold),

62. 18; άπεληλύθεσαν,

69. 4; ἀπόκλησις, 99. 9; ἀποτείχισμα, 99.5; άποχρήσθαι, 17.5 **ἄρξειν**, 6. 3 αὐτερέτης, 91.14 Baravileir, 53. 12 βούλεσθαι τά Συρακοσίων, 50. 11 γάρ, 10. 1, 20. 3, 33. 7, 34. 19, 54. 5, 76. 5, 87. 4 **8**₁, 10. 21, 31. 8, 33. 20, 61. 10, 80. 6, 13 διά: διά δίκης έλθειν, 60. 16; διά μακροῦ, 15. 18, 91. 12; δι' όλίγου, 11. · 14; διά πελάγους, 13. 11; διά πλείστου, 11. 15; διά πολλοῦ, 11.2; δι ύμας μη ξυμμαχή*σ***aντας**, 80.8 δια-: διαθροείν, 46, 23; διαμέλλειν, 25. 3; διάνοια, 11. 21; διαπομπή, 41. 10; διαφορείν, 91 25, 100. 24; διαχρήσασθαι, 61.16 δόκησις, 64. 14 δ' οὖν, 15. 19 δύνασθαι, 36.8, 40.15 245

δύσερως, 13.5 evvús, 2, 29, 4, 21 έγκάρσιον τείχος, 99. 15 el, with subjv., 21.4 elkóg, with inf., 11.9 εζρητο, 30. 4 έκ: = ὑπό, 36. 9; with art. inf., 38.24, 40.16; έκ τοῦ αίσχίονος, 10.8: ἐκ τοῦ αὐτοῦ, 18.17; ἐκ τοῦ όμοίου, 78, 27,87,25 έκ-: ἐξέτασις, 41.10, 91. 14; έξηρτησθαι, 96.8; έξισοῦν, 87.21 **ёкасто**, 31. 18, 63. 5 έκων είναι, 14. 7 **Έλλάς**, adj., 62. 9 ėν: ėν άξιώματι, 15. 9; ėν διανοία, 65.3; έν τῶ κοινώ, 8.8; έν τω όμοίω καί, 11.4, 21.10; ἐν όπλοις, 56. 9, 61. 13, 74.7; ἐν παύλη φαίνεσθαι, 60. 7; ἐν πλαισίφ, 67. 6; ἐν τάχει, 33.11 έν-: έγγηράσεσθαι, 18.36; έγκρατώς, 92.6; έλλαμπρύνεσθαι, 12.13; τὸ ἐλλείπον, 69.9; ἐμπιπράναι, 94.9, 14; ἐνάγειν,

15. 3; ἐνθυμηθήναι, 78. 3; ἐντειχισάμενοι, 90. 14 ἐπί: ἐπ' ἐκεῖνα, 63. 6; ἐπὶ κέρως, 32.10, 50.13; ἐπὶ Ευνομοσία, 27.10: ἐπὶ

κέρως, 32.10, 50.13; ἐπὶ ξυνωμοσία, 27.10; ἐπὶ ὀκτώ, 67. 5; ἐπὶ τὸ πλείον, 34.43; ἐπὶ τῷ δήμφ, 61.5; ἐπὶ τῷ παρόντι, 20.2

έπι-: ἐπενεγκεῖν, 76. 16; ἐπεξελθεῖν, 38. 9; ἐπεσπλεῖν, 2. 35; ἐπηλυγάξεσθαι, 36. 7; ἐπιβόητος, 16. 3; ἐπικηρυκεύειν ἔς τινα, 48. 3; ἐπικωλύειν, 17. 24; ἐπιπάρειμι, 67. 17; ἐπιπολῆς, 96.11; ἐπιτείχισις,
91. 29; ἐπιτήδευμα, 18.
18; ἐπιτρέπειν, 40. 8,
17; ἐπιψηφίζειν, 14. 3; ἐφόρμησις, 48. 8

έφόρμησις, 48. 8 έρήμη δίκη, 61. 34 ές: ές ἔκπληξιν καθιστάναι, 36. 6; ές λογισμόν καταστήσαι, 34. 23; ές τα ἔπειτα, 55. 19; ές ὑμᾶς είπειν. 89. 1

έσχε, 2.2 εὖ οἶδ΄ ὅτι, 34.43, 57, 38.2 εὐναί, 67.6

ζάγκλος, 4. 30

θεραπεύειν, 61. 21 θήτες, 43. 10

ίππεις, 43. 16, 64. 9 ίπποτοξότης, 94. 18 ίπποτροφία, 12. 11, 15. 11 Ισομοιρείν, 16. 18, 39. 8 kal, intensive, 18.3 κατά τὸ ὅμορον, 88.4 κατα-: καθάπτεσθαι, 16. 2; καθίσαν, 66.2; καθιστάναι ές, 34.23; κατάλογος, 26.6; καταλύεσθαι, 13. 14, 36. 14; καταπολεμείν, 16.7, 90. 15; κατασχείν, 9. 16, 11. 1, 23. 6, 39. 12, 86. 10; καταφρονείν, 11. 20, 33. 13, 35. 5, 49. 6; κατεργάζεσθαι, 11. 1, 33. 20; 86.9; каторθοῦσθαι, 13.6 κεφαλαιοθν, 91. 30 κύκλος, 98. 8, 99. 14, 101. 1, 102, 5

λαμβάνειν: μειζόνως, 27. 9; ὑπόπτως, 53. 18; χαλεπῶς, 61. 2 λέγεσθαι, 2. 3 λιθολόγος, 44. 8 λιποστρατία, 76. 14 λωφάν, 12. 1

μέγας, 104. 16 μέγιστον τῶν πρίν, 13. 7 μεσόγεια, 88. 20 μετα-: μεταβολή, 18. 39, 76, 21; μεταλαμβάνειν, 18. 18, 87. 25; μεταπέμπειν, 51. 8, 71. 10, 88. 50; μεταχειρίζειν, 12. 16 μεταξὺ . . . κραθήναι, 5. 5 μή, for οὐ with inf., 14. 4; μὴ [ὅπως], 18. 12

ναυκράτωρ, 18.26 νέμεσθαι πόλιν, 2.37 νησιώται, 77.8 νικάν [ἐν αὐτῆ], 101. 17 νομίζειν μὴ, 102. 18

ξυν-: ξυνδιαβάλλειν, 44. 7; ξυνελόντες, 80. 14; ξυνιστάναι, 21. 4, 33. 26, 37. 15, 79. 14; ξυνοικήσαι, 2. 36

οίκησις, 88. 21 olklew, 2. 1, 7 όλοφυρθείς, 78. 15 όμιλειν πολέμφ, 69. 4 δμιλος, 17. 14 δπως, with aor. subjv., 11.28 όρθοῦσθαι, 9.10 δρκιον, 72.23 ορρωδώ, with dat., 8.8 όρῶν πρός, 75. 3, 97. 25, 101.2 δσον ού, 34.58 δτφ τρόπφ, 33. 12 ού πολλώ τινι, 1.5, 7 обтыя, looking forward, 18.6 όχετός, 100. 7

παιανίζω, 32. 9
παρά δὲ τό, 66. 6
παρα-: παράδειγμα, 77. 3;
παραπλείν, 47. 7, 62. 7,
104. 15; παραυτίκα, 98.
6; παρελθείν, with dat.,
15. 19, 32. 19, 35. 7;
παριστάναι, 34. 53, 78.
1; παροκωχῆ, 85. 7;
παροξύνειν, 88. 57
πειραθήναι, 54. 9, 92. 16
ό πέλας, 12. 6, 79. 5
περί: περὶ πλείστου, 11.
25; περὶ σφίσιν αὐτοίς,
33. 28

περι-: περιέπλευσαν, 62. 20; περιορώμενοι, 93. 3, 103, 10; περιτείχισμα, 101.5 πίσυνος, 2, 37 πλήθος, 104. 20 πόλεμος, personified, 34. 13, 41.9 πόλις, 4.17 πολιτεία, 104. 13 πολυαρχία, 72.11 πολυπραγμοσύνη, 87. 12 πονείν, 67. 7, 104. 18 $\pi \rho (\nu)$, with subjv., 10.18, 29, 7, 38, 8 προ-: προαπαντάν, 42. 11; προμηθία, 80.5; προσείειν, 86.2; προστασία, 89. 16; προτιθέναι, 14.3; πρόφασις, 6.3 πρός: (secundum) 34.45, 63. 3, 64. 12, 85. 11; πρός τὰ παρόντα, 41.3, 46, 27 προσ-: προσαναγκάζειν, 72. 16; προσέχειν τον νοῦν, 93. 6; προσθέσθαι, with accus., 18. 4 πρύτανις, 14. 1

σιτοποιός, 22. 8, 44. 3 στέγεσθαι, 72. 24 στορεννύναι, 18. 20 Συράκουσαι, 3. 5 σφέτερος, 11. 12 σωφρονισθήναι, 78. 11, 79. 9, 87. 19

τάχα ζσως, 10. 13, 34. 9
τε, in résumé, 61. 17; connecting two single notions, 72. 12
οἱ ἐν τέλει, 88. 55
τέμενος, 99. 16
τὸ μὴ εἶναι, 1. 9
τοσαῦτα, 24.1, 35.1, 41.14
τοῦναντίον ἤ, 68. 13
τροφή, 93. 17

viels, 30. 11

ύπερ-: ὑπεριδείν,11.17,18.
21; ὑπερφρονείν, 16.20
ὑπὸ νύκτα, 7. 12, 64.3,
65.14
ὑπο-: ὑπακούειν, 82. 7;
ὑπήκοος, 43.11; ὑπηρεσία, 31.20; ὑπόλοιπος,
91.3; ὑποπτεύεσθαι, 86.
6, 87.3, 92.6; ὑπόσπονδος, 59.18, 88.50

φέρειν (= referre), 41.13 φοιτάν, 104.4 φρονείν τὰ τῶν, 51.8 φυλή, 98.16, 100.6 φυλοκρινείν, 18.9

χρήματα, 49. 13, 97. 27

ώς: ὡς εἰπεῖν, 72. 10; ὡς ἐκ τῶν παρόντων, 70. 16; ὡς ἐν μιῷ νήσφ, 20. 8; ὡς ἐς τὸ ἔαρ, 71. 15 ὥστε (on condition that), 7. 7

άπαξ είρημένα: τω άδοκήτω, 34. 40; άθροισις, 26. 8; άνταξιοῦν, 16. 20; ἀπαρτᾶν, 21, 12; άποθήκη, 97. 27; άπόλεκτος, 68.8; αύχησις, 16. 25; δεινόν ποιείσθαι, 60. 19; διαπειράσθαι, 91. 25; διαπομπή, 41.11; διασταυρούσθαι, . 97. 9; διαφορείν, 91, 35, 100. 24; ἐκλεκτός, 100. 13; έμπαρασχείν, 12. 12; ἐνδοιάζειν, 91. 19; eviéntes, 29, 12; έπανειπείν, 60. 24; έπεξέτασις, 42.3; ἐπηλυγάζεσθαι, 36. 7; έπικρατέστερος, 88. 10; εὐεφοδώτατος, 66. 9; εύμεταχείριστος, 85.17; έφορμή, 90. 14; έφυβρί-LELV, 63. 12; exómevos, 3.5; ήπερ, 40.6; θάμβος, 31. 41; ξπποκρατείσθαι, 71. 11; Ισοπλήθης, 37. 7; κομπεισθαι, 17. 18; κύρωσις, 103. 15; λιθοφορείν, 98. 15; μάχιμον, 23. 2; ξυγκατανέμεσθαι, 4.26; Ευμφοβείσθαι, 101. 26; ξυνδράν, 64.23; όμοιοτρόπως, 20.11; παραθαλασσίδιον, 62.11; παρακαταλιπείν, 7.6; πολιτευθήναι, 92.12; πολυανδρείν, 17.8; προσηνές, 77.16; προσξυνοικίζειν, 2.16; προστειχίζειν, 3.8; προστειχίζειν, 3.8; προσείχισμα, 100.19; ταμιεύεσθαι, 18.13; ύπονομηδόν, 100.8; ύπόπτης, 60.8; ύποτείχισις, 100.23; ύποτείχισμα, 100.23; ύποτείχισμα, 100.23; ύποτείχισμα, 100.23; ύποτείχισμα, 100.23; ύμος, 100.23;

ENGLISH INDEX

Acrae, 5.6 Acragas (Agrigentum), 4.20 Aeantides, 59b, c Aegina, 32b Alcibiades, 8b, 15-18, (aping Pericles) 18.29, 19a, 28-29, 48a, 50a,51 a, (recalled) 53, (deserts to Sparta) 61, 88c, 89-92 Alcmeonidae, 59c Allies of Athens, autonomous, 69. 21; subject, 69.23 Anacoluthon, 24.11, 35.4 Anaphora, 30.8 Anapus, 66c, 96b Anaxilas, 4.33 Andocides, 60.9 Antiphemus, 4. 14 Aorist, complexive, 2.29; after elkós, 31.38, 49. 12; after νομίζω, 24.3 Aphrodite, sanctuary at Eryx, 46b Apollo Archegetes, 3.2 Archedice, 59b, c Archias, 3.5 Argives, 7a, 29b, 43b, 61 c, 68 a, 69 c, 70 a, 89aArgolis, 7a Argos, 61b, 95a, 105 Aristogeiton, 54, 56, 57, 59 a

Aristonous, 4b Article, with second word, 12.3; single article with two substs., 61, 22; omitted before rel. sent., 12.4; omitted with second modifler, 15. 13; not repeated, 40.14 Asine, 93. 12 Athenagoras, 35c, 41aBarbarians, 2.39 Boeotians, 61 a Brachylogy, 11, 26 Camarina, 5.9, 52, 75 Camarinaeans, 5.14, 67c, 76 a, 78 c, 88 a Carthage, 15 a, 34 a, 88 b, 90.5 Casmenae, 5.6 Catana, 3c, 20b, 50, 51, 52c, 63c, 64b, 65, 71b, 72 a, 74 a, 88 b, 94 Centoripa, 94. 13 Ceramicus, 57a, 58a Chalcidians, 3a, 5a, 7c, 10. 19, 44c, 76b, 79b Chalcis, 4b Chians, 31 a, 43b, 85 a Chiasmus, 92.7 Cleonae, 95a Cognate accusative, 17. 1, 20, 64, 8, 72, 11 Collective noun with plural verb, 32. 7

Comparative, absolute, 46.9; with gen. of reflexive pron., 72, 20 Conative imperfect, 29. Conative present, 38.2 Corcyra, 30a, 32b, 34b, 42 a, 43 a, 44 a Corinth, 88b, c Corinthians, 7a, 93b Correlation of dependleading ent and clauses, 13.14 Cretans, 25c, 43c Cumae, 4.23 Cyclopes, 2a Cyllene, 88c

Darius, 59 b
Dascon, 5b, 66 b
Dative, of agent, 2.6, 5.
4, 16. 13, 87. 10; of
purpose, 33. 9; after
ἐλθεῖν, 46. 11; after
ἤκεῖν, 96.2; with διαφόρως, 18. 41
Decelea, 91 b, 93 a
Diomilus, 96 c, 97 b

Egesta, 2. 16, 6 c, 44 c, 88 b

Egestaeans, 6, 8, 10 c, 11 a, 13 c, 19 a, 21 b, 22 c, 33 b, 37 a, 46, 47 a, 48 c, 62, 77 a

Elorine road, 66 c, 70 c

Elymians, 2b Enthymeme, 10.22 Entimus, 4b Epanaphora, 88.29 Epidaurus, 31 a Epidaurus Limera, 105. 12 Epipolae, 75 a, 96, 97, 101, 102a, 103aEryx, 46b Etruria, 88b, 103b Euarchus, 3c Euboea, 4c Eucles, 103.22 Euclides, 5a Euphemus, 75c, 81 Euryelus, 97.12 Eurymedon, 1.2

Figure of speech, from dice, 13.8; from medicine, 14.5; from a ship, 10.17 Future, inf. with av, 66. 4; ptc. with av, 20.6; middle as passive, 18. 24

Gela, 4. 14, 5c Geloans, 4b, 5. 17, 67b Gelon, 4. 9, 5c, 94a Genitive absolute, 10b Gylippus, 93. 7, 104

Hagnon, 31 a Harmodius, 53 c, 54, 56, 57, 59 a Hellespontians, 77 b Heraclides, 73 a, 103. 22 Hermae, 27. 1, 53. 5, 61 a Hermocrates, 32 c, 33-34, 35 c, 72, 75 c, 76-80, 96 c, 99 a Hexameter, accidental, 36.1 ·
Himera, 5. 1, 61 a
Hipparchus, 54, 55, 57
Hippias, 54 a, 55, 57, 58, 59
Hippocles, 59 a
Hippocrates, 5. 13
Hybla, 4. 6
Hybla Geleatis, 62. 21, 63 b, 94 c
Hyccara, 62 b

Iapygia, 30 a, 34 b, 44 a
Iberians, 2 a, 90 b
Inessaeans, 94 c
Infinitive, after βθλτιστος, 39.3; κακός, 38.8; πολύ, 34.26; ῥίων, 42.8; in rel. clause, 24.13, 72.9
Ionian sea, 30 a, 44 a
Italus, 2.23
Italy, 2.20, 44.10, 104.14

Labdalum, 97.24 Lacedaemonians, 7, 10, 11, 53, 59, 61, 73, 88, 93, 95, 104, 105 Laches, 1.2, 75b Laespodias, 105.11 Laestrygonians, 2a Lamachus, 8. 11, 49 a, 50a, (killed) 101.30 Lampsacus, 59b, c Laurium, 91c Leocorium, 57.11 Leon, 97a Leontinê, 65b Leontines, 3. 10, 4. 4, 6b, 19 a, 20 b, 33 a, 44 c, 47c, 48c, 51c, 63c, 76a, 796, 866

Leontini, 8. 12 Lesbians, 31 a Leucas, 104 a Lindians, 4. 18 Locrians, 44. 12

Macedonia, 7c Mantinea, 16c, 29b Mantineans, 43 c, 61 c, 67a, 68a, 88c Marathon, 59c Medes, 4. 32, 76c Megara Hyblaea, 4.7, 49. 19, 75. 5, 94 a, 97. 25 Megarians, 43c Menecolus, 5b Messene, 4.35, 50a, 74a Messenians, 48b Methone, 7.16 Methymnaeans, 85a Motye, 2.25 Myletidae, 5.4 Myrsine, 55 a

Naxos, 20b, 50a, 72a, 75a, 88a, bNeuter, adj. for abstract subst., 18.7; pl. adjs. and ptcs., 25.7; pl. with pl. verb, 62.18Nicias, 8b, c, 9-14, 18b, 19b, 20-23, 24a, 25a, 46, 47, 69a, 70, 102, 103, 104c

Olympic festival, 16.6 Olympieum, 64 b, 65 c, 70 c, 75 a Opici, 2.20 Opicia, 4.24 Orneae, 7.5

Pammilus, 4a Panathenaea, 56.8

ENGLISH INDEX

Panormus, 2.36 Pantacyas, 4.2 Parataxis, 9.11, 10.17, 16. 1, 17. 18 Parody, 92.26 Paronomasia, 72. 13, 76. 6, 79. 8, 13, 87. 15 Participle, attrib. after noun, 18.8; in pred. = abstract subst., 2. 24, 3, 10, 10, 29, 14, 5; neuter = abstract subst., 24. 4, 34. 16, 55. 15, 57. 2; neuter = collective, 35.6 Partitive genitive, 62.19 Pay, of sailors, 8.3, 31. 18; of soldiers, 8.4 Peisistratid episode, 54-Peisistratus, 53c, 54a Peisistratus, son of Hippias, 54. 26, 33 Perdiccas, 7c Pericles, 31 a Periphrasis, 10.6, 14.5, **32.11, 4**6.8, 16, 26, 78. 14; for perf., 39.10, 76.10; for plpf., 102. 10 Personal construction for neuter, 22.10 Personal pron. for rel. in second clause, 91.24 Phliasia, 105.17

Phocians, 2. 17 Phoenicians, 2. 32 Potidaea, 31 α Prasia $^{\circ}$, 105. 13 Prolepsis, 7. 13, 61. 30 Pylos, 105. 6 Pystilus, 4 bPythen, 104 α Pythius, 54. 31, 34 Pythodorus, 105. 11

Relative pronoun, loose connection of, 14. 6, 16. 15; pl. after collective, 91.14
Rhegium, 4c, 44b, 45a, 50a, 51c, 79a
Rhodians, 48

Sacon, 5a Salaminia, 53. 1, 61 b, c Samians, 4c Selinuntians, 6, 8b, 13c, 20c, 65a, 67c Selinus, 4.12, 20b, 47a, b, 48c, 61a Sicanians, 2. 7, 62. 10 Sicanus, 73 a Sicanus river, 2.9 Siceliote settlements, 3-5, 11. 5, 34. 18 Sicels, 2. 19, etc. Sicilian sea, 13.11 Sicily, circumnavigation of, 1.8

Sigeum, 59. 18
Simaethus river, 65. 10
Simus, 5a
Soloeis, 2. 36
Sykê, 98. 7
Synesis, 80. 3, 91. 14, 94. 3
Syracuse, siege works, 98-101

Tarentum, 34b, 44b, 104b Tellias, 103c Temenites, 75a, 100cTerias river, 50b, 94b Terinaean gulf, 104.15 Thapsus, 4.5, 97 a Thebans, 95c Theseum, 61 a Thespiae, 95 a Thessalus, 55.6 Thrace, 7c Thucles, 3.2 Thuria, 61.28, 88c, 104b Thurii, 61c, 104c Thyreatis, 95b Trinacria, 2.11 Trogilus, 99.3 Trotilus, 4.3 Twelve gods, altar of the, 54.27 Tyrrhenian gulf, 62.7

Zancle, 4.23, 28, 5a



